

# Fiscal Note 2027 Biennium

Bill#/Title:	SB0116: Revise membership	e the Montana her	itage preservati	ion and developme	ent commission
Primary Sponsor:	Tony Tezak		Status:	As Introduced	
☐ Included in the Executive Budget ☐ Needs to be included in		in HB 2	☐ Significant Local Gov Impact		
☐ Significant Long-Term Impacts		☐ Technical Concerns		☐ Dedicated Revenue Form Attached	
		FISCAL SU	JMMARY		
		FY 2026 Difference	FY 2027 Difference	FY 2028 Difference	FY 2029 Difference
Expenditures		Difference	Difference	Difference	<u>Directorice</u>
State Special Re	venue (02)	(\$3,798)	(\$6,330)	(\$6,330)	(\$6,330)
Revenues					
State Special Re	venue (02)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Net Impact		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

#### Description of fiscal impact

**General Fund Balance** 

SB 116 reduces the required number of members of the Montana Heritage Preservation and Development Commission, and revises the administrative relationship between the commission and the Department of Commerce. There will be some fiscal impact as the reduced number of commission members will result in reduced travel and per diem costs.

### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### Assumptions

## **Department of Commerce**

- Under current law, the Montana Heritage Preservation and Development Commission consists of 14
  members. Section 1 of SB 116 reduces that number to nine. The reduced membership will result in a
  reduction in travel and per diem expenses associated with commission meetings.
- Section 2 of the bill specifies the reduction in membership will take place as members term out and are not replaced. For the purpose of this fiscal note it is assumed three members will term out in FY 2025, and the remaining two in FY 2026.
- 3. Based on average mileage reimbursement, lodging cost, and per diem, it is estimated that reduced membership will reduce expenses by \$3,798 in FY 2026, and by \$6,330 in FY 2027 and subsequent years.
- 4. Section 1(4) revises the duties of the commission, and the duties of the Department of Commerce in relation to the commission. However, the change is within the scope of the centralized services currently offered by the department. There will be no fiscal impact as a result.

# Fiscal Analysis Table

FY 2026 Difference	FY 2027 Difference	FY 2028 Difference	FY 2029 Difference
(\$1,200)	(\$2,000)	(\$2,000)	(\$2,000
(\$2,598)	(\$4,330)	(\$4,330)	(\$4,330
(\$3,798)	(\$6,330)	(\$6,330)	(\$6,330
(\$3,798)	(\$6,330)	(\$6,330)	(\$6,330
(\$3,798)	(\$6,330)	(\$6,330)	(\$6,330
evenue minus Funding	of Expenditures)		
\$3,798	\$6,330	\$6,330	\$6,33
	(\$1,200) (\$2,598) (\$3,798) (\$3,798) (\$3,798)	Difference   Difference     (\$1,200)	Difference         Difference         Difference           (\$1,200)         (\$2,000)         (\$2,000)           (\$2,598)         (\$4,330)         (\$4,330)           (\$3,798)         (\$6,330)         (\$6,330)           (\$3,798)         (\$6,330)         (\$6,330)           (\$3,798)         (\$6,330)         (\$6,330)           (\$3,798)         (\$6,330)         (\$6,330)

Sponsor's Initials/

Data

Budget Director's Initials

1/20/2025

Date