as Learning Rate (x) too small & gradient descent is too slow - too big & "big steps", may lead to overshooting and failure to converge ND Goodient Descent for Linear Regression woodel $\{h_0(x) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x \}$ $\{J(\theta_0,\theta_1) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (h_0(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)})^2 \Rightarrow apply (b) \text{ to get lowest cost parameter}$ $\theta_{j} = \theta_{j} - \alpha \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3} (\theta_{0}, \theta_{1})$ $\theta_{j} = 1 \times \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} (h_{0}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}), x^{(i)}$ JO0 = 00 - a T = (NO (x0) - y0) * Batch" Gradient Descent: each iteration goes through (P1:= AT = (40 (x(,) - A(,)) x(,) the entire training set Week 2 ND Multivosiate Linear regression - Xn feature contribute to output value Y - Notation & X(i) is vector containing all features in i-eth example - Hypothesis: ho(x)= Po+ PgX1+ P2X2+...+ OnXn Ly Xo = 1 ~ ho(x) = \(\int \times \tau \) = ATX vector with all Xs Gradient Descent : θj:= θj = α / [he(x(i)) - y(i)) x(i) ~) Feature Scaling Freature boing and similar scale con make gradient descent work fanter Leget every feature in range [-1,1] and divide by maximum value. Mean Normalization of $x_i = \frac{x_i - y_i}{x_i}$ overage value. ~ Convergence method establish a threshold E and it I (8) decrease by less than E in one iteration it has converged * hord to determine E Le plot in terotions x 5(b) -> helpful when choosing of X It's possible to create new featurer that enable the ofitting of polynomial functions to the date

Does not scale well to a large number of Feature