# Feedback — XVI. Recommender Systems

Help

You submitted this quiz on **Sun 18 May 2014 5:04 PM PDT**. You got a score of **5.00** out of **5.00**.

## **Question 1**

Suppose you run a bookstore, and have ratings (1 to 5 stars) of books. Your collaborative filtering algorithm has learned a parameter vector  $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)}$  for user j, and a feature vector  $\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}$  for each book. You would like to compute the "training error", meaning the average squared error of your system's predictions on all the ratings that you have gotten from your users. Which of these are correct ways of doing so (check all that apply)? For this problem, let m be the total number of ratings you have gotten from your users. (Another way of saying this is that  $m = \sum_{i=1}^{n_m} \sum_{j=1}^{n_u} r(i,j)$ ). [Hint: Two of the four options below are correct.]

| Your Answer   |          | Score  | Explanation   |
|---|----------|--------|---|
| $rac{1}{m} \sum_{(i,j): r(i,j)=1} (\sum_{k=1}^n (	heta^{(j)})_k x_k^{(i)} - y^{(i,j)})^2$            | ✓        | 0.25   | This correctly sums over all ratings and computes the predicted rating with the explicit sum $\sum_{k=1}^n \theta^{(j)})_k x_k^{(i)} \cdot$                       |
| $lacksquare rac{1}{m} \sum_{(i,j): r(i,j)=1} ((	heta^{(j)})^T x^{(i)} - r(i,j))^2$                   | ~        | 0.25   | This incorrectly used $r(i,j)$ as the actual rating.  |
|   | •        | 0.25   | This is correct, as it sums over all ratings the square difference between the predicted ratings $\theta^{(j)}$ $^{T}x^{(i)}$ and the actual rating $y^{(i,j)}$ . |
| $lue{x_j} = rac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n_u} \sum_{i: r(i,j)=1} ((	heta^{(j)})_i x_j^{(i)} - y^{(i,j)})^2$ | <b>~</b> | 0.25   | This incorrectly indexes into $\theta^{(j)}$ and $x^{(i)}$ .  |
| Total   |          | 1.00 / |   |
|   |          | 1.00   |   |

### **Question 2**

In which of the following situations will a collaborative filtering system be the most appropriate learning algorithm (compared to linear or logistic regression)?

#### Your Answer Score **Explanation** You've written a piece of 0.25 This system uses predetermined features and has software that has only one user, so it is not a good application of downloaded news articles collaborative filtering. from many news websites. In your system, you also keep track of which articles you personally like vs. dislike, and the system also stores away features of these articles (e.g., word counts, name of author). Using this information, you want to build a system to try to find additional new articles that you personally will like. You're an artist and 0.25 Since there is no overlap in the items reviewed by hand-paint portraits for different clients, you cannot get good results using your clients. Each client collaborative filtering. gets a different portrait (of themselves) and gives you 1-5 star rating feedback, and each client purchases at most 1 portrait. You'd like to predict what rating your next customer will give you. ✓ You run an online You can find "similar" books by learning feature 0.25 bookstore and collect the values using collaborative filtering. ratings of many users. You want to use this to identify what books are "similar" to

each other (i.e., if one user

likes a certain book, what are other books that she might also like?)

✓You manage an online bookstore and you have the book ratings from many users. For each user, you want to recommend other books she will enjoy, based on her own ratings and the ratings of other users.

0.25 Collaborative filtering makes sense here, as you can use the ratings of all users to both learn features for books and recommend other books to each user.

Total

1.00 / 1.00

### **Question 3**

Suppose you have two matrices A and B, where A is 5x3 and B is 3x5. Their product is C=AB, a 5x5 matrix. Furthermore, you have a 5x5 matrix R where every entry is 0 or 1. You want to find the sum of all elements C(i,j) for which the corresponding R(i,j) is 1, and ignore all elements C(i,j) where R(i,j)=0. One way to do so is the following code:

```
C = A * B;
total = 0;
for i = 1:5
  for j = 1:5
   if (R(i,j) == 1)
     total = total + C(i,j);
   end
  end
end
```

Which of the following pieces of Octave code will also correctly compute this total? Check all that apply.

| Your<br>Answer |   | Score | Explanation                  |                    |                |
|----------------|---|-------|------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| •              | ~ | 0.25  | This sums up all elements of | (A * B) .* R       | , where the .* |
| C = (A * B) .* |   |       | operator performs element-wi | se multiplication. | setting the    |

|   | Quiz Feedback   Coursera   |           |
|---|--|-----------|
| R; total = sum<br>(C(:));                       | elements of A * B to zero that correspond to zero e  | ntries in |
| total = sum(s<br>um(A(R == 1)<br>* B(R == 1));  | ✓ 0.25 You cannot use R to perform logical indexing into A and R does not have the same dimension as those two mat   |           |
| C = A * B; tot<br>al = sum(sum<br>(C(R == 1))); | ✓ 0.25 This sums up all the elements in C(R == 1), where to "logical indexing" expression selects only elements of C index matches an index in R for 1 elements. |           |
| C = (A * B) *<br>R; total = sum<br>(C(:));      | ✓ 0.25 Multiplying (A * B) * R will perform regular matrix multiplication and won't "mask out" entries.  |           |
| Total   | 1.00 /<br>1.00   |           |

# **Question 4**

You run a movie empire, and want to build a movie recommendation system based on collaborative filtering. There were three popular review websites (which we'll call A, B and C) which users to go to rate movies, and you have just acquired all three companies that run these websites. You'd like to merge the three companies' datasets together to build a single/unified system. On website A, users rank a movie as having 1 through 5 stars. On website B, users rank on a scale of 1 - 10, and decimal values (e.g., 7.5) are allowed. On website C, the ratings are from 1 to 100. You also have enough information to identify users/movies on one website with users/movies on a different website. Which of the following statements is true?

| Your Answer  |          | Score | Explanation   |
|--|----------|-------|---|
| You can merge the three<br>datasets into one, but you<br>should first normalize each<br>dataset's ratings (say rescale<br>each dataset's ratings to a 0-1<br>range). | <b>*</b> | 1.00  | By normalizing each dataset, you ensure that all ratings are on the same scale, so they are comparable during training. |

- It is not possible to combine these websites' data. You must build three separate recommendation systems.
- Assuming that there is at least one movie/user in one database that doesn't also appear in a second database, there is no sound way to merge the datasets, because of the missing data.
- You can combine all three training sets into one without any modification and expect high performance from a recommendation system.

Total 1.00 / 1.00

## **Question 5**

Which of the following are true of collaborative filtering systems? Check all that apply.

| Your Answer   | Score         | Explanation  |
|---|---------------|--|
| If you have a dataset of user ratings on some products, you can uses these to predict one user's preferences on products he has not rated.  | ✔ 0.25        | This is exactly the job of the collaborative filtering algorithm.  |
| optimization algorithm you should use is gradient descent. In particular, you cannot use more advanced optimization algorithms (L-BFGS/conjugate gradient/etc.) for collaborative filtering, since you have to solve for both the | <b>✓</b> 0.25 | You can compute the cost function and gradient, so any of the advanced optimization algorithms will also work. |

| Suppose you are writing a recommender system to predict a user's book preferences. In order to build such a system, you need that user to rate all the other books in your training set.                 | * | 0.25   | Collaborative filtering can still work with missing data, such as a user who has not rated every book. |
|--|---|--------|--|
| For collaborative filtering, it is possible to use one of the advanced optimization algoirthms (L-BFGS/conjugate gradient/etc.) to solve for both the $x^{(i)}$ 's and $\theta^{(j)}$ 's simultaneously. | • | 0.25   | You can compute the cost function and gradient, so any of these algorithms will work fine.             |
| Total  |   | 1.00 / |  |
|  |   | 1.00   |  |