# Chapters 2-4

# Chapter 2: A gentle start

Terminology:

- Domain set (instance space):  $\mathcal{X}$ , all the objects (instances) we may wish to label. Represented as a vector of features.
- Label set:  $\mathcal{Y}$ , set of all possible labels, generated by some unknown true labelling function f
- Training data  $(x, y) \in D$ , |D| = m

#### Empirical risk minimization

The training error is defined over the training set (sample) S

$$L_S(h) \stackrel{def}{=} \frac{|\{i \in [m] : h(x_i) \neq y_i\}|}{m}$$

**Overfitting**: a trivial classifier that achieves zero training error simply copies labels from examples in the training set, and outputs a random label if the input vector is not from the training set.

A **Hypothesis class** is a set of predictors (family of models)  $\mathcal{H}$ . Each  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  is a function mapping  $\mathcal{X}$  to  $\mathcal{Y}$ .

Formally, the predictor chosen by the ERM rule is the one that minimizes the training error.

$$ERM_{\mathcal{H}}(S) \in \arg\min_{h \in \mathcal{H}} L_S(h)$$

The choice to restrict the hypothesis space is called an *inductive bias*. The choice of a family of predictors should be based on some prior knowledge about the problem. Ideally, we would want guarantees that the chosen family will not overfit.

**DEFINITION 2.1** (The realizability assumption): There exists  $h^* \in \mathcal{H}$  s.t.  $L_{(\mathcal{D},f)}(h^*) = 0$ 

Note: this definition holds for any S-S can be any random sample from the true data distribution D labelled by f.

**IID assumption** All samples are *independently* and *identically* distributed according to  $\mathcal{D}$ :  $S \sim \mathcal{D}^m$ .

**Accuracy**: the accuracy parameter  $\epsilon$  determines what we consider as failure of the classifier. If  $L_{(\mathcal{D},f)}(h_s) > \epsilon$  we consider this a failure of the learner, while  $L_{(\mathcal{D},f)}(h_s) \leq \epsilon$  we consider the algorithm an approximately correct predictor.

Upper bounding the number of failures: The number of bad hypotheses (which obtain error larger than  $\epsilon$ ) which minimize the training loss on some existing sample(s)  $S_x$ .

**Union bound**: for two sets A, B and a distribution  $\mathcal{D}$ :

$$\mathcal{D}(A \cup B) \leq \mathcal{D}(A) + \mathcal{D}(B)$$

TODO: annotate upper bound on sample size / accuracy

**COROLLARY 2.3**  $\mathcal{H}$  is a finite hypothesis class. Let  $\delta \in (0,1)$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$  and m is an integer satisfying

$$m \geq \frac{\log(|\mathcal{H}|/\delta)}{\epsilon}$$

Then, for **any** labeling function f and **any** distribution  $\mathcal{D}$  for which the realizability assumption holds, with probability of at least  $1 - \delta$  over the choice of an i.i.d. sample S of size m, for **every** ERM hypothesis  $h_S$ , the following holds:

$$L_{(D,f)}(h_S) \le \epsilon$$

For a sufficiently large m, the  $ERM_H$  rule over a finite hypothesis class will be probably  $(1 - \delta)$  approximately (up to error  $\epsilon$ ) correct (PAC).

# Chapter 3: A formal learning model

# **PAC** Learning

TODO: PAC learnability definition

**Sample complexity** is the *minimal*\* number of examples required to guarantee a PAC solution.  $m_H: (0,1)^2 \to \mathbb{N}$ .

**COROLLARY 3.2** Every finite hypothesis class is PAC learnable with sample complexity

$$m_H(\epsilon, \delta) \le \left\lceil \frac{log(|\mathcal{H}|/\delta)}{\epsilon} \right\rceil$$

**Relaxations**: Two relaxations with respect to our original problem are necessary for real-world problems:

• Removing the realizability assumption (agnostic PAC)

• Learning problems beyond binary classification

**Agnostic PAC Learning**: practically, it is not realistic that there will exist a classifier which perfectly approximates the labeling function over the whole data distribution. In place of the absolute labelling function f, we introduce the conditional probability D((x,y)||x) indicating the probability of an input sample x to have the class label y.

#### Revising the empirical and true error

$$L_D(h) \stackrel{def}{=} \mathbb{P}_{(x,y) \sim \mathcal{D}}[h(x) \neq y] \stackrel{def}{=} \mathcal{D}(\{(x,y) : h(x) \neq y\})$$

The definition of empirical risk remains the same as before.

TODO: Bayes optimal predictor formula

The Bayes optimal predictor assigns class label 1 to samples that have probability of having label 1 larger than 0.5, and 0 otherwise.

TODO: Agnostic PAC learnability definiton

Agnostic PAC defines the *relative* distance from the best classifier in the chosen hypothesis class rather then the absolute minimal error.

#### Generalized loss functions

Given any set  $\mathcal{H}$  and a domain set  $\mathcal{Z}$ , l is any function mapping from HxZ to nonnegative real numbers.