



GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF  
BUDGET AND PROGRAM PLANNING

## Fiscal Note 2027 Biennium

Bill#/Title: **HB0155.03 R (001): Revise class four residential and commercial property taxes**

Primary Sponsor: **Mark Thane**

Status: **As Amended in House Committee - Revised**

☐ Included in the Executive Budget      ☒ Needs to be included in HB 2      ☒ Significant Local Gov Impact  
☐ Significant Long-Term Impacts      ☒ Technical Concerns      ☐ Dedicated Revenue Form Attached

### **FISCAL SUMMARY**

	<b>FY 2026 Difference</b>	<b>FY 2027 Difference</b>	<b>FY 2028 Difference</b>	<b>FY 2029 Difference</b>
<b>Expenditures</b>				
General Fund (01)	\$77,556,501	\$66,422,455	\$75,438,479	\$75,890,318
State Special Revenue (02)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SEPTR	(\$55,131,000)	(\$55,679,000)	(\$63,902,000)	(\$64,539,000)
University	(\$3,482,000)	(\$3,517,000)	(\$4,036,000)	(\$4,076,000)
<b>Revenues</b>				
General Fund (01)	(\$465,000)	(\$470,000)	(\$540,000)	(\$545,000)
State Special Revenue (02)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SEPTR	(\$55,131,000)	(\$55,679,000)	(\$63,902,000)	(\$64,539,000)
University	(\$3,482,000)	(\$3,517,000)	(\$4,036,000)	(\$4,076,000)
<b>Net Impact</b>	<u>(\$78,021,501)</u>	<u>(\$66,892,455)</u>	<u>(\$75,978,479)</u>	<u>(\$76,435,318)</u>
<b>General Fund Balance</b>				

### **Description of fiscal impact**

HB 155, as amended twice would introduce a graduated tiered tax rate system for class 4 residential property and a reduced 1.4% tax rate for the first \$400,000 of value of Class 4 commercial property. Commercial property value greater than \$400,000 would be taxed at the current 1.89% rate. The bill also changes tax rates on vacant land and for certain multifamily residential dwellings. In total, HB 155 as twice amended would reduce statewide taxable value by \$580 million (10.6%) in TY 2025 when the bill takes effect, reducing revenue generated by the 95 mills for school equalization, 6 university mills, and 1.5 vo-tech mills. The reduction in taxable value and the change in distribution of taxable value impact the calculations of school district general fund GTB, county retirement GTB, and revenue (95 mill) directed to the school equalization and property tax reduction (SEPTR) account. Reductions in the SEPTR account are an equal offset by increased general fund expense. [The revisions to this fiscal note add back inadvertently omitted MACO and MTLCT local impact comments].

### **FISCAL ANALYSIS**

#### **Assumptions**

#### **Department of Revenue**

1. Under current law, in class 4, the tax rate for most residential land and improvements is 1.35%. Residential improvements with a value greater than \$1.5 million are taxed at a 1.89% rate for the improvement value

- over \$1.5 million. Class 4 commercial (and industrial) property is taxed at 1.4 times the residential rate (1.89%).
2. For commercial property, HB 155 reduces the tax rate on the first \$400,000 of value of each commercial property to 1.4%. The remaining value is taxed at the current 1.89% rate commercial class 4 property.
  3. The tiered tax rates reduce the taxable value of all class 4 residential property with an assessed value under \$1.94 million.
  4. The taxation of class 4 vacant land is also changed with a tax rate of 1% applied to all vacant residential lots with a value of \$500,000 or less.
  5. The tax rate for multi-family residential rental units with a value greater than \$2 million is capped at 1.89% (instead of the 2% marginal residential rate) if the property certifies that the units are leased at 150% or less of the county fair market rent.
  6. HB 155, as amended, revises class 4 residential and commercial property taxation by establishing a graduated tax rate system for residential property in which the first \$50,000 in market value is at a zero rate, the value between \$50,000 and \$500,000 is taxed at 1%, \$500,000 to \$750,000 is taxed at 1.25%, \$750,000 to \$1 million is taxed at 1.3%, \$1 million to \$1.5 million is taxed at 1.4%, \$1.5 million to \$2 million is taxed at 1.89%, and value over \$2 million is taxed at 2%.

***Basis of the estimates -Residential Property***

7. The TY 2024 taxable value of each class 4 residential and commercial property was grown by the respective HJ 2 growth rates to estimate TY 2025 taxable values.
8. All residential property with an assessed market valued under \$1.94 million would receive a lower taxable value and properties valued over \$1.94 million would see their taxable value increase. Because most properties are beneath the threshold, the taxable value of residential property would be reduced by \$525 million under HB 155, or 9.5% of total statewide taxable value.
9. Vacant lots valued at \$500,000 or less were assigned a tax rate of 1%.
10. The graduated tax rates were applied to each residential property according to its estimated TY 2025 market value to estimate taxable values under HB 155.

***Basis of estimate - Multifamily Property***

11. Under HB 155, multifamily rental dwellings with a value greater than \$2 million can receive a lower tax rate of 1.89% on the value above \$2 million if they certify to the DOR that the units are leased at or below 150% of the county fair market rent. There will be an estimated 726 of these properties in TY 2025. It was assumed half of these properties would be eligible for the reduced rate.

***Basis of Estimated - Commercial Class 4 Property***

12. The 1.4% tax rate was applied to the first \$400,000 of each commercial property in the state, with the remainder being taxed at the current 1.89%.
13. The reduction in statewide taxable value would reduce revenue generated from the 95 mills for school equalization (SEPTR SSR), 6 mills for the university system (SSR), and 1.5 mills for vo-tech schools (general fund).
14. The total statewide taxable value decrease in TY 2025 resulting from HB 155 would be \$580million (10.6% reduction).
15. The taxable value of all class 4 commercial property would be reduced by HB 155. The total statewide reduction in TY 2025 would be \$56 million, about one percent (1%) of statewide taxable value. Below is a table containing the estimated reduction in taxable value and the impact to the state mill accounts.

Account	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029
TV Change	(\$580,323,000)	(\$586,095,000)	(\$672,648,000)	(\$679,360,000)
SEPTR	(\$55,131,000)	(\$55,679,000)	(\$63,902,000)	(\$64,539,000)
University	(\$3,482,000)	(\$3,517,000)	(\$4,036,000)	(\$4,076,000)
Vo-Tech	(\$465,000)	(\$470,000)	(\$540,000)	(\$545,000)

*DOR Administrative Expense*

16. The department would need 0.50 FTE ongoing for the processing of applications for multifamily dwellings valued over \$2 million. Additional costs would be incurred for system changes. These costs are outlined in the fiscal impact detail.

**Office of Public Instruction**

17. HB 155, as twice amended, has retroactive applicability affecting property tax beginning after December 31, 2024, impacting the budget setting mills of FY 2026 and the guaranteed tax base (GTB) aid subsidy per mill calculations for funding in FY 2027.
18. Changes in Section 8 (15-6-134, MCA) adjust district and statewide taxable valuations (TV). Changes to TV will impact the calculation of GTB aid beginning FY 2026.
19. The statewide present law (HJ 2) taxable valuations are forecast to increase by 15.50% in FY 2026 and 1.07% in FY 2027. These growth rates were calculated prior to determination of the changes related to HB 155, as twice amended.
20. Adjustments are to be made to the amount of state GTB distribution to school districts when state 95 mill revenue in the current year is more or less than \$2 million with different adjustments made depending on increased or decreased 95 mill revenue.
21. The decrease in statewide TV related to changes in tax rates in HB 155 as amended, is less than the 15.5% growth in TV, so pursuant to 20-9-336, MCA, the current year 95 mill revenue compared to the prior year 95 mill revenue is greater than the prior year by \$11.3 million.

State FY	Adjusted TV	Adjusted TV Change	95 mill calc	County Retirement 55%
FY 2025	\$4,495,734,393			
FY 2026	\$4,512,374,412	\$16,640,019	\$1,580,802	NA
FY 2027	\$4,631,469,923	\$119,095,511	\$11,314,074	\$6,222,740

22. When the difference in the 95 mill calculation between years based on prior year TV and current year TV is greater than \$2 million, 55% of the additional revenue is to be distributed pursuant to 20-9-336, MCA. Current law, before any legislation is enacted, meets all the distribution maximums in 20-9-336, MCA, for all the "dials" therefore, the additional 95 mill revenue will be distributed to the SEPTR account with a like reduction to general fund expenditures for BASE aid funding.
23. Reductions to taxable value will adjust the value of each mill levied. As a result, additional mills will be required to achieve the same level of funding for the formulaic and required BASE levy area of a district's general fund budget. State general fund GTB described under section 20-9-366, MCA, will adjust for qualifying districts. The estimated amounts are provided in the table below

	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029
District GF GTB	\$16,161,073	\$8,997,353	\$9,658,807	\$9,540,215
Local School Property Taxes	(\$16,161,073)	(\$8,997,353)	(\$9,658,807)	(\$9,540,215)

24. Reductions to taxable value will adjust the value of each mill levied. As a result, additional mills will be required to achieve the same level of funding for the formulaic county retirement GTB calculation. County retirement GTB described under section 20-9-366, MCA, will adjust for qualifying districts, as seen below.

	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029
County Retirement GTB	\$6,672,194	\$2,158,868	\$2,359,580	\$2,297,140
County Property Taxes	(\$6,672,194)	(\$2,158,868)	(\$2,359,580)	(\$2,297,140)

25. The total local school property tax reduction related to the district general fund GTB and the county retirement GTB are shown in the following table.

	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029
District GF GTB	\$16,161,073	\$8,997,353	\$9,658,807	\$9,540,215
County Retirement GTB	\$6,672,194	\$2,158,868	\$2,359,580	\$2,297,140
Local School Property Taxes	(\$22,833,267)	(\$11,156,221)	(\$12,018,387)	(\$11,837,355)

**Fiscal Analysis Table**

	FY 2026 Difference	FY 2027 Difference	FY 2028 Difference	FY 2029 Difference
<b><u>Fiscal Impact</u></b>				
FTE	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
<b>TOTAL Fiscal Impact</b>	<b>0.50</b>	<b>0.50</b>	<b>0.50</b>	<b>0.50</b>
<b><u>Expenditures</u></b>				
Personal Services	\$48,081	\$48,081	\$48,802	\$49,534
Operating Expenses	\$9,153	\$9,153	\$9,290	\$9,429
Vo Tech	(\$465,000)	(\$470,000)	(\$540,000)	(\$545,000)
University	(\$3,482,000)	(\$3,517,000)	(\$4,036,000)	(\$4,076,000)
Transfers	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Assistance	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
District GF GTB	\$16,161,073	\$8,997,353	\$9,658,807	\$9,540,215
County Retirement GTB	\$6,672,194	\$2,158,868	\$2,359,580	\$2,297,140
<b>TOTAL Expenditures</b>	<b>\$18,943,501</b>	<b>\$7,226,455</b>	<b>\$7,500,479</b>	<b>\$7,275,318</b>
<b><u>Funding of Expenditures</u></b>				
General Fund (01)	\$77,556,501	\$66,422,455	\$75,438,479	\$75,890,318
State Special Revenue (02)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SEPTR	(\$55,131,000)	(\$55,679,000)	(\$63,902,000)	(\$64,539,000)
University	(\$3,482,000)	(\$3,517,000)	(\$4,036,000)	(\$4,076,000)
<b>TOTAL Funding of Expenditures</b>	<b>\$18,943,501</b>	<b>\$7,226,455</b>	<b>\$7,500,479</b>	<b>\$7,275,318</b>
<b><u>Revenues</u></b>				
General Fund (01)	(\$465,000)	(\$470,000)	(\$540,000)	(\$545,000)
State Special Revenue (02)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SEPTR	(\$55,131,000)	(\$55,679,000)	(\$63,902,000)	(\$64,539,000)
University	(\$3,482,000)	(\$3,517,000)	(\$4,036,000)	(\$4,076,000)
<b>TOTAL Revenues</b>	<b>(\$59,078,000)</b>	<b>(\$59,666,000)</b>	<b>(\$68,478,000)</b>	<b>(\$69,160,000)</b>
<b><u>Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Funding of Expenditures)</u></b>				
General Fund (01)	(\$78,021,501)	(\$66,892,455)	(\$75,978,479)	(\$76,435,318)
State Special Revenue (02)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SEPTR	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
University	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

**Effect on County or Other Local Revenues or Expenditures****Department of Revenue**

1. HB 155 would reduce statewide taxable value by \$580million (10.6%) in TY 2025. All local taxing districts will be affected depending on the makeup of their tax base. Almost all jurisdictions will see a decrease in taxable value from class 4 property and see a resulting shift of taxes to all other classes and property owners.
2. Residential property valued above the \$1.94 million threshold of taxable value neutrality will generally have taxes shifted onto them, and all property below the threshold will have taxes shifted from them.



**Office of Public Instruction**

3. As amended, HB 155 will decrease local property taxes by \$22.8 million in FY 2026 and by \$11.2 million in FY 2027 and beyond.

**League of Cities and Counties**

4. This bill will replace the existing tax rates for Class 4 properties with exemptions and graduated tax rates for Class 4 properties. The changes in tax rates lowers taxable value but will have limited impact on municipalities, as 15-10-420, MCA, requires municipalities to set mills based on the actual taxes assessed in the prior year plus half the rate of inflation over the previous 3-years average.
5. HB 155 may have unquantifiable impacts on the amount of revenues generated by levies not subject to the 15-10-420, MCA, inflationary limitation, as the graduated tax rates and values in other classes of properties may generate similar revenues as under current law.

**MACO**

6. With an assumption that there is no change to 15-10-420, MCA, local governments will adjust their mills to meet their budgets within their authorized revenue authority.

**Technical Concerns**

**Department of Revenue**

7. Subsection 3(c) requires dwelling units be leased at 150% or less of the county fair market rent to receive the 1.89% tax rate. It is not clear how this applies to the other units of the building. The department would interpret the section as requiring that all units in the building must be leased at or below 150% of the market rent, unless otherwise clarified.
8. It is not clear how HB 155 would apply to mixed-use properties. Such properties have both residential and commercial uses. It is assumed that the residential portion would receive the tiered residential tax rates, and the commercial portion would receive the lower rate on the first \$400,000 of value. Clarification is needed on how tax rates should be applied in these cases.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Sponsor's Initials

3-4-25  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Budget Director's Initials

3/4/2025  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date