

## Feedback — II. Linear regression with one variable

[Help](#)

You submitted this quiz on **Fri 21 Mar 2014 11:10 PM PDT**. You got a score of **4.75** out of **5.00**. You can [attempt again](#) in 10 minutes.

### Question 1

Consider the problem of predicting how well a student does in her second year of college/university, given how well they did in their first year. Specifically, let  $x$  be equal to the number of "A" grades (including A-, A and A+ grades) that a student receives in their first year of college (freshmen year). We would like to predict the value of  $y$ , which we define as the number of "A" grades they get in their second year (sophomore year).

Questions 1 through 4 will use the following training set of a small sample of different students' performances. Here each row is one training example. Recall that in linear regression, our hypothesis is  $h_{\theta}(x) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x$ , and we use  $m$  to denote the number of training examples.

$x$	$y$
3	2
1	2
0	1
4	3

For the training set given above, what is the value of  $m$ ? In the box below, please enter your answer (which should be a number between 0 and 10).

**You entered:**

**Your Answer**

**Score**

**Explanation**

4  1.00

Total 1.00 / 1.00

### Question Explanation


$m$  is the number of training examples. In this example, we have  $m=4$  examples.

## Question 2

For this question, continue to assume that we are using the training set given above. Recall our definition of the cost function was  $J(\theta_0, \theta_1) = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^m (h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)})^2$ . What is  $J(0, 1)$ ? In the box below, please enter your answer (use decimals instead of fractions if necessary, e.g., 1.5).

You entered:

0.5

Your Answer	Score	Explanation
0.5	 1.00	
Total	1.00 / 1.00	

### Question Explanation

When  $\theta_0 = 0$  and  $\theta_1 = 1$ , we have  $h_{\theta}(x) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x = x$ . So,

$$\begin{aligned}
 J(\theta_0, \theta_1) &= \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^m (h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)})^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{2 * 4} ((1)^2 + (1)^2 + (1)^2 + (1)^2) \\
 &= \frac{4}{8} \\
 &= 0.5
 \end{aligned}$$

## Question 3

Suppose we set  $\theta_0 = -1, \theta_1 = 0.5$ . What is  $h_\theta(4)$ ?

You entered:

1

Your Answer	Score	Explanation
1	✓ 1.00	
Total	1.00 / 1.00	

#### Question Explanation

Setting  $x = 4$ , we have  $h_\theta(x) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x = -1 + 0.5 * 4 = 1$

## Question 4

Let  $f$  be some function so that  $f(\theta_0, \theta_1)$  outputs a number. For this problem,  $f$  is some arbitrary/unknown smooth function (not necessarily the cost function of linear regression, so  $f$  may have local optima). Suppose we use gradient descent to try to minimize  $f(\theta_0, \theta_1)$  as a function of  $\theta_0$  and  $\theta_1$ . Which of the following statements are true? (Check all that apply.)

Your Answer	Score	Explanation
<input type="checkbox"/> Even if the learning rate $\alpha$ is very large, every iteration of gradient descent will decrease the value of $f(\theta_0, \theta_1)$ .	✓ 0.25	If the learning rate $\alpha$ is too large, one step of gradient descent can actually vastly "overshoot", and actually increase the value of $f(\theta_0, \theta_1)$ .
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> If $\theta_0$ and $\theta_1$ are initialized at the global minimum, the one iteration will not change their values.	✓ 0.25	At the global minimum, the derivative (gradient) is zero, so gradient descent will not change the parameters.
<input type="checkbox"/> No matter how $\theta_0$ and $\theta_1$ are initialized, so long as $\alpha$ is sufficiently small, we can safely expect gradient descent to converge to the	✓ 0.25	This is not true, because depending on the initial condition, gradient descent may end up at different local optima.

same solution.

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> If $\theta_0$ and $\theta_1$ are initialized at a local minimum, the one iteration will not change their values.	✓ 0.25	At a local minimum, the derivative (gradient) is zero, so gradient descent will not change the parameters.
--	--------	--

Total	1.00 /
	1.00

## Question 5

Suppose that for some linear regression problem (say, predicting housing prices as in the lecture), we have some training set, and for our training set we managed to find some  $\theta_0, \theta_1$  such that  $J(\theta_0, \theta_1) = 0$ . Which of the statements below must then be true? (Check all that apply.)

Your Answer	Score	Explanation
<input type="checkbox"/> This is not possible: By the definition of $J(\theta_0, \theta_1)$ , it is not possible for there to exist $\theta_0$ and $\theta_1$ so that $J(\theta_0, \theta_1) = 0$	✓ 0.25	If all of our training examples lie perfectly on a line, then $J(\theta_0, \theta_1) = 0$ is possible.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Gradient descent is likely to get stuck at a local minimum and fail to find the global minimum.	✗ 0.00	The cost function $J(\theta_0, \theta_1)$ for linear regression has no local optima (other than the global minimum), so gradient descent will not get stuck at a bad local minimum.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> For these values of $\theta_0$ and $\theta_1$ that satisfy $J(\theta_0, \theta_1) = 0$ , we have that $h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) = y^{(i)}$ for every training example $(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)})$	✓ 0.25	$J(\theta_0, \theta_1) = 0$ , that means the line defined by the equation " $y = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x$ " perfectly fits all of our data.
<input type="checkbox"/> We can perfectly predict the value of $y$ even for new examples	✓ 0.25	Even though we can fit our training set perfectly, this does not mean that we'll always make perfect predictions on houses in the future/on houses that

that we have not yet  
seen. (e.g., we can  
perfectly predict prices of  
even new houses that we  
have not yet seen.)

we have not yet seen.

---

Total	0.75 /
	1.00