

tors. We estimate the cluster correction to be 0.3 ± 0.3 Mev and the energy denominator correction (compared to the result with $\delta E = 0$) to be 1.0 ± 0.5 Mev, giving a final result for the energy (at the minimum) of -14.8 ± 0.6 Mev. It is to be emphasized that this result is very much more accurate than the knowledge of the potentials, particularly since the odd-state potentials have been omitted.

Finally, it is interesting to point out that these results agree, within one or two Mev, with those which can be obtained from the same potential in the effective-mass approximation^{3,4} with the effects of the exclusion principle neglected.

¹ Brueckner, Mahmoud, and Levinson, Phys. Rev. **95**, 219 (1954).

² K. A. Brueckner, Phys. Rev. **96**, 908 (1954).

³ K. A. Brueckner, Phys. Rev. **97**, 1353 (1955).

⁴ K. A. Brueckner and W. Wada, Phys. Rev. **103**, 1008 (1956).

⁵ K. A. Brueckner and C. A. Levinson, Phys. Rev. **97**, 1344 (1955).

⁶ K. A. Brueckner, Phys. Rev. **100**, 36 (1955).

⁷ R. J. Eden and N. C. Francis, Phys. Rev. **97**, 1366 (1955); R. J. Eden, Phys. Rev. **99**, 1418 (1955); R. J. Eden, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) **A235**, 408 (1956); J. Goldstone, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) (to be published).

⁸ H. A. Bethe, Phys. Rev. **103**, 1353 (1956).

⁹ Gammel, Christian, and Thaler, Phys. Rev. **105**, 311 (1957).

Contribution to Lamb Shift Due to Finite Proton Size

WALTER ARON AND A. J. ZUCHELLI*

Department of Physics, University of Virginia,
Charlottesville, Virginia

(Received December 28, 1956)

THE scattering of high-energy electrons by protons has recently been interpreted in terms of a finite spatial distribution of charge for the proton.¹ We have noticed that the resultant deviation from a pure Coulomb field is such as to reduce the existing discrepancy² between theoretical and experimental results for the hydrogen Lamb shift. Since the proton size is small compared to atomic dimensions, one easily finds, using nonrelativistic wave functions,

$$\Delta E = \frac{1}{6} |\psi(0)|^2 e^2 \langle R^2 \rangle_{Av},$$

where $\langle R^2 \rangle_{Av}$ is the mean square radius of the proton charge distribution and $\psi(0)$ is the amplitude of the hydrogen wave function at the origin. (A similar result was obtained by Salpeter³ in discussing the effect of proton motion in the deuteron Lamb shift.) Taking the mean value given by Chambers and Hofstadter, $R_{rms} = (0.77 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-13}$ cm, one finds the energy shift for the $2S_{\frac{1}{2}}$ level:

$$\Delta E = 0.118 \pm 0.03 \text{ Mc/sec.}$$

* National Science Foundation Predoctoral Fellow.

¹ E. E. Chambers and R. Hofstadter, Phys. Rev. **103**, 1454 (1956).

² Baranger, Bethe, and Feynman, Phys. Rev. **92**, 482 (1953).

³ E. E. Salpeter, Phys. Rev. **89**, 92 (1953).

Nuclear Emulsion Evidence for Parity Nonconservation in the Decay Chain

$$\pi^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ + e^+ + \nu$$

JEROME I. FRIEDMAN AND V. L. TELEGGI

Enrico Fermi Institute for Nuclear Studies, University of Chicago,
Chicago, Illinois

(Received January 17, 1957)

LEE and Yang¹ recently re-examined the problem as to whether parity is conserved in nature and emphasized the fact that one actually lacks experimental evidence in support of this most natural hypothesis in the case of weak interactions (such as β decay). Violation of parity conservation can be inferred essentially only by measuring the probability distribution of some *pseudoscalar* quantity, e.g., of the projection of a polar vector along an axial vector, and measurements of this kind had not been reported. Lee and Yang suggested several experiments in which a spin direction is available as a suitable axial vector; in particular, they pointed out that the initial direction of motion of the muon in the process $\pi \rightarrow \mu + \nu$ can serve for this purpose, as the muon will be produced with its spin axis along its initial line of motion if the Hamiltonian responsible for this process does not have the customary invariance properties. If parity is further not conserved in the process $\mu \rightarrow e + 2\nu$, then a forward-backward asymmetry in the distribution of angles $W(\theta)$ between this initial direction of motion and the momentum, \mathbf{p}_e , of the decay electron is predicted.

It is easy to observe the pertinent correlation by bringing π^+ mesons to rest in a nuclear emulsion in which the μ^+ meson also stops. One has only to bear in mind two facts: (1) even weak magnetic fields, such as the fringing field of a cyclotron, can obliterate a real effect, as the precession frequency of a Dirac μ meson is $(2.8/207) \times 10^6 \text{ sec}^{-1}/\text{gauss}$; (2) μ^+ can form "muonium," i.e., (μ^+e^-) , and the formation of this atom can be an additional source of depolarization, both through its internal hyperfine splitting and the precession of its total magnetic moment around the external field. In the absence of specific experiments on muonium formation, one can perhaps be guided by analogous data on positronium in solids.^{2,3}

With these facts in mind, we exposed (in early October, 1956) nuclear emulsion pellicles (1 mm thick) to a π^+ beam of the University of Chicago synchrocyclotron. The pellicles were contained inside three concentric tubular magnetic shields and subject to $\leq 4 \times 10^{-3}$ gauss. Over 1300 complete $\pi - \mu - e$ decays have been recorded to date, and the space angle θ defined above has been calculated for each. From these preliminary data we find⁴

$$\left\{ \int_{90^\circ}^{180^\circ} |W(\theta)| d\Omega - \int_0^{90^\circ} |W(\theta)| d\Omega \right\} / \int_0^{180^\circ} W(\theta) d\Omega = 0.062 \pm 0.027,$$

or

$$W(\theta) = 1 - 0.12 \cos\theta,$$

i.e., the presence of an excess in the backward hemisphere to a 95% confidence level. This effect agrees in sign and magnitude with one observed in a recent analogous experiment⁵ performed electronically at Columbia University.

In connecting our result with basic theoretical principles, one has to remember (a) that the asymmetry observed here is only a lower limit owing to the possibility of muonium formation⁶ and other conceivable depolarization effects; (b) that existence of an asymmetry implies the joint violation of parity conservation and charge conjugation invariance rather than of parity conservation alone.⁷

In view of the intrinsic importance of the subject, we consider it worthwhile to present our data at this preliminary stage.

We would like to thank the Columbia workers, in

particular R. L. Garwin, for communicating their unpublished results to us. We are grateful to R. Oehme for illuminating theoretical discussions and to R. Levi-Setti for criticism of the experimental techniques.

* This work was supported by a joint program of the Office of Naval Research and the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

† For technical reasons, this Letter could not be published in the same issue as that of Garwin, Lederman, and Weinrich, Phys. Rev. **105**, 1415 (1957).

¹ T. D. Lee and C. N. Yang, Phys. Rev. **104**, 254 (1956).

² S. Berko and F. Hereford, Revs. Modern Phys. **28**, 299 (1956).

³ Telegdi, Sens, Yovanovitch, and Warshaw, Phys. Rev. **104**, 867 (1956).

⁴ Note added in proof.—From 2000 events, we get for this ratio 0.091 ± 0.022 .

⁵ Garwin, Lederman, and Weinrich (private communication from R. L. Garwin, January 13, 1957).

⁶ The Columbia workers find that the observed asymmetry appears to depend on the material stopping the μ^+ mesons. This is consistent with (μ^+e^-) formation and suggests the use of μ^- mesons for absolute measurements, in low- Z materials with no nuclear magnetic moment.

⁷ R. Oehme (private communication); Lee, Oehme, and Yang, Phys. Rev. (to be published).