### Problem 1

**Theorem 1.1.** Any tree T has at least  $\Delta(T)$  leaves.

Lemma 1.1.1. Any connected subgraph of a tree is a tree as well.

*Proof.* If a graph G = (V, E) is acyclic then E does not contain any cyclic subset and hence there is no cyclic subgraph of G. From these considerations, any connected subgraph of G is acyclic and therefore a tree.

**Lemma 1.1.2.** Any tree T with  $\Delta(T) + 1$  vertices has  $\Delta(T)$  leaves  $(\Delta(T) \geq 1)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $v_0 \in V_T$  denote the vertex of  $T = (V_T, E_T)$  with  $d(v_0) = \Delta(T)$ . For any  $v, w \in V_T \setminus \{v_0\}$   $(v \neq w)$ :

- $d(v) \ge 1$ :  $v_0$  is adjacent to v since  $|V_T| = \Delta(T) + 1$ .  $\Rightarrow d(v) \ge 1$
- $d(v) \leq 1$ : Any edge  $\{v, w\} \in E_T$  would create a cycle  $(v_0, v, w, v_0)$  which would render T invalid as a tree.

 $\Rightarrow \forall v \in V_T \setminus \{v_0\} : d(v) = 1 \Leftrightarrow v \text{ is a leaf. Notably, } |V_T \setminus \{v_0\}| = \Delta(T).$ 

**Lemma 1.1.3.** For any tree  $T = (V_T, E_T)$  with  $|V_T| > \Delta(T) + 1 \ge 3$ , there exists a partition (S, S') of T with  $\Delta(S) + \Delta(S') = \Delta(T)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $v_0 \in V_T$  denote a vertex with  $d(v_0) = \Delta(T)$ . Since  $|V_T| > \Delta(T) + 1$ ,  $v_0$  has at least one non-leaf adjacent vertex  $v_1 \in V_T$ . Now, let  $(S_0, S_1)$  denote the partition at the edge  $\{v_0, v_1\}$  whereby  $S_0$  contains  $v_0$  and  $(S_1, v_1)$  respectively).

As seen in Lemma 1.1,  $S_0$  and  $S_1$  are trees.

• case  $d(v_1) = 2$ : In this case, a cut at the edge  $\{v_0, v_1\}$  would make

Proof by induction. Let  $T = (V_T, E_T)$  be a tree.

**Basis:**  $\Delta(T) = 1$ 

Inherently,  $V_T = \{v_1, \ldots, v_m\}$   $(v_i = v_j \Rightarrow i = j)$  and  $E_T = \{\{v_1, v_2\}, \ldots, \{v_{m-1}, v_m\}\}$   $(m, i, j \in \mathbb{N})$ . Any modification would violate our preconditions.  $v_1, v_m$  are the only vertices with degree 1. Therefore, the number of leaves is  $2 \geq \Delta(T)$ .

**Step:** For some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ : Any  $T = (V_T, E_T)$  with  $\Delta(T) = n$  has at least n leaves. Let  $T' = (V_{T'}, E_{T'})$  be a tree with  $\Delta(T') > n$ .

#### Graph Theory - Sheet 1 - October 28, 2013 J. Batzill (1698622), M. Franzen (1696933), J. Labeit (ABCDEFGH)

#### Problem 2

**Theorem 2.1.** If any removal of an edge increases the number of connected components of a graph G then G is acyclic.

*Proof.* Let  $S = (V_S, E_S)$  be a connected component of G. Removing any edge  $e \in E_S$  increases the number of connected components and thereby disconnects S.

If S had contained a cycle C then there would not have been any unique paths in C. Hence, no edge removal would have an effect on the connectivity of S (removing an edge still leaves a path between any two vertices). Hereby, S had to be acyclic.

If any connected component of G was acyclic then G would be acyclic, too.

**Theorem 2.2.** If adding any edge introduces a cycle in an acyclic graph G = (V, E) then any two vertices in G are joined by a unique path.

*Proof.* If adding an edge  $\{v_0, v_1\}$  joining two non-adjacent vertices  $v_0, v_1 \in V$  introduces a cycle  $(v_0, ..., v_1, v_0)$  then there had to be at least one path from  $v_0$  to  $v_1$ .

Furthermore, if there was more than one path joining  $v_0$  and  $v_1$  then there would have already been a cycle (but G is acyclic).  $\Rightarrow$  Any vertex had to be joined by a unique path.

**Theorem 2.3.** If any two vertices in a graph are joined by a unique path then any removal of an edge increases the number of connected components.

Proof. Let G = (V, E) be a graph in which all vertices are joined by a unique path. Let  $e = \{v_0, v_1\} \in E$  be an edge. Thus, the unique path from  $v_0$  to  $v_1$  runs over (and is exactly) e. From these considerations, removing e would make  $v_1$  inaccessible from  $v_0$  and would thereby increase the number of connected components.

## Problem 3

**Theorem 3.1.** Either a graph or its complement is connected.

*Proof.* If G was connected, we would already been done.

Let G = (V, E) be disconnected. Moreover, let  $S = (V_S, E_S)$  and  $T = (V_T, E_T)$  be two connected components of G  $(S \neq T)$ .

Now let  $u, v \in V_S \cup V_T$  be vertices in these components.

- Case 1:  $u \in V_S$  and  $v \in V_T$ . Then G does not contain the edge  $e = \{u, v\}$ . Otherwise, S and T were interconnected. From these considerations, the graph's complement  $\overline{G}$  does contain e.
- Case 2:  $u, v \in V_S$ : Considering that  $V_T$  is not empty, then there is a vertex  $w \in V_T$  such that the edges  $\{u, w\}$  and  $\{v, w\}$  exist in  $\bar{G}$  (see Case 1). From these considerations,  $\bar{G}$  also contains the path (u, w, v).

Therefore, all vertices in a disconnected graph G are connected in  $\bar{G}$  and hereby  $\bar{G}$  is connected.

## Graph Theory - Sheet 1 - October 28, 2013 J. Batzill (1698622), M. Franzen (1696933), J. Labeit (ABCDEFGH)

# Problem 4

<b>Theorem 4.1.</b> If u and v are the only vertices of odd degree in a graph then there is a u-v-path.	
Proof.	