Say whether the following is true or false and support your answer by a proof.

$$(\exists m \in N)(\exists n \in N)(\exists m + 5n = 12)$$

If 
$$3m + 5n = 12$$
, then  $n = \frac{12-3m}{5}$ 

 $n \in N \text{ if } 12\text{-}3m\text{-}5k \text{ , } k \in N$ 

If, 
$$m=1, 12-3 = 9 \neq 5k$$

$$m=2, 12-5=7 \neq 5k$$

$$m=3, 12-9=3 \neq 5k$$

∴ 
$$\forall$$
m∈ N,  $\nexists$  n∈ N s.t 3m-5n=12

So the statement is False

2. Say whether the following is true or false and support your answer by a proof: The sum of any five consecutive integers is divisible by 5 (without remainder).

Five consecutive integers: n,(n+1),(n+2),(n+3),(n+4),

$$n+(n+1)+(n+2)+(n+3)+(n+4)=5n+10=5k$$
 (let k be "something")  $5n+10=5k$   $\forall n \in Z$ 

By PMI

First case:

If 
$$n=1$$
,  $5(1)+10=15=5(3)$ , so  $5n+10=5k$ 

Hypothesis:

$$n=p, 5p+10 = 5k_1$$

Thesis:

For 
$$p+1$$
,  $5(p+1)+10 = 5k_2$ 

$$5(p+1)+10 = 5p+5+10 = \underbrace{5p+10}_{\text{our hypothesis}} + 5k_1 + 5 = 5(k_1+1) = 5 \text{ k (for some other k aside k1 and k2)}_{\text{our hypothesis}}$$

3. Say whether the following is true or false and support your answer by a proof: For any integer n, the number  $n\ 2+n+1$  is odd.

By PMI, we show that :  $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n^2 + n + 1$  has the form 2a+1

We take the expression  $n^2 + n + 1$  as n(n+1)+1, and we focus in the term n(n+1). We know that the product of two consecutive integers is an even number.

First case:

$$n=1$$
,  $(1)(1+1)+1=2+1=3=2(1)+1$ , so it has the form  $2a+1$ 

Hypothesis:

$$n=q$$
;  $q^2 + q + 1 = q(q+1) + 1$  and assume that  $2q+1$ 

Thesis:

For q+1 
$$(q+1)^2 + (q+1) + 1 = q^2 + 2q + 1 + q + 1 + 1 = q^2 + 2q + 2q + 2 + 1 = 2a_1 + 2q + 2 + 1$$
 this is part of our hypothesis wich equals 2a

$$2a_1+2q+2+1=2(a_1+q+1)+1=2a_2+1$$

So, for any integer  $n - n^2 + n + 1$  has the form 2k (k be something) plus 1, wich means is odd\_

4. Prove that every odd natural number is of one of the forms 4n + 1 or 4n + 3, where n is an integer.

Since we are looking for every odd natural number,  $(\forall n \in \mathbb{Z})(n \ge 0) \exists r \ge 0 [(4n+1 \land 4n+3) \Rightarrow (2r+1)]$ 

We have three forms types of numbers, 0, 2k,2k+1 or 2k-1

If, n=0; 
$$4(0)+1=1$$
;  $4(0)+3=3$   
n=2k;  $4(2k)+1=8k+1=2(4k)+1$  (form 2r+1);  $4(2k)+3=8k+2+1=2(2k+1)+1$  (form 2r+1)  
n=2k+1;  $4(2k+1)+1=8k+4+1=2(4k+2)+1$  (form 2r+1)  
 $4(2k+1)+3=8k+4+3=8k+6+1=2(4k+3)+1$  (form 2r+1)

So, every odd in natural set can be in the form 4n+1 or 4n+3

5. Prove that for any integer n, at least one of the integers n, n + 2, n + 4 is divisible by 3.

## By PMI

from hypothesis 3b=n+2

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}[n \lor (n+2) \lor (n+4) = 3x]$$
 \*v as inclusive or First case: r=remainder n=1; 1/3 r=1 False; (1+2)/3 r=0 True so, statement pass the first test Hypothesis: Assume that,  $\exists n \in \mathbb{Z}[(n=3a) \lor (n+2=3b) \lor (n+4=3c)]$  Thesis: For n+1  $3 \mid n+1 \lor 3 \mid ((n+1)+2) \lor ((n+1)+4)$   $n+1=3a+1, r=1$  False,  $n+3=\underbrace{3a+3}_{from \ hypothesis} = 3(a+1), r=0$  True,  $from \ hypothesis} = 3(b+1), r=0$  True.

∴ allways we can find some n in set Z for n,n+2 and n+4 with the form 3x wich makes the statement  $\forall n \in Z[n \lor (n+2) \lor (n+4)=3x]$  True

6. A classic unsolved problem in number theory asks if there are infinitely many pairs of 'twin primes', pairs of primes separated by 2, such as 3 and 5, 11 and 13, or 71 and 73. Prove that the only prime triple (i.e. three primes, each 2 from the next) is 3, 5, 7.

We know that the first triplet is 3,5,7 If, 3+5+7=15=3(5)=3q seen as variables p+(p+2)+(p+4)=3p+6=3(p+2)=3q,

By the problem 5 we know that (nV(n+2)V(n+4))=3q, this means that at least one is divisible by 3. (n+(n+2)+(n+4)=3n+6=3(n+2)=3k)

So for any other triplet where number 3 is not involved, we will still get the 3q form from at least one of his members.

Since number 3 cant be involved anymore in any other possible triplet, but still one of his members is divisible by 3 this shows that there is not another possible prime triplet aside of 3,5,7

7. Prove that for any natural number n,

$$2+2^2+2^3+\ldots+2^n=2^{n+1}-2$$

By PMI

First case:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{1} 2^{i} = 2 = 2^{1+1} - 2 = 2$$

Hypothesis:

Assume:  $2^{i} = 2^{n+1} - 2$ 

Thesis:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} 2^{i} = 2^{n+2} - 2$$

By hypothesis,  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} 2^{i} = 2^{n+1} - 2$  and we add the next number in the sequence wich is  $2^{n+2}$ ...  $+ 2^{n+1}$ 

So, 
$$2^{n+1} - 2 + 2^{n+1} = 2(2^{n+1}) - 2$$

By law of exponents,

$$2(2^{n+1}) = 2^{1+n+1} = 2^{n+2}$$

Thus,  $2^{n+1} - 2 + 2^{n+1} = 2^{n+2} = 2$  wich proves the statement in our thesis

Prove (from the definition of a limit of a sequence) that if the sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  tends to limit L as  $n \to \infty$ , then for any fixed number M > 0, the sequence  $\{Ma_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  tends to the limit ML.

We have the sequence  $\{a_n\}$  n=1

 $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}=a_1,a_2,a_3...$  to "some a" as  $n\to\infty$  in other words, we get closer to some value a This means that the value of the sequence get arbitrarily closer and closer to some value a Therefore "some a" is the limit, that is L

Considering M as a value that does not change, let say M=2, we have the following:

$$\{Ma_n\}$$
  $n=1 = \{2a_n\}$   $n=1 = 2a_1, 2a_2, \dots 2a_n$ 

The sequence goes toward "some a" multiplied by 2. We can see this as: "some a" multiplied by  ${\bf M}$ 

If "Some a"=L and  $\{Ma_n^-\}_{n=1}^\infty$  goes to "some a" multiplied by M Then  $\{Ma_n^-\}_{n=1}^\infty$  tends to ML

By definition:

$$(\exists \epsilon > 0)(\exists n \in N)(\forall m \ge n)[|a_{\underline{n}}"some a"| < \epsilon]$$

Hypothesis:  $|a_{m}$ -some  $a''| < \varepsilon_{1}$ 

Thesis:  $|Ma_m - M("some a")| < \epsilon_2$ 

$$|\mathsf{M} \mathsf{a}_{\mathtt{m}}^{-} \mathsf{M}(\mathsf{"some a"})| = |\mathsf{M}(\mathsf{a}_{\mathtt{m}}^{-}\mathsf{"some a"})| = |\mathsf{M}|^{*}|\mathsf{a}_{\mathtt{m}}^{-}\mathsf{"some a"}| = \mathsf{M}^{*}|\underbrace{\mathsf{a}_{\mathtt{m}}^{-}\mathsf{"some a"}}_{\mathsf{from our hymothesis}} < \mathsf{M}$$

taken  $ME_1$  as  $E_2$ 

$$|Ma_m - M("some a")| < \varepsilon_2$$

9. Given an infinite collection  $A_n$  ,  $n=1,\,2,\ldots$  of intervals of the real line, their intersection is defined to be

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \{ x \mid (\forall n)(x \in A_n) \}$$

Give an example of a family of intervals  $A_n, n = 1, 2, ...$ , such that  $A_{n+1} \subset A_n$  for all n and  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \emptyset$ . Prove that your example has the stated property.

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty}A_n=\left\{x\,|\,(\forall n)(x\in A_n)\right\}$$
 
$$A_n=\left\{x,4,8,\ldots\right\}=\left\{x/x=2k\right\}\\ n=1$$
 
$$A_n=\left\{4,8,12,\ldots\right\}=\left\{x/x=4k\right\}\\ n=2$$
 
$$A_n=\left\{6,12,18,\ldots\right\}=\left\{x/x=6k\right\}\\ n=3$$
 
$$A_n=\left\{2,2^*2,3^*2,\ldots\right\}\quad\text{"some n" goes to infinty}$$

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{2n} = \{x/\forall x \in A_{2kn}\}, k \in \mathbb{N}$$

Suppose that n is infinetely large with n∈N\_let n=10 A
$$_{\stackrel{.}{2}}$$
{2,4,6,8,10,12,14,16,18,20} 
A $_{\stackrel{.}{4}}$ {={4,8,12,16,20,24,28,32,36,40} 
A $_{\stackrel{.}{6}}$ {={6,12,18,24,30,36,42,48,54,60} 
A $_{\stackrel{.}{6}}$ {={8,16,24,32,40,48,56,64,72,80} 

[A $_{\stackrel{.}{2}}$ A $_{\stackrel{.}{2$ 

With this example we can say that A (with n goes to infinity) will have at least one element that is not in the previus sequences. Thus, in some point we get a empty set.

10. Give an example of a family of intervals An,  $n=1,2,\ldots$ , such that An+1  $\subseteq$  An for all n and Tro

n=1 An consists of a single real number. Prove that your example has the stated property

Proved in problem 9