## MATH 172 HOMEWORK 1 - SOLUTION TO SELECTED PROBLEMS

## CA: FREDERICK FONG

**Problem 1** (Chapter 1, Q35). Show that the collection of Borel sets  $\mathcal{B}$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra that contains the closed sets.

Any open set is the complement of a closed set. Therefore,  $\mathcal{B}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra containing all closed sets. To show  $\mathcal{B}$  is the smallest, we let  $\Sigma$  be any  $\sigma$ -algebra containing all closed sets. From the definition of  $\sigma$ -algebra,  $\Sigma$  contains all open sets. By the definition of  $\mathcal{B}$  in P.20, we have  $\mathcal{B} \subset \Sigma$ . Hence  $\mathcal{B}$  is the smallest among all such  $\Sigma$ .

**Problem 2** (Chapter 1, Q37). Show that each open set is an  $F_{\sigma}$  set.

By Proposition 9 of P.17, it suffices to show each open interval is an  $F_{\sigma}$ . For  $a, b \neq \pm \infty$ , we have

$$(a,b) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ a + \frac{1}{k}, b - \frac{1}{k} \right],$$

which is an  $F_{\sigma}$  set. Note that  $(-\infty, b) = (-\infty, b-1] \cup (b-1, b)$  and  $(a, \infty) = (a, a+1) \cup [a+1, \infty)$ , so they are both  $F_{\sigma}$  sets.  $(-\infty, \infty)$  is itself closed. Hence every open interval is an  $F_{\sigma}$  set.

**Problem 3** (Chapter 1, Q56\*). Let f be a real-valued function defined on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Show that the set of points at which f is continuous is a  $G_{\delta}$  set.

Let S be the set of points at which f is continuous. We will show instead its complement  $S^c$  is an  $F_{\sigma}$  set. Recall

$$f^*(x_0) := \limsup_{x \to x_0} f(x) = \inf_{\varepsilon > 0} \sup_{|y - x_0| < \varepsilon} f(y)$$
$$f_*(x_0) := \liminf_{x \to x_0} f(x) = \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \inf_{|y - x_0| < \varepsilon} f(y)$$

Recall f is continuous at  $x_0$  if and only if  $f^*(x_0) = f_*(x_0)$ . Therefore,

$$S^{c} = \{x : f_{*}(x) < f^{*}(x)\}$$

$$= \{x : \exists p, q \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ such that } f_{*}(x) \le p < q \le f^{*}(x)\}$$

$$= \bigcup_{p,q \in \mathbb{Q}, p < q} (\{x : f_{*}(x) \le p\} \cap \{x : f^{*}(x) \ge q\})$$

Clearly the above union is a countable union. Therefore it suffices to show the sets  $\{x: f_*(x) \leq p\}$  and  $\{x: f^*(x) \geq q\}$  are closed for each  $p, q \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

We will show  $\{x: f_*(x) \leq p\}$  is closed only, as  $f^* = -(-f)_*$ .

We need to show  $\{x: f_*(x) > p\}$  is open. Given any  $x_0$  such that  $f_*(x_0) > p$ , by the definition of  $f_*$ , there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$\inf_{|y-x_0|<\varepsilon} f(y) > p$$

We claim  $(x_0 - \varepsilon, x_0 + \varepsilon) \subset \{x : f_*(x) > p\}$ : for each  $x \in (x_0 - \varepsilon, x_0 + \varepsilon)$ , there exists  $\varepsilon' > 0$  (depending on  $x_0$ , x and  $\varepsilon$ ) such that  $(x - \varepsilon', x + \varepsilon') \subset (x_0 - \varepsilon, x_0 + \varepsilon)$ . By (0.1), we have  $\inf_{|y - x'| < \varepsilon'} f(y) \ge \inf_{|y - x_0| < \varepsilon} f(y) > p$ . Hence  $f_*(x) \ge \inf_{|y - x'| < \varepsilon'} f(y) > p$ . It proves our claim.

Thus,  $\{x: f_*(x) > p\}$  is open, and so  $\{x: f_*(x) \leq p\}$  is closed.

**Problem 4** (Chapter 2, Q3). Let  $\{E_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  be a countable collection of sets in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Prove that  $m(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m(E_k)$ .

Let  $F_1 = E_1$  and  $F_n = E_n \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} E_k$  for  $n \geq 2$ . Then clearly  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a countable disjoint collection of sets in  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k$ . Hence

$$m(\cup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k) = m(\cup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m(F_k) \qquad (F_k\text{'s are disjoint})$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m(E_k) \qquad (F_k \subset E_k \text{ for each } k)$$

**Problem 5** (Chapter 2, Q6). Let A be the set of irrational numbers in the interval [0,1]. Prove that  $m^*(A) = 1$ .

 $\mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1]$  is a countable set. From P.31, we have  $m^*(\mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1]) = 0$ . Hence we have

$$1 = m^*([0,1]) \le m^*(\mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1]) + m^*(A) = m^*(A) \le m^*([0,1]) = 1.$$

So  $m^*(A) = 1$ .

**Problem 6** (Chapter 2, Q7). Show that for any bounded set E, there is a  $G_{\delta}$  set G for which

$$E \subset G \text{ and } m^*(G) = m^*(E).$$

By the definition of outer-measure in P.31, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a countable collection of open intervals  $\mathcal{I}_n$ , such that  $E \subset \cup \mathcal{I}_n$  (here  $\cup \mathcal{I}_n$  denotes the union of all open intervals in  $\mathcal{I}_n$ ) and

$$m^*(E) \le l(\mathcal{I}_n) < m^*(E) + \frac{1}{n},$$

where  $l(\mathcal{I}_n)$  denotes the sum of lengths of the open intervals in  $\mathcal{I}_n$ . Note that by countable subadditivity, we have  $m^*(\cup \mathcal{I}_n) \leq l(\mathcal{I}_n)$ ..

Let  $G = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \cup \mathcal{I}_n$  which is a  $G_{\delta}$  set because  $\cup \mathcal{I}_n$ 's are open. Clearly  $E \subset G$ . Then we have for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$m^*(E) \le m^*(G) \le m^*(\cup \mathcal{I}_n) \le l(\mathcal{I}_n) < m^*(E) + \frac{1}{n}.$$

Take  $n \to +\infty$ , we have  $m^*(E) = m^*(G)$ .

**Problem 7** (Chapter 2, Q10\*). Let A and B be bounded sets for which there is an  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $|a-b| \ge \alpha$  for all  $a \in A, b \in B$ . Prove that  $m^*(A \cup B) = m^*(A) + m^*(B)$ .

Solution 1 (more set-theoratic): We define

$$U = \bigcup_{x \in A} \left( x - \frac{\alpha}{2}, x + \frac{\alpha}{2} \right).$$

Clearly we have  $A \subset U$  and, by the condition given in the problem,  $B \cap U = \emptyset$ . Since U is open, hence measurable, we have

$$m^*(A \cup B) = m^*((A \cup B) \cap U) + m^*((A \cup B) \cap U^c).$$

Since  $(A \cup B) \cap U = A$  and  $(A \cup B) \cap U^c = B$ , we are done.

Solution 2 (more analytic-flavored): Clearly it suffices to show  $m^*(A \cup B) \ge m^*(A) + m^*(B)$ . We will show for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have

$$m^*(A \cup B) > m^*(A) + m^*(B) - \varepsilon.$$

Given any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a countable collection of open intervals  $\{I_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} l(I_n) < m^*(A \cup B) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

For each n, one can 'chop' the open interval  $I_n$  so that  $I_n$  is a finite union of open subintervals  $\{J_{n,i}\}_{i=1}^{K(n)}$  such that  $l(J_{n,i}) < \alpha$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{K(n)} l(J_{n,i}) < l(I_n) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{n+1}}$ . Thus we have,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{K(n)} l(J_{n,i}) < \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} l(I_n) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{J}_A = \{J_{n,i}: J_{n,i} \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$  and  $\mathcal{J}_B = \{J_{n,i}: J_{n,i} \cap B \neq \emptyset\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{J}_A$  and  $\mathcal{J}_B$  are disjoint collection of open intervals by the fact that  $l(J_{n,i}) < \alpha$  and the condition given in the problem. Thus,  $\mathcal{J}_A$  and  $\mathcal{J}_B$  are, respectively, open covers of A and B. Finally, we have

$$m^*(A \cup B) + \varepsilon > \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} l(I_n) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} > \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{K(n)} l(J_{n,i}) \ge \sum_{J \in \mathcal{J}_A} l(J) + \sum_{J \in \mathcal{J}_B} l(J) \ge m^*(A) + m^*(B).$$

**Problem 8** (Chapter 2, Q.14). Show that if a set E has positive outer measure, then there is a bounded subset of E that also has positive outer measure.

Let  $I_n = [n, n+1]$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $E = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} E \cap I_n$ . We have by countable subadditivity that

$$0 < m^*(E) \le \sum_{n \in Z} m^*(E \cap I_n).$$

Obviously, at least one of  $E \cap I_n$ 's has positive outer measure. It is bounded (subset of  $I_n$ ) and is a subset of E, so it is our desired set.