Task 2 - Prediction using Unsupervised ML (K-means Clustering method) **TSF-GRIP Internship Data Science & Business Analytics Tasks** #GRIPAUG21 Question: From the given 'Iris' dataset, predict the optimum number of clusters and represent it visually. **Submitted by: Mohd Tarique Khan** Date: 07/08/2021 In [1]: #Importing Libraries import pandas as pd import numpy as np import matplotlib.pyplot as plt import seaborn as sns from sklearn.model selection import train test split from sklearn.linear model import LinearRegression from sklearn import metrics from matplotlib.pyplot import figure %matplotlib inline In [2]: #Reading the Data url = "https://drive.google.com/file/d/11Iq7YvbWZbt8VXjfm06brx66b10YiwK-/view?usp=sharing" url2='https://drive.google.com/uc?id=' + url.split('/')[-2] df iris = pd.read csv(url2) **Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA)** In [3]: # Data Visualization df iris.head(10) Out[3]: Id SepalLengthCm SepalWidthCm PetalLengthCm PetalWidthCm **Species** 0 1 5.1 3.5 0.2 Iris-setosa 4.9 3.0 1.4 0.2 Iris-setosa 3 4.7 3.2 1.3 0.2 Iris-setosa 4.6 0.2 Iris-setosa 4 5 5.0 3.6 1.4 0.2 Iris-setosa 3.9 1.7 0.4 Iris-setosa 7 0.3 Iris-setosa 4.6 3.4 1.4 5.0 3.4 0.2 Iris-setosa 1.5 44 2.9 1.4 0.2 Iris-setosa 9 10 4.9 3.1 0.1 Iris-setosa In [4]: df iris.shape Out[4]: (150, 6) df iris.describe() In [5]: Out[5]: Id SepalLengthCm SepalWidthCm PetalLengthCm PetalWidthCm count 150.000000 150.000000 150.000000 150.000000 150.000000 75.500000 5.843333 3.054000 3.758667 1.198667 mean 0.828066 1.764420 std 43.445368 0.433594 0.763161 1.000000 4.300000 2.000000 1.000000 0.100000 min 1.600000 0.300000 25% 38.250000 5.100000 2.800000 50% 75.500000 5.800000 3.000000 4.350000 1.300000 6.400000 75% 112.750000 3.300000 5.100000 1.800000 max 150.000000 7.900000 4.400000 6.900000 2.500000 In [6]: df iris.info() <class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'> RangeIndex: 150 entries, 0 to 149 Data columns (total 6 columns): Non-Null Count Dtype Column # 0 Ιd 150 non-null int64 1 SepalLengthCm 150 non-null float64 SepalWidthCm 150 non-null float64 3 PetalLengthCm 150 non-null float64 4 PetalWidthCm 150 non-null float64 Species 150 non-null object dtypes: float64(4), int64(1), object(1) memory usage: 7.2+ KB In [7]: # Dropping the Id Column from the dataframe as it is just a serial number and is of no use df=df iris.drop(["Id"], axis = 1) Out[7]: SepalLengthCm SepalWidthCm PetalLengthCm PetalWidthCm **Species** Iris-setosa 0 5.1 3.5 1.4 0.2 1 4.9 3.0 0.2 Iris-setosa 1.4 2 4.7 3.2 1.3 0.2 Iris-setosa 3 4.6 3.1 1.5 0.2 Iris-setosa 5.0 3.6 0.2 Iris-setosa ... 3.0 145 6.7 5.2 Iris-virginica 2.3 Iris-virginica 146 2.5 5.0 6.3 147 5.2 6.5 3.0 Iris-virginica 148 6.2 3.4 5.4 Iris-virginica 3.0 1.8 Iris-virginica 149 5.9 5.1 150 rows × 5 columns In [8]: # Creating a heatmap to understand the correlation between the variables sns.heatmap(df.corr(), annot = True, linecolor= 'white', linewidths=.5) Out[8]: <matplotlib.axes. subplots.AxesSubplot at 0x2a03603ca00> - 1.0 -0.11 0.87 0.82 SepalLengthCm - 0.8 - 0.6 SepalWidthCm -0.11-0.42 -0.36 - 0.2 -0.42 PetalLengthCm 0.87 1 0.96 0.0 -0.2-0.36 0.96 0.82 1 PetalWidthCm -0.4SepalWidthCm PetalWidthCm 5 4 1 This graph shows that there is a strong positive correlation between "PetalWidth" with "SepalLength" & "PetalLength" while moderate negative correlation between "SepalWidth" with "PetalWidth" & "PetalLength" In [9]: figure (figsize=(1, 1), dpi=80) df.hist() Out[9]: array([[<matplotlib.axes._subplots.AxesSubplot object at 0x000002A0361D99D0>, <matplotlib.axes. subplots.AxesSubplot object at 0x000002A036213190>], [<matplotlib.axes. subplots.AxesSubplot object at 0x000002A03623F5E0>, <matplotlib.axes. subplots.AxesSubplot object at 0x000002A03626BA30>]], dtype=object) <Figure size 80x80 with 0 Axes> PetalLengthCm PetalWidthCm 40 30 20 20 10 0 -SepalLengthCm⁶ SepalWidthCm 30 20 20 10 10 0 Finding optimum numbers of Clusters for Kmeans classification In [10]: # Data preparation for K-means clustering x = df.iloc[:, [0, 1, 2, 3]].valuesfrom sklearn.cluster import KMeans wcss = []In [11]: # Finding inertia on different k values for i in range(1, 11): kmeans = KMeans(n_clusters = i, init = 'k-means++', max_iter = 300, n_init = 10, random_state = 0) kmeans.fit(x)wcss.append(kmeans.inertia) # Plotting the results onto a line graph, # `allowing us to observe 'The elbow' sns.set style('darkgrid') plt.plot(range(1, 11), wcss, 'r--', marker='o', markersize= 10, color='red') plt.title('The elbow method') plt.xlabel('Number of clusters') plt.ylabel('WCSS') # Within cluster sum of squares plt.show() The elbow method 700 600 500 400 300 200 100 Number of clusters In Elbow method (from the above graph) optimum clusters is where the elbow occurs. This is when the within cluster sum of squares (WCSS) doesn't decrease significantly with every iteration. From this first we choose the number of clusters as * '2 In [12]: # Applying kmeans to the dataset / Creating the kmeans classifier kmeans = KMeans(n clusters = 2, init = 'k-means++', max iter = 300, n init = 10, random state = 0) y kmeans = kmeans.fit predict(x) In [13]: # Visualising the clusters - On the first two columns plt.scatter($x[y_kmeans == 0, 0]$, $x[y_kmeans == 0, 1]$, s = 100, c = 'red', label = 'Iris-setosa') plt.scatter($x[y_kmeans == 1, 0]$, $x[y_kmeans == 1, 1]$, s = 100, c = 'blue', label = 'Iris-versicolour') plt.scatter($x[y_kmeans == 2, 0]$, $x[y_kmeans == 2, 1]$, s = 100, c = 'green', label = 'Iris-virginica') # Plotting the centroids of the clusters plt.scatter(kmeans.cluster_centers_[:, 0], kmeans.cluster_centers_[:,1], s = 100, c = 'yellow', label = 'Centroids') plt.legend() Out[13]: <matplotlib.legend.Legend at 0x2a036d54a30> 4.5 4.0 3.5 2.5 Iris-virginica Centroids According to this graph it is clear that model is unable to distinguish Iris-virginica while number of clusters is "2" From this now we choose the number of clusters as * '3 In [14]: # Applying kmeans to the dataset / Creating the kmeans classifier kmeans = KMeans(n clusters = 3, init = 'k-means++', max iter = 300, n init = 10, random state = 0) y_kmeans = kmeans.fit_predict(x) In [15]: # Visualising the clusters - On the first two columns plt.scatter($x[y_kmeans == 0, 0], x[y_kmeans == 0, 1],$ s = 100, c = 'red', label = 'Iris-setosa') plt.scatter($x[y_kmeans == 1, 0]$, $x[y_kmeans == 1, 1]$, s = 100, c = 'blue', label = 'Iris-versicolour') plt.legend() Out[15]: <matplotlib.legend.Legend at 0x2a036dc46d0> Iris-setosa Iris-versicolour 4.0 3.5 3.0 2.5 4.5 7.0 In [16]: # Visualising the clusters - On the second two columns plt.scatter($x[y_kmeans == 1, 0]$, $x[y_kmeans == 1, 1]$, s = 100, c = 'blue', label = 'Iris-versicolour') plt.scatter(x[y kmeans == 2, 0], x[y kmeans == 2, 1], s = 100, c = 'green', label = 'Iris-virginica') plt.legend() Out[16]: <matplotlib.legend.Legend at 0x2a036e17d00> Iris-versicolour Iris-virginica 4.0 3.5 3.0 2.5 2.0 4.5 In [17]: # Visualising the clusters - On the first & third columns plt.scatter(x[y kmeans == 0, 0], x[y kmeans == 0, 1], s = 100, c = 'red', label = 'Iris-setosa') plt.scatter($x[y_kmeans == 2, 0]$, $x[y_kmeans == 2, 1]$, s = 100, c = 'green', label = 'Iris-virginica') plt.legend() Out[17]: <matplotlib.legend.Legend at 0x2a036e44490> Iris-setosa 3.75 Iris-virginica 3.50 3.25 2.75 2.50 2.25 2.00 In [18]: # Visualising all clusters plt.scatter($x[y_kmeans == 0, 0]$, $x[y_kmeans == 0, 1]$, s = 100, c = 'red', label = 'Iris-setosa') plt.scatter(x[y kmeans == 1, 0], x[y_kmeans == 1, 1], s = 100, c = 'blue', label = 'Iris-versicolour') plt.scatter($x[y_kmeans == 2, 0]$, $x[y_kmeans == 2, 1]$, s = 100, c = 'green', label = 'Iris-virginica') # Plotting the centroids of the clusters plt.scatter(kmeans.cluster_centers_[:, 0], kmeans.cluster_centers_[:,1], s = 100, c = 'yellow', label = 'Centroids') plt.legend() Out[18]: <matplotlib.legend.Legend at 0x2a036e40a90> 4.5 4.0 3.5 3.0 2.5 lris-virginica Centroids 2.0 4.5 5.0 6.5 Conclusion: So Its clear from the above visualizations that best number of clusters for K-means classifier is "3"