

Logic and Applications: Task 9

Mario Tsatsev
s1028415 Group 8

Exercise 1

(i) Theorem: $P \vdash \neg\neg P$

Abbreviations:

Derivation tree:

$$\frac{\frac{}{P, \neg P \vdash \neg P} hyp \quad \frac{}{P, \neg P \vdash P} hyp}{P \vdash \neg\neg P} \neg I$$

(ii) Theorem: $\neg\neg P \vdash P$

Abbreviations:

Derivation tree:

$$\frac{\frac{}{\neg\neg P, \neg P \vdash \neg\neg P} hyp \quad \frac{}{\neg\neg P, \neg P \vdash \neg P} hyp}{\neg\neg P \vdash P} \neg E^*$$

(iii) Theorem: $P \rightarrow Q \vdash \neg Q \rightarrow \neg P$

Abbreviations:

Derivation tree:

$$\frac{\frac{}{P \rightarrow Q, \neg P, P, \neg Q \vdash \neg Q} hyp \quad \frac{\frac{}{P \rightarrow Q, \neg P, P, \neg Q \vdash P \rightarrow Q} hyp \quad \frac{}{P \rightarrow Q, \neg P, P, \neg Q \vdash P} hyp}{P \rightarrow Q, \neg P, P, \neg Q \vdash Q} \rightarrow E}{P \rightarrow Q, \neg Q \vdash \neg P} \neg E$$

$$\frac{}{P \rightarrow Q \vdash \neg Q \rightarrow \neg P} \rightarrow I$$

(iv) Theorem: $\neg P \wedge \neg Q \vdash \neg(P \vee Q)$

Abbreviations: let $\Gamma = \neg P \vee \neg Q$

Let $\alpha = \neg P \vee \neg Q, P \vee Q$

Derivation tree:

Exercise 2

I did succeed in proving theorem `oldExamQuestion2`.

I succeeded directly the first time.

Exercise 3

- a) I did succeed in proving the theorem in file `Task09_prop001.v`.

I succeeded directly the first time

- b) I did succeed in proving the theorem in file `Task09_prop016.v`.

I succeeded in the end but it cost me some time (like 1 hour or so)

- c) I did succeed in proving the theorem in file `Task09_prop020a.v`.

I succeeded directly the first time

- d) I did succeed in proving the theorem in file `Task09_prop030.v`.

I succeeded directly the first time (although it still took alot of time to write all the code still i did not delete and go back and such)

- e) I did succeed in proving the theorem in file `Task09_prop107.v`.

Initially i succeeded directly but Coq didnt save so i had to re-do it. In the re-doing i had about 15-20 code less so in the first attempt i have looped many times

Exercise 4

- a) I did not succeed in proving lemma `theGoldIsInSuitcase1`.

...

The reason that I didn't succeed is that I got stuck at the proof obligation..... Its complicated. I managed to close 1 of the 3 branches but on the second one i felt as if i was just looping doing the same thing over and over :/

- b) I did not succeed in proving lemma `theTrueStatementIsOnSuitcase4`.

I did not even get there

- c) I did not succeed in proving theorem `theSolutionIs`.

could not even get there.