Amendment - 2nd Reading/2nd House-tan - Requested by: Andrea Olsen - (S) Committee of the Whole

- 2025

69th Legislature 2025 Drafter: Julianne Burkhardt, HB0490.004.001

1 HOUSE BILL NO. 490 2 INTRODUCED BY A. REGIER, G. OBLANDER, K. ZOLNIKOV, T. FRANCE 3 4 A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT GENERALLY REVISING LAWS RELATING TO WILDFIRES AND 5 UTILITIES: ALLOWING REQUIRING CERTAIN ENTITIES TO SUBMIT AN APPROVED PREPARE A WILDFIRE MITIGATION PLAN; PROVIDING DEFINITIONS; PROVIDING REQUIREMENTS FOR THE 6 7 WILDFIRE MITIGATION PLAN; PROVIDING FOR APPROVAL OF THE WILDFIRE MITIGATION PLAN; 8 REQUIRING UPDATED REPORTS RELATING TO THE WILDFIRE MITIGATION PLAN AND SUBMISSION 9 OF AN UPDATED WILDFIRE MITIGATION PLAN; PROVIDING THAT CERTAIN ENTITIES THAT HAVE FILED A SUBSTANTIALLY FOLLOWED AN APPROVED WILDFIRE MITIGATION PLAN ARE MAY NOT BE 10 11 CIVILLY LIABLE ARE AFFORDED CERTAIN CIVIL LIABILITY PROTECTIONS FOR INJURY OR DAMAGES 12 CAUSED BY WILDFIRE; REVISING THE STANDARD OF CARE RELATING TO CERTAIN ENTITIES AND WILDFIRE; PROVIDING RULEMAKING AUTHORITY TO THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION RELATING 13 TO WILDFIRE MITIGATION PLANS: AND PROVIDING AN IMMEDIATE EFFECTIVE DATE." 14 15 WHEREAS, the Legislature finds that electric facilities providers of Montana have an obligation to serve 16 17 customers and extend services, which is different from typical businesses, and that they provide a necessary and beneficial public service for the supply, transmission, and delivery of electricity to the people of Montana as 18 19 well as a fundamental basis of economic growth and development of all sectors of Montana's economy; and 20 WHEREAS, there is a growing threat of wildfires in the United States and within the state of Montana; 21 and 22 WHEREAS, in recognition of electric facilities providers' obligation to serve their customers and in order 23 to secure and sustain Montana's reliable provision of electricity and services associated with it at just and 24 reasonable rates, electric facilities providers must be encouraged and have the right to deliver and transmit 25 electricity throughout the state without fear or risk of being held strictly liable for wildfire losses under the 26 common law theory of ultrahazardous activity or being held liable for wildfires caused by factors beyond their 27 control; and



Amendment - 2nd Reading/2nd House-tan - Requested by: Andrea Olsen - (S) Committee of the Whole

- 2025

69th Legislature 2025 Drafter: Julianne Burkhardt, HB0490.004.001

1	OWNED ELECTRIC FACILITY ONLY.
2	(7)(8)(9) In accordance with the Montana Administrative Procedure Act, the commission may
3	make any necessary rules establishing procedures for the review and comment on a regulated utility's wildfire
4	mitigation plan, including for the appointment of a technical master to determine whether the wildfire mitigation
5	plan contains the required components in subsection (2).
6	(9)(10) NOTHING IN THIS SECTION MAY BE CONSTRUED TO CREATE A NEW LIABILITY, BASIS FOR CLAIM, OR
7	SEPARATE LEGAL CAUSE OF ACTION ARISING FROM THE REVIEW OR APPROVAL OF A WILDFIRE MITIGATION PLAN WHEN
8	NONE WOULD EXIST OTHERWISE.
9	
10	NEW SECTION. Section 3. Electric facilities provider wildfire cause of action standard or
11	care damages. (1) The purpose of this section is to set statutory criteria governing the civil liability of an
12	electric facilities provider for wildfire-related claims. An electric facilities provider has an obligation to serve the
13	public, and a standard of strict liability may not be applied to an electric facilities provider as follows:
14	(a) in a cause of action alleging the electric facilities provider's electric facilities or electric
15	transmission and distribution activities caused wildfire-related damages; or
16	(b) in a cause of action alleging an electric facilities provider's wildfire mitigation activities
17	conducted in accordance with a wildfire mitigation plan caused damages.
18	(2) An electric facilities provider may be found civilly liable only under the provisions of this section
19	and may not be found civilly liable under any other statute, theory of recovery, or common law claim, for
20	wildfire-related injury or damages arising from the electric facilities provider's electric facilities or electric
21	transmission and distribution activities or for injury or damages arising from any act or omission of the electric
22	facilities provider associated with implementing a wildfire mitigation plan.
23	(3) An electric facilities provider may be found civilly liable for:
24	(A) wildfire-related injury or damages arising from the electric facilities provider's electric facilities
25	or electric transmission and distribution activities; or for
26	(B) injury or damages arising from an act or omission of the electric facilities provider associated
27	with implementing a wildfire mitigation plan only if the party seeking recovery establishes:



Amendment - 2nd Reading/2nd House-tan - Requested by: Andrea Olsen - (S) Committee of the Whole

- 2025 69th Legislature 2024

69th Legislature 2025 Drafter: Julianne Burkhardt, HB0490.004.001

(a)(i) the electric facilities provider failed to exercise the degree of care, skill, and learning expected of a reasonable, similarly situated electric facilities provider at the time in the state, acting under the same or similar circumstances; and

(b)(II) the failure was an actual and THE proximate cause of the injury to person or property for which recovery is sought.

- AFTER AN ELECTRIC FACILITIES PROVIDER HAS COMMENCED IMPLEMENTATION OF ITS WILDFIRE

 MITICATION PLAN, IN In an action against an electric facilities provider seeking wildfire-related damages arising

 from the electric facilities provider's electric facilities or electric transmission and distribution activities, there is a

 rebuttable presumption that the electric facilities provider acted reasonably if the provider is entitled to argue it

 acted reasonably, WITH RESPECT TO THE PLACE OF THE WILDFIRE'S IGNITION, if the electric facilities provider has

 adopted and, with respect to the place of the wildfire's ignition, has substantially followed a wildfire mitigation

 plan that contains at a minimum the elements in [section 2(2)] WAS APPROVED IN ACCORDANCE WITH [SECTION 2],

 provided that evidence related to the electric facilities provider's actual incremental costs associated with

 implementing a wildfire mitigation plan may not be considered in determining whether the electric facilities

 provider substantially followed its wildfire mitigation plan. The presumption in this subsection (4) may be

 controverted by other evidence.
- (5) In an action against an electric facilities provider seeking wildfire-related damages arising from the electric facilities provider's electric facilities or electric transmission and distribution activities, the absence of a wildfire mitigation plan may not be construed as evidence of or an inference that the electric facilities provider did not meet the standard in subsection (3)(a), and the fact finder may consider only acts that may have caused the wildfire's ignition and evaluate the electric facilities provider's acts and electric transmission and distribution activities in the context of the electric facilities provider's overall systems, processes, and programs.
- (6)(5) In an action against an electric facilities provider under this section, if a plaintiff has proved liability, as required:
 - (a) a plaintiff may recover for real and personal property damage pursuant to 50-63-104;
- 26 (B) IN THE EVENT OF A BODILY INJURY OR DEATH, A PLAINTIFF MAY RECOVER:
 - (I) ECONOMIC DAMAGES, INCLUDING MEDICAL EXPENSES AND LOST WAGES; AND



Amendment - 2nd Reading/2nd House-tan - Requested by: Andrea Olsen - (S) Committee of the Whole

- 2025

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

69th Legislature 2025 Drafter: Julianne Burkhardt, HB0490.004.001

1	<u>(II)</u>	NONECONOMIC DAMAGES;
2	(b) (c)	a plaintiff may not recover noneconomic losses unless the plaintiff suffered bodily injury or

- death proximately caused by the wildfire; and (e)(D) an electric facilities provider may not be assessed punitive damages unless there is a showing,
- by clear and convincing evidence, that the electric facilities provider's actions were grossly negligent or intentional. An electric facilities provider's acts or omissions may not be considered grossly negligent if the electric facilities provider substantially followed its wildfire mitigation plan with respect to the place of ignition. The availability of punitive damages is otherwise subject to the provisions of 27-1-220 and 27-1-221.
 - A PERSON WHO OBTAINS PAYMENT PURSUANT TO A POLICY OF INSURANCE FOR DAMAGES RESULTING (E) FROM A WILDFIRE LOSS IS DEEMED TO HAVE BEEN MADE WHOLE EXCLUSIVELY FOR PURPOSES OF AN INSURER'S RIGHT TO SUBROGATION UNDER THIS SECTION.
 - An electric facilities provider may not be liable in an action brought under this section to the extent that the electric facilities provider establishes that contact between electric facilities and trees or tree limbs originating outside an area the electric facilities provider has a legal right to access or maintain caused the wildfire 's ignition, provided, however, this subsection does not alter the rights or obligations under 69-4-103.
 - (8)(6) A civil action against an electric facilities provider under this section must be commenced within 3 years from the date the plaintiff first incurred injury or damages, without regard to when the injury or damages are discovered. If a plaintiff commences a civil action under this section, the plaintiff shall make service of process no later than 6 months after filing the complaint. If service of process is not made within the 6-month period, the court, on motion or on its own initiative, shall dismiss the action without prejudice as to a defendant unless that defendant has made an appearance in the civil action. If service of process is not made within the 6month period, the remaining 3-year period of limitations for a civil action under this section resumes, regardless of whether the civil action is dismissed.
 - THIS SECTION DOES NOT AFFECT EXPRESS CONTRACTUAL RIGHTS OF ANY PERSON OR ENTITY IN ANY WAY INVOLVING COMMUNICATIONS FACILITIES OR THE SHARED USE OF ELECTRIC FACILITIES OR POLE ATTACHMENTS.

