Problem 1

Theorem 1.1. Any tree T has at least $\Delta(T)$ leaves.

Lemma 1.1.1. Any connected subgraph of a tree is a tree as well.

Proof. If a graph G = (V, E) is acyclic then E does not contain any cyclic subset and hence there is no cyclic subgraph of G. From these considerations, any connected subgraph of G is acyclic and therefore a tree.

Lemma 1.1.2. Any tree T with $\Delta(T) + 1$ vertices has $\Delta(T)$ leaves $(\Delta(T) \geq 1)$.

Proof. Let $v_0 \in V_T$ denote the vertex of $T = (V_T, E_T)$ with $d(v_0) = \Delta(T)$. For any $v, w \in V_T \setminus \{v_0\}$ $(v \neq w)$:

- $d(v) \ge 1$: v_0 is adjacent to v since $|V_T| = \Delta(T) + 1$. $\Rightarrow d(v) \ge 1$
- $d(v) \leq 1$: Any edge $\{v, w\} \in E_T$ would create a cycle (v_0, v, w, v_0) which would render T invalid as a tree.

 $\Rightarrow \forall v \in V_T \setminus \{v_0\} : d(v) = 1 \Leftrightarrow v \text{ is a leaf. Notably, } |V_T \setminus \{v_0\}| = \Delta(T).$

Lemma 1.1.3. For any tree $T = (V_T, E_T)$ with $|V_T| > \Delta(T) + 1 \ge 3$, there exists a partition (S, S') of T with $\Delta(S) + \Delta(S') = \Delta(T)$.

Proof. Let $v_0 \in V_T$ denote a vertex with $d(v_0) = \Delta(T)$. Since $|V_T| > \Delta(T) + 1$, v_0 has at least one non-leaf adjacent vertex $v_1 \in V_T$. Now, let (S_0, S_1) denote the partition at the edge $\{v_0, v_1\}$ whereby S_0 contains v_0 and (S_1, v_1) respectively).

As seen in Lemma 1.1, S_0 and S_1 are trees.

• case $d(v_1) = 2$: In this case, a cut at the edge $\{v_0, v_1\}$ would make

Proof by induction. Let $T = (V_T, E_T)$ be a tree.

Basis: $\Delta(T) = 1$

Inherently, $V_T = \{v_1, \ldots, v_m\}$ $(v_i = v_j \Rightarrow i = j)$ and $E_T = \{\{v_1, v_2\}, \ldots, \{v_{m-1}, v_m\}\}$ $(m, i, j \in \mathbb{N})$. Any modification would violate our preconditions. v_1, v_m are the only vertices with degree 1. Therefore, the number of leaves is $2 \geq \Delta(T)$.

Step: For some $n \in \mathbb{N}$: Any $T = (V_T, E_T)$ with $\Delta(T) = n$ has at least n leaves. Let $T' = (V_{T'}, E_{T'})$ be a tree with $\Delta(T') > n$.

Graph Theory - Sheet 1 - October 28, 2013 J. Batzill (1698622), M. Franzen (1696933), J. Labeit (ABCDEFGH)

Problem 2

Theorem 2.1. If any removal of an edge increases the number of connected components of a graph G then G is acyclic.

Proof. Let $S = (V_S, E_S)$ be a connected component of G. Removing any edge $e \in E_S$ increases the number of connected components and thereby disconnects S.

If S had contained a cycle C then there would not have been any unique paths in C. Hence, no edge removal would have an effect on the connectivity of S (removing an edge still leaves a path between any two vertices). Hereby, S had to be acyclic.

If any connected component of G was acyclic then G would be acyclic, too.

Theorem 2.2. If adding any edge introduces a cycle in an acyclic graph G = (V, E) then any two vertices in G are joined by a unique path.

Proof. If adding an edge $\{v_0, v_1\}$ joining two non-adjacent vertices $v_0, v_1 \in V$ introduces a cycle $(v_0, ..., v_1, v_0)$ then there had to be at least one path from v_0 to v_1 .

Furthermore, if there was more than one path joining v_0 and v_1 then there would have already been a cycle (but G is acyclic). \Rightarrow Any vertex had to be joined by a unique path.

Theorem 2.3. If any two vertices in a graph are joined by a unique path then any removal of an edge increases the number of connected components.

Proof. Let G = (V, E) be a graph in which all vertices are joined by a unique path. Let $e = \{v_0, v_1\} \in E$ be an edge. Thus, the unique path from v_0 to v_1 runs over (and is exactly) e. From these considerations, removing e would make v_1 inaccessible from v_0 and would thereby increase the number of connected components.

Problem 3

Theorem 3.1. Either a graph or its complement is connected.

Proof. For a connected graph, we're done.

Let G = (V, E) be disconnected. Moreover, let $S = (V_S, E_S)$ and $T = (V_T, E_T)$ be two connected components of G $(S \neq T)$.

Now let $u, v \in V_S \cup V_T$ be vertices in these components.

- Case 1: $u \in V_S$ and $v \in V_T$. Then G does not contain the edge $e = \{u, v\}$. Otherwise, S and T were interconnected. From these considerations, the graph's complement \bar{G} does contain e.
- Case 2: $u, v \in V_S$: Considering that V_T is not empty, then there is a vertex $w \in V_T$ such that the edges $\{u, w\}$ and $\{v, w\}$ exist in \bar{G} (see Case 1). From these considerations, \bar{G} also contains the path (u, w, v).

Therefore, all vertices in a disconnected graph G are connected in \bar{G} and hereby \bar{G} is connected.

Graph Theory - Sheet 1 - October 28, 2013 J. Batzill (1698622), M. Franzen (1696933), J. Labeit (ABCDEFGH)

Problem 4

Theorem 4.1. If u and v are the only vertices of odd degree in a graph then there is a u-v-path.	
Proof.	