## Sheet1

	•	1	2	3 4
AmericanSteelProblem.lp	Number of pivot steps: 5 -150050000.0 (3000.0, 2000.0, 3000.0, 4000.	Number of pivot steps: 3 -150050000.0 (3000.0, 2000.0, 3000.0, 4000.0)	Number of pivot steps: 3 -150050000.0 00 (3000.0, 2000.0, 3000.0, 4	Number of pivot steps: 5 -150050000.0 0((3000.0, 2000.0, 3000.0, 40
BeerDistributionProble.lp	Number of pivot steps: 2 -86000000 (700, 200, 900, 0, 0, 0, 300, 20	Number of pivot steps: 3 -86000000 0(700, 200, 900, 0, 0, 0, 300,	Number of pivot steps: 3 -86000000 21(0, 700, 200, 900, 0, 0, 0, 3	Number of pivot steps: 2 -8600000 0 (0, 700, 200, 900, 0, 0, 0, 30
ComputerPlantProblem.lp	Number of pivot steps: 7 -2178000000 (0, 0, 0, 0, 27/20, 1500, 0, 0, 0, Number of pivot steps: 2 32000000.0 (8.0, 16.0)	Number of pivot steps: 8 -2178000000 ((0, 0, 0, 0, 27/20, 1500, 0, 0, Number of pivot steps: 2 32000000.0 (8.0, 16.0)	Number of pivot steps: 8 -2178000000 0(0, 0, 0, 0, 27/20, 1500, 0, Number of pivot steps: 2 32000000.0 (8.0, 16.0)	Number of pivot steps: 9 -2178000000 0, (0, 0, 0, 0, 27/20, 1500, 0, 0 Number of pivot steps: 2 32000000.0 (8.0, 16.0)
WhiskasModel.lp	Number of pivot steps: 2 -4800.0 (0.0, 60.0) Number of pivot steps: 2 -4800.0	Number of pivot steps: 2 -4800.0 (0.0, 60.0) Number of pivot steps: 2 -4800.0	Number of pivot steps: 2 -4800.0 (0.0, 60.0) Number of pivot steps: 2 -4800.0	Number of pivot steps: 2 -4800.0 (0.0, 60.0) Number of pivot steps: 2 -4800.0
WhiskasModel2.lp	(0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 60.0, 0.0))	(0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 60.0, 0.0)		) (0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 60.0, 0.0)
debug.lp	Number of pivot steps: 1 -50000.0 (0.0,0.0,0.0,5.0,5.0,0.0)	Number of pivot steps: 1 -500000.0 (0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 5.0, 5.0, 0.0)	Number of pivot steps: 1 -500000.0 (0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 5.0, 5.0, 0.0)	Number of pivot steps: 1 -500000.0 (0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 5.0, 5.0, 0.0)
s1.lp	Number of pivot steps: 7 202040000 (1995, 0, 467, 0, 0, 5, 6)	Number of pivot steps: 5 202040000 (1995, 0, 467, 0, 0, 5, 6)	Number of pivot steps: 5 202040000 (1995, 0, 467, 0, 0, 5, 6)	Number of pivot steps: 7 202040000 (1995, 0, 467, 0, 0, 5, 6)
s2.lp	Number of pivot steps: 5 123030000 (1995, 467, 0, 0, 85/6, 215/12, 35/2)		Number of pivot steps: 4 123030000 2,(1995, 467, 0, 0, 85/6, 215	Number of pivot steps: 5 123030000 /1 (1995, 467, 0, 0, 85/6, 215/1
s3.lp	Number of pivot steps: 5 90744460000/77 (399/11, 0, 467, 0, 250/11, 18250/77, 1750)	Number of pivot steps: 4 90744460000/77 (399/11, 0, 467, 0, 250/11, 1	Number of pivot steps: 4 90744460000/77 8:(399/11, 0, 467, 0, 250/11,	Number of pivot steps: 5 90744460000/77 1 (399/11, 0, 467, 0, 250/11, 1
średnia liczba kroków	3,	3,	4 3,	4 4

dodatkowe uwagi:

Widać że metoda 2 i 3 są najlepsze (lepsze nawet od tego co powinno wyjśc, czyli od metody ktora prowadzi do najwiek Warto zwrócić uwagę na test BeerDistributionProblem i to że odnalezione punkty optymalne różnią się w poszczególnych

## Sheet1

ţ	5	6	7	8 9
-150050000.0	-150050000.0	-150050000.0	5 Number of pivot steps: 4 -150050000.0 0, 4(3000.0, 2000.0, 3000.0,	
-86000000 ·	-86000000	-86000000		Number of pivot steps: 4 -86000000 , 3 (0, 700, 200, 900, 0, 0, 0, 3)
-2178000000 (0, 0, 0, 0, 27/20, 1500, 0,	-2178000000 ((0, 0, 0, 0, 27/20, 1500, 0 Number of pivot steps: 2	-2178000000 0, 0(0, 0, 0, 0, 27/20, 1500,	2 Number of pivot steps: 2	-2178000000 , ((0, 0, 0, 0, 27/20, 1500, 0, 0 Number of pivot steps: 2
-4800.0 (0.0, 60.0) Number of pivot steps: 7 -4800.0	-4800.0 (0.0, 60.0) Number of pivot steps: 9 -4800.0	-4800.0 (0.0, 60.0) Number of pivot steps: -4800.0	5 Number of pivot steps: 4 -4800.0	-4800.0
Number of pivot steps: 1 -500000.0 (0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 5.0, 5.0, 5.0, 0.0	-500000.0	-500000.0		Number of pivot steps: 1 -500000.0 0) (0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 5.0, 5.0, 0.0)
Number of pivot steps: 6 202040000 (1995, 0, 467, 0, 0, 5, 6)	202040000	202040000	8 Number of pivot steps: 5 202040000 ) (1995, 0, 467, 0, 0, 5, 6)	202040000
123030000	123030000	123030000		
90744460000/77	90744460000/77	90744460000/77	5 Number of pivot steps: 4 90744460000/77 /11 (399/11, 0, 467, 0, 250/1	
3,8	3	4	1,5	5,6

szego wzrostu funkcji celu ), a najgorsza jest metoda ktora prowadzi do najmniejszego wzrostu funkcji celu. n metodach

## Sheet1

10 11

Number of pivot steps: 4 Number of pivot steps: 4

-150050000.0 -150050000.0

(3000.0, 2000.0, 3000.0, 4(3000.0, 2000.0, 3000.0, 4000.0, 3000.0, 3000.0, 2000.0, 0.0, 3000.0, 2000.0, 2000.0, 3000.0, 1000.0, 2000.0, 4000.0, 2000

Number of pivot steps: 2 Number of pivot steps: 4 -86000000 -86000000

(0,700,200,900,0,0,0;(0,700,200,900,0,0,0,300,200,1800,0)

Number of pivot steps: 2 Number of pivot steps: 2 32000000.0 32000000.0

(8.0, 16.0)(8.0, 16.0)

Number of pivot steps: 2 Number of pivot steps: 2 -4800.0 -4800.0

(0.0, 60.0)(0.0, 60.0)

Number of pivot steps: 5 Number of pivot steps: 5 -4800.0 -4800.0

(0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 60.0, 0.0 (0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 60.0, 0.0)

Number of pivot steps: 1 Number of pivot steps: 1

-500000.0 -500000.0 (0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 5.0, 5.0, 0.0) (0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 5.0, 5.0, 0.0)

Number of pivot steps: 5 Number of pivot steps: 8

202040000

(1995, 0, 467, 0, 0, 5, 6) (1995, 0, 467, 0, 0, 5, 6)

Number of pivot steps: 4 Number of pivot steps: 5

123030000 123030000 (1995, 467, 0, 0, 85/6, 215 (1995, 467, 0, 0, 85/6, 215/12, 35/2)

Number of pivot steps: 6 Number of pivot steps: 5

90744460000/77 90744460000/77

(399/11, 0, 467, 0, 250/11, (399/11, 0, 467, 0, 250/11, 18250/77, 1750)

3,8 4,4 (0.000)