

SE 3XA3: Software Requirements Specification PyCards

Team 2,
Aravi Premachandran premaa
Michael Lee leemr2
Nikhil Patel patelna2

November 13, 2016

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Anticipated and Unlikely Changes	2
2.1	Anticipated Changes	2
2.2	Unlikely Changes	2
3	Module Hierarchy	2
4	Connection Between Requirements and Design	3
5	Module Decomposition	3
5.1	Hardware Hiding Modules (M1)	4
5.1.1	Mouse Interface Module	4
5.1.2	Key Binding Module	4
5.2	Behaviour-Hiding Module	4
5.2.1	User Settings Module (M2)	4
5.2.2	Save_load.state Module (M3)	5
5.2.3	Card Movement Module (M4)	5
5.2.4	Gameplay Module (M5)	5
5.3	Software Decision Module	5
5.3.1	Enum Data Structure Module (M6)	5
5.3.2	Stack Data Structure Module (M7)	6
6	Traceability Matrix	6
7	Use Hierarchy Between Modules	6

List of Tables

1	Revision History	ii
2	Module Hierarchy	3
3	Trace Between Requirements and Modules	6
4	Trace Between Anticipated Changes and Modules	6

List of Figures

1	Use hierarchy among modules	7
---	---------------------------------------	---

Table 1: **Revision History**

Date	Version	Notes
November 10, 2016	1.0	Initial Revision

1 Introduction

Decomposing a system into modules is a commonly accepted approach to developing software. A module is a work assignment for a programmer or programming team (Parnas et al., 1984). We advocate a decomposition based on the principle of information hiding (Parnas, 1972). This principle supports design for change, because the “secrets” that each module hides represent likely future changes. Design for change is valuable in SC, where modifications are frequent, especially during initial development as the solution space is explored. Our design follows the rules laid out by Parnas et al. (1984), as follows:

- System details that are likely to change independently should be the secrets of separate modules.
- Each data structure is used in only one module.
- Any other program that requires information stored in a module’s data structures must obtain it by calling access programs belonging to that module.

After completing the first stage of the design, the Software Requirements Specification (SRS), the Module Guide (MG) is developed (Parnas et al., 1984). The MG specifies the modular structure of the system and is intended to allow both designers and maintainers to easily identify the parts of the software. The potential readers of this document are as follows:

- New project members: This document can be a guide for a new project member to easily understand the overall structure and quickly find the relevant modules they are searching for.
- Maintainers: The hierarchical structure of the module guide improves the maintainers’ understanding when they need to make changes to the system. It is important for a maintainer to update the relevant sections of the document after changes have been made.
- Designers: Once the module guide has been written, it can be used to check for consistency, feasibility and flexibility. Designers can verify the system in various ways, such as consistency among modules, feasibility of the decomposition, and flexibility of the design.

The rest of the document is organized as follows. Section 2 lists the anticipated and unlikely changes of the software requirements. Section 3 summarizes the module decomposition that was constructed according to the likely changes. Section 4 specifies the connections between the software requirements and the modules. Section 5 gives a detailed description of the modules. Section 6 includes two traceability matrices. One checks the completeness of the design against the requirements provided in the SRS. The other shows the relation between anticipated changes and the modules. Section 7 describes the use relation between modules.

2 Anticipated and Unlikely Changes

This section lists possible changes to the system. According to the likeliness of the change, the possible changes are classified into two categories. Anticipated changes are listed in Section 2.1, and unlikely changes are listed in Section 2.2.

2.1 Anticipated Changes

Anticipated changes are the source of the information that is to be hidden inside the modules. Ideally, changing one of the anticipated changes will only require changing the one module that hides the associated decision. The approach adapted here is called design for change.

AC1: The specific hardware on which the software is running.

AC2: The operating system that the software is being run on

AC3: The format of GUI elements used to capture user inputs

AC4: The data structure used for storing the game state

AC5: The addition of new games and game types

2.2 Unlikely Changes

The module design should be as general as possible. However, a general system is more complex. Sometimes this complexity is not necessary. Fixing some design decisions at the system architecture stage can simplify the software design. If these decision should later need to be changed, then many parts of the design will potentially need to be modified. Hence, it is not intended that these decisions will be changed.

UC1: Input/Output devices Input: File and/or Keyboard, Output: File, Memory, and/or Screen).

UC2: There will always be a source of input data external to the software.

3 Module Hierarchy

This section provides an overview of the module design. Modules are summarized in a hierarchy decomposed by secrets in Table 2. The modules listed below, which are leaves in the hierarchy tree, are the modules that will actually be implemented.

M1: Hardware-Hiding Module

M2: User Settings Module

M3: Save_load_state Module

M4: Card Movement Module

M5: Gameplay Module

M6: Enum Data Structure Module

M7: Stack Data Structure Module

Level 1	Level 2
Hardware-Hiding Module	
Behaviour-Hiding Module	User Settings Module Save_load_state Module Card Movement Module Gameplay Module
Software Decision Module	Enum Data Structure Module Stack Data Structure Module

Table 2: Module Hierarchy

4 Connection Between Requirements and Design

The design of the system is intended to satisfy the requirements developed in the SRS. In this stage, the system is decomposed into modules. The connection between requirements and modules is listed in Table 3.

5 Module Decomposition

Modules are decomposed according to the principle of “information hiding” proposed by Parnas et al. (1984). The *Secrets* field in a module decomposition is a brief statement of the design decision hidden by the module. The *Services* field specifies *what* the module will do without documenting *how* to do it. For each module, a suggestion for the implementing software is given under the *Implemented By* title. If the entry is *OS*, this means that the module is provided by the operating system or by standard programming language libraries. Also indicate if the module will be implemented specifically for the software. Only the leaf modules in the hierarchy have to be implemented. If a dash (–) is shown, this means that the module is not a leaf and will not have to be implemented. Whether or not this module is implemented depends on the programming language selected.

5.1 Hardware Hiding Modules (M1)

Secrets: The data structure and algorithm used to implement the virtual hardware.

Services: Serves as a virtual hardware used by the rest of the system. This module provides the interface between the hardware and the software. So, the system can use it to display outputs or to accept inputs.

Implemented By: OS

5.1.1 Mouse Interface Module

Secrets: The protocols and responses to the mouse device

Service: Takes the protocols and binds it to a widget as well as acting as a trigger for a callback method. This abstracts away the actual interaction with the mouse and lets the software interface with an event object instead

Implemented By: PyCards

5.1.2 Key Binding Module

Secrets: The structure and format of keyboard inputs

Service: Creates a subjective mapping of keyboard input to software functionality such that the software system relies only on the abstracted version of the input and outputs

Implemented By: PyCards

5.2 Behaviour-Hiding Module

Secrets: The contents of the required behaviours.

Services: Includes programs that provide externally visible behaviour of the system as specified in the software requirements specification (SRS) documents. This module serves as a communication layer between the hardware-hiding module and the software decision module. The programs in this module will need to change if there are changes in the SRS.

Implemented By: –

5.2.1 User Settings Module (M2)

Secrets: The format and structure of the input data.

Services: Converts the input data into the data structure used by the input parameters module.

Implemented By: PyCards

5.2.2 Save_load_state Module (M3)

Secrets: Game state

Services: Takes a 'snapshot' of the state of the program and stores it.

Implemented By: PyCards

5.2.3 Card Movement Module (M4)

Secrets: Widget locations

Services: Allows user to use the system's pointing device to move cards on the screen.

Implemented By: Tkinter package

5.2.4 Gameplay Module (M5)

Secrets: Rules of a given game

Services: Allows the user to interact with the program in a way that conforms to the rules of the game in progress.

Implemented By: PyCards

5.3 Software Decision Module

Secrets: The design decision based on mathematical theorems, physical facts, or programming considerations. The secrets of this module are *not* described in the SRS.

Services: Includes data structure and algorithms used in the system that do not provide direct interaction with the user.

Implemented By: –

5.3.1 Enum Data Structure Module (M6)

Secrets: Custom data type

Services: A custom implementation of an enumerated data type

Rational: There is no built-in enumerated type in Python 2.x

Implemented By: PyCards

5.3.2 Stack Data Structure Module (M7)

Secrets: Game state

Services: Stores the state of the game in progress in the form of card placement.

Implemented By: PyCards

6 Traceability Matrix

This section shows two traceability matrices: between the modules and the requirements and between the modules and the anticipated changes.

Req.	Modules
FR1	M1
FR1	M1
FR3	M2
GR1	M3
GR2	M2
GR3	M4, M5

Table 3: Trace Between Requirements and Modules

AC	Modules
AC1	M1
AC2	M1
AC3	M2
AC4	M6, M7
AC5	M3, M4, M5

Table 4: Trace Between Anticipated Changes and Modules

7 Use Hierarchy Between Modules

In this section, the uses hierarchy between modules is provided. Parnas (1978) said of two programs A and B that A *uses* B if correct execution of B may be necessary for A to complete the task described in its specification. That is, A *uses* B if there exist situations in which the correct functioning of A depends upon the availability of a correct implementation of B. Figure 1 illustrates the use relation between the modules. It can be seen that the graph is a directed acyclic graph (DAG). Each level of the hierarchy offers a testable and usable

subset of the system, and modules in the higher level of the hierarchy are essentially simpler because they use modules from the lower levels.




Figure 1: Use hierarchy among modules

References

- David L. Parnas. On the criteria to be used in decomposing systems into modules. *Comm. ACM*, 15(2):1053–1058, December 1972.
- David L. Parnas. Designing software for ease of extension and contraction. In *ICSE '78: Proceedings of the 3rd international conference on Software engineering*, pages 264–277, Piscataway, NJ, USA, 1978. IEEE Press. ISBN none.

D.L. Parnas, P.C. Clement, and D. M. Weiss. The modular structure of complex systems.
In *International Conference on Software Engineering*, pages 408–419, 1984.