

# Fiscal Note 2027 Biennium

Bill#/Title:	HB0643.01: R custody	evise reimbursement	costs to detention	on centers holding i	inmates in state
Primary Sponsor:	Tracy Sharp		Status:	As Introduced	
☐ Included in the Executive Budget		☑ Needs to be included in HB 2		⊠ Significant Local Gov Impact	
☐ Significant Long-Term Impacts		☐ Technical Concerns		☐ Dedicated Revenue Form Attached	
		FISCAL SU	MMARY		
		FY 2026 Difference	FY 2027 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2028 Difference	FY 2029 Difference
Expenditures					
General Fund (0)	1)	\$11,633,365	\$13,139,794	\$15,020,838	\$17,370,860
State Special Rev	venue (02)	\$303,912	\$330,866	\$358,316	\$386,262
Revenues					
General Fund (01	1)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
State Special Rev	venue (02)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Net Impact		(\$11,622,365)	(\$13 130 704)	(\$15,020,838)	(\$17.370.860)

# **Description of fiscal impact**

**General Fund Balance** 

HB 643 would amend Section 7-32-2242, MCA, which currently sets forth the Department of Corrections' (DoC) responsibility to pay "actual costs" for certain offenders in county detention centers and defines "actual costs." Currently, "actual costs" is defined as \$82 or the actual costs of Crossroads Correctional Center, less 10%, whichever is greater. HB 643 would amend the definition of "actual costs" to mean "the costs of housing an inmate as documented by the detention center in which the inmate is held," and would require DoC to pay the "actual cost" plus 10%. HB 643 requires the Department of Public Health and Human Services (DPHHS) to pay the actual costs, plus an additional 10%, to the detention center that is keeping a person in custody. This applies to individuals who have been committed to the custody of the department's director and are awaiting placement in a suitable facility.

### FISCAL ANALYSIS

### **Assumptions**

### Department of Corrections (DoC)

- 1. HB 643 would have a significant fiscal impact to the DoC. The last year the DOC has actual cost data for counties is FY 2011. This data was aged for inflation to estimate current actual costs and then the additional 10% was added.
- 2. The base budget for the county jail hold appropriation is \$5,617,490.
- 3. DoC assumes an inflationary factor of 1.5% in FY 2028 and FY 2029.
- 4. HB 643 would also require increased review and reconciliation of proposed per diem rates which would significantly impact the DoC Financial Services Bureau workload. DoC assumes this increased workload would require 1.00 FTE. The operating budget estimate includes one-time-only costs in FY 2026 of \$792

- for required All New Staff Orientation (ANSO) training and \$2,800 for the new employee package for a total of \$3,592.
- 5. The additional FY 2026 operating costs for county jail holds would be \$6,572,878 (\$12,190,368 total cost \$5,617,490 base budget). The additional FY 2027 operating costs for county jail holds would be \$6,755,733 (\$12,373,223 total cost \$5,617,490 base budget). The additional FY 2028 operating costs for county jail holds would be \$6,941,332 (\$12,558,822 total cost \$5,617,490 base budget). The additional FY 2029 operating costs for county jail holds would be \$7,129,714 (\$12,747,204 total cost \$5,617,490 base budget).

# Department of Public Health and Human Services

- 6. The department is required by HB 643 to pay for detention costs where it does not currently pay for this cost.
- 7. The department's waitlist is currently averaging around 100 people held daily in county detention centers while awaiting placement into a DPHHS facility. Based on the past three-year average, the waitlist will increase by approximately 25% yearly. This amounts to approximately 125 people in FY 2026 (100 currently x 1.25), 156 people in FY 2027 (125 x 1.25), 195 people in FY 2028 (156 x 1.25), and 244 people in FY 2029 (195 x 1.25).
- 8. The methodology used for the Department of Corrections is applied to the average daily populations in assumption 7.
- 9. The estimated cost in FY 2026 would be \$4,977,231, in FY 2027 would be \$6,304,397, in FY 2028 would be \$7,998,647, and in FY 2029 would be \$10,159,074.

# **Department of Justice**

- 10. In FY 2024, the Montana Highway Patrol (MHP) paid for 1,378 inmate days for housing inmates in county jails.
- 11. The MHP spent \$1.5 million in FY 2024 for these inmate days.
- 12. Using the same methodology applied for the Department of Corrections and assuming the number of inmate days remains constant, the fiscal impact to MHP would be \$303,912 in FY 2026, \$330,866 in FY 2027, \$358,316 in FY 2028, and \$386,262 in FY 2029.

#### Fiscal Analysis Table

riscai Analysis Table					
<b>Department of Corrections</b>					
	FY 2026 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2027 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2028 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2029 Difference	
Fiscal Impact					
FTE	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
TOTAL Fiscal Impact	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Expenditures					
Personal Services	\$79,664	\$79,664	\$80,859	\$82,072	
Operating Expenses	\$6,576,470	\$6,755,733	\$6,941,332	\$7,129,714	
TOTAL Expenditures	\$6,656,134	\$6,835,397	\$7,022,191	\$7,211,786	
Funding of Expenditures					
General Fund (01)	\$6,656,134	\$6,835,397	\$7,022,191	\$7,211,786	
TOTAL Funding of	\$6,656,134	\$6,835,397	\$7,022,191	\$7,211,786	
Expenditures					
Revenues					
Net Impact to Fund Balance (Reve	enue minus Funding	of Expenditures)			
General Fund (01)	(\$6,656,134)	(\$6,835,397)	(\$7,022,191)	(\$7,211,786)	

Department of Justice				
	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029
Triangle France	<b>Difference</b>	<b>Difference</b>	<u>Difference</u>	<b>Difference</b>
Fiscal Impact				
Expenditures	0202.012	<b>#220</b> 044	0050.016	400606
Operating Expenses TOTAL Expenditures	\$303,912	\$330,866	\$358,316	\$386,262
TOTAL Expenditures	\$303,912	\$330,866	\$358,316	\$386,262
Funding of Expenditures				*
State Special Revenue (02)	\$303,912	\$330,866	\$358,316	\$386,262
TOTAL Funding of	\$303,912	\$330,866	\$358,316	\$386,262
Expenditures				
Revenues		6E 114		
Net Impact to Fund Balance (Rev State Special Revenue (02)				(\$296.262)
State Special Revenue (02)	(\$303,912)	(\$330,866)	(\$358,316)	(\$386,262)
Department of Public Health and	Human Services			
_	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029
	<b>Difference</b>	<b>Difference</b>	<b>Difference</b>	<b>Difference</b>
Fiscal Impact				
Expenditures				
Operating Expenses	\$4,977,231	\$6,304,397	\$7,998,647	\$10,159,074
TOTAL Expenditures	\$4,977,231	\$6,304,397	\$7,998,647	\$10,159,074
E. din a of E. dittan				
Funding of Expenditures General Fund (01)	\$4,977,231	\$6,304,397	\$7,998,647	\$10,159,074
TOTAL Funding of	\$4,977,231	\$6,304,397 -	\$7,998,647	\$10,159,074
Expenditures	Ψ4,577,231	Ψ <del>0,304,377</del>	Ψ1,220,041	ψ10,132,074
Revenues				
Net Impact to Fund Balance (Reve		,,		
General Fund (01)	(\$4,977,231)	(\$6,304,397)	(\$7,998,647)	(\$10,159,074)
STATEWIDE SUMMARY				
	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029
	<b>Difference</b>	<b>Difference</b>	<b>Difference</b>	<b>Difference</b>
Fiscal Impact				
FTE	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
TOTAL Fiscal Impact	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
<b>Expenditures</b>				
Personal Services	\$79,664	\$79,664	\$80,859	\$82,072
Operating Expenses	\$11,857,613	\$13,390,996	\$15,298,295	\$17,675,050
TOTAL Expenditures	\$11,937,277	\$13,470,660	\$15,379,154	\$17,757,122
Funding of Expenditures	5			
General Fund (01)	\$11,633,365	\$13,139,794	\$15,020,838	\$17,370,860
State Special Revenue (02)	\$303,912	\$330,866	\$358,316	\$386,262
TOTAL Funding of	\$11,937,277	\$13,470,660	\$15,379,154	\$17,757,122
Expenditures				

# Revenues

Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Funding of Expenditures)							
(\$11,633,365)	(\$13,139,794)	(\$15,020,838)	(\$17,370,860)				
(\$303,912)	(\$330,866)	(\$358,316)	(\$386,262)				
	(\$11,633,365)	(\$11,633,365) (\$13,139,794)	(\$11,633,365) (\$13,139,794) (\$15,020,838)				

# **Effect on County or Other Local Revenues or Expenditures**

1. There would be an increase in county revenues by the same amount as the state expenditures.

# **Technical Concerns**

# **Department of Corrections**

- 1. HB 643 does not provide specificity on how actual costs are to be documented or calculated.
- 2. HB 643 does not provide a mechanism for DoC to challenge or object to the costs proposed by a facility.

# **Department of Public Health and Human Services**

- 3. The department currently has requests for HB 2 decision packages that may reduce the waiting lists at the detention center. If passed, this would lower the projected costs of HB 643.
- 4. HB 643 requires the Department of Public Health and Human Services (DPHHS) to reimburse counties for costs incurred for holding a person who has been committed to the custody of the department and is awaiting placement in an appropriate facility. SB 429, requires the district court office of the court administrator to cover all costs associated with a fitness to proceed examination, including, medical care, and transportation costs to the Montana State Hospital. If both HB 643 and SB 429 are passed and approved, the Department of Public Health and Human Services and the Judicial Branch will have conflicting statues regarding the financial responsibility for jail hold costs.

Sponsor's Initials

Date

**Budget Director's Initials** 

Date

2/27/2025