

Assignment 3-3 Floating Point Representation: Check the DeNormalized Number. Lab 2

1. **Make an assembly program that has the hexadecimal data to represent the smallest normalized positive number and largest denormalized positive number.**

Program to represent 1.0

```
.data
val1: .word 0x00800000
val2: .word 0x007FFFFFFF
.text
.globl main

main:
li $v0, 2    # print floating service code
lwc1 $f11, val1
move $a0, $v0
mfc1 $t1, $f11
syscall
lwc1 $f12, val2
move $a0, $v0
mfc1 $t2, $f12
syscall
## End of file
```

2. **Check out the data section**

- a. Compare the two values in the data Section, is there a difference? Why?

User Data Segment

```
[10010000] 00800000 007ffffff 00000000 00000000
```

Yes there is a difference. It's due to 0x00800000 being the smallest normalized positive number while 0x007ffffff is the largest denormalized positive number.

- b. **Run this program and compare two values in \$f11 and \$f12, is there a difference? Why?**

```
FG10      = 0.00000
FG11      = 1.17549e-38
FG12      = 1.17549e-38
FG13      = 0.00000
```

No, there is no difference. Even though they come from different representations, **both numbers are so close to each other that when converting them into a floating point**

number they round to the same value, which is **1.17549e-38**. In other words, while 0x00800000 is normalized, 0x007FFFFFFF is just slightly smaller than the other, but due to precision limits, val2 rounds to the same floating-point value as val1.

c. Elaborate on the field format of

i. Smallest positive number

0x00800000 = 0000 0000 1000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000

0 = sign bit = **positive**

0000 0001 = Exponent = **1**

Biased exponent = 1, actual exponent = -126 because **1 - 127 is -126**.

000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 = Mantissa = still exactly **1.0**

1.0×2^{-126} is approximately **1.17549×10^{-38}** , this matches the value in \$f11

ii. Largest denormalized positive number

0x007FFFFFFF = 0000 0000 0111 1111 1111 1111 1111 1111

0 = sign bit = **positive**

0000 0000 = **denormalized** according to IEEE 754 standards.

111 1111 1111 1111 1111 1111 = Mantissa = largest possible value for the mantissa in a denormalized number, so it's $1 - 2^{-23}$, which comes out to approximately **0.9999- and more** which is **very close to 1.0**, which also confirms why val1 and val2 shared the same representation in \$f11 and \$f12.