ICML 2009 Tutorial
Survey of Boosting
from an Optimization Perspective

Part I: Entropy Regularized LPBoost
Part II: Boosting from an Optimization Perspective

Manfred K. Warmuth - UCSC
S.V.N. Vishwanathan - Purdue & Microsoft Research

Updated: March 23, 2010

Outline

1. Introduction to Boosting
2. What is Boosting?
3. Entropy Regularized LPBoost
4. Overview of Boosting algorithms
5. Conclusion and Open Problems

Setup for Boosting
[Giants of field: Schapire,Freund]



- examples: 11 apples
- +1 if artificial
  - 1 if natural
- goal: classification

Setup for Boosting



- $+1$/-1 examples
- weight $d_n \approx$ size
- separable

Weak hypotheses



- weak hypotheses:
  decision stumps on two features
  one can't do it
- goal:
  find convex combination of weak hypotheses that classifies all

Boosting: 1st iteration



First hypothesis:

- error: $\frac{1}{11}$
- edge: $\frac{9}{11}$

  low error $=$ high edge



edge $= \; 1 - 2$ error

Update after 1st



Misclassified examples

- increased weights

After update

- edge of hypothesis decreased

Before 2nd iteration



Hard examples

- high weight

Boosting: 2nd hypothesis



Pick hypotheses
with high (weighted) edge

Update after 2nd



After update

- edges of all past hypotheses should be small

3rd hypothesis



Update after 3rd



4th hypothesis



Update after 4th



Final convex combination of all hypotheses

Decision: $\sum_{t=1}^{T} w_t h^t(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$?



Positive total weight - Negative total weight

Protocol of Boosting [FS97]

- Maintain distribution on N ± 1 labeled examples
- At iteration $t = 1, \ldots, T$:
  - Receive "weak" hypothesis h^t of high edge
  - Update $\mathbf{d}^{t-1}$ to $\mathbf{d}^t$ more weights on "hard" examples
- Output convex combination of the weak hypotheses $\sum_{t=1}^{T} w_t \, h^t(x)$

Two sets of weights:
- distribution $\mathbf{d}$ on examples
- distribution $\mathbf{w}$ on hypotheses

Data representation

	$y_n h^t(x_n) \quad := u_n^t$
perfect	$+1$
opposite	-1
neutral	0

examples x_n	labels y_n	$h^1(x_n)$	$\mathbf{u}^1$
	-1	-1	$\mathbf{1}$
	-1	-1	$\mathbf{1}$
	-1	-1	$\mathbf{1}$
	-1	1	$-\mathbf{1}$
	1	1	$\mathbf{1}$
	1	1	$\mathbf{1}$
	1	1	$\mathbf{1}$
	1	-1	$-\mathbf{1}$

Edge vs. margin [Br99]

Edge of a hypothesis h^t for a distribution $\mathbf{d}$ on the examples

$$\underbrace{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \overbrace{u_n^t}^{\text{accuracy of example}} d_n}_{\text{weighted accuracy of hypothesis}} \qquad \mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}^N$$

Margin of example n for current hypothesis weighting $\mathbf{w}$

$$\underbrace{\sum_{t=1}^{T} \overbrace{u_n^t}^{\text{accuracy of example}} w_t}_{\text{weighted accuracy of example}} \qquad \mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{P}^T$$

Edge vs. margin [Br99]

Edge of a hypothesis h^t for a distribution $\mathbf{d}$ on the examples

$$\underbrace{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \overbrace{u_n^t}^{\text{accuracy of example}} d_n}_{\text{weighted accuracy of hypothesis}} \qquad \mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}^N$$

Margin of example n for current hypothesis weighting $\mathbf{w}$

$$\underbrace{\sum_{t=1}^{T} \overbrace{u_n^t}^{\text{accuracy of example}} w_t}_{\text{weighted accuracy of example}} \qquad \mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{P}^T$$

AdaBoost

Initialize $t = 0$ and $d_n^0 = \frac{1}{N}$
For $t = 1, \ldots, T$

- Get h_t whose edge w.r.t current distribution is $1 - 2\epsilon_t$
- Set $w_t = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{1-\epsilon_t}{\epsilon_t} \right)$
- Update distribution as follows

$$d_n^t = \frac{d_n^{t-1} \exp(-w_t u_n^t)}{\sum_{n'} d_{n'}^{t-1} \exp(-w_t u_{n'}^t)}$$

Final hypothesis: sgn $\left(\sum_{t=1}^T w_t h_t(\cdot) \right)$

Objectives

Edge

- Edges of past hypotheses should be small after update
- Minimize maximum edge of past hypotheses

Margin

- Choose convex combination of weak hypotheses that maximizes the minimum margin



	Which margin?
SVM	2-norm (weights on examples)
Boosting	1-norm (weights on base hypotheses)

Connection between objectives?

Edge vs. margin

$$\text{min max edge} \quad = \quad \text{max min margin}$$

$$\min_{\mathbf{d}\in\mathcal{S}^N} \max_{q=1,2,\dots,t-1} \underbrace{\mathbf{u}^q \cdot \mathbf{d}}_{\text{edge of hypothesis q}} \quad = \quad \max_{\mathbf{w}\in\mathcal{S}^{t-1}} \min_{n=1,2,\dots,N} \underbrace{\sum_{q=1}^{t-1} u_n^q \, w_q}_{\text{margin of example } n}$$

Linear Programming duality

Boosting as zero-sum-game [FS97]

Rock, Paper, Scissors game

column player

			R	P	S
			w_1	w_2	w_3
row player	R	d_1	0	1	-1
	P	d_2	-1	0	1
	S	d_3	1	-1	0

gain matrix

Single row is pure strategy of row player and $\mathbf{d}$ is mixed strategy

Single column is pure strategy of column player and $\mathbf{w}$ is mixed strategy

Row player minimizes
Column player maximizes

$$\text{payoff} = \mathbf{d}^T \mathbf{U}\, \mathbf{w} = \sum_{i,j} d_i U_{i,j} \mathbf{w}_j$$

Optimum strategy

			R	P	S
			w_1	w_2	w_3
			.33	.33	.33
R	d_1	.33	0	1	-1
P	d_2	.33	-1	0	1
S	d_3	.33	1	-1	0

- Min-max theorem:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min_{d} \max_{\mathbf{w}} \mathbf{d}^T \mathbf{U}\mathbf{w} = \min_{d} \max_{j} \mathbf{d}^T \; \mathbf{U} e_j \\
= \quad & \max_{\mathbf{w}} \min_{d} \; \mathbf{d}^T \mathbf{U}\mathbf{w} = \max_{\mathbf{w}} \min_{i} \; e_i \mathbf{U}\mathbf{w} \\
= \quad & \text{value of the game (0 in example)}
\end{aligned}
$$

e_j is pure strategy

Connection to Boosting?

- Rows are the examples
- Columns $\mathbf{u}^q$ encode weak hypothesis h^q
- Row sum: margin of example
- Column sum: edge of weak hypothesis
- Value of game:

$$\text{min max edge} = \text{max min margin}$$

Van Neumann's Minimax Theorem

Edges/margins

			R	P	S		
			w_1	w_2	w_3	margin	
			.33	.33	.33		
R	d_1	.33	0	1	-1	0	
P	d_2	.33	-1	0	1	0	min
S	d_3	.33	1	-1	0	0	
	edge		0	0	0		
				max			

value of game 0

New column added: boosting

			R	P	S			
			w_1	w_2	w_3	w_4	margin	
			.44	0	.22	.33		
R	d_1	.22	0	1	-1	1	.11	
P	d_2	.33	-1	0	1	1	.11	min
S	d_3	.44	1	-1	0	-1	.11	
	edge		.11	-.22	.11	.11		
				max				

Value of game **increases** from 0 to .11

Row added: on-line learning

			R	P	S	
			w_1	w_2	w_3	margin
			.33	.44	.22	
R	d_1	0	0	1	-1	.22
P	d_2	.22	-1	0	1	-.11 min
S	d_3	.44	1	-1	0	-.11
	d_4	.33	-1	1	-1	-.11
	edge		-.11	-.11	-.11	
				max		

Value of game **decreases** from 0 to -.11

Boosting: maximize margin incrementally

	w_1^1
d_1^1	0
d_2^1	1
d_3^1	-1

iteration 1

	w_1^2	w_2^2
d_1^2	0	-1
d_2^2	1	0
d_3^2	-1	1

iteration 2

	w_1^3	w_2^3	w_3^3
d_1^3	0	-1	1
d_2^3	1	0	-1
d_3^3	-1	1	0

iteration 3

- In each iteration solve optimization problem to update d
- Column player / oracle provides new hypothesis
- Boosting is column generation method in **d** domain and coordinate descent in **w** domain

Outline

Boosting = greedy method for increasing margin

Converges to optimum margin w.r.t. all hypotheses



Want small number of iterations

Assumption on next weak hypothesis

For current weighting of examples,
oracle returns hypothesis of edge $\geq g$

Goal

- For given ϵ, produce convex combination of weak hypotheses with soft margin $\geq g - \epsilon$
- Number of iterations $O(\frac{\log N}{\epsilon^2})$

Recall min max thm

$$\min_{\mathbf{d}\in\mathcal{S}^N} \max_{q=1,2,\ldots,t} \underbrace{\mathbf{u}^q \cdot \mathbf{d}}_{\text{edge of hypothesis q}}$$

$$= \max_{\mathbf{w}\in\mathcal{S}^t} \min_{n=1,2,\ldots,N} \underbrace{\left(\sum_{q=1}^{t} u_n^q\, w_q\right)}_{\text{margin of example } n}$$

Visualizing the margin



Min max thm - inseparable case

Slack variables in $\mathbf{w}$ domain = capping in $\mathbf{d}$ domain

$$\min_{\mathbf{d}\in\mathcal{S}^N, \mathbf{d}\leq\frac{1}{\nu}\mathbf{1}} \max_{q=1,2,\ldots,t} \underbrace{\mathbf{u}^q\cdot\mathbf{d}}_{\text{edge of hypothesis q}}$$

$$= \max_{\mathbf{w}\in\mathcal{S}^t, \boldsymbol{\psi}\geq\mathbf{0}} \min_{n=1,2,\ldots,N} \underbrace{\left(\sum_{q=1}^{t} u_n^q\, w_q + \psi_n\right)}_{\text{soft margin of example } n} - \frac{1}{\nu}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\psi_n$$

Visualizing the soft margin



LPBoost



Choose distribution that minimizes the maximum edge of current hypotheses by solving:

$$\underbrace{\min_{\sum_n d_n=1, \mathbf{d} \leq \frac{1}{\nu}\mathbf{1}} \quad \max_{q=1,2,\ldots,t} \quad \mathbf{u}^q \cdot \mathbf{d}}_{P_{LP}^t}$$

All weight is put on examples with minimum soft margin

Outline

Entropy Regularized LPBoost

$$\min_{\sum_n d_n=1, \mathbf{d}\leq\frac{1}{\nu}\mathbf{1}} \; \max_{q=1,2,\ldots,t} \; \mathbf{u}^q \cdot \mathbf{d} + \frac{1}{\eta}\Delta(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{d}^0)$$

- $$\mathbf{d}_n = \frac{\exp^{-\eta \text{ soft margin of example } n}}{Z}$$ "soft min"
- Form of weights first in ν-Arc algorithm [RSS+00]
- Regularization in $\mathbf{d}$ domain makes problem strongly convex
- Gradient of dual Lipschitz continuous in $\mathbf{w}$ [e.g. HL93,RW97]

The effect of entropy regularization

Different distribution on the examples



LPBoost: lots of zeros / brittle



ERLPBoost: smoother

Outline

1. Introduction to Boosting
2. What is Boosting?
3. Entropy Regularized LPBoost
4. Overview of Boosting algorithms
5. Conclusion and Open Problems

AdaBoost [FS97]

$$d_n^t := \frac{d_n^{t-1} \exp(-w_t u_n^t)}{\sum_{n'} d_{n'}^{t-1} \exp(-w_t u_{n'}^t)},$$

where w_t s.t. $\sum_{n'} d_{n'}^{t-1} \exp(-w\ u_{n'}^t)$ is minimized

i.e. $\frac{\partial \sum_{n'} d_{n'}^{t-1} \exp(-w\ u_{n'}^t)}{\partial w}\Big|_{w=w_t} = \sum_n u_n^t \frac{d_n^{t-1} \exp(-w_t\ u_n^t)}{\sum_{n'} d_{n'}^{t-1} \exp(-w_t`\ u_{n'}^t)} = \mathbf{u}^t \cdot \mathbf{d}^t = 0$

- Easy to implement
- Adjusts distribution so that edge of last hypothesis is zero
- Gets within half of the optimal hard margin [RSD07]
  but only in the limit

Corrective versus totally corrective

Processing last hypothesis versus all past hypotheses

Corrective	Totally Corrective
AdaBoost	LPBoost
LogitBoost	TotalBoost
AdaBoost*	SoftBoost
SS,Colt08	ERLPBoost

From AdaBoost to ERLPBoost

AdaBoost (as interpreted in [KW99,La99])

Primal:

$$\min_{\mathbf{d}} \quad \Delta(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{d}^{t-1})$$
$$\text{s.t.} \quad \mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{u}^{t} = 0, \ \|\mathbf{d}\|_1 = 1$$

Dual:

$$\max_{\mathbf{w}} \quad -ln \sum_n d_n^{t-1} \exp(-\eta u_n^t w_t)$$
$$\text{s.t.} \quad \mathbf{w} \geq 0$$

Achieves half of optimum hard margin in the limit

AdaBoost* [RW05]

Primal:

$$\min_{\mathbf{d}} \quad \Delta(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{d}^{t-1})$$
$$\text{s.t.} \quad \mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{u}^{t} \leq \gamma_t, \quad \|\mathbf{d}\|_1 = 1$$

Dual:

$$\max_{\mathbf{w}} \ -ln \sum_n d_n^{t-1} \exp(-\eta u_n^t w_t) - \gamma_t ||\mathbf{w}||_1$$
$$\text{s.t.} \quad \mathbf{w} \geq 0$$

where edge bound γ_t is adjusted downward by a heuristic

Good iteration bound for reaching optimum hard margin

SoftBoost [WGR07]

Primal:

$$\min_{\mathbf{d}} \quad \Delta(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{d}^0)$$
$$\text{s.t.} \quad \|\mathbf{d}\|_1 = 1,\ \mathbf{d} \leq \frac{1}{\nu}\mathbf{1}$$
$$\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{u}^q \leq \gamma_t,$$
$$1 \leq q \leq t$$

Dual:

$$\min_{\mathbf{w},\boldsymbol{\psi}} \quad -\ln \sum_n \mathbf{d}_n^0 \exp(-\eta \sum_{q=1}^{t} u_n^q w_q - \eta\psi_n) - \frac{1}{\nu}\|\boldsymbol{\psi}\|_1 - \gamma_t \|\mathbf{w}\|_1$$
$$\text{s.t.} \quad \mathbf{w} \geq 0,\ \boldsymbol{\psi} \geq 0$$

where edge bound γ_t is adjusted downward by a heuristic

Good iteration bound for reaching soft margin

ERLPBoost [WGV08]

Primal:

$$\min_{\mathbf{d},\gamma} \quad \gamma + \frac{1}{\eta}\Delta(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{d}^0)$$
$$\text{s.t.} \quad \|\mathbf{d}\|_1 = 1,\ \mathbf{d} \leq \frac{1}{\nu}\mathbf{1}$$
$$\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{u}^q \leq \gamma,$$
$$1 \leq q \leq t$$

Dual:

$$\min_{\mathbf{w},\boldsymbol{\psi}} \quad -\frac{1}{\eta} \ln \sum_n \mathbf{d}_n^0 \exp(-\eta \sum_{q=1}^{t} u_n^q w_q - \eta\psi_n) - \frac{1}{\nu}\|\boldsymbol{\psi}\|_1$$
$$\text{s.t.} \quad \mathbf{w} \geq 0,\ \|\mathbf{w}\|_1 = 1,\ \boldsymbol{\psi} \geq 0$$

where for the iteration bound η is fixed to $\max(\frac{2}{\epsilon}\ln\frac{N}{\nu}, \frac{1}{2})$

Good iteration bound for reaching soft margin

Corrective ERLPBoost [SS08]

Primal:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min\limits_{\mathbf{d}} & \sum_{q=1}^{t} w_q(\mathbf{u}^q \cdot \mathbf{d}) + \frac{1}{\eta}\Delta(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{d}^0) \\ \text{s.t.} & \|\mathbf{d}\|_1 = 1,\ \mathbf{d} \leq \frac{1}{\nu}\mathbf{1} \end{array}$$

Dual:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min\limits_{\boldsymbol{\psi}} & -\frac{1}{\eta} \ln \sum\limits_{n} \mathbf{d}_n^0 \exp(-\eta \sum\limits_{q=1}^{t} u_n^q w_q - \eta\psi_n) - \frac{1}{\nu}\|\boldsymbol{\psi}\|_1 \\ \text{s.t.} & \boldsymbol{\psi} \geq 0 \end{array}$$

where for the iteration bound η is fixed to $\max(\frac{2}{\epsilon} \ln \frac{N}{\nu}, \frac{1}{2})$

Good iteration bound for reaching soft margin

Iteration bounds

Corrective	Totally Corrective
AdaBoost	LPBoost
LogitBoost	TotalBoost
AdaBoost*	SoftBoost
SS,Colt08	ERLPBoost

- Strong oracle: returns hypothesis with maximum edge
- Weak oracle: returns hypothesis with edge $\geq g$

- In $O(\frac{\log \frac{N}{\nu}}{\epsilon^2})$ iterations within ϵ of maximum soft margin for strong oracle or within ϵ of g for weak oracle
- Ditto for hard margin case
- In $O(\frac{\log N}{g^2})$ iterations consistency with weak oracle

LPBoost may require $\Omega(N)$ iterations

		w_1	w_2	w_3	w_4	w_5	margin
		0	0	0	0	0	
d_1	.125	+1	-.95	-.93	-.91	-.99	–
d_2	.125	+1	-.95	-.93	-.91	-.99	–
d_3	.125	+1	-.95	-.93	-.91	-.99	–
d_4	.125	+1	-.95	-.93	-.91	-.99	–
d_5	.125	-.98	+1	-.93	-.91	+.99	–
d_6	.125	-.97	-.96	+1	-.91	+.99	–
d_7	.125	-.97	-.95	-.94	+1	+.99	–
d_8	.125	-.97	-.95	-.93	-.92	+.99	–
edge		.0137	-.7075	-.6900	-.6725	.0000	
value	**-1**						

LPBoost may require $\Omega(N)$ iterations

		w_1	w_2	w_3	w_4	w_5	margin
		1	0	0	0	0	
d_1	0	+1	-.95	-.93	-.91	-.99	1
d_2	0	+1	-.95	-.93	-.91	-.99	1
d_3	0	+1	-.95	-.93	-.91	-.99	1
d_4	0	+1	-.95	-.93	-.91	-.99	1
d_5	1	-.98	+1	-.93	-.91	+.99	-.98
d_6	0	-.97	-.96	+1	-.91	+.99	-.97
d_7	0	-.97	-.95	-.94	+1	+.99	-.97
d_8	0	-.97	-.95	-.93	-.92	+.99	-.97
edge		-.98	1	-.93	-.91	.99	
value	-1	-.98					

LPBoost may require $\Omega(N)$ iterations

		w_1	w_2	w_3	w_4	w_5	margin
		0	1	0	0	0	
d_1	0	+1	-.95	-.93	-.91	-.99	-.95
d_2	0	+1	-.95	-.93	-.91	-.99	-.95
d_3	0	+1	-.95	-.93	-.91	-.99	-.95
d_4	0	+1	-.95	-.93	-.91	-.99	-.95
d_5	0	-.98	+1	-.93	-.91	+.99	1
d_6	1	-.97	-.96	+1	-.91	+.99	-.96
d_7	0	-.97	-.95	-.94	+1	+.99	-.95
d_8	0	-.97	-.95	-.93	-.92	+.99	-.95
edge		-.97	-.96	1	-.91	.99	
value	-1	-.98	-.96				

LPBoost may require $\Omega(N)$ iterations

		w_1	w_2	w_3	w_4	w_5	margin
		0	0	1	0	0	
d_1	0	+1	-.95	-.93	-.91	-.99	-.93
d_2	0	+1	-.95	-.93	-.91	-.99	-.93
d_3	0	+1	-.95	-.93	-.91	-.99	-.93
d_4	0	+1	-.95	-.93	-.91	-.99	-.93
d_5	0	-.98	+1	-.93	-.91	+.99	-.93
d_6	0	-.97	-.96	+1	-.91	+.99	1
d_7	1	-.97	-.95	-.94	+1	+.99	-.94
d_8	0	-.97	-.95	-.93	-.92	+.99	-.93
edge		-.97	-.95	-.94	1	.99	
value	-1	-.98	-.96	-.94			

LPBoost may require $\Omega(N)$ iterations

		w_1	w_2	w_3	w_4	w_5	margin
		0	0	0	1	0	
d_1	0	+1	-.95	-.93	-.91	-.99	-.91
d_2	0	+1	-.95	-.93	-.91	-.99	-.91
d_3	0	+1	-.95	-.93	-.91	-.99	-.91
d_4	0	+1	-.95	-.93	-.91	-.99	-.91
d_5	0	-.98	+1	-.93	-.91	+.99	-.91
d_6	0	-.97	-.96	+1	-.91	+.99	-.91
d_7	0	-.97	-.95	-.94	+1	+.99	1
d_8	1	-.97	-.95	-.93	-.92	+.99	-.92
edge		-.97	-.95	-.94	-.92	.99	
value	-1	-.98	-.96	-.94	-.92		

LPBoost may require $\Omega(N)$ iterations

		w_1	w_2	w_3	w_4	w_5	margin
		.5	.0026	0	0	.4975	
d_1	.497	+1	-.95	-.93	-.91	-.99	.0051
d_2	0	+1	-.95	-.93	-.91	-.99	.0051
d_3	0	+1	-.95	-.93	-.91	-.99	.0051
d_4	0	+1	-.95	-.93	-.91	-.99	.0051
d_5	0	-.98	+1	-.93	-.91	+.99	.0051
d_6	.490	-.97	-.96	+1	-.91	+.99	.0051
d_7	0	-.97	-.95	-.94	+1	+.99	.0051
d_8	.013	-.97	-.95	-.93	-.92	+.99	.0051
edge		.0051	.0051	.9055	.9100	.0051	
value	-1	-.98	-.96	-.94	-.92	.0051	

No ties!

LPBoost may return bad final hypothesis

How good is the master hypothesis returned by LPBoost compared to the best possible convex combination of hypotheses?

Any linearly separable dataset can be reduced to a dataset on which LPBoost misclassifies all examples by

- adding a bad example
- adding a bad hypothesis

Adding a bad example

		w_1	w_2	w_3	w_4	w_5	margin
		.5	.0026	0	0	.4975	
d_1	0	$+1$	-.95	-.93	-.91	-.99	.0051
d_2	0	$+1$	-.95	-.93	-.91	-.99	.0051
d_3	0	$+1$	-.95	-.93	-.91	-.99	.0051
d_4	0	$+1$	-.95	-.93	-.91	-.99	.0051
d_5	0	-.98	$+1$	-.93	-.91	$+.99$	.0051
d_6	0	-.97	-.96	$+1$	-.91	$+.99$	.0051
d_7	0	-.97	-.95	-.94	$+1$	$+.99$	.0051
d_8	0	-.97	-.95	-.93	-.92	$+.99$	.0051
d_9	1	**-.03**	**-.03**	**-.03**	**-.03**	**-.03**	$-.03$
edge		$-.03$	$-.03$	$-.03$	$-.03$	$-.03$	
value	-1	-.98	-.96	-.94	-.92	$-.03$	

Adding a bad hypothesis

		w_1	w_2	w_3	w_4	w_5	w_6	margin
		0	0	0	0	0	1	
d_1	0	+1	-.95	-.93	-.91	-.99	-.01	.0051
d_2	0	+1	-.95	-.93	-.91	-.99	-.01	.0051
d_3	0	+1	-.95	-.93	-.91	-.99	-.01	.0051
d_4	0	+1	-.95	-.93	-.91	-.99	-.01	.0051
d_5	0	-.98	+1	-.93	-.91	+.99	-.01	.0051
d_6	0	-.97	-.96	+1	-.91	+.99	-.01	.0051
d_7	0	-.97	-.95	-.94	+1	+.99	-.01	.0051
d_8	0	-.97	-.95	-.93	-.92	+.99	-.01	.0051
d_9	1	-.03	-.03	-.03	-.03	-.03	-.02	.0051
edge		$-.03$	$-.03$	$-.03$	$-.03$	$-.03$	$-.02$	
value	-1	-.98	-.96	-.94	-.92	-.03		

Adding a bad hypothesis

		w_1	w_2	w_3	w_4	w_5	w_6	margin
		0	0	0	0	0	1	
d_1	0	+1	-.95	-.93	-.91	-.99	**-.01**	$-.01$
d_2	0	+1	-.95	-.93	-.91	-.99	**-.01**	$-.01$
d_3	0	+1	-.95	-.93	-.91	-.99	**-.01**	$-.01$
d_4	0	+1	-.95	-.93	-.91	-.99	**-.01**	$-.01$
d_5	0	-.98	+1	-.93	-.91	+.99	**-.01**	$-.01$
d_6	0	-.97	-.96	+1	-.91	+.99	**-.01**	$-.01$
d_7	0	-.97	-.95	-.94	+1	+.99	**-.01**	$-.01$
d_8	0	-.97	-.95	-.93	-.92	+.99	**-.01**	$-.01$
d_9	1	-.03	-.03	-.03	-.03	-.03	**-.02**	$-.02$
edge		$-.03$	$-.03$	$-.03$	$-.03$	$-.03$	$-.02$	
value	-1	-.98	-.96	-.94	-.92	-.03	**-.02**	

Adding a bad hypothesis

		w_1	w_2	w_3	w_4	w_5	w_6	margin
		0	0	0	0	0	1	
d_1	0	+1	-.95	-.93	-.91	-.99	-.01	−.01
d_2	0	+1	-.95	-.93	-.91	-.99	-.01	−.01
d_3	0	+1	-.95	-.93	-.91	-.99	-.01	−.01
d_4	0	+1	-.95	-.93	-.91	-.99	-.01	−.01
d_5	0	-.98	+1	-.93	-.91	+.99	-.01	−.01
d_6	0	-.97	-.96	+1	-.91	+.99	-.01	−.01
d_7	0	-.97	-.95	-.94	+1	+.99	-.01	−.01
d_8	0	-.97	-.95	-.93	-.92	+.99	-.01	−.01
d_9	1	-.03	-.03	-.03	-.03	-.03	-.02	−.02
edge		−.03	−.03	−.03	−.03	−.03	−.02	
value	-1	-.98	-.96	-.94	-.92	-.03	**-.02**	

Adding a bad hypothesis

		w_1	w_2	w_3	w_4	w_5	w_6	margin
		.5	0	0	0	.5	0	
d_1	0	**+1**	-.95	-.93	-.91	**-.99**	-.01	+.005
d_2	0	**+1**	-.95	-.93	-.91	**-.99**	-.01	+.005
d_3	0	**+1**	-.95	-.93	-.91	**-.99**	-.01	+.005
d_4	0	**+1**	-.95	-.93	-.91	**-.99**	-.01	+.005
d_5	0	**-.98**	+1	-.93	-.91	**+.99**	-.01	+.005
d_6	0	**-.97**	-.96	+1	-.91	**+.99**	-.01	+.01
d_7	0	**-.97**	-.95	-.94	+1	**+.99**	-.01	+.01
d_8	0	**-.97**	-.95	-.93	-.92	**+.99**	-.01	+.01
d_9	1	**-.03**	-.03	-.03	-.03	**-.03**	-.02	−.03

Synopsis

- LPBoost often unstable
- For safety, add relative entropy regularization
- Corrective algs
  - Sometimes easy to code
  - Fast per iteration
- Totally corrective algs
  - Smaller number of iterations
  - Faster overall time when ϵ small
- Weak versus strong oracle makes a big difference in practice

$O(\frac{\log N}{\epsilon^2})$ iteration bounds

Good

- Bound is major design tool
- Any reasonable Boosting algorithm should have this bound

Bad

- Bound is weak

	$\frac{\ln N}{\epsilon^2} \geq N$
$\epsilon = .01$	$N \leq 1.2\ 10^5$
$\epsilon = .001$	$N \leq 1.7\ 10^7$

- Why are totally corrective algorithms much better in practice?

Lower bounds on the number of iterations

- Majority of $\Omega(\frac{\log N}{g^2})$ hypotheses for achieving consistency with weak oracle of guarantee g [Fr95]
- Easy: $\Omega(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2})$ iteration bound for getting within ϵ of hard margin with strong oracle
- Harder: $\Omega(\frac{\log N}{\epsilon^2})$ iteration bound for stron oracle [Ne83?]

Outline

Conclusion

- Adding relative entropy regularization of LPBoost leads to good boosting alg.
- Boosting is instantiation of MaxEnt and MinxEnt principles [Jaines 57,Kullback 59]
- Relative entropy regularization smoothes one-norm regularization

Open

- When hypotheses have one-sided error then $O(\frac{\log N}{\epsilon})$ iterations suffice [As00,HW03]
- Does ERLPBoost have $O(\frac{\log N}{\epsilon})$ bound when hypotheses one-sided?
- Replace geometric optimizers by entropic ones
- Compare ours with Freund's algorithms that don't just cap, but forget examples

Acknowledgment

- Rob Schapire and Yoav Freund for pioneering Boosting
- Gunnar Rätsch for bringing in optimization
- Karen Glocer for helping with figures and plots