

Mohammed Touhid Chowdhury

HW#3

September 28, 2016

Written part:

1.

Output:

6
2
5
9
7

The line $(c.end() - c.begin()) / 2$ gives the iterator to the middle element of the list so $c.begin()$ plus the middle gives an output of the 7th element which is 6. The next line that's printed is $itr1$ which is the beginning element plus 2 spots ahead which is 2. The line $c.erase(itr2);$ erases the current element at $itr2$ and shifts the remaining elements one position above. When $itr2$ is printed it goes to the element after it was previously holding because the old one was deleted so 5 is printed. $itr3$ is also moved ahead because of the $itr2$ being deleted so it prints 9. This time when the $c.begin() + \text{middle}$ is printed, it prints the element ahead which is 7.

2. The worst case running time is **$O(n)$** .

3.

```
Vector<int>::iterator itrStart = a.begin();  
Vector<int>::iterator itrMid = a.begin() + ((c.end()-c.begin())/2);  
Vector<int>::iterator itrEnd = a.end();
```

4.

(a) **4** is printed

(b) **Doesn't compile** because the list doesn't have the random access. Could only use $itrb++$;

(c) **5** is printed

(d) **Wont compile** because the type of iterator and vector isn't the same