# SE 3XA3: Test Report PyCards

Team 2 Aravi Premachandran premaa Michael Lee leemr2 Nikhil Patel patelna2

December 5, 2016

# Contents

List of Figures

1.2 Widget Callbacks (T??) 1.3 Game Logic  2 Nonfunctional Requirements Evaluation 2.1 Interoperability 2.2 Usability (T??) 2.3 Product Integrity (T??) 2.4 Security (T??)  3 Unit Testing  4 Changes Due to Testing  5 Automated Testing  6 Trace to Requirements  7 Trace to Modules  8 Code Coverage Metrics  List of Tables  1 Revision History	1	Functional Requirements Evaluation	1			
1.3 Game Logic  2 Nonfunctional Requirements Evaluation 2.1 Interoperability 2.2 Usability (T??) 2.3 Product Integrity (T??) 2.4 Security (T??)  3 Unit Testing  4 Changes Due to Testing  5 Automated Testing  6 Trace to Requirements  7 Trace to Modules  8 Code Coverage Metrics  List of Tables  1 Revision History		1.1 Event Handling	1			
2 Nonfunctional Requirements Evaluation 2.1 Interoperability 2.2 Usability (T??) 2.3 Product Integrity (T??) 2.4 Security (T??)  3 Unit Testing  4 Changes Due to Testing  5 Automated Testing  6 Trace to Requirements  7 Trace to Modules  8 Code Coverage Metrics  List of Tables  1 Revision History		1.2 Widget Callbacks (T??)	1			
2.1 Interoperability 2.2 Usability (T??) 2.3 Product Integrity (T??) 2.4 Security (T??) 3 Unit Testing 4 Changes Due to Testing 5 Automated Testing 6 Trace to Requirements 7 Trace to Modules 8 Code Coverage Metrics List of Tables 1 Revision History		1.3 Game Logic	2			
2.2 Usability (T??) 2.3 Product Integrity (T??) 2.4 Security (T??) 3 Unit Testing 4 Changes Due to Testing 5 Automated Testing 6 Trace to Requirements 7 Trace to Modules 8 Code Coverage Metrics List of Tables 1 Revision History	2	Nonfunctional Requirements Evaluation	3			
2.3 Product Integrity (T??) 2.4 Security (T??)  3 Unit Testing  4 Changes Due to Testing  5 Automated Testing  6 Trace to Requirements  7 Trace to Modules  8 Code Coverage Metrics  List of Tables  1 Revision History		2.1 Interoperability	3			
2.4 Security (T??)  3 Unit Testing  4 Changes Due to Testing  5 Automated Testing  6 Trace to Requirements  7 Trace to Modules  8 Code Coverage Metrics  List of Tables  1 Revision History		2.2 Usability (T??)	4			
3 Unit Testing 4 Changes Due to Testing 5 Automated Testing 6 Trace to Requirements 7 Trace to Modules 8 Code Coverage Metrics List of Tables 1 Revision History		2.3 Product Integrity (T??)	6			
4 Changes Due to Testing 5 Automated Testing 6 Trace to Requirements 7 Trace to Modules 8 Code Coverage Metrics List of Tables 1 Revision History		2.4 Security (T??)	6			
5 Automated Testing 6 Trace to Requirements 7 Trace to Modules 8 Code Coverage Metrics List of Tables 1 Revision History	3	Unit Testing				
6 Trace to Requirements 7 Trace to Modules 8 Code Coverage Metrics List of Tables 1 Revision History	4	Changes Due to Testing				
7 Trace to Modules 8 Code Coverage Metrics List of Tables 1 Revision History	5	Automated Testing				
8 Code Coverage Metrics  List of Tables  1 Revision History	6	Trace to Requirements				
List of Tables  1 Revision History	7	Trace to Modules				
1 Revision History	8	Code Coverage Metrics				
	$\mathbf{L}_{i}$	ist of Tables				

# Table 1: Revision History

Date	Version	Notes
December 4	1.0	Initial Revision

# 1 Functional Requirements Evaluation

### 1.1 Event Handling

### Key Bindings (T??)

### 1. KB1

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: Application instance that is capturing user input

Input: Keyboard press of one of COMMAND\_KEYS by user

Expected Results: The corect response from BOUND\_ACTIONS exe-

cutes

Success/Failure: Success

### 1.2 Widget Callbacks (T??)

### 1. WC1

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: Menubar widget waiting for user interaction

Input: User clicks on a label in the menu bar

Expected Results: If the user clicks or hovers on a cascading menu it will expand to show all contained submenu labels. If the user clicks on a menu label it will perform its associated callback function.

Success/Failure: Success

### 2. WC2

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: Toolbar widget waiting for user interaction

Input: User clicks on a toolbar button

Expected Results: The application will execute the appropriate function based upon the toolbar button clicked. There is a bijection between

the actions in BOUND\_ACTIONS and toolbar buttons

#### 3. WC3

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: Card widgets waiting for user click

Input: Primary button click on card widget

Expected Results: If the card selection is valid (see Functional Klondike Requirements in PyCards SRS for selection constraints) the card is highlighted while the mouse button remains pressed and is redrawn to follow the cursor. If the card selection is invalid no visible changes are made to the window.

Success/Failure: Success

### 1.3 Game Logic

### Card Selection (T??)

### 1. PC1

Type: Structural, Dynamic, Automated

Initial State: Klondike game is loaded

Input: User selects card with mouse click

Expected Results: Clicked card is highlighted and tracks mouse cursor if valid selection. If invalid selection the click is ignored. Criteria for

valid selection is defined in the SRS

Success/Failure: Success

### 2. PC2

Type: Structural, Static, Manual

Initial State: The rules of the game written using first-order logic

Input: A sequence of possible executions that covers the different con-

ditions

Expected Results: Truth value whether the result of the execution

conforms to the rules of the game

# 2 Nonfunctional Requirements Evaluation

### 2.1 Interoperability

### Operating System Compatibility (T??)

#### 1. OSX

Type: Structural, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: Executable for program is on target machine running a standard install of OS X OSX\_VERSION.

Input/Condition: User locates executable and launches program

Expected Results: Either a successful launch or a message from Gate-keeper alerting user that program was created by an unidentified developer

Success/Failure: Success

### 2. OS2

Type: Structural, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: Executable for program is on target machine running a standard install of Ubuntu UBUNTU\_VERSION.

Input/Condition: User locates executable and launches program

Expected Results: Either a successful launch or a failure caused by incompatible packaging, dependencies, or other causes

Success/Failure: Success

### 3. OSWIN

Type: Structural, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: Executable for program is on target machine running a standard install of Windows WIN\_VERSION.

Input/Condition: User locates executable and launches program via double-click

Expected Results: Depending on the system, either a successful launch or application crash due to missing VC++2008 binaries (required even after building executable)

### Portability (T??)

### 1. PR1

Type: Structural, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: Executable for application is located on a removable USB

drive

Input/Condition: User launches program executable from a removable

USB drive

Expected Results: The program launches exactly as if it had been

launched on the same system from the internal hard drive

Success/Failure: Success

### 2. PR2

Type: Structural, Static, Manual

Initial State: The VC++ 2008 binaries required for execution of the program are not found on the host system

Input: The program fails to launch on a Windows-based machine that does not have the VC++ 2008 redistributable package installed

Expected Results: All of the users are able to successfully follow the provided instructions to install the VC++2008 redistributable package

Success/Failure: Success

### 2.2 Usability (T??)

### Navigation

#### 1. UN1

Type: Structural, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: The existing implementation is running and idle on the user's machine, and is waiting for user interaction

Input/Condition: A group of users are asked to perform each of the actions defined in BOUND\_ACTIONS

Expected Results: The majority of users successfully perform the different actions, completing each within in a period of under MAX\_FIND\_TIME

#### 2. UN2

Type: Structural, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: Users have completed and taken note of the results of the previous test. Our application, PyCards, is now running and idle, waiting for user interaction

Input/Condition: The group of users are asked to perform each of the actions defined in BOUND\_ACTIONS

Expected Results: The majority of users successfully perform the different actions, completing each within in a period of under MAX\_FIND\_TIME and in time less than or equal to what was required to perform the same action using the existing implementation

Success/Failure: Success

### Playability (T??)

### 1. UP1

Type: Structural, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: Existing implementation is running, with a game in progress

Input/Condition: A group of users are asked to play a game and rate it based ease of use using a scale from 1-5 where 5 is very user friendly

Expected Results: The majority of users give the game an average rating above 3

Success/Failure: Success

#### 2. UP2

Type: Structural, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: Users have completed the previous test. PyCards is now running, with a game in progress

Input/Condition: A group of users are asked to play the game and rate it based ease of use using a scale from 1-5 where 5 is very user friendly

Expected Results: The majority of users give the game an average rating above 3 and greater than or equal to the rating given in the previous test

### 2.3 Product Integrity (T??)

### Resource Loading

### 1. RL1

Type: Structural, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: The 'cardsets' directory is missing or corrupt

Input/Condition: When loading the application prompts users to either re-download the application or download specifically the cardsets directory

Expected Results: The majority of users are able to find the repository for the program and re-download the executable or the cardset directory

Success/Failure: Success

### 2. RL2

Type: Structural, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: The 'tiles' directory is missing or corrupt

Input/Condition: Application attempts to load images from the 'tiles' directory

Expected Results: An exception is thrown and handled by using a solid

color tile as the window background

Success/Failure: Success

### 2.4 Security (T??)

### System Permissions

### 1. SP1

Type: Structural, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: Application is located on the host machine's file system

Input/Condition: User launches the application

Expected Results: The application should launch without asking for

administrative (root) privileges

# 3 Unit Testing

# 4 Changes Due to Testing

## 5 Automated Testing

Automated testing was done using the unittest.py python module. This allowed us to create test suites for the various methods and use assert statements to specify preconditions and postconditions.

# 6 Trace to Requirements

Req.	Modules
FR1	
FR1	
FR3	
GR1	
GR2	
GR3	
REQS	T??, T??, T??, T??
REQS	T??, T??, T??, T??
REQS	T??

Table 2: Trace Between Requirements and Modules

### 7 Trace to Modules

# 8 Code Coverage Metrics

Code coverage was done using the coverage.py python module and running it against our project. The coverage.py module works in three phases: first it executes our code and monitors the statements that were executed, then it examines the source to determine which lines could have run, and finally it provides a report in the desired format (ie. text, html, annotated source).