Task 3:

Source code instruction	Register(s) modified	Value in the modified register(s)	Memory location(s) modified.	Value in modified memory location(s)
add \$t1, \$0, 16	\$t1	16	n/a	n/a
sw \$t1, 0x1000000(\$0)	n/a	n/a	0x10000000	16
lw \$t2, 0x1000000(\$0)	\$t2	16	n/a	n/a
sub \$t0, \$t2, 1	\$t0	15	n/a	n/a

Table 1

Task 4:

Source code instruction	Register(s) modified	Value in the modified register(s)	Memory location(s) modified.	Value in modified memory location(s)
add \$t1, \$0, 0x7f6b2684	\$t1	0x7f6b2684	n/a	n/a
sw \$t1, 0x1000000(\$0)	n/a	n/a	0x10000000	0x7f6b2684
lb \$t2, 0x10000002(\$0)	\$t2	0x7f6b2684	n/a	n/a
sub \$t0, \$t2, 2	\$t0	0x7f6b2684	n/a	n/a

Table 2

Question 1: Comparing row 3 of Table 1 and Table 2, does register \$t2 gets same or different values? Explain the reason for your answer.

Answer:

In the third row of the first table, \$t2 is set to 16 because it was loaded from the memory location where we previously stored \$t1.

Conversely, in the third row of the second table, \$t2 is equivalent to the value stored at memory address 0x7f6b2684. When we convert this hexadecimal address to decimal, we obtain 107 as the value stored at that specific memory location.

As a result, it's evident that \$t2 in the two tables is not equal.

Task 5:

Question: Explain the reason why Task5 terminates with error. Also, suggest a correction by rewriting the program below.

Answer:

\$t0 is currently stored at the memory address 0x10000005, which is not aligned correctly (we're skipping a memory location). Memory addresses should be multiples of 4 for proper alignment. To rectify this issue, we can make the following adjustment:

Change:

\$t0, 0x10000005(\$0)

To:

sw \$t0, 0x10000004(\$0)

This modification ensures that \$t0 is stored at a memory location aligned to a multiple of 4, resolving the alignment problem in the code.