



GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF
BUDGET AND PROGRAM PLANNING

Fiscal Note 2027 Biennium

Bill#/Title: **SB0116: Revise the Montana heritage preservation and development commission membership**

Primary Sponsor: Tony Tezak Status: As Introduced

☐ Included in the Executive Budget ☐ Needs to be included in HB 2 ☐ Significant Local Gov Impact
☐ Significant Long-Term Impacts ☐ Technical Concerns ☐ Dedicated Revenue Form Attached

FISCAL SUMMARY

	<u>FY 2026</u> <u>Difference</u>	<u>FY 2027</u> <u>Difference</u>	<u>FY 2028</u> <u>Difference</u>	<u>FY 2029</u> <u>Difference</u>
Expenditures				
State Special Revenue (02)	(\$3,798)	(\$6,330)	(\$6,330)	(\$6,330)
Revenues				
State Special Revenue (02)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Net Impact	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
General Fund Balance				

Description of fiscal impact

SB 116 reduces the required number of members of the Montana Heritage Preservation and Development Commission, and revises the administrative relationship between the commission and the Department of Commerce. There will be some fiscal impact as the reduced number of commission members will result in reduced travel and per diem costs.

FISCAL ANALYSIS


Assumptions

Department of Commerce

1. Under current law, the Montana Heritage Preservation and Development Commission consists of 14 members. Section 1 of SB 116 reduces that number to nine. The reduced membership will result in a reduction in travel and per diem expenses associated with commission meetings.
2. Section 2 of the bill specifies the reduction in membership will take place as members term out and are not replaced. For the purpose of this fiscal note it is assumed three members will term out in FY 2025, and the remaining two in FY 2026.
3. Based on average mileage reimbursement, lodging cost, and per diem, it is estimated that reduced membership will reduce expenses by \$3,798 in FY 2026, and by \$6,330 in FY 2027 and subsequent years.
4. Section 1(4) revises the duties of the commission, and the duties of the Department of Commerce in relation to the commission. However, the change is within the scope of the centralized services currently offered by the department. There will be no fiscal impact as a result.

Fiscal Analysis Table

	<u>FY 2026</u> <u>Difference</u>	<u>FY 2027</u> <u>Difference</u>	<u>FY 2028</u> <u>Difference</u>	<u>FY 2029</u> <u>Difference</u>
<u>Fiscal Impact</u>				
<u>Expenditures</u>				
Personal Services	(\$1,200)	(\$2,000)	(\$2,000)	(\$2,000)
Operating Expenses	(\$2,598)	(\$4,330)	(\$4,330)	(\$4,330)
TOTAL Expenditures	(\$3,798)	(\$6,330)	(\$6,330)	(\$6,330)
<u>Funding of Expenditures</u>				
State Special Revenue (02)	(\$3,798)	(\$6,330)	(\$6,330)	(\$6,330)
TOTAL Funding of Expenditures	(\$3,798)	(\$6,330)	(\$6,330)	(\$6,330)
<u>Revenues</u>				
<u>Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Funding of Expenditures)</u>				
State Special Revenue (02)	\$3,798	\$6,330	\$6,330	\$6,330


 Sponsor's Initials

1.21.25
 Date


 Budget Director's Initials

1/20/2025
 Date