

Fiscal Note 2027 Biennium

Bill#/Title:	HB0886.01: Pr	rovide for water divisi	on court, judges	3		
Primary Sponsor:	Ken Walsh		Status:	As Introduced		
☐ Included in the Executive Budget		☐ Needs to be included in HB 2		☐ Significant Local Gov Impact		
☐ Significant Long-Term Impacts		☐ Technical Concerns		☐ Dedicated Revenue Form Attached		
		FISCAL SU	MMARY			
		FY 2026 Difference	FY 2027 Difference	FY 2028 Difference	FY 2029 Difference	
Expenditures		VIII. 1990 1990 1990 1990 1990 1990 1990 199				
State Special Rev	venue (02)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Revenues						
State Special Rev	venue (02)	\$300	\$300	\$300	\$300	
Net Impact		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
General Fund B	Salance					

Description of fiscal impact

HB 886 proposes changes to the water division court structure, process for appointment of judges, comment process and terms for appointment.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

Assumptions

Judiciary

- 1. Section 10 of HB 886 establishes a fee not to exceed \$25 to be paid at the commencement of an action or a proceeding filed with the office of the water court division.
- 2. It is estimated there would be 10-12 new actions per year. 12 actions @ \$25 would amount to \$300 that would be deposited into an existing state special revenue account.
- 3. Section 12 states the water division court would consists of at least two and not more than 4 four water division judges appointed by the Governor. The Governor may stagger the terms of additional judges appointed to the water division court. It is assumed the court will operate with the two judges currently in place with each of them appointed to oversee two divisions until their terms are up.

Department of Natural Resources and Conservation

1. The phaseout of the Water Court as detailed in HB 886 does not alter the current operations of or cause a fiscal impact to the Department of Natural Resources and Conservation (DNRC).

Governor's Office

1. HB 886 changes related to the Governor appointing a water division judge or receiving comments will have no fiscal impact to the office.

Fiscal Analysis Table

Judiciary

	FY 2026 Difference	FY 2027 Difference	FY 2028 Difference	FY 2029 Difference
Fiscal Impact				
Expenditures				
Funding of Expenditures				
Revenues				
State Special Revenue (02)	\$300	\$300	\$300	\$300
TOTAL Revenues	\$300	\$300	\$300	\$300
Net Impact to Fund Balanc	e (Revenue minus Fundin	g of Expenditures)	
State Special Revenue (02)	\$300	\$300	\$300	\$300

Sponsor's Initials I

Date

Budget Director's Initials

3/31/2025

Date