

Commutative Algebra and Algebraic Geometry — Lecture 4

Martin Svanberg

October 9, 2018

Loose ends

Is $\text{Hom}(M, N)$ an R -module? Only if R commutative.

Example 1. $R = F$ and $M = V$, a finite dimensional vector space, $N = W$. Look at $\text{Hom}(V, W)$, a vector space of dimension $\dim(V) \dim(W)$. If b_1, \dots, b_m is a basis for V , c_1, \dots, c_n basis for W . Then $V \cong F^m$, $W \cong F^n$ and $\text{Hom}(F^m, F^n)$ is the set of $m \times n$ matrices.

Example 2. $R = F[G]$ and F a field, G a finite group. "Group ring" or "group algebra", an example of noncommutative rings. If G acts on a set, then G also acts on $\text{Functions}(X, F)$.

If you define the action as $(g \cdot f)(x) = f(gx)$ then it fails, but $(g \cdot f)(x^{-1})$ does work. So $\text{Functions}(X, F)$ is a vector space and a G -module, but this we cannot do for general rings.

Observe: in finite dimensional vector spaces, a maximal linearly independent set is a basis. This is not true for modules. Linear independence is defined as before, but a maximally linearly independent set might not be a basis. For example, (1) is a maximal linearly independent set of \mathbb{Z} -module, but so is (2) . It is free of rank 1 (cyclic), but it is not a generating set.

Consider $\mathbb{Z}^n \xrightarrow{A} \mathbb{Z}^n$, where $A \in M_n(\mathbb{Z})$. $\text{Im}(A)$ is a submodule of \mathbb{Z}^n . Assume $\det A \neq 0$. Equivalent to $\text{Im} A$ being a finite index in \mathbb{Z}^n . Then $|\mathbb{Z}^n / \text{Im}(A)| = |\det A|$

There is also $\mathbb{Q}^n \xrightarrow{A} \mathbb{Q}^n$, isomorphism when $\det A$ nonzero. Then $|\det A| = 1$.

Definition 1. The rank of an R -module M is the size of a maximally linearly independent set in M .

Injective and projective modules

The basic test: short exact sequences. $0 \rightarrow M' \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} M'' \rightarrow 0$. So $M/M' \cong M''$ by the first isomorphism theorem.

Then we looked at $\text{Hom}(L, _)$ and $\text{Hom}(_, L)$.

Relating to surjectivity: $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(L, M') \rightarrow \text{Hom}(L, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(L, M'')$
does it go to zero? ($\dots \rightarrow 0$?)

Relating to injectivity: $0 \leftarrow \text{Hom}(M', L) \leftarrow \text{Hom}(M, L) \leftarrow \text{Hom}(M'', L)$
($0 \leftarrow \dots$?)

Projective if $\text{Hom}(L, *)$ is exact for all short exact sequences $*$. Injective if $\text{Hom}(*, L)$ is exact for all short exact sequences $*$.

Lemma 1. Link between projective and free. L is projective iff L is a direct factor of a free module. i.e., there exists M free and N such that $M = N \oplus L$. Corollary, free implies projective. Other corollary, every module is a quotient of projective module. This last corollary is what people mean when they say that the category of R -modules has enough projectives.

There is not an equally nice relationship for injectivity, even though injectivity is dual to projectivity.

Categories

A category \mathcal{C} is a pair $(\text{Ob } \mathcal{C}, \text{Mor } \mathcal{C})$. $\text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$ class of objects, $\text{Mor } \mathcal{C}$ class of sets of morphisms: $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)$ where $A, B \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$.

$\text{Hom}(*, *)$ are sets, and composition is $\text{Hom}(A, B) \times \text{Hom}(B, C) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, C)$.

We have:

- (i) Composition is associative.
- (ii) If $A \neq C$ or $B \neq D$ then $\text{Hom}(A, B) \cap \text{Hom}(C, D) = \emptyset$.
- (iii) For all A , there exists an identity morphism $1_A \in \text{Hom}(A, A)$ such that $f \cdot 1_A = f$ and $1_A \cdot g = g$, that is $\underbrace{\text{Hom}(A, A)}_{1_A} \times \underbrace{\text{Hom}(A, B)}_g \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, B)$.

Also, $\text{Hom}(C, A) \times \text{Hom}(A, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(C, A)$.

For groups, objects are groups and morphisms are group homomorphisms. For modules, objects are all R -modules and morphisms are all R -linear maps $\text{Hom}(M, N)$.

Functors

A (covariant) functor \mathcal{F} from one category \mathcal{C} to another category \mathcal{D} is an object that associates to each $A \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$ a $\mathcal{F}(A) \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{D}$. To morphisms f it associates $\mathcal{F}(f) \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}(A), \mathcal{F}(B))$, a morphism in the category \mathcal{D} .

We require

- (i) $\mathcal{F}(fg) = \mathcal{F}(f)\mathcal{F}(g)$ for $f \in \text{Hom}(A, B), g \in \text{Hom}(B, C)$
- (ii) $(1_A) = 1_{\mathcal{F}(A)}$ for all $A \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$

\mathcal{F} is a contravariant functor from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{D} if $f \mapsto \mathcal{F}(f)$ where $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)$ and $\mathcal{F}(f) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{F}(B), \mathcal{F}(A))$ and $\mathcal{F}(fg) = \mathcal{F}(g)\mathcal{F}(f)$.

Affine algebraic sets form a category with morphisms of algebraic sets.

Finitely generated k -algebras form a category with morphisms of k -algebras.

If V, W be affine algebraic sets. Then the functor $V \mapsto k[V]$ and $(\varphi : V \rightarrow W) \mapsto k[W] \xrightarrow{\varphi^*} k[V]$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & W \\ & \searrow & \downarrow f \\ & & k \end{array}$$

This is a contravariant functor.

The category of R -modules are abelian categories. What can we do in abelian categories Ab ?

We can take kernels. If $f : A \rightarrow B$ where $A, B \in \text{Ab}$. (We write this instead of writing Ob). Then $\text{Ker } f \in \text{Ab}$. And $\text{Im } f \in \text{Ab}$. And $\text{Coker } f = B/\text{Im } f \in \text{Ab}$. But this doesn't work in Grp , the category of groups, since we require the image to be normal in that case.

We can do direct sums. If A, B are abelian groups, then their direct sum is also an abelian group. Same goes for abelian categories.

$\text{Hom}(A, B)$ is itself an abelian group for $A, B \in \text{Ab}$. Again, doesn't work in the category Grp .

So, if we can take Ker , Im , Coker , Coim , can take direct sums and Hom is an abelian group, then we have an abelian category.

Observe: Categories need not deal with sets. This complicates the actual definition, but we will not bother with that.

Example 3. R -modules are abelian categories. Grp is not abelian category.

Morita Equivalence

Definition 2. Two rings are Morita equivalent if the categories $R\text{-mod}$ and $S\text{-mod}$ are equivalent as categories.

Definition 3. \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} are isomorphic if there exists $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{H} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ such that $\mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{H} = 1_{\mathcal{D}}$ and $\mathcal{H} \circ \mathcal{F} = 1_{\mathcal{C}}$.

(Infinity categories: lol. Morphisms between morphisms between morphisms ... between functors)

Example 4. Let R be any commutative ring with 1 and let $S = M_n(R)$. Highly noncommutative. We still have the categories of left/right R -modules. R, S are Morita equivalent.

Definition 4. A natural transformation $\eta : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ between two covariant functors $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{H} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ a rule that associates to every $A \in \mathcal{C}$ a $\eta_A \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}A, \mathcal{H}A)$, for all $(A, B) \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$ and for all $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(A) & \xrightarrow{\eta_A} & \mathcal{H}(A) \\ \downarrow \mathcal{F}(f) & & \downarrow \mathcal{H}(f) \\ \mathcal{F}(B) & \xrightarrow{\eta_B} & \mathcal{H}(B) \end{array}$$

commutes.

Definition 5. Categories \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} are equivalent if there exists $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and $G : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ such that $\mathcal{F} \circ G$ and $G \circ \mathcal{F}$ are each naturally isomorphic to the identity functor. i.e. there exists a natural transformation $\eta : \mathcal{F} \circ G \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{D}}$ with an inverse.