11-712: NLP Lab Report

Maya Tydykov April 25, 2014

1 Basic Information about Russian

The Russian language is an Indo-European language spoken primarily in Russia and in other parts of the world by approximately 162 million people. It belongs to the Eastern branch of the Slavic language family (Lewis et al., 2013). Russian is a free word order language, although according to (Dryer and Haspelmath, 2013), it is primarily Subject-Verb-Object (SVO). Russian has three genders and six cases, which are marked via suffixes on words. It is written using the Cyrillic script, which was originally created for 9th-10th century Slavic language speakers in order to translate the Bible along with other church texts ("Cyrillic alphabet").

2 Past Work on the Syntax of Russian

A wide range of phenomena concerning the syntax of Russian has been studied in recent years. (Franks, 2005) presents an overview of several issues which have recently been a focused on in the course of these studies. These issues include that of the second dative and nearest conjunct agreement phenomena in Russian. Another subject which has recieved a great deal of attention is that of numerals in Slavic languages. One issue in the domain of Russian syntax that has been studied extensively and that is particularly relevant to the problem of parsing is word order. As mentioned above, Russian allows free word order. However, some orders are preferred over others in neutral situations. Specifically, the neutral ordering of a sentence is generally SVO. Adjectives and demonstratives usually come before the noun they modify, though the order can be changed for various reasons (Bivon, 1971). Adpositions in Russian come before the noun phrase they modify (prepositions) (Dryer and Haspelmath, 2013). There is a large body of work concerning Russian's free word order, which is referred to as scrambling in literature on syntax. An overview of the most influential works on this subject over the last several decades can be found in (Franks, 2005). Some more recent work on this topic includes (Bailyn, 2008), which questions the existence of scrambling as a way of accounting for free word order and instead proposes that a certain syntactic processes can be used to explain it.

Russian word order has had a significant impact on the prefered methods of parsing. The fact that Russian has free word order, as well as Russian's rich morphology, make it difficult to parse using a constituency framework because of the increase in the number of rules that would result from such an attempt. Thus, instead of constituency parsing, dependency parsing has been the standard method used for parsing Russian (Skatov et al., 2013). In 2012, the NLP Evaluation forum RU-EVAL held a Russian syntactic parsing evaluation task in which seven dependency parsing systems were evaluated. The purpose of the conference was to get an overview of the current state of the art in parsing for Russian. The top two systems at the conference (ranked by F1-score) were Compreno and ETAP-3, both of which use primarily rule-based approaches (Gareyshina et al., 2012). The third-place parser in the competition, SyntAutom, also a rule-based parser, is an automata-based

system (Antonova and Misyurev, 2012; Gareyshina et al., 2012). According to (Skatov et al., 2013), none of the rule-based parsers evaluated as part of the task are openly available to the public. Another parsing method, implemented in the DictaScope Syntax system, which is itself incoporated into a commercial product, was recently described in (Skatov et al., 2013). This method combines constituency and dependency parsing, attempting to eliminate disadvantages of each.

Few dependency parsers for Russian have been made openly available to the public. One such system is the Russian Link Grammar parser, based on the Link Grammar formalism introduced in (Sleator and Temperley, 1993). This formalism is similar to the dependency structure formalism in that it focuses on creating links between words rather than on grouping words into constituencies. However, the Link Grammar formalism differs in that the links are undirected (i.e., there is no head or child word), links can form cycles, and there is no root word. Another system is Russian Malt, a machine learning system that does not incorporate any rules. This system achieved a score in the RU-EVAL task which would have put it into third place, but did not formally participate in the competition (Sharoff and Nivre, 2011; Gareyshina et al., 2012).

3 Available Resources

While some well-developed resources such as annotated corpora exist for Russian, to the best of the author's knowledge, few of them are openly available. One unannotated corpus that is freely available to use is the MultiUN corpus, consisting of cleaned data in XML format, extracted from the United Nations Website (Eisele and Chen, 2010). This corpus could be handy because it has already been preprocessed. One problem with using this corpus, however, is that it is limited to a specific domain. In lieu of using a corpus that has already been prepared for use in NLP tasks, one can use Wikipedia to develop an annotated corpus, since many articles are available in Russian. Specifically, there are currently 1,085,000 articles in Russian on Wikipedia (Wik, 2014). Using Wikipedia would solve the aforementioned problem of having a limited domain.

A lexicon is another important resource in building a parser. The Russian version of WordNet is a one such lexicon that may be useful(Balkova et al.), although it seems that project development has not progressed over the last several years and that it may not have been completed, as no recent information seems to be available about its current status. Another potential resource that can be used as a kind of lexicon is Wiktionary. Wiktionary is a free online, collaborative dictionary available in multiple languages, including Russian (Wik, 2014). The Java-based Wiktionary Library (JWKTL), described in (Zesch et al., 2008), is a freely available Java API that provides access to Wiktionary in multiple languages and will help in processing the large amount of information available on Wiktionary.

- 4 Survey of Phenomena in Russian
- 5 Initial Design
- 6 System Analysis on Corpus A
- 7 Lessons Learned and Revised Design
- 8 System Analysis on Corpus B
- 9 Final Revisions
- 10 Future Work

References

Cyrillic alphabet. Encyclopaedia Britannica Online Academic Edition. URL http://www.britannica.com/EBchecked/topic/148713/Cyrillic-alphabet.

Russian Wikipedia. Web, January 2014. URL http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Russian_Wikipedia.

Wiktionary. Web, January 2014. URL http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wiktionary.

A. A. Antonova and A. V. Misyurev. Russian dependency parser syntautom at the dialogue-2012 parser evaluation task. In *Computational Linguistics and Intellectual Technologies*, 2012.

John Frederick Bailyn. Word Order and Scrambling. Blackwell Publishing Ltd, 2008.

Valentina Balkova, Andrey Sukhonogov, and Sergey Yablonsky. Russian WordNet. pages 31–38. URL http://www.fi.muni.cz/gwc2004/proc/127.pdf.

R. Bivon. Element Order, volume 7 of Studies in the Modern Russian Language. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1971.

Matthew S. Dryer and Martin Haspelmath, editors. WALS Online. Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, Leipzig, 2013. URL http://wals.info/languoid/lect/wals_code_rus.

Andreas Eisele and Yu Chen. Multiun: A multilingual corpus from united nation documents. In Daniel Tapias, Mike Rosner, Stelios Piperidis, Jan Odjik, Joseph Mariani, Bente Maegaard, Khalid Choukri, and Nicoletta Calzolari (Conference Chair), editors, *Proceedings of the Seventh conference on International Language Resources and Evaluation*, pages 2868–2872. European Language Resources Association (ELRA), 5 2010.

Steven Franks. Slavic languages. In Handbook of Comparative Syntax, 2005.

Anastasia Gareyshina, Maxim Ionov, Olga Lyashevskaya, Dmitry Privoznov, Elena Sokolova, and Svetlana Toldova. RU-EVAL-2012: Evaluating dependency parsers for Russian. In *Proceedings of COLING 2012: Posters*, pages 349–360, Mumbai, India, December 2012. The COLING 2012 Organizing Committee. URL http://www.aclweb.org/anthology/C12-2035.

M. Paul Lewis, Gary F. Simons, and Charles D. Fennig. Ethnologue: Languages of the world, seventeenth edition. Web, 2013. URL http://www.ethnologue.com/statistics/size.

Serge Sharoff and Joakim Nivre. The proper place of men and machines in language technology processing russian without any linguistic knowledge. In *Computational Linguistics and Intellectual Technologies*, 2011.

Dan Skatov, Sergey Liverko, Vladimir Okatiev, and Dmitry Strebkov. Parsing russian: a hybrid approach. In *Proceedings of the 4th Biennial International Workshop on Balto-Slavic Natural Language Processing*, pages 34–42, Sofia, Bulgaria, August 2013. Association for Computational Linguistics. URL http://www.aclweb.org/anthology/W13-2406.

- Daniel D. Sleator and Davy Temperley. Parsing English with a link grammar. In *Proc. Third International Workshop on Parsing Technologies*, pages 277–292, 1993. URL http://www.cs.cmu.edu/afs/cs.cmu.edu/project/link/pub/www/papers/ps/LG-IWPT93.ps.
- S. Ju. Toldova, E. G. Sokolova, I. Astaf'eva, A. Gareyshina, A. Koroleva, D. Privoznov, E. Sidorova, L. Tupikina, and O. N. Lyashevskaya. Nlp evaluation 2011-2012: Russian syntactic parsers. In *Computational Linguistics and Intellectual Technologies*, volume 2, 2012.
- Torsten Zesch, Christof MÃŒller, and Iryna Gurevych. Extracting lexical semantic knowledge from wikipedia and wiktionary. In *Proceedings of the Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC)*, electronic proceedings. Ubiquitious Knowledge Processing, UniversitÀt Darmstadt, Mai 2008. URL http://www.ukp.tu-darmstadt.de/fileadmin/user_upload/Group_UKP/publikationen/2008/lrec08_camera_ready.pdf.