

# Fiscal Note 2027 Biennium

Bill#/Title:	HB0657.01: Allow municipalities to review certain water and sewer systems					
Primary Sponsor:	Steve Gist		Status:	As Introduced		
☐ Included in the Executive Budget		☐ Needs to be included	□ Needs to be included in HB 2		☐ Significant Local Goy Impact	
☐ Significant Long-Term Impacts		☐ Technical Concerns	☐ Technical Concerns		☐ Dedicated Revenue Form Attached	
		FISCAL SU	UMMARY			
		FY 2026 Difference	FY 2027 Difference	FY 2028 Difference	FY 2029 Difference	
Expenditures		<del></del>				
General Fund (01)		\$26,585,047	\$26,585,047	\$26,585,047	\$26,585,047	
State Special Revenue (02)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Federal Special Re	evenue (03)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Revenues	, ,					
General Fund (01)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
State Special Reve	enue (02)	(\$693,132)	(\$693,132)	(\$693,132)	(\$693,132)	
Federal Special Re	evenue (03)	(\$25,891,915)	(\$25,891,915)	(\$25,891,915)	(\$25,891,915)	
Net Impact		(\$26,585,047)	(\$26,585,047)	(\$26,585,047)	(\$26,585,047)	
General Fund Ba	lance	(\$20,000,017)	(420,000,017)	(\$20,000,017)	(420,000,011)	

### **Description of fiscal impact**

HB 657 would cause the Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) to lose primacy over its Safe Drinking Water Act programs. DEQ would lose all associated federal funding related to the Safe Drinking Water Act, including the state revolving fund.

### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **Assumptions**

#### **Department of Environmental Quality**

- 1. DEQ will no longer have primacy for Safe Drinking Water Act programs, because HB 657 would remove the assurance that drinking water standards are being met by public water supplies.
- 2. HB 657 indicates that DEQ is still responsible for environmental regulation but risks the loss of both federal and state funding because without primacy, fees can't be collected.
- 3. DEQ used actual expenditures over the last 5.6 years, to calculate the estimated loss over the next two biennium.

#### Fiscal Analysis Table

Department of Environmental Quality					
	FY 2026 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2027 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2028 Difference	FY 2029 <u>Difference</u>	
Fiscal Impact					

Fiscal Note Request - As Introduced				(continued)	
<b>Expenditures</b>					
Personal Services	\$4,293,349	\$4,293,349	\$4,293,349	\$4,293,349	
Operating Expenses	\$8,974,139	\$8,974,139	\$8,974,139	\$8,974,139	
Transfers	\$13,317,559	\$13,317,559	\$13,317,559	\$13,317,559	
TOTAL Expenditures	\$26,585,047	\$26,585,047	\$26,585,047	\$26,585,047	
Funding of Expenditures					
General Fund (01)	\$26,585,047	\$26,585,047	\$26,585,047	\$26,585,047	
<b>TOTAL Funding of</b>	\$26,585,047	\$26,585,047	\$26,585,047	\$26,585,047	
Expenditures					
Revenues					
State Special Revenue (02)	(\$693,132)	(\$693,132)	(\$693,132)	(\$693,132)	
Federal Special Revenue (03)	(\$25,891,915)	(\$25,891,915)	(\$25,891,915)	(\$25,891,915)	
TOTAL Revenues	(\$26,585,047)	(\$26,585,047)	(\$26,585,047)	(\$26,585,047)	
Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Funding of Expenditures)					
General Fund (01)	(\$26,585,047)	(\$26,585,047)	(\$26,585,047)	(\$26,585,047)	

# **Effect on County or Other Local Revenues or Expenditures**

1. Loss of the state revolving fund will increase municipality expenses for public water systems because they can no longer get low-cost loans through the state revolving fund.

(\$693,132)

(\$25,891,915)

(\$693,132)

(\$25,891,915)

(\$693,132)

(\$25,891,915)

(\$693,132)

(\$25,891,915)

2. Some drinking water upgrade projects cannot be funded by other means.

## **Significant Long-Term Impacts**

State Special Revenue (02)

Federal Special Revenue (03)

- 1. Federal and state special revenue funds would be lost due to DEQ losing primacy of Safe Drinking Water Act programs.
- 2. The State of Montana would be required to identify alternative funding for Safe Drinking Water projects.

			3/5/2025
Sponsor's Initials	Date	Budget Director's Initials	Date