

Central Force Motion

by Tony Shing

Overview:

- Equation of motion for central force motion, and its standard solution.
- Derivation of Kepler's Law.
- Two-body motion is reducible to one-body motion \Rightarrow solution only takes simple modification.

Notations:

1. For clear viewing, all vector (with arrow $\vec{}$ or hat $\hat{}$) will also be in **bold font**.
2. Spherical coordinate (r, θ, ϕ) takes the convention in most textbooks, where $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ is the azimuthal angle and $\phi \in [0, \pi]$ is the polar angle.
3. Coordinates are represented by (x, y, z) and (r, θ, ϕ) . Their conversion is by the position vector's component:

$$\vec{r} = x\vec{x} + y\vec{y} + z\vec{z} = (r \sin \phi \cos \theta)\hat{x} + (r \sin \phi \sin \theta)\hat{y} + (r \cos \phi)\hat{z} = r\hat{r}_{\text{at } (r, \theta, \phi)}$$

Be careful that \vec{r} is the position **vector** and r is the **radial component**. But in polar/ spherical coordinate, length of position vector $|\vec{r}| = r$ exactly.

4. All derivatives are written in full form $\frac{d}{dt}$ for clarity (Dot notation is hard to read).

1 The General Solution

An object undergoes a central force motion if it is moving in a potential that depends purely on the radial coordinate of the object. i.e. $V(r, \theta, \phi) = V(r)$. The Newton's 2nd law simply writes:

$$m \frac{d^2 \vec{r}}{dt^2} = - \frac{dV(r)}{dr}$$

Such potential is guaranteed to be conservative, by showing that the curl on the force is 0.

1. The force only has radial component and also solely depends on the radial coordinate.

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{F}(r, \theta, \phi) &= -\nabla V(r) = -\frac{\partial V(r)}{\partial r} \hat{r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial V(r)}{\partial \theta} \hat{\theta} + \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial V(r)}{\partial \phi} \hat{\phi} \\ &= -\frac{\partial V(r)}{\partial r} \hat{r} + 0 + 0 \\ &= f(r) \hat{r}\end{aligned}$$

2. Then apply curl in spherical coordinate. Observe that all the terms vanish given that $F_\theta = F_\phi = 0$ and F_r is a function on r only.

$$\nabla \times \vec{F} = \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \left(\frac{\partial(F_\phi \sin \theta)}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\partial F_\theta}{\partial \phi} \right) \hat{r} + \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial F_r}{\partial \phi} - \frac{\partial(r F_\phi)}{\partial r} \right) \hat{\theta} + \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{\partial(r F_\theta)}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial F_r}{\partial \theta} \right) \hat{\phi}$$

1.1 Derivation

- The force from potential is the only force and is conservative \Rightarrow Energy conserves.

$$E = \frac{1}{2} m |\dot{\mathbf{r}}|^2 + V(r) = \frac{1}{2} m \left[\left(\frac{dr}{dt} \right)^2 + \left(r \frac{d\theta}{dt} \right)^2 \right] + V(r) = \text{const}$$

- Force is along radial direction \Rightarrow Torque $= \hat{r} \times f(r) \hat{r} = 0 \Rightarrow$ Angular momentum conserves.

$$L = m \vec{r} \times \vec{v} = m r^2 \frac{d\theta}{dt} = \text{const}$$

The standard rundown (every textbook does the same) is to treat E and L as adjustable parameters and derive the function of the object's trajectory $r(\theta)$.

1. Substitute the equation of L into equation of E and rearrange terms.

$$\begin{aligned}E &= \frac{1}{2} m \left[\left(\frac{dr}{dt} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{L}{mr} \right)^2 \right] + V(r) \\ \Rightarrow \frac{dr}{dt} &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{m} \left(E - V(r) - \frac{L^2}{2mr^2} \right)}\end{aligned}$$

2. By $L = m r^2 \frac{d\theta}{dt} \Rightarrow dt = \frac{m r^2}{L} d\theta$, then move all terms that contain r to one side.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dr}{dt} &= \frac{L}{m r^2} \frac{dr}{d\theta} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{m} \left(E - V(r) - \frac{L^2}{2mr^2} \right)} \\ d\theta &= \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{dr}{\sqrt{\frac{2mE}{L^2} - \frac{2mV(r)}{L^2} - \frac{1}{r^2}}}\end{aligned}$$

3. This expression is ready to be integrated. To make it easier, substitute $u = \frac{1}{r}$.

$$\int d\theta = - \int \frac{du}{\sqrt{\frac{2mE}{L^2} - \frac{2mV(u^{-1})}{L^2} - u^2}}$$

Now it cannot be further simplified until we know $V(r)$.

1.2 Power Law Potential

A function satisfies **power law** if it is in the form $f(x) \sim x^\alpha$ for any real number α . Most of the time we deal with potential function belongs in such form, i.e. $V(r) = kr^\alpha$. The most common ones are:

- $\alpha = -1$: Columb's Law / Newtonian gravity – $V(r) = \pm \frac{k}{r}$
- $\alpha = 2$: Simple harmonic motion – $V(r) = \frac{1}{2}kr^2$

Substituting the power law potential, mathematicians found that the integral can be resolved into simple functions only when $\alpha = 2, -1$ or -2 .

2 Case $\alpha = -1$: Inverse square force

The RHS integral can be simplified by trigonometric substitution.

$$\begin{aligned}
 - \int \frac{du}{\sqrt{\frac{2mE}{L^2} \pm \frac{2mk}{L^2}u - u^2}} &= - \int \frac{du}{\sqrt{\frac{2mE}{L^2} + \frac{m^2k^2}{L^4} - \left(u \mp \frac{mk}{L^2}\right)^2}} && \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{completing} \\ \text{square} \end{array}\right) \\
 &= - \int \frac{d\left(u \mp \frac{mk}{L^2}\right)}{\sqrt{A^2 - \left(u \mp \frac{mk}{L^2}\right)^2}} && \left(\text{take } A = \sqrt{\frac{2mE}{L^2} + \frac{m^2k^2}{L^4}}\right) \\
 &= - \int \frac{d(A \cos \phi)}{\sqrt{A^2 - A^2 \cos^2 \phi}} && \left(\text{take } u \mp \frac{mk}{L^2} = A \cos \phi\right) \\
 &= \int d\phi \\
 &= \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{u \mp \frac{mk}{L^2}}{\sqrt{\frac{2mE}{L^2} + \frac{m^2k^2}{L^4}}} \right) + C \\
 &= \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{\frac{L^2u}{mk} \mp 1}{\sqrt{\frac{2EL^2}{mk^2} + 1}} \right) + C
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally putting back the LHS's θ and $u = \frac{1}{r}$, we arrive

$$\begin{aligned}
 \theta &= \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{\frac{L^2}{mk} \mp 1}{\sqrt{\frac{2EL^2}{mk^2} + 1}} \right) + C \\
 \boxed{\frac{1}{r} &= \frac{mk}{L^2} \left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{2EL^2}{mk^2}} \cos(\theta - C) \pm 1 \right)}
 \end{aligned}$$

where the \pm sign is for: **+ve = attractive force**, **–ve = repulsive force**. This formula is usually written in a less complicated form by taking

- $\sqrt{1 + \frac{2EL^2}{mk^2}} \rightarrow \epsilon = \text{enccentricity}$
- $C \rightarrow \theta_0 = \text{some initial angle}$
- $\frac{mk}{L^2} \rightarrow \frac{1}{r_0} = \text{some initial radial distance}$

And becomes

$$r = \frac{r_0}{\epsilon \cos(\theta - \theta_0) \pm 1}$$

2.1 Kepler's 1st Law – Equation of Trajectory

The original statement from Kepler only concerns elliptical/circular orbit, because they were the only types of orbits observed.

The orbit of every planet is an ellipse with the sun at one of the two foci.

With modern mathematics, we can show that every conic sections are possible trajectories. Substituting cartesian coordinate $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$, $\cos \theta = \frac{x}{r}$ and $\sin \theta = \frac{y}{r}$ to the above results, we arrive at the quadratic form of conic sections, i.e. $Ax^2 + Bxy + Cy^2 + Dx + Ey + F = 0$, regardless of the force being attractive or repulsive.

$$(\epsilon^2 \cos^2 \theta_0 - 1)x^2 + (\epsilon^2 \sin^2 \theta_0 - 1)y^2 + (2 \sin \theta_0 \cos \theta_0)xy - (2\epsilon r_0 \cos \theta_0)x - (2\epsilon r_0 \sin \theta_0)y + r_0^2 = 0$$

By choosing $\theta_0 = 0$, the conic section "lies flat". We can further simplify it in to the standard form:

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \epsilon^2)x^2 + y^2 + (2\epsilon r_0)x &= r_0^2 \\ \left(x + \frac{r_0\epsilon}{1 - \epsilon^2}\right)^2 + \frac{y^2}{1 - \epsilon^2} &= \frac{r_0^2}{1 - \epsilon^2} + \frac{r_0^2\epsilon^2}{(1 - \epsilon^2)^2} \\ &= \left(\frac{r_0}{1 - \epsilon^2}\right)^2 \\ \frac{\left(x + \frac{r_0\epsilon}{1 - \epsilon^2}\right)^2}{\left(\frac{r_0}{1 - \epsilon^2}\right)^2} + \frac{y^2}{\left(\frac{r_0}{\sqrt{1 - \epsilon^2}}\right)^2} &= 1 \\ \Rightarrow \boxed{\frac{(x - c)^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} = 1} \end{aligned}$$

Geometrically, no matter what kinds of conic section it is, we may identify these letters with the following names. Although they are mostly used in circular/elliptical orbits because they are positive real number only if $\epsilon < 1$.

- **Semi-latus rectum** — $l = r_0$ (l is another commonly used symbol)

- **Semi-major axis** — $a = \frac{r_0}{1 - \epsilon^2} = -\frac{k}{2E}$

- **Semi-minor axis** — $b = \frac{r_0}{\sqrt{1 - \epsilon^2}}$
- **Focal distance** — $c = \frac{r_0 \epsilon}{1 - \epsilon^2} = a\epsilon$ (Another name for c = linear encentricity)

The value of encentricity controlled the type of conic section.

- $\epsilon = 0$ — **Circle**
 - $E = \frac{-mk^2}{2L^2} = -\frac{k}{2r_0}$.
 - Polar form: $r = \frac{r_0}{0+1} = r_0$. i.e. Radial distance = constant.
- $0 < \epsilon < 1$ — **Ellipse**
 - $\frac{-mk^2}{2L^2} < E < 0$.
 - By taking $\theta - \theta_0 = 0$ or $\pm\pi$ in the polar form, the range of radial distance is between $\frac{r_0}{1-\epsilon}$ (aphelion) and $\frac{r_0}{1+\epsilon}$ (perihelion).
(mnemonic(?): alphabetically, "a" is superior over "p")

(add figure here: illustrate geometry of ellipse)

Need more geometry description

- $\epsilon = 1$ — **Parabola**
 - $E = 0$
 - From the polar form, $\theta = \theta_0 \Rightarrow r = \frac{r_0}{2}$. r increases to ∞ when θ changes to $\pm\pi$.
 - Semi-major axis, semi-minor axis and focal distance are undefined (infinite). Writing the standard form needs to start with

$$(1 - 1^2)x^2 + y^2 + (2r_0)x = r_0^2$$

$$y^2 = -2r_0\left(x - \frac{r_0}{2}\right)$$

(add figure here: illustrate geometry of parabola)

Need more geometry description

- $\epsilon > 1$ — **Hyperbola**
 - $E > 0$
 - From the polar form, $\theta = \theta_0 \Rightarrow r = \frac{r_0}{1+\epsilon}$ (perihelion). However unlike parabola, radial distance already reaches infinity when $\cos(\theta - \theta_0) = -\frac{1}{\epsilon}$. This defines the inclination angle of the asymptotes.

(add figure here: illustrate geometry of hyperbola)

Need more geometry description

Note: In the case of repulsive force, parabolar and hyperbola are the only possible trajectoryies. This is because the object always has $E \geq 0$.

2.2 Kepler's 2nd Law – Conservation of Angular Momentum

The original statement for Kelper's 2nd Law says:

A line joining a planet and the Sun sweeps out equal areas during equal intervals of time.

It is written in such way because the concept of angular momentum did not exist during Kelper's life (Newton was birth after Kepler's death). With mathematics, we can show that:

- The angle θ of an arc is related to sweeping time by $dt = \frac{mr^2}{L} d\theta$
- The arc's area is $Area = \iint r d\theta dr = \int \frac{1}{2} r^2 d\theta$

So combining,

$$Area_{(t_2-t_1)} = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{L}{m} dt = \frac{L}{2m} (t_2 - t_1)$$

True only if
L is not a function of t
 \downarrow

Note that this is true even for parabola and hyperbola orbits.

(add figure here: kepler2 law illustration)

2.3 Kepler's 3rd Law – Period of Elliptical Orbit

The original statement for Kepler's 3rd Law only applies to circular and elliptical orbit, because the concept of "period" only applies to closed orbits.

The ratio of the square of an object's orbital period with the cube of the semi-major axis of its orbit is the same for all objects orbiting the same primary.

If we go back to the standard proof in section 1.1 and stop at step 1, without substituting $dt = \frac{mr^2}{L} d\theta$, we can integrate and obtain a relation between t and r . Note that we can simplify this integral without removing the \pm sign, so it applies to both attractive and repulsive cases.

$$\begin{aligned}
 dt &= \frac{dr}{\sqrt{\frac{2}{m} \left(E - V(r) - \frac{L^2}{2mr^2} \right)}} \\
 &= \frac{dr}{\sqrt{\frac{2}{m} \left(E \pm \frac{k}{r} - \frac{L^2}{2mr^2} \right)}} \\
 \int dt &= \sqrt{-\frac{m}{2E}} \int \frac{r dr}{\sqrt{r^2 \mp \frac{k}{E} r + \frac{L^2}{2mE}}} \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{Denominator} \\ \text{multiply } -\frac{r^2}{E} \end{array} \right) \\
 &= \sqrt{-\frac{m}{2E}} \int \frac{r dr}{\sqrt{-\left(r \mp \frac{k}{2E}\right)^2 + \frac{k^2}{4E^2} + \frac{L^2}{2mE}}} \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{completing} \\ \text{square} \end{array} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

We can substitute $a = -\frac{k}{2E}$ and $a^2\epsilon^2 = \frac{k^2}{4E^2} \left(1 + \frac{2EL^2}{mk^2}\right)$ to simplify the notations.

$$\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow \int dt &= \sqrt{-\frac{m}{2E}} \int \frac{r dr}{\sqrt{-(r \pm a)^2 + a^2\epsilon^2}} \\
&= \sqrt{-\frac{m}{2E}} \int \frac{(a\epsilon \cos \varphi \mp a) d(a\epsilon \cos \varphi \mp a)}{\sqrt{a^2\epsilon^2 - (a\epsilon \cos \varphi)^2}} && (\text{take } r \pm a = a\epsilon \cos \varphi) \\
&= \sqrt{-\frac{m}{2E}} \int -(a\epsilon \cos \varphi \mp a) d\varphi \\
&= \sqrt{-\frac{m}{2E}} (-a\epsilon \sin \varphi \pm a\varphi) + C \\
&= \sqrt{\frac{a}{k}} (-a\epsilon \sin \varphi \pm a\varphi) + C && (\text{by } a = -\frac{k}{2E})
\end{aligned}$$

The symbol φ is commonly denoted as the **eccentric anomaly**. Its geometrical relation with the rotation angle θ is as depicted:

(add figure here: eccentric anomaly)

φ and θ can be inter-converted through r . Although this is usually done numerically.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{r_0}{\epsilon \cos \theta \pm 1} &= r = a\epsilon \cos \varphi \mp a \\
\Rightarrow \frac{r_0}{a} &= 1 - \epsilon^2 = (1 \mp \epsilon \cos \varphi)(1 \pm \epsilon \cos \theta)
\end{aligned}$$

We can immediately check that in elliptical orbit, $\theta = 0 \Leftrightarrow \varphi = 0$ and $\theta = \pi \Leftrightarrow \varphi = \pi$. So taking φ from 0 to 2π is the same as revolving one cycle of θ from 0 to 2π . Substitute these into the t v.s. φ relation, we arrive at the familar Kepler's 3rd law formula.

$$\begin{aligned}
1 \text{ Period} &= \int_0^T dt = \sqrt{\frac{a}{k}} (-a\epsilon \sin \varphi \pm a\varphi) \Big|_{\varphi=0}^{\varphi=2\pi} \\
&\boxed{T = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{k}} a^{\frac{3}{2}}}
\end{aligned}$$

Note: Trajectory are more frequently expressed in terms of φ in space engineering texts because of the t v.s. φ relation – It is a lot easier for computing transit time along any segment of any orbit.

3 Two-body Problem Reduction

In a two body system, where their interaction forces satisfy:

- its magnitude that only depends on the distance between the two objects.
- its direction is along the line that connects the two objects.

Then it is easy to show that the equation of motions can be rewritten into the form of one body subjecting to the same force. Thus we can solve their trajectories by solving only 1 ODE.

(add figure here: two body config)

The pairs of Newton 2nd Law should start in this form:

$$\begin{cases} m_1 \frac{d^2 \vec{r}_1}{dt^2} &= \vec{F}(|\vec{r}_2 - \vec{r}_1|) \\ m_2 \frac{d^2 \vec{r}_2}{dt^2} &= -\vec{F}(|\vec{r}_2 - \vec{r}_1|) \end{cases}$$

We can immediately see that, after dividing the masses and subtracting one of them by the other, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 \vec{r}_2}{dt^2} - \frac{d^2 \vec{r}_1}{dt^2} &= -\left(\frac{1}{m_2} + \frac{1}{m_1}\right) \vec{F}(|\vec{r}_2 - \vec{r}_1|) \\ \Rightarrow \frac{d^2 \vec{u}}{dt^2} &= -\left(\frac{1}{m_2} + \frac{1}{m_1}\right) \vec{F}(|\vec{u}|) \end{aligned}$$

by taking $\vec{u} = \vec{r}_2 - \vec{r}_1$. It is common to denote $\mu = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{m_1} + \frac{1}{m_2}}$ as the **reduced mass**, so that it is equivalent to the Newton 2nd Law describing an object of mass μ moving along its trajectory \vec{u} subjecting to a force $-\vec{F}(|\vec{u}|)$. On the other hand, adding the two equation gives the Newton 2nd Law about the center of mass, $\vec{r}_{CM} = \frac{m_1 \vec{r}_1 + m_2 \vec{r}_2}{m_1 + m_2}$:

$$\begin{aligned} m_2 \frac{d^2 \vec{r}_2}{dt^2} + m_1 \frac{d^2 \vec{r}_1}{dt^2} &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \frac{d^2}{dt^2} (m_1 \vec{r}_1 + m_2 \vec{r}_2) &= \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \left(\frac{m_1 \vec{r}_1 + m_2 \vec{r}_2}{m_1 + m_2} \right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

(add figure here: equiv: two obj orbit each other == 1 obj, redeuced mass, orbit around origin, r=r2-r1. finally retrieve back r1,r2 from u)

Now the problem is reduced to two independent ODEs — a still annoying one for \vec{u} and a trivial one for \vec{r}_{CM} respectively.

$$\begin{cases} \mu \frac{d^2 \vec{u}}{dt^2} &= -\vec{F}(|\vec{u}|) \\ \frac{d^2 \vec{r}_{CM}}{dt^2} &= 0 \end{cases}$$

which is comparatively easier than solving a system of 2 ODEs. Finally with \vec{u} and \vec{r}_{CM} , we can retrieve back \vec{r}_1 and \vec{r}_2 by the geometric relation.

$$\begin{cases} \vec{r}_1 = \vec{r}_{CM} - \frac{m_2}{m_1 + m_2} \vec{u} \\ \vec{r}_2 = \vec{r}_{CM} + \frac{m_1}{m_1 + m_2} \vec{u} \end{cases}$$