

Fiscal Note 2027 Biennium

Bill#	SB 176		Title:		g for nondiscrimination	on related to firearms
Primary Sponsor: Kenneth Bogner			Status: As Introduced			
☐Significant Local Gov Impact		□ Needs to be included in HB 2 □ Technical Concerns				
☐Included in the Executive Budget		☐ Significant Long-Term Impacts ☐ Dedicated Revenue Form Attached				
		FISCAL SU	MMAR	v		
		FY 2026 FY 2027			FY 2028	FY 2029
		Difference	Difference		Difference	Difference
Expenditures:						V9-1535
General Fund		\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0
State Special Revenue		Unable to Determine	Unable to Determine		Unable to Determine	Unable to Determine
Federal Special Revenue		\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0
Other		\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0
Revenue:						
General Fund		\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0
State Special Revenue		Unable to Determine	Unable to	Determine	Unable to Determine	Unable to Determine
Federal Special Revenue		\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0
Other		\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0
Net Impact-General Fund Balance		\$0	Air.	\$0	\$0	\$0

<u>Description of fiscal impact:</u> SB 176 prohibits discrimination concerning firearms in insurance and financial matters. The fiscal impact to the state cannot be determined.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

Assumptions:

Department of Administration

- 1. The Department of Administration's State Procurement Services Division (SPSD) already has a declaration and verification of nondiscrimination against firearms entities/trade association built into the contracting software for formal RFP's.
- 2. This proposed legislation will not change anything with the Department of Administration's vendor for their banking contracts. No fiscal impact is anticipated.

Department of Commerce

3. The Department of Commerce currently includes language in all service contracts over \$100,000 prohibiting discrimination related to firearms. The department will add the language to all new grant and loan contracts and amendments. There will be no additional expense to the department.

Department of Justice

4. SB 176 does not apply to cases handled by the Department of Justice's Legal Services Division. No fiscal impact is anticipated.

State Auditor's Office

- 5. As written, SB 176 is unclear as to what activities are considered "discrimination against individuals, groups, associations, or businesses in matters concerning firearms" (See Technical Concerns). For purposes of this fiscal note, it is being interpreted by the State Auditor's Office (SAO) that this bill will require licensed insurers in Montana to offer coverage for firearms or firearm accessories.
- 6. There are over 2,700 licensed insurers in Montana. Some of these insurers do not currently, nor have they ever, offered coverage for firearms or firearm accessories. Approximately 900 of these insurers are currently licensed to sell property and casualty insurance.

7. The insurers that do offer property and casualty coverage have underwriting guidelines. Those guidelines may include not offering coverage for repeated claims.

- 8. Not offering coverage for firearms or firearms accessories, either because of repeated claims, or due to not having a license to sell property and casualty insurance, could be considered discrimination. Insurers that do not provide coverage for firearms or firearms accessories may discontinue offering insurance in the state of Montana.
- 9. Insurers pay an annual licensing fee of \$1,900 and a 2.75% premium tax. The \$1,900 license fee goes to state special revenue and is used to fund the operations of the SAO. The 2.75% tax gets deposited to the general fund and the Healthy Montana Kids state special revenue at the Department of Public Health and Human Services.
- 10. It is unknown how many insurers may withdraw from selling insurance in the state of Montana and, therefore, how much revenue would be lost.

Technical Concerns:

1. As written, SB 176 is unclear as to what activities are considered "discrimination against individuals, groups, associations, or businesses in matters concerning firearms".

NO SPONSOR SIGNATURE 2

Date

Budget Director's Initials

Date