

SE 3XA3: Test Plan PyCards

Team 2

Aravi Premachandran premaa

Michael Lee leemr2

Nikhil Patel patelna2

October 31, 2016

Contents

1	General Information	1
1.1	Purpose	1
1.2	Scope	1
1.3	Acronyms, Abbreviations, and Symbols	1
1.4	Overview of Document	2
2	Plan	2
2.1	Software Description	2
2.2	Test Team	2
2.3	Automated Testing Approach	3
2.4	Testing Tools	3
2.5	Testing Schedule	3
3	System Test Description	3
3.1	Tests for Functional Requirements	3
3.1.1	Event Handling	3
3.1.2	Widget Callbacks	4
3.1.3	Gameplay Requirements	5
3.2	Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements	7
3.2.1	Interoperability	7
3.2.2	Usability	8
3.2.3	Product Integrity	9
3.2.4	Security	9
4	Tests for Proof of Concept	10
4.1	Area of Testing1	10
4.2	Area of Testing2	10
5	Comparison to Existing Implementation	10
6	Unit Testing Plan	10
6.1	Unit testing of internal functions	10
6.2	Unit testing of output files	10
7	Appendix	11
7.1	Symbolic Parameters	11
7.2	Reference Tables	11

7.3	Usability Survey Questions?	11
-----	---------------------------------------	----

List of Tables

1	Revision History	ii
2	Table of Abbreviations	1
3	Table of Definitions	2
4	Keymapping Configurations	11

List of Figures

Table 1: **Revision History**

Date	Version	Notes
October 27	0.1	Rough Draft

1 General Information

1.1 Purpose

The purpose of conducting testing is twofold. While testing cannot prove correctness or the absence of bugs, it can be useful for finding instances of incorrect behaviour. By ensuring that testing is done in a traceable and repeatable manner (namely through automation), defects that are uncovered can be traced, isolated, and addressed. With automation, testing can be performed throughout the life cycle of the product with very little overhead.

The other reason for conducting testing is to demonstrate to the client that our product is reliable, robust and meets the requirements that were set forth (using fit criterion or some other measure of degree of fulfilment).

1.2 Scope

PyCards is a collection of card games implemented as a desktop application. As with any software program, it is important that it undergoes various iterations of testing throughout its product lifecycle. Our development team is using a number of different test types, including functional, structural, and unit tests, static and dynamic, manual as well as automated. While automated testing is largely preferred for reasons such as greater traceability, reproducibility, and efficiency, testing will also need to be done manually, especially for validating non-functional requirements. Thus, the scope of testing for this product includes functional, structural, and unit tests, static and dynamic testing, and manual and automated testing.

1.3 Acronyms, Abbreviations, and Symbols

Table 2: **Table of Abbreviations**

Abbreviation	Definition
Abbreviation1	Definition1
Abbreviation2	Definition2

Table 3: **Table of Definitions**

Term	Definition
Widget	A functional component of a graphical user interface. Usually consists of a visual component and a callback
Callback	A method to be executed upon interaction with a widget
Bijection	A one-to-one mapping of elements in one set to another

1.4 Overview of Document

This document provides a detailed description of the testing our development team has deemed necessary for the software product. The tests are categorized and subdivided based on the type of testing, the scope of said categories, and the purpose and application of the tests (ie. validating the fulfillment functional or non-functional requirements).

This document is subject to revision throughout the expected life of the product. It is not expected that many deletions or shrinking of the test sets will occur; however, additional testing will likely be prescribed and document as the product is developed and matures.

2 Plan

This section details the testing process prescribed for the software product, including but not limited to the testing team, schedule, techniques, and technologies.

2.1 Software Description

2.2 Test Team

- Aravi Premachandran
- Michael Lee
- Nikhil Patel

2.3 Automated Testing Approach

The testing team will be applying automated testing for a subset of the structural and static tests. In particular, unit tests will primarily be automated to increase reproducibility and efficiency, among other factors. It should be noted that in automated testing, only the execution and evaluation (of pre-defined criteria) is automated - in the event of failures or unexpected behaviour a member of the testing team will still be required to analyze the requirements, the code, and the test itself to determine where the inconsistency, if any, is located.

2.4 Testing Tools

- IDE - PyCharm
 - static, structural: syntax checking, reachability, adherence to coding conventions
- pylint / pyCheckers
 - static, structural: syntax checking, reachability, adherence to coding conventions
- unittest.py - built-in module for testing
 - dynamic, unit test
 - * mock module - can be used for stubs and drivers, to isolate code

2.5 Testing Schedule

See Gantt Chart at the following url ...

3 System Test Description

3.1 Tests for Functional Requirements

3.1.1 Event Handling

Key Bindings

1. KB1

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: Application instance that is capturing user input

Input: Keyboard press of one of `COMMAND_KEYS` by user

Output: Application perform the associated action from `BOUND_ACTIONS`.

Please refer to **Table 4 Keymapping Configurations** for mapping of keys to actions

How test will be performed: The application will be launched and once loaded, each bound key in `COMMAND_KEYS` will be pressed and we will observe whether the correct action from `BOUND_ACTIONS` executes

3.1.2 Widget Callbacks

1. WC1

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: Menubar widget waiting for user interaction

Input: User clicks on a label in the menu bar

Output: If the user clicks or hovers on a cascading menu it will expand to show all contained submenu labels. If the user clicks on a menu label it will perform its associated callback function

How test will be performed: With full knowledge of what behaviour should result from clicking any of the menu labels, the user will choose a random subset of labels to click, and compare the expected and actual behaviour of the application

2. WC2

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: Toolbar widget waiting for user interaction

Input: User clicks on a toolbar button

Output: The application will execute the appropriate function based upon the toolbar button clicked. There is a bijection between the actions in `BOUND_ACTIONS` and toolbar buttons

How test will be performed: The application will be launched and once loaded, each bound key in `COMMAND_KEYS` will be pressed and

we will observe whether the correct action from `BOUND_ACTIONS` executes

3. WC3

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: Card widgets waiting for user click

Input: Primary button click on card widget

Output: If the card selection is valid (see Functional Klondike Requirements in [PyCards SRS](#) for selection constraints) the card is highlighted while the mouse button remains pressed and is redrawn to follow the cursor. If the card selection is invalid no visible changes are made to the window.

How test will be performed: With a game in progress two cards will be clicked one 'valid' and the other an 'invalid' selection and we will observe to make sure only the 'valid' selection results in a card being highlighted

3.1.3 Gameplay Requirements

Game Creation

1. GCR1

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Static etc.

Initial State:

Input:

Output:

How test will be performed:

2. GCR2

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Static etc.

Initial State:

Input:

Output:

How test will be performed:

Game Completion

1. GC1
Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Static etc.
Initial State:
Input:
Output:
How test will be performed:
2. GC2
Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Static etc.
Initial State:
Input:
Output:
How test will be performed:

Statistics Persistence

1. SP1
Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Static etc.
Initial State:
Input:
Output:
How test will be performed:
2. SP2
Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Static etc.
Initial State:
Input:
Output:
How test will be performed:

Card Movement

1. CM1
Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Static etc.
Initial State:
Input:
Output:
How test will be performed:
2. CM2
Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Static etc.
Initial State:
Input:
Output:
How test will be performed:

3.2 Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements

3.2.1 Interoperability

Operating System Compatibility

1. OS1
Type:
Initial State:
Input/Condition:
Output/Result:
How test will be performed:
2. OS2
Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Static etc.
Initial State:
Input:
Output:
How test will be performed:

Portability

1. PR1
Type:
Initial State:
Input/Condition:
Output/Result:
How test will be performed:
2. PR2
Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Static etc.
Initial State:
Input:
Output:
How test will be performed:

3.2.2 Usability

Navigation

1. UN1
Type:
Initial State:
Input/Condition:
Output/Result:
How test will be performed:
2. UN2
Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Static etc.
Initial State:
Input:
Output:
How test will be performed:

3.2.3 Product Integrity

Resource Loading

1. RL1
Type:
Initial State:
Input/Condition:
Output/Result:
How test will be performed:
2. RL2
Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Static etc.
Initial State:
Input:
Output:
How test will be performed:

3.2.4 Security

System Permissions

1. SP1
Type:
Initial State:
Input/Condition:
Output/Result:
How test will be performed:
2. SP2
Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Static etc.
Initial State:
Input:
Output:
How test will be performed:

4 Tests for Proof of Concept

4.1 Area of Testing1

Title for Test

1. PC1
Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Static etc.
Initial State:
Input:
Output:
How test will be performed:
2. PC2
Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Static etc.
Initial State:
Input:
Output:
How test will be performed:

4.2 Area of Testing2

...

5 Comparison to Existing Implementation

6 Unit Testing Plan

6.1 Unit testing of internal functions

6.2 Unit testing of output files

References

7 Appendix

7.1 Symbolic Parameters

- `COMMAND_KEYS` = {'N', 'P', 'U', 'R', 'D', 'H', 'F1', 'F2', `CTRL+N`, `CTRL+P`, `CTRL+'`}
- `BOUND_ACTIONS` = {newgame, pause, undo, redo, deal, help, quit}
- `NUM_REDEALS` = Unlimited
- `FOUNDATION_BASE` = Ace
- `STACK_BASE` = King
- `NUM_DECKS` = 1
- `NUM_WASTES` = 1
- `NUM_FOUNDATIONS` = `NUM_SUITS` = 4
- `NUM_NORMAL_STACKS` = 7

7.2 Reference Tables

Table 4: **Keymapping Configurations**

<code>COMMAND_KEYS</code>	<code>BOUND_ACTIONS</code>
'CTRL+N', 'N', 'F2'	new_game
'CTRL+P', 'P'	pause_game
'CTRL+Q', 'Q'	quit
'CTRL+H', 'H', 'F1'	show_help
'U'	undo_move
'R'	redo_move
'D'	deal_card

7.3 Usability Survey Questions?

This is a section that would be appropriate for some teams.