Three Triangles Integer Factorization Algorithm

"Discovery consists of seeing what everybody has seen and thinking what nobody has thought."

— Albert Szent-Györgyi

Abstract:

Factor the composite number C = (a+1)(a+b) by finding the solution to C = T(a) + T(a+b) - T(b-1) where T(n) is the nth triangular number.

Definitions:

- a, b := natural numbers
- T(n) := n(n+1)/2
- S(a, b) := T(a)+T(a+b)-T(b-1)
- make_even(n) := if n is odd return n-1 else return n

Theorem:

For every composite number C=(a+1)(a+b) there exist three triangular numbers such that C=T(a)+T(a+b)-T(b-1).

Proof:

```
1. (a+1)(a+b)=T(a)+T(a+b)-T(b-1)

2. a^2+ab+a+b=a(a+1)/2+(a+b)(a+b+1)/2-(b-1)b/2

3. 2a^2+2ab+2a+2b=a(a+1)+(a+b)(a+b+1)-(b-1)b

4. 2a^2+2ab+2a+2b=a^2+a+a(a+b+1)+b(a+b+1)-b^2+b

5. 2a^2+2ab+2a+2b=a^2+a+a^2+ab+a+ba+b^2+b-b^2+b

6. 2a^2+2ab+2a+2b=2a^2+2ab+2a+2b

7. 0=0
```

Theorem:

For every natural number $a \ge 2$ and $b \ge 1$ it holds that $S(a, b) \ge S(a-2, b+2)$.

Proof:

```
1. S(a, b) > S(a-2, b+2)

2. (a+1)(a+b) > (a+1-2)(a-2+b+2)

3. a^2+a+b > (a-1)(a+b)

4. a^2+a+b > a^2-(a+b)

5. a+b > -(a+b)

6. 1 > -1(a+b > 0 \text{ since } a >= 2 \text{ and } b > 1)
```

Theorem:

For every natural number a, b > 0 it holds that S(a, b) < S(a, b+max(1, ceil((C-S(a, b))/(a+1)))).

Proof:

```
1. S(a, b) < S(a, b+max(1, ceil((C-S(a, b))/(a+1))))

2. (a+1)(a+b) < (a+1)(a+b+max(1, ceil((C-S(a, b))/(a+1))))

3. a+b < a+b+max(1, ceil((C-S(a, b))/(a+1)))

4. 0 < max(1, ceil((C-S(a, b))/(a+1)))

5. 0 < 1
```

Algorithm:

```
input: C => odd integer greater than 4 output: found factors, or 1 and C if C is a prime number
```

```
1. let a = make_even( floor( sqrt( C ) ) - 1 )
2. let b = 1
```

3. if S(a, b) > C then a=a-2, b=b+2

- 4. if S(a, b) < C then b=b+max(1, ceil((C-S(a, b))/(a+1)))
- 5. if S(a, b) == C then exit: found factors (a+1) and (a+b)
- 6. if a == 0 then exit: C is a prime number
- 7. goto step 3.

Examples

Factor 51

```
1. a = 6, b = 1
    S(6, 1) = 49
    => b = b + \max(1, \text{ceil}(2 / 7))
2. a = 6, b = 2
   S(6, 2) = 56
   \Rightarrow a = a - 2, b = b + 2
3. a = 4, b = 4
   S(4, 4) = 40
    => b = b + \max(1, \text{ceil}(11/5))
4. a = 4, b = 7
   S(4, 7) = 55
    \Rightarrow a = a - 2, b = b + 2
5. a = 2, b = 9
   S(2, 9) = 33
    => b = b + \max(1, \text{ceil}(18/3))
6. a = 2, b = 15
   S(2, 15) = 51
   => 51 = 3 * 17
```

Factor 23

```
1. a = 2, b = 1

S(2, 1) = 9

=> b = b + max(1, ceil(14 / 3))

2. a = 2, b = 6

S(2, 6) = 24

=> a = a - 2, b = b + 2

3. a = 0, b = 8

=> 23 = 1 * 23
```

Conclusion

To my knowledge this is not based on any existing solutions. I do not claim it to be efficient or useful, I'm only concerned with its correctness and completeness. One potential benefit I see is the reduced magnitude of dividends used in divisions. Hope it inspires some ideas.