

Fiscal Note 2027 Biennium

Bill#/Title: HB0519.01: Repeal nonresident landowner preference for big game combo licenses								
Primary Sponsor:	Jedediah Hinkle		Status:	As Introduced				
☐ Included in the Executive Budget		☑ Needs to be included in HB 2		☐ Significant Local Gov Impact				
☐ Significant Long-Term Impacts		☐ Technical Concerns		☐ Dedicated Revenue Form Attached				
FISCAL SUMMARY								
		FY 2026 Difference	FY 2027 Difference	FY 2028 Difference	FY 2029 Difference			
Expenditures								
State Special Revenue (02)		\$24,000	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Revenues								
State Special Revenue (02)		(\$600)	(\$600)	(\$600)	(\$600)			
Net Impact		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
General Fund B	alance							

Description of fiscal impact

HB 519 repeals 87-2-714, MCA, Landowner Preference For Class B-10 Nonresident Big Game Combination License. There will be a minimal fiscal impact to the Department of Fish, Wildlife and Parks.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

Assumptions

Department of Fish, Wildlife, and Parks (FWP)

- 1. HB 519 repeals 87-2-714, MCA, which establishes a 15% preference pool of 2,550 licenses for qualifying nonresident landowners and their designees in the B-10 drawing. Repealing the 15% preference pool will not change the number of licenses issued, it will only change the way licenses are distributed in the drawing.
- 2. Current law also allows a landowner enrolled in a private land hunting access program in the previous license year to purchase an additional bonus point, at a cost of \$20, for deer and elk licenses or permits.
- 3. In 2024, there were 131 qualified landowner nonresident applicants, of which 30 purchased an additional bonus point. Assuming the same number of landowner nonresident applicants will no longer be able to purchase an additional bonus point will be a reduction in revenue of \$600 annually.
- 4. FWP estimates a cost of \$24,000 to update and test the online license sales and point of sales systems. This includes an estimated 160 hours of development time and an estimated 40 hours of testing time.

Fiscal Analysis Table

Department of Fish, Wildlife, an	d Parks			
	FY 2026 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2027 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2028 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2029 <u>Difference</u>
Fiscal Impact				
Expenditures				
Operating Expenses	\$24,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL Expenditures	\$24,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Funding of Expenditures				
State Special Revenue (02)	\$24,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL Funding of	\$24,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Expenditures				
Revenues				
State Special Revenue (02)	(\$600)	(\$600)	(\$600)	(\$600)
TOTAL Revenues	(\$600)	(\$600)	(\$600)	(\$600)
Net Impact to Fund Balance (Re	venue minus Funding	of Expenditures)	Ĺ	
State Special Revenue (02)	(\$24,600)	(\$600)	(\$600)	(\$600)

NOT SIGNED BY SPONSO Sponsor's Initials

Date

Budget Director's Initials

2/24/2025

Date