## final report

2023-12-19

### Abstract

### Introduction

### Data and Methods

### **Descriptive Data**

### **Data Cleaning and Preparation**

The dataset utilized in this study was derived from a comprehensive breast cancer database. The initial step in data preparation involved the standardization of variable names to ensure consistency. In addition, we converted several categorical variables into factors with defined levels. Specifically, we recoded the survival status variable into a binary format with Dead as 1 and Alive as 0. Finally, a new variable node\_positive\_prop was created and calculated based on the ratio of reginol\_node\_positive to regional\_node\_examined. This variable represents the proportion of examined nodes that were found to be positive.

#### Variable and Model Selection Procedures

After preprocessing the dataset, we subdivided our dataset into categorical and numerical variables in order to have a general outline data patterns. In **Table 1**, we summarized the essential statistics of all the categorical variables, which includes variable names, number of missing values, unique and top counts.

For numerical variables, we employed boxplot visualizations to effectively represent their distribution patterns. As illustrated in **Figure 1**, these boxplots serve as a comprehensive visualization, which include potential outliers, important quartiles, and medians of all the numerical variables.

After getting a general overview of the data patterns, we used stepwise selection as well as LASSO and Ridge Regression during the model selection. First, we fit a full model with all the predictors in the data set by using the survival status as our response variable. Estimates, standard errors, and P-values are all included in **Table 2**. Then, we performed model diagnostics based on the initial full model. Firstly, we check for multicollinearity by using the VIF table in **Table 3**.

# **Assumption Checking**

### Result

Variable Selection

**Model Selection** 

## Conclusion

# Appendix

### Table

Table 1: Summary Statistics of Categorical Variables

Variable	Missing	Unique Counts	Top Counts
race	0	3	Whi: 3413, Oth: 320, Bla: 291
marital_status	0	5	Mar: 2643, Sin: 615, Div: 486, Wid: 235
t_stage	0	4	T2: 1786, T1: 1603, T3: 533, T4: 102
n_stage	0	3	N1: 2732, N2: 820, N3: 472
x6th_stage	0	5	IIA: 1305, IIB: 1130, III: 1050, III: 472
differentiate	0	4	Mod: 2351, Poo: 1111, Wel: 543, Und: 19
grade	0	4	2: 2351, 3: 1111, 1: 543, ana: 19
a_stage	0	2	Reg: 3932, Dis: 92
estrogen_status	0	2	Pos: 3755, Neg: 269
progesterone_status	0	2	Pos: 3326, Neg: 698
status	0	2	0: 3408, 1: 616
$node\_positive\_prop$	0	NA	NA

Table 2: Table 2: Full Model Summary

Term	Estimate	Standard Error	P Value
(Intercept)	-3.926	0.461	0.000
age	0.024	0.006	0.000
raceBlack	0.515	0.162	0.002
raceOther	-0.416	0.203	0.040
$marital\_statusMarried$	-0.132	0.135	0.327
$marital\_statusDivorced$	0.082	0.175	0.641
$marital\_statusSeparated$	0.721	0.383	0.060
$marital\_statusWidowed$	0.098	0.219	0.653
$t\_stageT2$	0.279	0.195	0.153
$t\_stageT3$	0.542	0.314	0.084
$t\_stageT4$	0.949	0.450	0.035
$n\_stageN2$	0.562	0.241	0.020
$n\_stageN3$	0.586	0.305	0.055
$x6th\_stageIIB$	0.216	0.232	0.352
$x6th\_stageIIIA$	-0.101	0.295	0.733
$x6th\_stageIIIB$	0.053	0.529	0.921
$x6th\_stageIIIC$	NA	NA	NA
${\it differentiate Poorly\ differentiated}$	0.391	0.105	0.000


Term	Estimate	Standard Error	P Value
differentiateUndifferentiated	1.364	0.535	0.011
differentiateWell differentiated	-0.533	0.184	0.004
grade2	NA	NA	NA
grade3	NA	NA	NA
gradeanaplastic; Grade IV	NA	NA	NA
$a\_stageRegional$	-0.060	0.266	0.821
tumor_size	0.000	0.004	0.992
estrogen_statusNegative	0.737	0.178	0.000
progesterone_statusNegative	0.589	0.128	0.000
regional_node_examined	-0.021	0.011	0.053
reginol_node_positive	0.055	0.020	0.007
${\bf node\_positive\_prop}$	0.590	0.316	0.062


Table 3: Table 3: VIF for Full Model


Term	VIF	CI_low	CI_high	SE_factor	Tolerance	${\bf Tolerance\_low}$	Tolerance_high
age	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.1	0.9	0.9	0.9
race	1.1	1.0	1.1	1.0	0.9	0.9	1.0
marital_status	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.1	0.9	0.8	0.9
t_stage	30.6	28.8	32.5	5.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
n_stage	31.8	30.0	33.8	5.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
x6th_stage	61.7	58.0	65.5	7.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
differentiate	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.1	0.9	0.9	0.9
a_stage	1.3	1.2	1.3	1.1	0.8	0.8	0.8
tumor_size	3.7	3.5	3.9	1.9	0.3	0.3	0.3
estrogen_status	1.5	1.4	1.5	1.2	0.7	0.6	0.7
progesterone_status	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.2	0.7	0.7	0.7
regional_node_examine	ed $3.4$	3.3	3.6	1.9	0.3	0.3	0.3
reginol_node_positive	7.3	6.9	7.8	2.7	0.1	0.1	0.1
node_positive_prop	4.4	4.2	4.7	2.1	0.2	0.2	0.2

# Figure


Figure 1: Numerical Variables Distribution Node Examined








**Positive Node** 



**Proportion of Positive Nodes** 



## Contribution