

## REPORT for CISC 484 HW3

Concerning the relationship between  $k$  and the size of the new image:

Lower values of  $k$  are associated with smaller file sizes. As the  $k$ 's increase, so does the file's size. This only holds up to a certain  $k$ . Eventually, around  $k = 5$  for the 3 pictures, increasing  $k$  does not increase the file size, and so the file size remains approximately the same for larger  $k$ 's.

Best  $k$  for picture 1:

I think the best  $k$  for picture 1 is 20 because all  $k$ 's less than this don't capture enough complexity of the picture, and  $k = 20$  is around the same size as  $k = 10$  and  $k = 5$ .

Best  $k$  for picture 2:

I think that  $k = 20$  is the best  $k$  for picture 2 because it captures the most detail and has a very similar file size to  $k = 10$  and  $k = 5$  which both provide less detail than  $k = 20$ .

Best  $k$  for picture 3:

I think that the best  $k$  for picture 3 is 20 because it is very close to the actual image and has a very similar file size to  $k = 5$  and  $k = 10$ , which both provide less detail than  $k = 20$ .

Reflection on  $k$  choices for pictures:

I think that because the 3 pictures were of outside and captured a variety of things, higher values of  $k$  were required to make the picture clear and distinguish details.

Justification for test2,  $k = 2$ :

While  $k = 2$  splits the picture into only 2 colors, our program splits the image into its two main clusters of colors, a black rectangle in the center (with some shadows), and a whiteish background. For that reason, we believe our program accurately split up the initial image into 2 colors. (Images shown below on next page,  $k = 2$  then original)

