Partial Differerential Equations Thành Nam Phan Winter Semester 2021/2022

Lecture notes TEXed by Thomas Eingartner

Tuesday 7^{th} December, 2021, 15:01

Contents

1	Introduction	į
2	Laplace / Poisson Equation 2.1 Laplace Equation	
	2.2 Poisson-Equation	
3	Convolution, Fourier Transform and Distributions	16
	3.1 Convolutions	. 16
	3.2 Fourier Transformation	. 24
	3.3 Theory of Distribution	. 27
	3.4 Distribution vs. measures	. 41
4	Weak Solutions and Regularity	45
5	Existence for Poisson's Equation on Domains	65
	5.1 Green's function on the upper half plane	. 68
	5.2 Green's function for a ball	. 71

Please note that I write this lecture notes for my personal use. I may write things differently than presented in the lecture. This script also contains solutions for exercises (which may be wrong). Of course, I don't push them to GitHub while the exercises can be handed in.

Chapter 1

Introduction

A differential equation is an equation of a function and its derivatives.

Example 1.1 (Linear ODE) Let $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{cases} f(t) = af(t) \text{ for all } t \geqslant 0, a \in \mathbb{R} \\ f(0) = a_0 \end{cases}$$

is a linear ODE (Ordinary differential equation). The solution is: $f(t) = a_0 e^{at}$ for all $t \ge 0$.

Example 1.2 (Non-Linear ODE) $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{cases} f'(t) = 1 + f^2(t) \\ f(0) = 1 \end{cases}$$

Lets consider $f(t) = \tan(t) = \frac{\sin(t)}{\cos(t)}$. Then we have

$$f'(t) = \frac{1}{\cos(t)} = 1 + \tan^2(t) = 1 + f^2(t),$$

but this solution only is good in $(-\pi, \pi)$. It's a problem to extend this to $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$.

A PDE (Partial Differential Equation) is an equation of a function of 2 or more variables and its derivatives.

Remark 1.3 Recall for $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ open and $f: \Omega \to \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$ the notation of partial derivatives:

- $\partial_{x_i} f(x) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x+he_i) f(x)}{h}$, where $e_i = (0, 0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^d$
- $D^{\alpha}f(x) = \partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial_{x_d}^{\alpha_d} f(x)$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$
- $Df = \nabla f = (\partial x_1, \dots, \partial_{x_d})$
- $\bullet \ \Delta f = \partial_{x_1}^2 + \dots + \partial_{x_d}^2 f$
- $D^k f = (D^{\alpha} f)_{|\alpha|=k}$, where $|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^d |\alpha_i|$
- $D^2 f = (\partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_j} f)_{1 \leq i, j \leq d}$

Definition 1.4 Given a function F. Then the equation of the form

$$F(D^k u(x), D^{k-1} u(x), \dots, Du(x), u(x), x) = 0$$

with the unknown function $u: \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a *PDE of order k*.

- Equations $\sum_{d} a_{\alpha}(x) D^{\alpha} u(x) = 0$, where a_{α} and u are unknown functions are called *Linear PDEs*.
- Equations $\sum_{|\alpha|=k} a_{\alpha}(x) D^{\alpha} u(x) + F(D^{k-1}u, D^{k-2}u, \dots, Du, u, x) = 0$ are called semi-linear PDEs.

Goals: For solving a PDE we want to

- Find an explizit solution! This is in many cases impossible.
- Prove a well-posted theory (existence of solutions, uniqueness of solutions, continuous dependence of solutions on the data)

We have two notations of solutions:

- 1. Classical solution: The solution is continuous differentiable (e.g. $\Delta u = f \leadsto u \in C^2$)
- 2. Weak Solutions: The solution is not smooth/continuous

Definition 1.5 (Spaces of continous and differentiable functions) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open

$$\begin{split} C(\Omega) &= \{f: \ \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ continuous} \} \\ C^k(\Omega) &= \{f: \ \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \mid D^\alpha f \text{ is continuous for all } |\alpha| \ \leqslant k \} \end{split}$$

Classical solution of a PDE of order $k \rightsquigarrow C^k$ solutions!

$$L^p(\Omega) = \left\{ f: \ \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \text{ lebesgue measurable } | \int_{\Omega} |f|^p d\lambda < \infty, 1 \leqslant p < \infty \right\}$$

Sobolev Space:

$$W^{k,p}(\Omega) = \{ f \in L^p(\Omega) \mid \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n \text{ with } |\alpha| \leq k : D^{\alpha} f \in L^p(\Omega) \text{ exists} \}$$

In this course we will investigate

- Laplace / Poisson Equation: $-\Delta u = f$
- Heat Equation: $\partial_t u \Delta u = f$
- Wave Equation: $\partial_t^2 \Delta u = f$
- Schrödinger Equation: $i\partial_t u \Delta u = f$

Chapter 2

Laplace / Poisson Equation

2.1 Laplace Equation

 $-\Delta u = 0$ (Laplace) or $-\Delta u = f(x)$ (Poisson).

Definition 2.1 (Harmonic Function) Let Ω be an open set in \mathbb{R}^d . If $u \in C^2(\Omega)$ and $\Delta u = 0$ in Ω , then u is a harmonic function in Ω .

Theorem 2.2 (Gauss-Green Theorem) Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ open, $\vec{F} \in C^1(A, \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $K \subseteq A$ compact with C^1 boundary. Then

$$\int_{\partial K} \vec{F} \cdot \vec{\nu} \ dS(x) = \int_K \operatorname{div}(\vec{F}) \ dx$$

where ν is the outward unit normal vector field on ∂K . Thus

$$\int_{\partial V} \nabla u \cdot \vec{\nu} \ dS(x) = \int_{V} \operatorname{div}(\nabla u) \ dx = \int_{V} \Delta u(x) \ dx$$

for any $V \subseteq \Omega$ open.

Theorem 2.3 (Green's Identities) Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ open, $K \subseteq A$ d-dim. compactum with C^1 boundary and $f, g \in C^2(A)$

1. Green's first identity (Partial Integration):

$$\int_{K} \nabla f \cdot \nabla g \, dx = \int_{\partial K} f \frac{\partial g}{\partial \nu} \, dS - \int_{K} f \Delta g \, dx$$

where $\frac{\partial g}{\partial \nu} = \partial_{\nu} g = \nu \cdot \nabla g$

2. Green's second identity:

$$\int_{K} f \Delta g - (\Delta f) g \, dx = \int_{\partial K} \left(f \frac{\partial g}{\partial \nu} - g \frac{\partial f}{\partial \nu} \right) \, dS$$

Exercise 2.4 Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ open, let $f:\Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Prove that if $\int_B f(x) \ dx = 0$, then $u \equiv 0$ in Ω .

Theorem 2.5 (Fundamential Lemma of Calculus of Variations) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ open, let $f \in L^1(\Omega)$. If $\int_B f(x) \ dx = 0$ for all $x \in B_r(x) \subseteq \Omega$, then f(x) = 0 a.e. (almost everywhere) $x \in \Omega$.

Remark 2.6 (Solving Laplace Equation) $-\Delta u = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^d . Consider the case when u is radial, i.e. $u(x) = v(|x|), v : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$. Denote r = |x|, then

$$\frac{\partial r}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\sqrt{x_1^2 + \dots + x_d^2} \right) = \frac{2x_i}{2\sqrt{x_1^2 + \dots + x_d^2}} = \frac{x_i}{r}$$

Then

$$\begin{split} \partial_{x_i} u &= \partial_{x_i} v = (\partial_r v) \frac{\partial r}{\partial x_i} = v'(r) \frac{x_i}{r} \\ \partial_{x_i}^2 u &= \partial_{x_i} \left(v(r)' \frac{x_i}{r} \right) = (\partial_{x_i} v(r)') \frac{x_i}{r} + v'(r) \partial_{x_i} \left(\frac{x_i}{r} \right) \\ &= (\partial_r v'(r)) \left(\frac{dr}{\partial_{x_i}} \right) \frac{x_i}{r} + v'(r) \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{x_i}{r^2} (\partial_{x_i} r) \right) = v'(r) \frac{x_i^2}{r^2} + v'r(r) \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{x_i^2}{r^3} \right) \end{split}$$

So we have $\Delta u = \left(\sum_{i=1}^d d_{x_i}^2\right) u = v''(r) + v'(r)(\frac{d}{r} - \frac{1}{r})$ Thus $\Delta u = v'(r) + v(r)\frac{d-1}{r}$. We consider $d \ge 2$. Laplace operator $\Delta u = 0$ now becomes $v''(r) + v'(r)\frac{d-1}{r} = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \log(v(r))' = \frac{v'(r)}{v(r)} = -\frac{d-1}{r} = -(d-1)(\log r)' \text{ (recall } \log(f)' = \frac{f'}{f})$$

$$\Rightarrow v'(r) = \frac{1}{v^{d-2} + \text{const.}}$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{const}{r^{d-2}} + constxx + const & , d \geqslant 3 \\ const \log(r) + constxxr + const & , d = 2 \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.7 (Fundamential Solution of Laplace Equation)

$$\Phi(x) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2\pi} \log(|x|), & d = 2\\ \frac{1}{(d-2)d|B_1|} \frac{1}{|x|^{d-2}}, & d \geqslant 3 \end{cases}$$

Where $|B_1|$ is the Volume of the ball $B_1(0) = B(0,1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$.

Remark 2.8 $\Delta\Phi(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $x \neq 0$.

2.2Poisson-Equation

The Poisson-Equation is $-\Delta u(x) = f(x)$ in \mathbb{R}^d . The explicit solution is given by

$$u(x) = (\Phi \star f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(x - y) f(y) \ dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(y) f(x - y) \ dy$$

This can be heuristically justifyfied with

$$-\Delta(\Phi \star f) = (-\Delta\Phi) \star f = \delta_0 \star f = f$$

Theorem 2.9 Assume $f \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then $u = \Phi \star f$ satisfies that $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $-\Delta u(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

Proof. By definition we have

$$u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(y) f(x - y) \, dy.$$

First we check that u is continuous: Take $x_k \to x_0$ in \mathbb{R}^d . We prove that $u(x_n) \xrightarrow{n} u_0$, i.e.

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(y) f(x_n - y) \ dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(y) f(x_0 - y) \ dy$$

This follows from the Dominated Convergence Theorem. More precisely:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \Phi(y) f(x_n - y) = \Phi(y) f(x_0 - y) \quad \text{for all } y \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$$

and

$$|\Phi(y)f(x-y)| \leq ||f||_{L^{\infty}} \cdot \mathbb{1}(|y| \leq R) \cdot |\Phi(y)| \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, dy)$$

where R > 0 depends on $\{x_n\}$ and supp(f) but independent of y. Now we compute the derivatives:

$$\begin{split} \partial_{x_i} u(x) &= \partial_{x_i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(y) f(x-y) \ dy = \lim_{h \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(y) \frac{f(x+he_i-y) - f(x-y)}{h} \ dy \\ (\text{dom. conv.}) &= \int \Phi(y) \partial_{x_i} f(x-y) \ dy \\ \Rightarrow & D^{\alpha} u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(y) D_x^{\alpha} f(x-y) \ dy \quad \text{for all } |\alpha| \leqslant 2 \end{split}$$

 $D^{\alpha}u(x)$ is continuous, thus $u\in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Now we check if this solves the Poisson-Equation:

$$-\Delta u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(y)(-\Delta_x) f(x-y) \, dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(y)(-\Delta_y) f(x-y) \, dy$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B(0,\epsilon)} \Phi(y)(-\Delta_x) f(x-y) \, dy + \int_{B(0,\epsilon)} \Phi(y)(-\Delta_x) f(x-y) \, dy \quad (\epsilon > 0 \text{ small})$$

Now we come to the main part. We apply integration by parts (2.3):

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash B(0,\epsilon)} \Phi(y)(-\Delta_{y}) f(x-y) \, dy$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash B(0,\epsilon)} (\nabla_{y} \Phi(y)) \cdot \nabla_{y} f(x-y) \, dy - \int_{\partial B(0,\epsilon)} \Phi(y) \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \vec{n}} (x-y) \, dS(y)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash B(0,\epsilon)} (-\Delta_{y} \Phi(y)) f(x-y) \, dy$$

$$+ \int_{\partial B(0,\epsilon)} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \vec{n}} (y) f(x-y) \, dS(y) - \int_{\partial B(0,\epsilon)} \Phi(y) \frac{\partial f}{\partial \vec{n}} (x-y) \, dS(y)$$

We have that $\nabla_y \Phi(y) = -\frac{1}{d|B_1|} \frac{y}{|y|^d}$ and $\vec{n} = \frac{y}{|y|}$ in $\partial B(0, \epsilon)$. This leads to

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \vec{n}} = \frac{1}{d|B_1|} \frac{1}{|y|^{d-1}} = \frac{1}{d|B_1|\epsilon^{d-1}} \quad \text{for } y \in \partial B(0, \epsilon)$$

Hence:

$$\int_{\partial B(0,\epsilon)} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \vec{n}}(y) f(x-y) \ dS(y) = \frac{1}{d|B_1|\epsilon^{d-1}} \int_{\partial B(0,\epsilon)} f(x-y) \ dS(y)$$
$$= \int_{\partial B(0,\epsilon)} f(x-y) \ dS(y) = \int_{\partial B(x,\epsilon)} f(y) \ dS(y) \xrightarrow{\epsilon \to 0} f(x)$$

We have to regard the following error terms:

$$\left| \int_{B(0,\epsilon)} \Phi(y) (-\Delta_y) f(x-y) \, dy \right| \leq \int_{B(0,\epsilon)} |\Phi(y)| \underbrace{\left| -\Delta_y f(x-y) \right|}_{\leq \|\Delta f\|_{L^{\infty}} \mathbb{1}(|y| \leq R)} \, dy$$

$$\leq \|\Delta f\|_{L^{\infty}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \underbrace{\left| \Phi(y) |\mathbb{1}(|y| \leq R) \right|}_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \mathbb{1}(|y| \leq \epsilon) \xrightarrow{\epsilon \to 0} 0$$

Where R > 0 depends on x and the support of f but is independent of y.

$$\bullet \left| \int_{\partial B(0,\epsilon)} \Phi(y) \frac{\partial f}{\partial \vec{n}}(x-y) \ dS(y) \right| \leq \|\nabla f\|_{L^{\infty}} \int_{\partial B(0,\epsilon)} |\Phi(y)| \ dy$$

$$\leq \begin{cases} const \cdot \epsilon |\log \epsilon| \to 0, & d = 2\\ const \cdot \epsilon \to 0, & d \geqslant 3 \end{cases}$$

Conclusion: $-\Delta u(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ proved that $u = \Phi \star f$ and $f \in C^2_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Thus, if $f \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R})$, then $u = \Phi \star f$ satisfies $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $-\Delta u(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Remark 2.10 The result holds for a much bigger class of functions f. For example if $f \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R})$ we can easily extend the previous proof:

$$\partial_{x_i} u = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(y) \partial_{x_i} f(x - y) \, dy \in C(\mathbb{R}^d) \Rightarrow u \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

Consequently:

$$\partial_{x_i}\partial_{x_j}u = \partial_{x_i}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(y)\partial_{x_j}f(x-y)\,dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_{x_i}\Phi(y)\partial_{x_j}f(x-y)\,dy \in C(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

So we have $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Now we can compute

$$\Delta u = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_{x_i} \Phi(y) \partial_{x_i} f(x-y) \, dy \stackrel{(IBP)}{=} f(x).$$

Exercise 2.11 Extend this to more general functions!

2.3 Equations in general domains

Theorem 2.12 (Mean Value Theorem for Harmonic Functions) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be open, let $u \in C^2(\Omega)$ and $\Delta u = 0$ in Ω . Then

$$u(x) = \int_{B(x,r)} u = \int_{\partial B(x,r)} u$$
 for all $x \in \Omega, B(x,r) \subseteq \Omega$

Proof. Consider all r > 0 s.t. $B(x, r) \subseteq \Omega$,

$$f(r) = \int_{\partial B(x,r)} u$$

We need to prove that f(r) is independent of r. When it is done, then we immediately obtain

$$f(r) = \lim_{t \to 0} f(t) = u(x)$$

as u is continuous. To prove that, consider

$$f'(r) = \frac{d}{dr} \left(\int_{\partial B(0,r)} u(x+y) \, dS(y) \right)$$

$$= \frac{d}{dr} \left(\int_{\partial B(0,1)} u(x+rz) \, dS(z) \right)$$

$$(\text{dom. convergence}) = \int_{\partial B(0,1)} \frac{d}{dr} [u(x+rz)] \, dS(z)$$

$$= \int_{\partial B(0,1)} \nabla u(x+rz) z \, dS(z)$$

$$= \int_{\partial B(x,r)} \nabla u(y) \frac{y-x}{r} \, dS(y)$$

$$= \frac{1}{|B(x,r)|_{\mathbb{R}^d}} \int_{\partial B(x,r)} \nabla \cdot u(y) \cdot \vec{n_y} \, dS(y)$$

$$(\text{Gauss-Green 2.2}) = \frac{1}{|B(x,r)|_{\mathbb{R}^d}} \int_{B(x,r)} \underbrace{(\Delta u)(y)}_{=0} \, dy = 0$$

Exercise 2.13 In 1D: $\Delta u = 0 \Leftrightarrow u'' = 0 \Leftrightarrow u(x) = ax + b$ (Linear Equation)

Remark 2.14 Recall the polar decomposition. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, x = (r, w), r = |x| > 0, $\omega \in S^{d-1}$, then

$$\int_{B(0,r)} g(y) \, dy = \int_0^r \left(\int_{B(0,r)} g(y) \, dS(y) \right) dr$$

Remark 2.15 We already proved that for u harmonic we have $u(x) = f_{\partial B(x,r)} u \, dy$. Now we have

$$\int_{B(x,r)} u(y) \, dy = \int_{B(0,r)} u(x+y) \, dy$$
(Pol. decomposition)
$$= \int_0^r \left(\int_{\partial B(0,s)} u(x+y) \, dS(y) \right) ds$$

$$= \int_0^r \left(\int_{\partial B(x,s)} u(y) \, dS(y) \right) ds$$
(Mean value property)
$$= \int_0^r \left(|\partial B(x,s)| \, u(x) \right) ds = |B(x,r)| \, u(x)$$

This implies

$$\oint_{B(x,r)} u(y) \, dy = u(x) \quad \text{for any } B(x,r) \subseteq \Omega.$$

Remark 2.16 The reverse direction is also correct, namely if $u \in C^2(\Omega)$ and

$$u(x) = \int_{B(x,r)} u(y) \, dy = \int_{\partial B(x,r)} u(y) \, dy \quad \text{for all } B(x,r) \subseteq \Omega,$$

then u is harmonic, i.e. $\Delta u = 0$ in Ω . (The proof is exactly like before)

Theorem 2.17 (Maximum Principle) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open, let $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$, $\Delta u = 0$ in Ω . Then

- a) $\sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} u(x) = \sup_{x \in \partial \Omega} u(x)$
- b) Assume that Ω is connected. Then if there is a $x_0 \in \Omega$ s.t. $u(x_0) = \sup_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} u(x)$, then $u \equiv const.$ in Ω .

Proof. Given $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ open, we can write $U = \bigcup_i U_i$, where U_i is open and connected.

- b) Assume that Ω is connected and there is a $x_0 \in \Omega$ s.t. $u(x_0) = \sup_{y \in \Omega} u(x)$. Define $U = \{x \in \Omega \mid u(x) = u(x_0)\} = u^{-1}(u(x_0))$. U is closed since u is continuous. Moreover, U is open by the mean-value theorem. I.e. for all $x \in U$ there is a r > 0 s.t. $B(x,r) \subseteq U \subseteq \Omega$. Since U is connected we get $U = \Omega$, so u is constant in Ω . On the other hand, if there is no $x_0 \in \Omega$ s.t. $u(x_0) = \sup_{x \in \Omega} w$ we have $\forall x_0 \in \Omega : u(x) < \sup_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} u(x) = \sup_{x \in \partial \Omega} u(x)$
- a) Given $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ open, we can write $\Omega = \bigcup_i \Omega_i$, where Ω_i is open and connected. By b) we have

$$\sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}_i} u(x) = \sup_{x \in \partial \Omega_i} u(x), \quad \forall i$$

So we can conclude

$$\sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} u(x) = \sup_{x \in \partial\Omega} u(x).$$

Definition 2.18 • If $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is open, $u \in C^2(\Omega)$, then u is called *sub-harmonic* if $\Delta u \ge 0$ in Ω .

• If $\Delta u \leq 0$, then u is called *super-harmonic*.

Exercise 2.19 (E 1.4) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open and $u \in C^2(\Omega)$ be subharmonic.

a) Prove that u satisfies the Mean Value Inequality

$$\int_{\partial B(x,r)} u(y) \, dS(y) \geqslant \int_{B(x,r)} u(y) \, dy \geqslant u(x)$$

for all $B(x,r) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$.

- b) Assume further that Ω is connected and $u \in C(\bar{\Omega})$. Prove that u satisfies the strong maximum principle, namely either
 - u is constant in Ω , or
 - $\sup_{y \in \partial \Omega} u(y) > u(x)$ for all $x \in \Omega$.

My Solution. a) Let $f(r) = \int_{\partial B(x,r)} u(y) dS(y)$, then we have

$$\partial_{r} f(r) = \partial_{r} \oint_{\partial B(x,r)} u(y) \, dS(y)$$
(Dom. Convergence)
$$= \oint_{\partial B(x,r)} \partial_{r} u(y) \, dS(y)$$

$$= \oint_{\partial B(0,1)} \partial_{r} u(x+yr) \, dS(y)$$

$$= \oint_{\partial B(0,1)} \nabla u(x+yr) \cdot y \, dS(y)$$

$$= \oint_{\partial B(x,r)} \nabla u(y) \cdot \frac{y-x}{r} \, dS(y)$$

$$= \oint_{\partial B(x,r)} \nabla u(y) \cdot \vec{n}_{y} \, dS(y)$$
(Gauss-Green)
$$= \oint_{B(x,r)} \operatorname{div}(\nabla u(y)) \, dS(y)$$

$$= \oint_{B(x,r)} \underbrace{\Delta u(y)}_{\geqslant 0} \, dS(y) \geqslant 0$$

So we can conclude that

$$\oint_{\partial B(x,r)} u(y) \, dS(y) = f(r) \geqslant \lim_{r \to 0} f(r) = u(x).$$

Now regard

$$\int_{B(x,r)} u(y) \, dy = \int_0^r \left(\int_{\partial B(x,r)} u(y) \, dS(y) \right) ds$$

$$= \int_0^r \left(|\partial B(x,r)| \int_{\partial B(x,r)} u(y) \, dS(y) \right) ds$$

$$\geqslant \int_0^r |\partial B(x,r)| \cdot u(x) \, dS(y)$$

$$= u(x) \int_0^r |\partial B(x,r)| \, dS(y) = u(x) |B(x,r)|.$$

Thus we have

$$u(x) \leqslant \int_{B(x,r)} u(y)dy.$$

Finally, lets regard

$$\int_{B(x,r)} u(y) \, dy = \int_0^r \left(|\partial B(x,s)| \oint_{\partial B(x,s)} u(y) \, dS(y) \right) \, ds$$

$$(\partial_r f(r) \geqslant 0) \qquad \leqslant \int_0^r \left(|\partial B(x,s)| \oint_{\partial B(x,r)} u(y) \, dS(y) \right) \, ds$$

$$= \oint_{\partial B(x,r)} u(y) \, dS(y) \int_0^r |\partial B(x,s)| \, ds$$

$$= \oint_{\partial B(x,r)} u(y) \, dS(y) \cdot |B(x,s)|$$

and we conclude

$$\int_{B(x,r)} u(y) \, dy \leqslant \int_{\partial B(x,r)} u(y) \, dS(y).$$

b) Let $x_0 \in \Omega$ s.t. $u(x_0) = \sup_{x \in \Omega} u(x)$. Now,

$$\sup_{x \in \Omega} u(x) = u(x_0) \leqslant \int_{\partial B(x_0, r)} u(y) \, dy$$
$$\leqslant \int_{\partial B(x_0, r)} \sup_{x \in \Omega} u(x) \, dy = \sup_{x \in \Omega} u(x)$$

Since u is continuous we get $u(y) = u(x_0)$ for all $y \in B(x_0, r)$, so u is constant.

Definition 2.20 The *Poisson Equation* for given f, g on a bounded set is:

$$\begin{cases}
-\Delta u = f, & \text{in } \Omega \\
u = g, & \text{on } \partial\Omega
\end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.21 (Uniqueness) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be bounded, open and connected. Let $f \in C(\Omega), g \in C(\partial\Omega)$. Then there exists at most one solution $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$, s.t.

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f, & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = g, & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$

Proof. Assume that we have two solutions u_1 and u_2 . Then $u := u_1 - u_2$ is a solution to

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = 0, & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$

By the maximum principle, we know that u=0 in Ω . More precisely, by the maximum principle we have $\forall x\in\Omega$

$$\sup_{x \in \Omega} u(x) \leqslant \sup_{x \in \partial \Omega} u(x) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad u(x) \leqslant 0$$

Since -u satisfies the same property we have $\forall x \in \Omega$:

$$\sup_{x \in \Omega} (-u(x)) \leqslant \sup_{x \in \partial \Omega} (-u(x)) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad -u(x) \leqslant 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad u(x) \geqslant 0$$

So we geht u(x) = 0 in Ω .

Exercise 2.22 (Bonus 1) Let Ω be open, connected and bounded in \mathbb{R}^d . Let $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ s.t.

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = 0, & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = g, & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$

Prove that

a) If $g \ge 0$ on $\partial \Omega$, then $u \ge 0$ in Ω .

b) If $g \ge 0$ on $\partial \Omega$ and $g \ne 0$, then u > 0 in Ω .

My Solution. a) We have that $\Delta(-u) = 0$, so -u is harmonic in Ω . Since Ω is open and bounded we can apply the Maximum Principle (2.17) and get that

$$\sup_{x\in\bar{\Omega}}-u(x)\leqslant \sup_{x\in\partial\Omega}-g(x)\leqslant 0.$$

This implies $\inf_{x \in \Omega} u(x) \ge 0$, so $u \ge 0$ in Ω .

b) We prove this by contraposition. Assume there is a $x_0 \in \Omega$ s.t. $u(x_0) = 0$. Since we have $u \ge 0$ on Ω by a), it follows that

$$0 = -u(x_0) = \sup_{x \in \Omega} -u(x) \leqslant \sup_{x \in \partial\Omega} -g(x) \leqslant 0,$$

so -u attains its maximum on Ω . Hence -u=0=u is constant by the strong maximum principle because Ω is connected, in fact $0=u|_{\partial\Omega}=g$.

Lemma 2.23 (Estimates for derivatives) If u is harmonic in $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$, $|\alpha| = N$ and $B(x_0, r) \subseteq \Omega$, then

$$|D^{\alpha}u(x)| \leqslant \frac{(c_d N)^N}{r^{d+N}} \int_{B(x,r)} |u| \, dy$$

Proof. Induction: Assume $|\alpha| = N - 1$, Take $|\alpha| = N$

$$|D^{\alpha}u(x_0)| \le \frac{|S_1|}{|B_1|\frac{r}{N}} \|D^{\beta}u\|_{L^{\infty}(B(x_0,\frac{r}{n}))}, \quad D^{\alpha}u = \partial_{x_i}(D^{\beta}u)_{|\beta|=N-1}$$

Note: $x \in B(x_0, \frac{r}{N})$, so $B(x, \frac{r(N-1)}{N}) \subseteq B(x_0, r)$. By the induction hypothesis:

$$||D^{\beta}u||_{L^{\infty}(B(x_{0},\frac{r}{N}))} \leq \frac{[c_{d}(N-1)]^{N-1}}{[r^{\frac{(N-1)}{N}}]^{d+N-1}} \int_{B(x_{0},r)} |u| \, dy$$

The conclusion is:

$$\begin{split} |D^{\alpha}u(x_{0})| &\leqslant \frac{|S_{1}|}{|B_{1}|\frac{r}{N}} \frac{[c_{d}(N-1)]^{N-1}}{(r\frac{N-1}{N^{d}})^{d+N-1}} \int_{B(x_{0},r)} |u| \, dy \\ &= \frac{|S_{1}|}{|\beta_{1}|} \frac{c_{d}^{N-1}}{\left(\frac{r}{N}\right)^{d+N}} \frac{1}{(N-1)^{d}} \int_{B(x_{0},r)} |u| \, dy \\ &= \frac{|S_{1}|}{|\beta_{1}|} \frac{c_{d}^{N-1}}{\left(\frac{r}{N}\right)^{d+N}} \frac{1}{N^{d}} \left(\frac{N}{N-1}\right)^{d} \int_{B(x_{0},r)} |u| \, dy \\ &\leqslant \frac{2^{d}|S_{1}|}{|B_{1}|} \frac{c_{d}^{N-1}N^{N}}{r^{d+N}} \int_{B(x_{0},r)} |u| \, dy \quad \text{if } c_{d} \geqslant \frac{2^{d}|S_{1}|}{|B_{1}|} \end{split}$$

Theorem 2.24 (Regularity) Let Ω be open in \mathbb{R}^d . Let $u \in C(\Omega)$ satisfy $u(x) = \int_{\partial B} u \, dy$ for any $x \in B(x, r) \subseteq \Omega$. Then u is a harmonic function in Ω . Moreover, $u \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and u is analytic in Ω .

Exercise 2.25 (E 1.1: Proof the Gauss–Green formula) Let $f := (f_i)_1^d \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$. Prove that for every open ball $B(y, r) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ we have

$$\int_{\partial B(y,r)} f(y) \cdot \nu_y \, dS(y) = \int_{B(y,r)} \operatorname{div} f \, dx.$$

Here ν_y is the outward unit normal vector and dS is the surface measure on the sphere.

Solution. We proof this in d=3. Let $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$

$$\int_{B(0,1)} \partial_{x_3} f \, dx = \int_{\partial B(0,1)} f x_3 \, dS(x), \quad x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3, \vec{n} = \frac{x}{|x|} \text{ on } \partial B(0,1)$$

$$B(0,1) = \{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 \leqslant 1\}$$

$$= \{x_1^2 + x_2^2 \leqslant 1 - \sqrt{1 - x_1^2 - x_2^2} \leqslant x_3 \leqslant \sqrt{1 - x_1^2 - x_2^2}\}$$

Then:

$$\begin{split} \int_{B(0,1)} \partial_{x_3} f \, dx &= \int_{x_1^2 + x_2^2 \leqslant 1} \left(\int_{-\sqrt{1 - x_1^2 - x_2^2} \leqslant x_3 \leqslant \sqrt{1 - x_1^2 - x_2^2}} \partial_{x_3} f \, dx_3 \right) \, dx_1 \, dx_2 \\ &= \int_{x_1^2 + x_2^2 \leqslant 1} \left[f(x_1, x_2, \sqrt{1 - x_1^2 - x_2^2}) \right. \\ &\left. - f(x_1, x_2, -\sqrt{1 - x_1^2 - x_2^2}) \right] \, dx_1 \, dx_2 \end{split}$$

Lets take polar coordinates in 2D:

$$x_1 = r \cos \phi$$
 $r > 0, \phi \in [0, 2\pi)$
 $x_2 = r \sin \phi$ $\det \frac{\partial(x_1, x_2)}{\partial(r, \phi)} = r$

$$(\star) = \int_0^1 \int_0^{2\pi} [f(r\cos\phi, r\sin\phi, r) - f(r\cos\theta, r\sin\phi, -r)] r \, dr \, d\phi$$

On the other hand:

$$\int_{\partial B(0,1)} fx_3 \, dS$$

The polar coordinates in 3D are:

$$x_1 = r \cos \phi \sin \theta$$
 $r > 0, \phi \in (0, 2\pi), \theta \in (0, \pi)$
 $x_2 = r \sin \phi \sin \theta$
$$\det \frac{\partial x_1, x_2, x_3}{\partial (r, \phi, t)} = r^2 \sin \theta$$

$$x_3 = \cos \theta$$

Then:

$$(\star\star) = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} f(\cos\phi\sin\theta, \sin\phi\sin\theta, \cos\theta) \sin\theta\cos\theta \, d\theta \, d\phi$$

$$= \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} + \int_{\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\pi} d\theta \right) \, d\phi$$

$$(r = \sin\theta) = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^1 f(r\cos\phi, r\sin\phi, \sqrt{1 - r^2}) r \, dr \, d\phi$$

$$- f(r\cos\phi, r\sin\phi, -\sqrt{1 - r^2}) r \, dr \, d\phi$$

Exercise 2.26 (E 1.2) Let $u \in C(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\int_{B(x,r)} u \, dy = 0$ for every open ball $B(x,r) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$. Show that u(x) = 0 for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

My Solution. Assume there is a $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ s.t. w.l.o.g. $u(x_0) > 0$. Since u is continous there is a ball $B(x_0, r)$ s.t. $u(y) > \frac{u(x_0)}{2}$ for all $y \in B(x_0, r)$. But then we get

$$\int_{B(x_0,r)} u(y) \, dy \geqslant \int_{B(x_0,r)} \frac{u(x_0)}{2} \, dy = \frac{u(x_0)}{2} \, |B(x_0,r)| > 0.$$

Exercise 2.27 (E 1.3) Let $f \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $d \ge 2$ and $u(x) := (\Phi \star f)(x)$. Prove that $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $-\Delta u(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ (2.9 was the same for $f \in C_1(\mathbb{R})$)

Theorem 2.28 (Liouville's Theorem) If $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is harmonic and bounded, then u = const.

Proof. By the bound of the derivative 2.23 we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_{x_i} u(x_0)| &\leq \frac{c_d}{r^{d+1}} \int_{B(x_0, r)} |u| \, dy \quad \forall x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d \, \forall r > 0 \\ &\leq \|u\|_{L^{\infty}} \frac{c_d}{r^{d+1}} |B(x_0, r)| \\ &\leq \|u\|_{L^{\infty}} \frac{c_d}{r} \xrightarrow{r \to \infty} 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\partial_{x_i} u = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots d$ and u = const. in \mathbb{R}^d

Theorem 2.29 (Uniqueness of solutions to Poisson Equation in \mathbb{R}^d) If $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a bounded function and satisfies $-\Delta u = f$ in \mathbb{R}^d where $f \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then we have

$$u(x) = \Phi \star f(x) + C = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(x - y) f(y) \, dy + C \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

where C is a constant and Φ is the fundamental solution of the Laplace equation in \mathbb{R}^d .

Proof. If we can prove that v is bounded, then v = const.. We first need to show that $\Phi \star f$ is bounded.

$$\Phi = \Phi_1 + \Phi_2 = \Phi\mathbb{1}(|x| \leqslant 1) + \Phi(|x| \geqslant 1)$$
$$\Phi \star f = \Phi_1 \star f + \Phi_2 \star f$$

We have $\Phi_1 \star f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\Phi_2 \star f$ is bounded since $\Phi \to 0$ as $|x| \to \infty$ in $d \ge 3$.

Exercise 2.30 (Hanack's inequality) Let $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be harmonic and non-negative. Prove that for all open, bounded and connected $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, we have

$$\sup_{x \in \Omega} u(x) \leqslant C_{\Omega} \inf_{x \in \Omega} u(x),$$

where C_{∞} is a finite constant depending only on Ω .

Proof. (Exercise) Hint: $\Omega = B(x, r)$. General case cover Ω by finitely many balls, one ball is inside Ω .

Chapter 3

Convolution, Fourier Transform and Distributions

3.1 Convolutions

Definition 3.1 (Convolution) Let $f, g : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} .

$$(f \star g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x - y)g(y) \, dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y)g(x - y) \, dy = (g \star f)(x)$$

Remark 3.2 (Properties of the Convolution) • $(f \star g)(x) = f \star (g \star h)$

•
$$\hat{f} \star g = \hat{f} \star \hat{g}$$

Theorem 3.3 (Young Inequality) If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, where $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, then $f \star g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\|f \star g\|_{L^p} \leq \|f\|_{L^1} \|g\|_{L^p}$. More generally, if $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $g \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then $f \star g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\|f \star g\|_{L^1} \leq \|f\|_{L^p} \|g\|_{L^q}$, where $1 \leq p, q, r, \leq \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 + \frac{1}{r}$

Proof. Let $f \in L^1, g \in L^p$. With the Hölder Inequality ??, we have:

$$||f \star g||_{L^{p}}^{p} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |f \star g(x)|^{p} dx$$

$$\leq ||f||_{L^{1}}^{\frac{p}{q}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |f(x - y)||g(y)|^{p} dy dx$$

$$= ||f||_{L^{1}}^{\frac{p}{q} + 1} ||g||_{L^{p}}^{p}$$

So we have $||f \star g||_{L^p} \leq ||f||_{L^1} ||g||_{L^p}$

Theorem 3.4 (Smoothness of the Convolution) If $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $1 \le p \le \infty$. Then $f \star g \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$D^{\alpha}(f \star g) = (D^{\alpha}f) \star g$$

for all $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d), \alpha_i \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$

Proof. First we note that $x \mapsto (f \star g)$ is continous as $x_n \to x$ in \mathbb{R}^d since

$$(f \star g)(x_n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x_n - y)g(y) \, dy \xrightarrow{\text{dom. conv.}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x - y)g(y) \, dy = (f \star g)(x)$$

We can apply Dominated convergence because

$$f(x_n - y)g(y) \to f(x - y)g(y) \quad \forall y \text{ as } f \text{ is continuous and } x_n \to x$$

and

$$|f(x_n - y) \ g(y)| \le ||f||_{L^{\infty}} |g(y)| \ \mathbb{1}(|y| \le R) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Where R > 0 satisfies $B(0,R) \supseteq \operatorname{supp} f + \operatorname{sup}_n |x_n|$. Now we can compute the derivatives:

$$\partial_{x_i}(f \star g)(x) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{(f \star g)(x + he_i) - (f \star g)(x)}{h}$$

$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{f(x + he_i - y) - f(x - y)}{h} g(y) \, dy$$
(Dominated Convergence)
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x + he_i - y) - f(x - y)}{h} g(y) \, dy$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\partial_{x_i} f)(x - y) g(y) \, dy$$

We could apply Dominated Convergence since

$$\frac{f(x+he_i-y)-f(x-y)}{h}g(y) \xrightarrow{h\to 0} (\partial_{x_i}f)(x-y)g(y) \quad \text{as } f\in C^1$$

$$\left|\frac{f(x+he_i-y)-f(x-y)}{h}g(y)\right| \leqslant \|\partial_{x_i}f\|_{L^\infty}|g(y)| \ \mathbb{1}(|y|\leqslant R) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

where $B(0,R) \supseteq \operatorname{supp}(f) + B(0,|x|+1)$ and $\partial_{x_i}(f \star g) = (\partial_{x_i}f) \star g \in C(\mathbb{R}^d)$ since $\partial_{x_i}f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. By induction we get $D^{\alpha}(f \star g) = (D^{\alpha}f \star g) \in C(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Remark 3.5 Question: Is there a f s.t. $f \star g = g$ for all g. In fact there is no regular function f that solves this formally:

$$f \star g = g \Rightarrow \widehat{f \star g} = \widehat{g} \Rightarrow \widehat{f}\widehat{g} = \widehat{g} \Rightarrow \widehat{f} = 1 \Rightarrow f \text{ is not a regular function!}$$

However, if f is the Dirac-Delta Distribution, $f = \delta_0$ then $\delta_0 \star g = g$ for all g. Formally:

$$\delta_0(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \neq 0 \\ \infty & x = 0 \\ \int \delta_0 = 1 \end{cases}$$

In fact, if $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\int f = 1$, $f_{\epsilon}(x) = \epsilon^{-d} f(\epsilon^{-1} x)$, then $f_{\epsilon} \to \delta_0$ in an appropriate sense and $f_{\epsilon} \star g \to g$ for all g nice enough.

Theorem 3.6 (Approximation by convolution) Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\int f = 1$, $f_{\epsilon}(x) = \epsilon^{-d} f(\frac{x}{\epsilon})$. Then for all $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, where $1 \leq p < \infty$, then

$$f_{\epsilon} \star g \to g \quad \text{in } L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

Proof.

Step 1: Let $f, g \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then

$$(f_{\epsilon} \star g)(x) - g(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f_{\epsilon}(y)g(x - y) \, dy - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f_{\epsilon}(y)g(x) \, dy$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f_{\epsilon}(y)(g(x - y) - g(x)) \, dy$$

$$|(f_{\epsilon} \star g)(x) - g(x)| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f_{\epsilon}(y)(g(x - y) - g(x)) \, dy \right|$$

$$\leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |f_{\epsilon}(y)||g(x - y) - g(x)| \, dy$$

$$\leqslant \int_{|y| \leqslant R_{\epsilon}} |f_{\epsilon}(y)||g(x - y) - g(x)| \, dy$$

$$\leqslant \underbrace{\int_{|y| \leqslant R_{\epsilon}} |f_{\epsilon}(y)| \, dy}_{|z| \leqslant R} \left[\sup_{|z| \leqslant R} |g(x - z) - g(x)| \right] \xrightarrow{\epsilon \to 0} 0$$

We have Dominated Convergence since:

$$(f_{\epsilon} \star g)(x) - g(x) \to 0 \text{ as } \epsilon \to 0$$

and

$$|f_{\epsilon} \star g(x) - g(x)| \leqslant \|f\|_{L^{1}} \sup_{|z| \leqslant R_{\epsilon}} |g(x - z) - g(x)| \leqslant 2\|f\|_{1} \|g\|_{L^{\infty}} \mathbb{1}(|x| \leqslant R_{1}).$$

Where $B(0, R_1) \supseteq \operatorname{supp}(g) + B(0, R_{\epsilon})$, thus $f_{\epsilon} \star g \to g$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$. To remove the technical assumptions $f, g \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then we use a density argument. We use the fact that $C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is dense in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $1 \le p < \infty$.

Step 2: Let $g \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then there is $\{g_m\} \subseteq L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $g_m \to g$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

$$\begin{split} \|f_{\epsilon} \star g - g\|_{L^{p}} &\leq \|f_{\epsilon} \star (g - g_{m})\|_{L^{p}} + \|f_{\epsilon} \star g_{m} - g_{m}\|_{L^{p}} + \|g_{m} - g\|_{L^{p}} \\ & (\text{Young}) &\leq \|f_{\epsilon}\|_{L^{1}} \|g - g_{m}\|_{L^{p}} + \|f_{\epsilon} \star g_{m} - g_{m}\|_{L^{p}} + \|g_{m} - g\|_{L^{p}} \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L^{1}} \|g - g_{m}\|_{L^{p}} + \|f_{\epsilon} \star g_{m} - g_{m}\|_{L^{p}} + \|g_{m} - g\|_{L^{p}} \\ &\leq (\|f\|_{L^{1}} + 1)\|g - g_{m}\|_{L^{p}} + \|f \star g_{m} - g_{m}\|_{L^{p}} \end{split}$$

So we get:

$$\limsup_{\epsilon \to 0} \|f_{\epsilon} \star g - g\|_{L^{p}} \leqslant (\|f\|_{L^{p}} + 1)\|g - g_{m}\|_{L^{p}} + \limsup_{\epsilon \to 0} \|f_{\epsilon} \star g_{m} - g_{m}\|_{L^{p}}$$

$$\underbrace{\lim\sup_{\epsilon \to 0} \|f_{\epsilon} \star g - g\|_{L^{p}}}_{\text{Oby step 1.}}$$

$$\xrightarrow{m\to\infty} 0$$

Step 3: Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Take $\{f_m\} \subseteq C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$, s.t.

$$\begin{cases} F_m \to ginL^1(\mathbb{R}) \text{ as } m \to \infty \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} F_m = 1 \text{(it is possible since } \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f = 1 \text{)} \end{cases}$$

Define
$$F_{m,\epsilon}(x) = \epsilon^{-d} F_m(\epsilon^{-1} x)$$
 (recall $f_{\epsilon}(x) = \epsilon^{-d} f(\epsilon^{-1} x)$). Then:

$$f_{\epsilon} \star g - g = (f_{\epsilon} - F_{m,\epsilon}) \star g + F_{m,\epsilon} \star g - g$$

$$\Rightarrow \|f_{\epsilon} - g\|_{L^{p}} \leq \underbrace{\|f_{\epsilon} - F_{m,\epsilon} \star g\|_{L^{p}}}_{+} + \|F_{m,\epsilon} \star g - g\|_{L^{p}}$$

$$\underbrace{\text{Young}}_{\leqslant} \|f_{\epsilon} - F_{m,\epsilon}\|_{L^{1}} \|g\|_{L^{p}} = \|f - F_{m}\|_{L^{1}} \|g\|_{L^{p}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \limsup_{\epsilon \to 0} \|f_{\epsilon} \star g - g\|_{L^{p}} \leq \|f - F_{m}\|_{L^{1}} \|g\|_{L^{p}} = \|f - F_{m}\|_{L^{1}} \|g\|_{L^{p}}$$

Lemma 3.7 $C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is dense in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$

Proof. For all $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ there are g_m step functions and $g_m \to m$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, We can assume that Ω is open and bounded and we want to approximate χ_{Ω} by $C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Lemma 3.8 (Urnson) Define

$$\Omega_{\epsilon} = \{ x \in \Omega \mid \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega) > \epsilon \}$$

Then there is a $\eta_{\epsilon} \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ s.t.

$$\begin{cases} 0 \leqslant \eta(x) \leqslant 1 & \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d \\ \eta_{\epsilon}(x) = 1 & \text{if } x \in \Omega_{\epsilon} \\ \eta_{\epsilon}(x) = 0 & \text{if } x \notin \Omega \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3.9 (Gernal Version of Urnson) If $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, A closed, B closed, $A \cap B = \emptyset$. Then

$$\eta(x) = \frac{\operatorname{dist}(x, A)}{\operatorname{dist}(x, A) + \operatorname{dist}(x, B)}$$

Then $\eta \in C(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ and $\eta = 0$ if $x \in B$, $\eta = 1$ if $x \in A$. App to $A = \overline{\Omega_{\epsilon}} \subset\subset \Omega$ and $B = \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Omega$.

Theorem 3.10 (Appendix C4 in Evans) Let Ω be open in \mathbb{R}^d and for $\epsilon > 0$ define

$$\Omega_{\epsilon} = \{ x \in \Omega \mid \operatorname{dist}(x, \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Omega) > \epsilon \}$$

Let $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f = 1$, supp $f \subseteq B(0,1)$, $f_{\epsilon}(x) = \epsilon^{-d} f(\epsilon^{-1} x)$ supp is $B(0,\epsilon)$. Then for all $g \in L^p_{loc}(\Omega)$ (i.e. $\mathbb{1}_K g \in L^p(\Omega) \forall K$ compakt set in Ω), then:

- a) $g_{\epsilon}(x) = (f_{\epsilon} \star g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_{\epsilon}(x y)g(y) dy \int_{\Omega} f_{\epsilon}(x y)g(y) dy$ is well-defined in Ω_{ϵ} and $g_{\epsilon} \in C^{\infty}(\Omega_{\epsilon})$.
- b) $g_{\epsilon} \to g$ in $L^p_{loc}(\Omega)$ if $1 \leqslant p < \infty$ and $g_{\epsilon}(x) \to g(x)$ almost everywhere $x \in \Omega$.
- c) If $g \in C(\Omega)$, then $g_{\epsilon}(x) \to g(x)$ uniformly in any compact subset of Ω .

Proof. a) $D^{\alpha}(g_{\epsilon}) = (D^{\alpha}f_{\epsilon}) \star g \in C(\Omega_{\epsilon})$

b) Already proved in \mathbb{R}^d space.

Corrolary 3.11 (Lebesgue differentiation theorem) If $f \in L_{loc}^P(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then

$$\oint_{B(x,\epsilon)} |f(y) - f(x)|^p dy \to 0 \quad \text{as } \epsilon \to 0$$

Exercise 3.12 (E 2.1) Let $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be convex. I.e.

$$tu(x) + u(y)(1-t) \ge u(tx + (1-t)y) \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d \forall t \in [0,1]$$

a) Prove for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ that H(x) = ...

Solution.

a In 1D: If u is convex $\Leftrightarrow u''(x) \ge 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. In general: Taylor expansion for all $x, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$:

$$u(x) = u(z) + \nabla u(z)(x - y) + \int_0^1 \sum_{|\alpha| = 2} D^{\alpha} u(z + s(x - z)) \frac{(x - z)^{\alpha}}{\alpha!} ds$$

$$x = z + s(x - z), s = 1$$
 Use $z = tx + (t - 1)y \Rightarrow x - z = (1 - t)(x - y)$

$$tu(x) = tu(z) + t\nabla u(z)(1-t)(x-y) + t\int_0^1 \sum_{|\alpha|=2} D^{\alpha}u(z+s(x-z)) \frac{[(1-t)(x-y)]^{\alpha}}{\alpha!} ds$$

$$(1-t)u(y) = (1-t)u(z) + (1-t)\nabla u(z)t(y-x) + (1-t)\int_0^r \sum_{|\alpha|=2} D^{\alpha}u(z+s(y-z))\frac{[t(y-x)]^{\alpha}}{\alpha!} ds$$

$$\Rightarrow tu(x) + (1-t)u(y) = u(z) + t \int_0^1 \dots + (1-t) \int_0^1 \dots$$
$$\Rightarrow t \int_0^1 \dots + (1-t) \int_0^1 \dots \geqslant 0 \forall x, y, t, z = tx + (1-t)y$$

$$t(1-t)^2 \int_0^1 \sum_{|\alpha|=2} D^{\alpha} u(z+s(x-z)) \frac{(x-y)}{\alpha!} \, ds + (1-t)t^2 \int_0^1 \sum_{|\alpha|=2} D^{\alpha} u(z+s(y-z)) \frac{(y-z)^{\alpha}}{\alpha!} \, ds \geqslant 0$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $t \in [0, 1]$, z = tx + (1 - t)y. Divides for t(1 - t)

$$(1-t)\int_0^1\cdots+\int_0^1\cdots\geqslant 0$$

Take $t \to 0$

$$\int_0^1 \sum_{|\alpha|=2} D^{\alpha} u(y + s(x - y)) \frac{(x - y)^{\alpha}}{\alpha!} ds \geqslant 0 \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

Take $y = x + a, a \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\int_0^1 \sum_{|\alpha|=2} D^{\alpha} u(x+a+sa) \frac{a^{\alpha}}{\alpha!} ds \geqslant 0 \forall \epsilon > 0, \forall x, a \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

Take $\epsilon \to 0$

$$\int_0^1 \sum_{|\alpha|=2} D^\alpha u(x) \frac{a^\alpha}{\alpha!} \geqslant 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{i,j=1,i\neq j} \partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_j} u(x) a_i a_j + \sum_{i=j=1}^d \partial_{x_i}^2 u(x) \frac{a_i^2}{2}$$

We get

$$\frac{1}{2}a^T H a \geqslant 0 \forall a (a_i)_{i=1}^d \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

b
$$H(x) \geqslant 0 \Rightarrow (\partial_i \partial_j u) \geqslant 0 \Rightarrow TrH(x) \geqslant 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_{x_i}^2 u(x) \geqslant 0 \Rightarrow \Delta u(x) \geqslant 0 \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

Exercise 3.13 (E 2.2)

Solution. Regard d=3. De function $\frac{1}{|x|}$ is harmonic in $\mathbb{R}^3\setminus\{0\}$. We prove

$$\oint_{\partial B(x,r)} \frac{dS(y)}{|y|} = \frac{1}{\max(|x|,r)}$$

If |x| > r, then $0 \notin B(x, r + \epsilon)$. Then

$$y \mapsto \frac{1}{|y|}$$

is harmonic in $B(x, r + \epsilon)$. Then by the Mean Value Property:

$$\oint_{\partial B(x,r)} \frac{dS(y)}{|y|} = \frac{1}{|x|}$$

If |x| < r: Then $\frac{1}{|y|}$ is not harmonic in B(x,r) since $0 \in B(x,r)$. Note

$$\oint_{\partial B(x,r)} \frac{dS(y)}{|y|} = \oint_{\partial B(0,r)} \frac{dS(y)}{|x-y|}$$

This function depends on x only via |x|.

$$\dots = \oint_{\partial B(0,r)} \frac{dS(y)}{|Rx - Ry|}$$

for all R rotation SO(3), $dS(R_y) = dS(y)$

$$= \int_{\partial B(0,r)} \frac{dS(y)}{|Rx - y|}$$

$$= \int_{\partial B(0,r)} \frac{dS(y)}{|z - y|}$$
(Radial in z)
$$= \int_{\partial B(0,|x|)} \left(\int_{\partial B(0,|x|)} \frac{dS(y)}{|z - y|} \right) dS(z)$$
(Fubini)
$$= \int_{\partial B(0,r)} \left(\int_{\partial B(0,|x|)} \frac{dS(z)}{|z - y|} \right) dS(y)$$
(case 1 since $|y| = r > |x|$)
$$= \int_{\partial B(0,r)} \frac{1}{|y|} dS(y) = \frac{1}{r}$$

If |x| = r: Continuity: $x \mapsto f_{\partial B(0,r)} \frac{dS(y)}{|x-y|}$

Remark 3.14 For $f \in C^{|\alpha|}, g \in C^{|\beta|}$:

$$D^{\alpha+\beta}(f\star g)=(D^{\alpha}f)\star(D^{\beta}g)$$

Lemma 3.15 If $d \ge 3$ and $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ radial. Then:

$$\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{d-2}} \star f\right)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|^{d-2}} \, dy$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{f(y)}{\max(|x|^{d-2}, |y|^{d-2})} \, dy$$

Proof. (d=3) Polar coordinates:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|} \, dy = \int_0^\infty \left[\int_{\partial B(0,1)} \frac{1}{|x-rw|} \, d\omega \right] f(r) \, dr$$

$$(a) = \int_0^\infty \left[\int_{\partial B(0,1)} \frac{d\omega}{\max(|x|,r)} \right] f(r) \, dr$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{f(y)}{\max(|x|,|y|)} \, dy$$

(b) (d=3) If f radial and non-negative

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{f(y))}{|x - y|} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{f(y)}{|x|} \, dy = \frac{(Sf?)}{|x|}$$

Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{f_{1}(x - z_{1}) f_{2}(y - z_{2})}{|x - y|} dx dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{f_{1}(x) f_{2}(y)}{|x + z_{1} - y - z_{2}|} dx dy$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} f_{1}(x) dx \right) f_{2}(y) dy \leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} f_{1} \right)}{|y + z_{2} - z_{1}|} f_{2}(y) dy$$

$$\leqslant \frac{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} f_{1} \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} f_{2} \right)}{|z_{1} - z_{2}|}$$

Exercise 3.16 (Bonus 2) a) Prove that $u(x) = \frac{1}{|x|}$ is sub-harmonic in $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$.

b) Prove that if $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ radial, non-negative, measurable:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{f(y)}{|x - y|} \, dy \geqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{f(y)}{\max(|x|, |y|)} \, dy$$

My Solution. a) Let $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.

$$\begin{split} \partial_{x_i} u &= \partial_{x_i} |x|^{-1} = -|x|^{-2} \frac{x_i}{|x|} = -x_i |x|^{-3} \\ &\Rightarrow \ \partial_{x_i}^2 u = \partial_{x_i} (-x_i |x|^{-3}) = -|x|^{-3} + 3x_i^2 |x|^{-5} \\ &\Rightarrow \ \Delta u = -|x|^{-3} + 3x_1^2 |x|^{-5} - |x|^{-3} + 3x_2^2 |x|^{-5} \\ &= -2|x|^{-3} + 3\underbrace{\left(x_2^2 + x_2^2\right)}_{=|x|^2} |x|^{-5} = \frac{1}{|x|^3} > 0 \end{split}$$

So u is sub-harmonic in $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$.

b) Let $r > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and |x| < r. First we show that

$$\label{eq:final_bound} \int_{\partial B(x,r)} \frac{1}{|y|} \, dS(y) \geqslant \frac{1}{r} \qquad (\star)$$

Now,

$$\int_{\partial B(0,r)} \frac{1}{|y|} dS(y) = \int_{\partial B(0,r)} \frac{1}{|x-y|} dS(y) =: \tilde{u}(x)$$

Take $z \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ such that z = |x|, then $\tilde{u}(x) = \tilde{u}(z)$. Let $0 < \epsilon < r$ be small. Then we get

$$\begin{split} \tilde{u}(z) &= \int_{\partial B(0,r)} \frac{dS(y)}{|z-y|} \\ \begin{pmatrix} |y| = r > |x| = |z| \\ \tilde{u} \text{ radial function} \end{pmatrix} &= \int_{\partial B(0,|x|-\epsilon)} \left(\int_{\partial B(0,r)} \frac{dS(y)}{|z-y|} \right) dS(z) \\ (\text{Fubini}) &= \int_{\partial B(0,r)} \left(\int_{\partial B(0,|x|-\epsilon)} \frac{dS(z)}{|z-y|} \right) dS(y) \\ &= \int_{\partial B(0,r)} \left(\int_{\partial B(y,|x|-\epsilon)} \frac{dS(z)}{|z-y|} \right) dS(y) \\ \left(\frac{1}{|y|} \text{ sub-harmonic in } \mathbb{R}^2 \backslash \{0\} \right) &\geq \int_{\partial B(0,r)} \frac{1}{|y|} dS(y) \\ &= \int_{\partial B(0,r)} \frac{1}{r} dS(y) \\ &= \frac{1}{r} \end{split}$$

Now,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|} \, dy = \int_{|x| \geqslant |y|} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|} \, dy + \int_{|x| < |y|} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|} \, dy,$$

where

$$\int_{|x| \leqslant |y|} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|} \, dy = \int_0^\infty \int_{\partial B(0,r)} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|} \mathbb{1}(|x| \leqslant |y|) \, dS(y) \, dr$$

$$(f \text{ radial}) = \int_0^\infty f(r) \int_{\partial B(0,r)} \frac{\mathbb{1}(|x| \leqslant r)}{|x-y|} \, dS(y) \, dr$$

$$= \int_0^\infty f(r) \int_{\partial B(x,r)} \frac{\mathbb{1}(|x| \leqslant r)}{|y|} \, dS(y) \, dr$$

$$(\star) \geqslant \int_0^\infty \frac{f(r)}{r} |\partial B(x,r)| \mathbb{1}(|x| \leqslant r) \, dr$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \int_{\partial B(x,r)} \frac{f(r)}{r} \mathbb{1}(|x| \leqslant r) \, dS(y) \, dr$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{f(y)}{|y|} \mathbb{1}(|x| \leqslant |y|) \, dy$$

$$= \int_{|x| \leqslant |y|} \frac{f(y)}{|y|} \, dy$$

and

$$\int_{|x|>|y|} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|} \, dy = \int_0^\infty \left(\int_{\partial B(0,r)} \frac{f(r)}{|x-y|} \mathbb{1}(|x|>|y|) \, dS(y) \right) \, dr$$

$$(f \text{ radial}) = \int_0^\infty f(r) \mathbb{1}(|x|>r) \left(\int_{\partial B(x,r)} \frac{1}{|y|} \, dS(y) \right) \, dr$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{|y|} \text{ sub-harmonic in } \mathbb{R}^2, \right) \ge \int_0^\infty f(r) \mathbb{1}(|x|>r) |\partial B(x,r)| \frac{1}{|x|} \, dr$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \int_{\partial B(x,r)} f(r) \mathbb{1}(|x|>r) \frac{1}{|x|} \, dS(y) \, dr$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(y) \mathbb{1}(|x|>|y|) \frac{1}{|x|} \, dy$$

$$= \int_{|x|>|y|} f(y) \frac{1}{|x|} \, dy.$$

So we can conclude,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{f(y)}{|x - y|} \, dy = \int_{|x| > |y|} \frac{f(y)}{|x - y|} \, dy + \int_{|x| \le |y|} \frac{f(y)}{|x - y|} \, dy$$

$$\geqslant \int_{|x| > |y|} \frac{f(y)}{|x|} \, dy + \int_{|x| \le |y|} \frac{f(y)}{|y|} \, dy$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{f(y)}{\max(|x|, |y|)} \, dy$$

3.2 Fourier Transformation

Definition 3.17 (Fourier Transform) For $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ define

$$\mathcal{F}f(k) = \hat{f}(k) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)e^{-2\pi i k \cdot x} dx, \quad k \cdot x = \sum_{i=1}^d k_i x_i$$

Theorem 3.18 (Basic Properties) 1. If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then $\hat{f} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\|\hat{f}\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq \|f\|_{L^1}$

2. For all $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\|\hat{f}\|_{L^2} = \|f\|_{L^2}$. Moreover, \mathcal{F} can be extended to be a unitary transforamtion $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ s.t.

$$\|\mathcal{F}g\|_{L^2} = \|f\|_{L^2} \quad \forall f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

- 3. The inverse of F can be defined as
- 4.

$$(F^{-1}f)(x) = \check{f}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)e^{2\pi ikx} dk$$

for all $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$

5.
$$\widehat{D^{\alpha}f}(k)=(2\pi ik)^{\alpha}\widehat{f}(k)$$
 as $(2\pi ik)^{\alpha}f(k)\in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$ $(k^{\alpha}=k_{1}^{\alpha_{1}}\cdots k_{\alpha}^{\alpha_{k}})$

6.
$$\widehat{f \star g}(k) = \widehat{f}(k)\widehat{g}(k)$$
 if f, g are nice enough.

Theorem 3.19 (Hausdorff-Young-Inequality) If $1 \le p \le 2$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$ and $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then

$$\|\hat{f}\|_{L^{p'}} \leqslant \|f\|_{L^p}$$

and

$$\|\hat{f}\|_{L^p} \leqslant \|f\|_{L^p} \quad \forall f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

Remark 3.20 We want to apply the Fourier transform to find the solution of a PDE, e.g. the Poisson-Equation:

$$-\Delta u = f \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^d \Rightarrow |2\pi k|^2 \hat{u}(k) = \hat{f}(k) \Rightarrow \hat{u}(k) = \frac{1}{|2\pi k|^2} \hat{f}(k)$$

If we can find G s.t. $\hat{G}(k) = \frac{1}{|2\pi k|^2}$, then

$$\hat{u}(k) = \hat{G}(k)\hat{f}(k) = \widehat{G \star f}$$

$$\Rightarrow u(x) = (G \star f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G(x - y)f(y) \, dy$$

In fact G is the fundamential solution of laplace quation.

Theorem 3.21 (Fourier Transform of $\frac{1}{|x|^{\alpha}}$ for $0 < \alpha < d$) We have formally

$$\widehat{\frac{c_{\alpha}}{|x|^{\alpha}}} = \frac{c_{d-\alpha}}{|k|^{d-\alpha}} \quad \forall \ 0 < \alpha < d$$

Here

$$c_{\alpha} = \pi^{-\frac{d}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) = \pi^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{\frac{\alpha}{2} - 1} d\lambda$$

More precisely, for all $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\frac{c_{\alpha}}{|x|^{\alpha}} \star f = \left(\frac{c_{d-\alpha}}{|k|^{d-\alpha}} \hat{f}(k)\right)^{\vee}$$

Moreover if $\alpha > \frac{d}{2}$, then we also have

$$\left(\frac{c_{\alpha}}{|x|^{\alpha}} \star f\right)^{\wedge} = \frac{c_{d-\alpha}}{|f|^{d-\alpha}} \hat{f}(k)$$

Lemma 3.22 (Fourier Transform of Gaussians) In \mathbb{R}^d ,

$$\widehat{e^{-\pi|x|^2}} = e^{-\pi|k|^2}$$

More generally for all $\lambda > 0$:

$$\widehat{e^{-\pi\lambda^2|x|^2}} = \lambda^{-d} e^{-\pi\frac{|k|^2}{\lambda^2}}$$

(exercise)

Proof of Theorem. Formally:

$$\frac{c_{\alpha}}{|x|^{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{|x|^{\alpha}} \pi^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{\frac{\alpha}{2} - 1} d\lambda = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\pi \lambda |x|^{2}} \lambda^{\frac{\alpha}{2} - 1} d\lambda$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\hat{c}_{\alpha}}{|x|^{\alpha}}(k) = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\pi \lambda |x|^{2}} (k) \lambda^{\frac{\alpha}{2} - 1} d\lambda = \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\pi \frac{|k|^{2}}{\lambda}} \lambda^{\frac{\alpha}{2} - 1} d\lambda$$

$$(\lambda \to \frac{1}{\lambda}) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda^{\frac{d}{2} e^{-\pi |k|^{2} \lambda}} \lambda^{-\frac{\alpha}{2} + 1} \lambda^{-2} d\lambda$$

$$= \frac{c_{d-\alpha}}{|k|^{d-\alpha}}$$

Let $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then $\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{\alpha}} \star f\right)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{\alpha}} f(y) \, dy$ is well defined as $\frac{1}{|x-y|} \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d, dy)$. It is bounded

$$\frac{1}{|x|^{\alpha}} \star f = \frac{1}{|x|^{\alpha}} \underbrace{\mathbb{1}(|x| \leqslant 1)}_{\in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{d})} \star \underbrace{f}_{L^{\infty}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{|x|}\mathbb{1}(|x| > 1)}_{\in L^{\infty}} \star \underbrace{f}_{\in L^{1}} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$$

When $|x| \to \infty$:

$$\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{\alpha}}\star f\right)(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\frac{f(y)}{|x-y|^{\alpha}}\,dy=\int_{|y|\leqslant R}\frac{f(y)}{|x-y|^{\alpha}}\,dy\sim\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}f(y)\,dy}{|x|^{\alpha}}$$

Note that $\frac{c_{d-\alpha}}{|k|^{d-\alpha}} \underbrace{\hat{f}(k)}_{\text{bounded}} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

$$(...)\mathbb{1}(|k| \leq 1) + (...)\mathbb{1}(|k| > 1) \frac{1}{|k|^{d-\alpha}} |\hat{f}(k)| \, \mathbb{1}(|k| \leq 1) \leq ||f||_{L^{1}} \frac{\mathbb{1}(|k| \leq 1)}{|k|^{d-\alpha}} \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{d}, dk)$$
$$\frac{1}{|k|^{d-\alpha}} |\hat{f}(k)|\mathbb{1}(k > 1) \leq |\hat{f}(k)| \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d}, dK) \text{ as } f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$$

Lemma 3.23 If $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then $\hat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$

Proof. (Exercise) Hint: $|\widehat{D^{\alpha}f}| = |2\pi k|^{|\alpha|} |\widehat{f}(k)| \rightsquigarrow |\widehat{f}(k)| \leqslant \frac{1}{|k|^{|k|}}$ as $|k| \to \infty$. Compute:

$$\begin{split} \left(\frac{c_{d-\alpha}}{|k|^{d-\alpha}}\hat{f}(k)\right)^{\vee}(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{c_{d-\alpha}}{|k|^{d-\alpha}}\hat{f}(k)e^{2\pi ikx}\,dk \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_0^{\infty} e^{-\pi |k|^2 \lambda} \lambda^{\frac{d-\alpha}{2}-1}\,d\lambda\right) \hat{f}(k)e^{2\pi ikx}\,dk \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\pi |k|^2 \lambda} \hat{f}(k)e^{2\pi ikx}\,dk\right) \lambda^{\frac{d-\alpha}{2}-1}\,d\lambda \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \left(e^{-\pi k^2 \lambda} \hat{f}(x)\right)^{\vee} \lambda^{\frac{d-\alpha}{2}-1}\,d\lambda \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \left(\lambda^{-\frac{d}{2}}e^{-\pi \frac{x^2}{\lambda}}(k)\hat{f}(k)\right)^{\vee} \lambda^{\frac{d-\alpha}{2}-1}\,d\lambda \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \left(\lambda^{-\frac{d}{2}}e^{-\pi \frac{x^2}{\lambda}} \lambda^{\frac{d-\alpha}{2}-1}\,d\lambda\right) \star f \end{split}$$

Assume $d > \alpha > \frac{d}{2}$. Then $\frac{c_{\alpha}}{|x|^{\alpha}} \star f \in L^{\infty}$ and behaves $\frac{c_{\alpha}(\int f)}{|x|^{\alpha}}$ as $|x| \to \infty$. This implies:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \ \frac{c_\alpha}{|x|^\alpha} \star f \right|^2 \leqslant c + \int_{|x| \geqslant R} \frac{c}{|x|^{2d}} \, dx < \infty$$

Thus the Fourier Transform $\frac{\widehat{c_{\alpha}}}{|x|^{\alpha}} \star f$ exists. Combining with

$$\frac{c_{\alpha}}{|x|^{\alpha}} \star f = \left(\frac{c_{d-\alpha}}{|f|^{d-\alpha}} \hat{f}(k)\right)^{\vee}$$

$$\Rightarrow \widehat{\frac{c_{\alpha}}{|x|^{\alpha}}} \star f = \frac{c_{d-\alpha}}{|k|^{d-\alpha}} \hat{f}(k)$$

Remark 3.24 If $d \geqslant 3$

$$\begin{split} \hat{G}(k) &= \frac{1}{|2\pi k|^2} \\ \Rightarrow G(x) &= \left(\frac{1}{|2\pi k|^2}\right)^{\vee} = \frac{1}{d(d-2(k)|x|^{d-2})} = \Phi(x) \end{split}$$

3.3 Theory of Distribution

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open.

- $D(\Omega) = C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$ the space of test functions.
- $\phi_n \to \phi$ in $D(\Omega)$ if $\exists K \subseteq \Omega$, $\operatorname{supp}(\phi_n)$, $\operatorname{supp}(\phi) \subseteq K$ and $||D^{\alpha}(\phi_n \phi)||_{L^{\infty}} \to 0$ for all $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d), d_i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.

$$D'(\Omega) = \{T : D(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R} \text{ on } \mathbb{C} \text{ linear and continuous} \}$$

the space of distributions.

Motivation:
$$L^2(\Omega)' = L^2(\Omega), (L^p(\Omega))' = (L^q(\Omega)), \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1.$$

Example 3.25 ("normal functions" are distributions) If $f \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$, then $T = T_f$ defined by:

$$T(\phi) = \int_{\Omega} f(x)\phi(x) \, dx$$

is a distribution for all $\phi \in D(\Omega)$, i.e. $T \in D'(\Omega)$. Indeed, it is clear that $T(\phi)$ is well-defined for all $\phi \in D(\Omega)$ and $\phi \mapsto T(\phi)$ is linear. Let us check that $\phi \mapsto T(\phi)$ is continuous. Take $\phi_n \to \phi$ in $D(\Omega)$ and prove that $T(\phi_n) \to T(\phi)$. Since $\phi_n \to \phi$ in $D(\Omega)$, there is a compact K s.t. $\text{supp}(\phi_n)$, $\text{supp}(\phi) \subseteq K \subseteq \Omega$.

Question: Why is $f \mapsto T_f$ injective?

Lemma 3.26 (Fundamental lemma of calculus of variants) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open. If $f, g \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ and $\int_{\Omega} f \phi \, dy = \int_{\Omega} g \phi \, dy$ for all $\phi \in D(\Omega)$, then f = g in $L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$

Example 3.27 (Dirac delta function) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ open. Define $T: D(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} by $T(\phi) = \phi(x_0)$. Let $x_0 \in \Omega$. Then $T \in D'(\Omega)$ and we denote it by δ_{x_0} . It is clear that $\phi \mapsto T(\phi) = \phi(x_0)$ is well-defined and linear for all $\phi \in D(\Omega)$. Take $\phi_n \to \phi$ in $D(\Omega)$ and prove $T(\phi_n) \to T(\phi)$, i.e. $\phi_n(x_0) \to \phi(x_0)$ (obvious.)

Example 3.28 (Principle Value) The function $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ is not in $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$, but we can still define

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\phi(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\phi(x)}{x} dx$$

for all $\phi \in D(\mathbb{R})$ s.t. $\phi(0) = 0$. In fact,

$$\phi(x) = |\phi(x) - \phi(0)| \le (\sup |\phi'|)(x),$$

so $\frac{|\phi(x)|}{|x|} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and compactly supported. So $\frac{\phi(x)}{x} \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$. Define $T : D(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} by

$$T(\phi) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{|x| > \epsilon} \frac{\phi(x)}{x} dx \quad \forall \phi \in D(\mathbb{R}) \text{ s.t. } \phi(0) = 0$$

We denote $T = p.v.(\frac{1}{x})$. We check that $T \in D'(\mathbb{R})$: For all $\epsilon > 0$ we have

$$\left|\frac{\phi(x)}{x}\right| \leqslant \frac{\|\phi\|_{L^{\infty}}}{\epsilon}$$

for all $|x| \ge \epsilon$ and ϕ is compactly supported. So we get for all $\epsilon > 0$:

$$\mathbb{1}(|x| \ge \epsilon) \frac{\phi(x)}{x} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \leadsto \int_{|x| \ge \epsilon} \frac{\phi(x)}{x} \, dx < \infty$$

We can write:

$$\int_{|x| \ge \epsilon} \frac{\phi(x)}{x} \, dx = \int_{|x| \ge 1} \frac{\phi(x)}{x} \, dx + \int_{\epsilon \le |x| \le 1} \frac{\phi(x)}{x} \, dx$$

The second part can be written as:

$$\int_{\epsilon \leqslant |x| \leqslant 1} \frac{\phi(x)}{x} \, dx = \int_{\epsilon}^{1} \frac{\phi(x)}{x} \, dx + \int_{-1}^{-\epsilon} \frac{\phi(x)}{x} \, dx = \int_{\epsilon}^{1} \frac{\phi(x) - \phi(-x)}{x} \, dx$$

Since $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ it holds that $|\phi(x) - \phi(-x)| \leq 2\|\phi'\|_{L^{\infty}}(x)$.

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\phi(x) - \phi(-x)}{x} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow \frac{\phi(x) - \phi(-x)}{x} \in L^{1}(0, 1)$$
$$\Rightarrow \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\phi(x) - \phi(-x)}{x} dx = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\epsilon}^{1} \frac{\phi(x) - \phi(-x)}{x} dx$$

Remark 3.29 The function $\frac{1}{|x|^d}$ is not in $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ but $\exists T \in D'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ s.t. $T(\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\phi(x)}{|x|^d} dx$ for all $\phi \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ s.t. $\phi(0) = 0$

Let in the following $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}.$

Definition 3.30 (Derivatives of distributions) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ and $T \in D'(\Omega)$. Define for $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$:

$$D^{\alpha}T:\ D(\Omega)\longrightarrow \mathbb{K}$$

$$\phi\longmapsto (-1)^{|\alpha|}T(D^{\alpha}\phi)$$

Motivation: $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$

$$\int_{\Omega} (D^{\alpha} f) \phi = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{\Omega} f(D^{\alpha} \phi)$$

"If the classical derivative exists, then it is the same as the distributional derivative." We write

$$(D^{\alpha}T)(\phi) = T_{D^{\alpha}f}(\phi) = (-1)^{|\alpha|}T_f(D^{\alpha}\phi).$$

Remark 3.31 For all $T \in D'(\Omega)$ it holds $D^{\alpha}T \in D'(\Omega)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$. Clearly

$$\phi \longmapsto (D^{\alpha}T)(\phi) = (-1)^{|\alpha|}T(D^{\alpha}\phi)$$

is linear. Moreover, if $\phi_n \to \phi$ in $D(\Omega)$, then $D^{\alpha}\phi_n \to D^{\alpha}\phi$ in $D(\Omega)$, so

$$(D^{\alpha}T)(\phi_n) = (-1)^{|\alpha|}T(D^{\alpha}\phi_n) \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} (-1)^{|\alpha|}T(D^{\alpha}\phi) = (D^{\alpha}T)(\phi)$$

Example 3.32 Consider $f: x \mapsto |x|$, then $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$ but $f \notin C^1(\mathbb{R})$. However,

$$f'(x) = g(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \ge 0 \\ -1 & x < 0 \end{cases} \in L^1_{loc}$$

Lets check f'=g, i.e. $-f(\phi')=f'(\phi)=g(\phi)$ for all $\phi\in D(\mathbb{R})$. Thus we need to prove:

$$-\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\phi'(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x)\phi(x) dx \quad \forall \phi \in D(\mathbb{R})$$

namely:

$$\underbrace{-\int_{\mathbb{R}} |x| \phi'(x) \, dx}_{:=(\star)} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \phi(x) \, dx - \int_{-\infty}^{0} \phi(x) \, dx$$

Now we have

$$(\star) = -\int_0^\infty x \phi'(x) \, dx + \int_{-\infty}^0 x \phi'(x) \, dx.$$

By integration by parts:

$$\int_0^\infty x \phi'(x) \, dx = \underbrace{[x \phi(x)]_0^\infty}_{-0} - \int_0^\infty \phi(x) \, dx = -\int_0^\infty \phi(x) \, dx$$

and similary:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{0} x \phi'(x) dx = -\int_{-\infty}^{0} \phi(x) dx$$

Thus f' = g in $D'(\Omega)$. We claim that $g' = 2\delta_0$ in $D'(\mathbb{R})$. In fact, for all $\phi \in D(\mathbb{R})$, then:

$$g'(\phi) = -g(\phi') = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} g\phi' \, dx = -\int_{-\infty}^{0} (-1)\phi' \, dx - \int_{0}^{\infty} (1)\phi' \, dx$$
$$= -\int_{0}^{\infty} \phi' \, dx + \int_{-\infty}^{0} \phi' \, dx = \left[\phi(0) - \underbrace{\phi(\infty)}_{=0}\right] + \left[\phi(0) - \underbrace{\phi(-\infty)}_{=0}\right] = 2\phi(0) = 2\delta_{0}(\phi)$$

So $g' = 2\delta_0$ in $D'(\mathbb{R})$.

Exercise 3.33 Prove that $(D^{\alpha}\delta_x)(\phi) = (-1)^{|\alpha|}(D^{\alpha}\phi)(x)$ for all $\phi \in D(\mathbb{R})$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 3.34 (Convergence of distributions) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open, then

$$T_n \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} T$$

in $D'(\Omega)$ if $T_n(\phi) \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} T(\phi)$ for all $\phi \in D(\Omega)$.

Exercise 3.35 Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\int f = 1$ For $\epsilon > 0$, define $f_{\epsilon}(x) = \epsilon^{-d} f(\epsilon^{-1} x)$. Then: $f_{\epsilon} \to \delta_0$ in $D'(\Omega)$.

Exercise 3.36 Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open and $T_n \to T$ in $D'(\Omega)$. Then: $D^{\alpha}T_n \to D^{\alpha}T$ in $D'(\Omega)$ for all $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d)$

Definition 3.37 (Convolution of distributions) Let $T \in D'(\mathbb{R})$ and $f \in L_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Define

$$(T \star f)(y) = T(f_y)$$

We write $f_y(x) = f(x - y)$ and $\tilde{f}(x) = f(-x)$.

Theorem 3.38 Let $T \in D'(\mathbb{R})$. Then for all $f \in D(\mathbb{R})$:

1. $y \mapsto T(f_y)$ is $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and

$$D_{y}^{\alpha}(T(f_{y})) = (D^{\alpha}T)(f_{y}) = (-1)^{|\alpha|}T(D^{\alpha}f_{y})$$

2. For all $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and compactly supported, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(y)T(f_y) \, dy = T(\underbrace{f \star g}_{\in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})})$$

Proof. 1. We prove that $y \mapsto T(f_y)$ is continuous. Take $y_n \to y$ in \mathbb{R}^d , then:

$$T(f_{y_n}) \to T(f_y)$$

since $f_{y_n} \to f_y$ in $D(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We check this: Since $f \subseteq C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, it holds that $\operatorname{supp} f \subseteq B(0,R) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$. Since $y_n \to y$ in \mathbb{R}^d . We have $\sup_n |y_n| < \infty$. Thus f_{y_n}, f_y are supported in $\overline{B(0,R+\sup_n |y_n|)} = K$ compact. Moreover

$$|f_{y_n}(x) - f_y(x)| = |f(x - y_n) - f(x - y)| \le ||\nabla f||_{L^{\infty}} ||y_n - y|| \to 0$$

So we get $||f_{y_n} - f_y||_{L^{\infty}} \to 0$ Similary:

$$||D^{\alpha}f_{u_{\infty}}-D^{\alpha}f_{n}||_{L^{\infty}}\to 0$$

Exercise 3.39 (E 3.1 Lebesgue Differentiation Theorem) Let $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Prove that that for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$:

$$\oint_{B(x,r)} |f(y)| \, dy \xrightarrow{r \to 0} 0$$

Proof. Clearly the same result holds with $\mathbb{R}^d \leadsto \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ open. Also it suffices to consider $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. From the last time discussion, by a density argument there exists $r_n \to 0$ s.t.

$$\oint_{B(x,r_n)} |f(y) - f(x)| \, dy = 0$$

for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. We prove that for all $\epsilon > 0$, te set $A_{\epsilon} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \limsup_{r \to 0} f_{B(x,r)} \mid f(y) - f(x) \mid dy > \epsilon\}$ has measure 0. This will imply that

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{\frac{1}{n}} = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \limsup_{r \to 0} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y) - f(x)| \, dy > 0 \right\}$$

has measure 0, which is what wie want to show. First, we show that $|A_{\epsilon}| = 0$: Take $\{f_n\} \subseteq C_c^{\infty}, f_n \to f \text{ in } L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. By the triangle inequality:

$$|f(y) - f(x)| \le |f(y) - f_n(y)| + |f_n(y) - f_n(x)| + |f_n(x) - f(x)|$$

So we get

$$\oint_{B(x,r)} |f(y) - f(x)| dy$$

$$\leqslant \oint_{B(x,r)} |f(y) - f_n(y)| dy + \oint_{B(x,r)} |f_n(y) - f_n(x)| + |f_n(x) - f(x)|$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{r \to 0} \sup \dots \leqslant \limsup_{r \to 0} (\dots) + 0 + |f_n(x) - f(x)|$$

Thus, for all $x \in A_{\epsilon}$, then:

$$\limsup_{r \to 0} \int_{B(x,r)} |f_n(y) - f(y)| \, dy + |f_n(x) - f(x)| > 2\epsilon$$

Observation: If $a, b \ge 0$, $a + b > 2\epsilon$ then either $a > \epsilon$ or $b > \epsilon$. Therefore $A_{\epsilon} \subseteq \left(S_{n,\epsilon} \bigcup \tilde{S}_{n,\epsilon}\right)$, where

$$\begin{split} S_{n,\epsilon} &= \{x \mid |f_n(x) - f(x)| > \epsilon\} \\ \tilde{S}_{n,\epsilon} &= \{x \mid \limsup_{r \to 0} \int_{B(x,r)} |f_n(y) - f(y)| \, dy > \epsilon\} \end{split}$$

Consequently: $|A_{\epsilon}| \leq |S_{n,\epsilon}| + |\tilde{S}_{n,\epsilon}|$ for all $n \geq 1$. By the Markov / Chebyshev inequality:

$$|S_{n,\epsilon}| \leqslant \int_{S_{n,\epsilon}} \frac{|f_n(x) - f(x)|}{\epsilon} \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|f_n(x) - f(x)|}{\epsilon} \, dx = \frac{\|f_n - f\|_{L^1}}{\epsilon}$$

We want to prove a simpler bound for $\tilde{S}_{n\epsilon}$. For all $x \in \tilde{S}_{n\epsilon}$:

$$\limsup_{r \to 0} \int_{B(x,r)} |f_n(x) - f(y)| \, dy > \epsilon$$

So there is a $r_x \in (0,1)$ s.t.

$$\int_{B(x,r_x)=B_x} |f_n(y) - f(y)| \, dy > \epsilon$$

Thus $\tilde{S}_{n\epsilon} \subseteq \left(\bigcup_{x \in \tilde{S}_{n,\epsilon}} B_x\right)$.

Lemma 3.40 (Vitali Covering) If F is a collection of balls in \mathbb{R}^d with bounded radius, then there exists a sub-collection $G \subseteq F$ s.t.

• G has disjoint balls

• $\bigcup_{B \in F} B \subseteq \bigcup_{B \in G} 5B, 5B(x,r) = B(x,5r)$

Remark 3.41 The condition of the boundedness of the radius is necessary. Otherwise, consider $\{B(0,n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$

Here consider $F=\{B_x\}_{x\in \tilde{S}_{n\epsilon}}$. With the vitali covering leamm there is a $G\subseteq F$ s.t. G contains disjoint balls and:

$$\tilde{S}_{n,\epsilon} \subseteq \bigcup_{B \in F} B \subseteq \bigcup_{B \in G} 5B$$

So we get

$$|\tilde{S}_{n,\epsilon}| \ \leqslant | \bigcup_{B \in G} 5B| \leqslant \sum_{B \in G} |5B| = \sum_{B \in G} 5^d |B|$$

On the other hand, for all $B \in G \subseteq F$:

$$\oint_{B} |f_n(y) - f(y)| \ dy > \epsilon \Rightarrow \int_{B} |f_n - f| > \epsilon |B|$$

This implies:

$$\sup_{B \in G} \int_{B} |f_n - f| > \epsilon \sum_{B \in G} |B|$$

Since balls in G are disjoint:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \geqslant \int_{\bigcup_{B \in G}} |f_n - f| \, dy > \epsilon \sum_{B \in G} |B| \geqslant \frac{\epsilon}{5^d} |\tilde{S}_{n,\epsilon}|$$

So

$$|\tilde{S}_{n\epsilon}| \leqslant \frac{5^d}{\epsilon} ||f_n - f||_{L^1}$$

In summary:

$$|A_{\epsilon}| \le |S_{n,\epsilon}| + |\tilde{S}_{n,\epsilon}| \le \frac{5^d + 1}{\epsilon} ||f_n - f||_{L^1} \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$. So $|A_{\epsilon}| = 0$ for all $\epsilon > 0$

- **Remark 3.42** 1. The proof can be done by using the Besicovitch covering lemma: For all $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ s.t. E is bounded. Let F = collection of balls s.t. for all $x \in E$ there is a $B_x \in F$ s.t. x is the center of B_x . There is a sub-collection $G \subseteq F$ s.t.
 - $E \subseteq \bigcup_{B \in G} B$
 - Any point in E belongs to at most C_d balls in C_T (C_d depends only on \mathbb{R}^d), i.e.

$$\mathbb{1}_{E}(x) \leqslant \sum_{B \in G} \mathbb{1}_{B}(x) \leqslant C_{d} \mathbb{1}_{E}(x) \forall x$$

2. By a simpler argument we can prove the weak L^1 -estimate:

$$\{x \mid f^{\star}(x) > \epsilon\} \leqslant \frac{c_d}{\epsilon} ||f||_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

(Hardy-Littlewood maximal function)

Exercise 3.43 (E 3.2) Let $1 \leq p, q, r \leq 2$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 + \frac{1}{r}$. Recall that if $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $g \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then $f \star g \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by Young's Inequality, and its Fourier transform is well-defined by the Hausdorff-Young inequality. Prove that

$$\widehat{f \star g}(k) = \widehat{f}(k)\widehat{g}(k) \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

Hint: In the lecture we already discussed the case $f, g \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Solution.

Step 1) $f, g \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (Fubini)

Step 2) $f \in L^p, g \in L^q$, find $f_n, g_n \in C_c^{\infty}$ s.t. $f_n \to f$ in $L^p, g_n \to g$ in L^q . $\widehat{f_n \star g_n} = \widehat{f_n \hat{q}_n}$ pointwise a.e. we have

(Hausdorff-Young)
$$\begin{split} \|\widehat{f\star g} - \widehat{f_n\star g_n}\|_{L^{r'}} \\ &\leqslant \|\widehat{f\star g} - \widehat{f_n\star g_n}\|_{L^r} \\ &= \|(f-f_n)\star g_n + f_n\star (g_n-g)\|_{L^r} \\ &\leqslant \|(f-f_n)\star g_n\|_{L^r} + \|f_n\star (g_n-g)\|_{L^r} \\ &(\text{Young}) \leqslant \|f-f_n\|_{L^p}\|g_n\| + \|f_n\|_{L^p}\|g_n-g\|_{L^p} \xrightarrow{n\to\infty} 0 \end{split}$$

Moreover:

$$\|\hat{f}_{n}\hat{g}_{n} - \hat{f}\hat{g}\|_{L^{r'}} = \|(\hat{f}_{n}\hat{f})\hat{g}_{n} + \hat{f}(\hat{g}_{n} - \hat{g})\|_{L^{r'}}$$

$$(\text{H\"older}) \leq \|\hat{f}_{n} - \hat{f}\|_{L^{p'}} \|\hat{g}_{n}\|_{L^{q'}} + \|\hat{f}\|_{L^{q'}}$$

$$(\text{Hausdorff-Young (3.19)}) \leq \|f_{n} - f\|_{L^{p}} \|g_{n}\|_{L^{q}} + \|f\|_{L^{p}} \|g_{n} - g\|_{L^{p}} \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} 0$$
So $\hat{f}_{n}\hat{g}_{n} \to \hat{f}\hat{g}$ in $L^{r'}$ $\widehat{f \star g} = \hat{f}\hat{g}$ in $L^{r'}$ $\frac{1}{r'} = \frac{1}{n'} + \frac{1}{a'}$

Exercise 3.44 (E 3.3) $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Prove $|\hat{f}(k)| \leq \frac{C_N}{(1+|k|)^N}$

Solution. Since $f \in C_c^{\infty}$ we have that $D^{\alpha} f \in C_c^{\infty}$. Recall

$$\widehat{D^{\alpha}f}(k) = (-2\pi i k)^{\alpha} \widehat{f}(k)$$

For example

$$\widehat{-\Delta f}(k) = |2\pi i k|^2 \widehat{f}(k)$$
(Induction) $\leadsto \widehat{(-\Delta)^N} f(k) = |2\pi k|^{2N} \widehat{f}(k)$

So we can conclude

$$\hat{f}(k) = \frac{\widehat{(-\Delta)^N} f(k)}{|2\pi k|^{2N}} \forall k \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

1.
$$f \in C_c^{\infty} \subseteq L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \Rightarrow \hat{f} \in L^{\infty}$$

2.
$$(-\Delta)^N f \in C_c^{\infty} \subseteq L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \Rightarrow \widehat{(-\Delta)^N} f \in L^{\infty}$$

Conclusion:
$$\hat{f}(k) \leq \begin{cases} C & \forall k \\ \frac{C_N}{|k|^{2N}} & \forall k \end{cases}$$
 So $\hat{f}(k) \leq \frac{C_N}{(1+|k|)^N}$

Exercise 3.45 (E 3.4)

Proof. Siehe Goodnotes

Exercise 3.46 (Bonus 3) Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

$$|\hat{f}(k)| \leqslant \frac{C_N}{(1+|k|)^N}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{R}^d$, for all $N \ge 1$. $(C_N \text{ is independent of } k)$. Prove that $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$(f \in C^{\infty})$$
 i.e. $\exists \tilde{f} \in C^{\infty}$ s.t. $f = \tilde{f}$ a.e.

My Solution. First we regard for $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $|k| \geqslant 1$:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{(1+|k|)^N} dk = \int_0^\infty \left(\int_{\partial B(0,r)} \frac{1}{(1+r)^N} dS(y) \right) dr$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1+r)^N} |\partial B(0,r)| dr$$

$$\leqslant \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{r^N} |\partial B(0,r)| dr \quad \text{for a } c \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$= c \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{r^{N-d+1}} dr$$

From Ana I we know that $\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{r^{N-d+1}} dr < \infty$ is equivalent to $N-d+1>1 \Leftrightarrow N>d$, so for N>d we have

$$\frac{1}{(1+|k|)^N} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Now let $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$, then we have

$$k^{\alpha} = k_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots k_d^{\alpha_d} = |k|^{\alpha_1} \cdots |k|^{\alpha_d} = |k|^{\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_d} = |k|^{|\alpha|} = (1 + |k|)^{|\alpha|}.$$

By assumption we have for all $N \ge 1$:

$$k^{\alpha} \hat{f}(k) \le k^{\alpha} \frac{C_n}{(1+|k|)^N} \le (1+|k|)^{|\alpha|} \frac{C_n}{(1+|k|)^N} = \frac{C_n}{(1+|k|)^{N-|\alpha|}}$$

If we set N such that $N-|\alpha|>d$, for example $N=d+|\alpha|+1$, then we can conclude that $k^{\alpha}\hat{f}\in L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$. This implies $\widehat{k^{\alpha}\hat{f}}\in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$, so

$$\widehat{k^{\alpha}\widehat{f}}(k) = \widehat{\partial}^{\alpha}\widehat{\widehat{f}}(k) = \widehat{\partial}^{\alpha}(\widehat{f})^{\vee}(-k) = \widehat{\partial}^{\alpha}f(-k) \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{d}).$$

This implies $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Theorem 3.47 Take $T \in D'(\mathbb{R}), f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d) = D(\mathbb{R}^d), f_y(x) = f(x-y)$

a)
$$y\mapsto T(f_y)\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$$
 and $D^\alpha_y(T(f_y))=(D^\alpha T)(f_y)=(-1)^{|\alpha|}T(D^\alpha_x f_y)$

b) $\forall g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and compactly supported

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(y) T(f_y) \, dy = T(\underbrace{f \star g}_{\in C_{\infty}^{\infty}})$$

Proof. a) $y \mapsto T(f_y)$ is continuous since $y_n \to y$ in \mathbb{R}^d , then $f_{y_n} \to f_y$ implies $T(f_{y_n}) \to T(f_y)$. Let's check that $y \mapsto T(f_y) \in C^1$:

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{T(f_{y-he_i}) - T(f_y)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0} T\left(\frac{f_{y-he_i} - f_y}{h}\right)$$

We have $\frac{f_{y-he_i}-f_y}{h} \xrightarrow{h \to 0} (\partial_i f)_y$ in $D(\mathbb{R}^d)$

• $\exists K$ compact set such that $\operatorname{supp}(f_{y-e_i}-f_y)$, $\operatorname{supp} \partial_i f \subseteq K$ as |h| small.

•
$$\frac{f_{y-he_i}(x) - f_y(x)}{h} - (\partial_i f)_y(x)$$

$$= \frac{f(x - y + he_i) - f(x - y)}{h} - (\partial_i f)(x - y)$$

$$\left| \int_0^1 \partial_i f(x - y + the_i) dt - \partial_i f(x - y) \right| \xrightarrow{h \to 0} 0 \text{ uniformly in } x$$
Similary:

$$\left| D_x^{\alpha} \left(\frac{f(x-y+he_i) - f(x-y)}{h} - (\partial_i f)(x-y) \right) \right|$$

$$= \left| \frac{D^{\alpha} f(x-y+he_i) - D^{\alpha} f(x-y)}{h} - \partial_i (D^{\alpha} f)(x-y) \right| \xrightarrow{h \to 0} 0$$

uniformly in x. Conclude:

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{T(f_{y-he_i}) - T(f_y)}{h} \xrightarrow{h \to 0} T((\hat{c}_i f)_y) \in C(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

So we geht that $y \mapsto T(f_y) \in C^1$ and $-\partial_{y_i} T(f_y) = T((\partial_i f)_y)$

By induction:

$$D_y^{\alpha} T(f_y) = (-1)^{|\alpha|} T((D^{\alpha} f)_y) = (D^{\alpha} T)(f_y) \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$$

b) Heuristic: T = T(x)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(y)T(f_y) \, dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(y) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} T(x)f(x-y) \, dx \right) \, dy$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} T(x) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(y)f(x-y) \, dy \right) \, dx$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} T(x)(f \star g)(x) \, dx = T(f \star g)$$

Step 1: $g \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

(Rieman Sum)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(y)T(f_y) \, dy = \lim_{\Delta_N \to 0} \Delta_N \sum_{j=1}^N g(y_j)T(f_{y_j})$$
$$= \lim_{\Delta_N \to 0} T\left(\Delta_N \sum_{j=1}^N g(y_j)f_{y_j}\right)$$
$$= T(f \star g)$$

because

$$\lim_{\Delta_N \to 0} \Delta_N \sum_{j=1}^N g(y_j) f_{y_j}(x) \to (f \star g)(x) \text{ in } D(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

$$\lim_{\Delta_N \to 0} \Delta_N \sum_{j=1}^N g(y_j) f(x - y_j) \xrightarrow{\text{Riemann}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(y) f(x - y) \ dy = (f \star g)(x)$$

Proof of:

$$\lim_{\Delta_N \to 0} \Delta_N \sum_{j=1}^N g(y_j) f(x - y_j) \to (f \star g)(x) \text{ in } D(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

1) Since $f, g \in C_c^{\infty}$ we have $f \star g \in C_c^{\infty}$. And we have

$$x \mapsto \Delta_N \sum_{j=1}^N g(y_j) f(x - y_j) \in C^{\infty}$$

since $f \in C^{\infty}$ supported in $(\operatorname{supp} g + \operatorname{supp} f)$. So all functions are C_c^{∞} and supported in $(\operatorname{supp} g + \operatorname{supp} f)$.

2)

$$\left| \lim_{\Delta_N \to 0} \Delta_N \sum_{j=1}^N g(y_j) f(x - y_j) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(y) f(x - y) \, dy \right| \xrightarrow{\Delta_N \to 0} 0$$

uniformly in x. (Result from the Riemann-Sum)

3)

$$\left| D_x^{\alpha} (\Delta_N \sum_{j=1}^N g(y_j) f(x-y) - (f \star g)(x)) \right|$$

$$= \left| \Delta_N \sum_{j=1}^N g(y_j) D^{\alpha} f(x-y) - (D^{\alpha} f) \star g(x) \right| \xrightarrow{\Delta_N \to 0} 0$$

uniformly in x for all α .

Step 2: Take $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and compactly supported. Then $\exists \{g_n\} \subseteq C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, supp $g_n \subseteq \text{supp } g + B(0,1)$ such that $g_n \to g$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. By Step 1:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g_n(y) T(f_y) \, dy = T(g_n \star f)$$

Take $n \to \infty$:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g_n(y) T(f_y) \, dy \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(y) T(f_y) \, dy$$

since $g_n \to g$ in L^1 compactly supported and $y \mapsto T(f_y) \in C^{\infty} \subseteq L^{\infty}(K)$. Moreover (exercise):

$$\underbrace{g_n \star f}_{\in C^{\infty}} \to g \star f \quad \text{in } D(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

So $T(g_n \star f) \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} T(g \star f)$. Finally we optain:

$$\int g(y)T(f_n)\,dy = T(g\star f)$$

Theorem 3.48 Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open. Let $T \in D'(\Omega)$ and $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Denote

$$\Omega_f = \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \operatorname{supp} f_y = y + \operatorname{supp} f \subseteq \Omega \}$$

a)
$$y \mapsto T(f_y) \in C^{\infty}(\Omega_f)$$
 and $D_y^{\alpha}(T(f_y)) = (D^{\alpha}T)(f_y) = (-1)^{|\alpha|}T((D^{\alpha}f)_y)$

b) For all $g \in L^1(\Omega_q)$ compactly supported in Ω_f and it holds:

$$\int_{\Omega} g(y)T(f_y) \, dy = T(f \star g).$$

Theorem 3.49 Let $T \in D'(\Omega)$ s.t. $\nabla T = 0$ in $D'(\Omega)$. Then: T = const. in Ω .

Proof. $(\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d)$ for all $f \in C_c^{\infty}$, $y \mapsto T(f_y) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\partial_{y_i} T(f_y) = (\partial_j T)(f_y) = 0$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, d$. Then by the result of the theorem for C^{∞} functions, $y \mapsto T(f_y) = const$ independent of y. Consequently:

$$T(f_y) = T(f_0) = T(f) \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^d \ \forall f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

For any $g \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$:

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g \, dy\right) T(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(y) T(f_y) \, dy = T(f \star g) = T(g \star f) = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f \, dy\right) T(g)$$

So $\frac{T(f)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f}$ is independent of f (as soon as $\int f \neq 0$). So we get that $T(f) = const \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f$, where const is independent of f.

Remark 3.50 If $u \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then:

$$u(x+y) - u(x) = \int_0^1 \sum_{j=1}^d y_j (\partial_j u)(x+ty_j) dt = \int_0^1 y \nabla u(x+ty) dt$$

So we get that if $\nabla u = 0$, then u(x+y) - u(x) = 0 for all x, y, so u = const.

Theorem 3.51 (Taylor expansion for distributions) Let $T \in D'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then $y \mapsto T(f_y) \in C^{\infty}$ and

$$T(f_y) - T(f) = \int_0^1 \sum_{j=1}^d y_j(\partial_j T)(f_{ty}) dt.$$

In particular, if $g \in L^1_{loc}$ and $\nabla g \in L^1_{loc}$, then $\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^d$:

$$g(x+y) - g(x) = \int_0^1 g(x+ty)y \, dt$$

for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Proof. $y \mapsto T(f_y)$ is C^{∞} and $\frac{d}{dt}[T(f_{ty})] = (\nabla T)(f_{ty})y$ So we get

$$T(f_y) - T(f) = \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} (T(f_{ty})) dt$$
$$= \int_0^1 (\nabla T)(f_{ty}) y dt$$
$$= \int_0^1 \sum_{j=1}^d (\partial_j T)(f_{ty}) y_j dt$$

Corrolary 3.52 Let $g \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ s.t. $\hat{\sigma}_j g \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, d$ (i.e. $g \in W^{1,1}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$). Then for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$:

$$g(x+y) - g(x) = \int_0^1 y \cdot \nabla g(x+ty) dt$$
$$= \int_0^1 \sum_{j=1}^d y_j \partial g(x+ty) dt$$

for a.e. x.

Proof. For all $f \in C_c^{\infty}$ we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)[g(x+y) - g(x)] dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(x)[f(x-y) - f(x)] dx$$

$$= g(f_y) - g(f)$$

$$= \int_0^1 \sum_{j=1}^d y_j (\partial_j g)(f_{ty}) dt$$

$$= \int_0^1 \sum_{j=1}^d y_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{j=1}^d y_j \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\partial_j g)(x) f_{ty}(x) dx \right]$$

$$= \int_0^1 \sum_{j=1}^d y_i \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\partial_j g)(x+ty) f(x) dx \right] dt$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \left[\int_0^1 \sum_{j=1}^d y_j \partial_j g(x+ty) dt \right] dx$$

For all $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}$: = g(x+y) - g(x) a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Remark 3.53 If $T \in D'(\Omega)$, $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ open, if $y \nabla T = 0$, then T = const.

Theorem 3.54 (Equivalence of the classical and distributional derivatives) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$. Then the following are equivalent:

1.
$$T \in D'(\Omega)$$
 s.t. $\partial_{x_i} T = g_i \in C(\Omega)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d$.

2.
$$T = f \in C^1(\Omega)$$
 and $g_i = \partial_{x_i} f$

Proof.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$: If $T = f \in C^1(\Omega)$, then: $\partial_{x_i} f \in C(\Omega)$.

$$\partial_{x_i} T(\phi) = -T(\partial_{x_i} \phi) = -\int_{\Omega} f(\partial_{x_i} \phi) = \int_{\Omega} (\partial_{x_i} f) \phi$$

for all $\phi \in D(\Omega)$, so $\partial_{x_i} T = \partial_{x_i} f$.

(1) \Rightarrow (2): Why is $T = f \in C^1(\Omega)$? As $\partial_{x_i} f = g_i$:

$$f(x+y) - f(x) = \int_0^1 \nabla f(x+ty)y \, dt = \int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^d g_i(x+ty)y_i \, dt$$

So we get

$$f(y) = f(0) + \int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^d g_i(ty)g_i dt.$$

We expect that $f \in C^1$ and $\partial_{x_i} f = g_i$. But this is not trivial to prove.

$$\frac{f(y+he_i)-f(y)}{h} = \int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^d \left[g_i(ty+the_i)(y_i+h\delta_{ij})\right] dt$$

$$= \int_0^1 g_i(ty+the_i) dt + \int_0^1 \sum_{j\neq i} \frac{\left[g_i(ty+the_i)-g_i(ty)\right]}{h} y_i dt$$

$$\xrightarrow{h\to 0} \int_0^1 g_i(ty) dt + \text{is difficult ...}$$

Lets take $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}$, then:

$$T(\phi_y) - T(\phi) = \int_0^1 \underbrace{\nabla T}_{(g_i)_{i=1}^d} (\phi_{ty}) y \, dt$$

$$= \int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^d \left(\int_{\Omega} g_i(x) \underbrace{\phi_{ty}}_{=\phi(x-ty)} dx \right) \, dt$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^1 g_i(x) \phi(x-ty) y_i \, dt \right) \, dx$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^1 g_i(x+ty) \phi(x) y_i \, dt \right) \, dx$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\sum_i \int_0^1 g_i(x+ty) y_i \, dt \right) \phi(x) \, dx$$

Integrating against $\psi(y)$ with $\psi \in C_c^{\infty}$:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} T(\phi_y)\psi(y) \, dy - T(\phi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi(y) \, dy$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_i \int_0^1 g_i(x + ty) y_i \psi(y) \, dt \, dy \right) \psi(x) \, dx$$

$$\Rightarrow T(\phi \star \psi) - T(\phi) \int \psi = \dots$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} T(\psi_y)\phi(y) \, dy - T(\phi) \int \psi = \dots$$

Take $\psi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\int \psi = 1$. Then:

$$T(\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \underbrace{T(\psi_x) - \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^1 g_i(x+ty)y_i\psi(y) dt dy\right)}_{f(x)} \phi(x) dx$$

for all $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}$, so $T = f \in C(\Omega)$. Thus $T = f \in C(\Omega)$ and $\partial_{x_i} T = g_i \in C(\Omega)$. Then we need to prove that $f \in C^1(\Omega)$ and $\partial_{x_i} f = g_i$ (classical derivative). Since

 $f \in W_{loc}^{1,1}$:

$$f(x+y) - f(x) = \int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^d g_i(x+ty)y_i dt \quad \forall x, y$$

In particular:

$$\frac{f(x+he_i) - f(x)}{h} = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{h} \sum_{i=1}^d g_i(x+the_i) h \delta_{ij} dt$$
$$= \int_0^1 g_i(x+the_i) dt \xrightarrow{h \to 0} g_i(x)$$

So we get $\partial_{x_i} f(x) = g_i(x) \in C(\Omega)$ in the classical sense. So $f \in C^1(\Omega)$.

Definition 3.55 (Sobolev Spaces) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open. We define for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$:

$$W^{1,p}(\Omega) = \{ f \in L^p(\Omega) \mid \partial_{x_i} f \in L^p(\Omega) \ \forall i = 1, \dots, d \}$$

$$W^{k,p}(\Omega) = \{ f \in L^p(\Omega) \mid D^{\alpha} f \in L^p(\Omega) \ \forall |\alpha| \le k \}$$

$$W^{k,p}_{loc}(\Omega) = \{ f \in L^p_{loc}(\Omega) \mid D^{\alpha} f \in L^p_{loc}(\Omega) \ \forall |\alpha| \le k \}$$

Theorem 3.56 (Approximation of $W_{loc}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ by $C^{\infty}(\Omega)$) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open, let $f \in W_{loc}^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Then there exists $\{f_n\} \subseteq C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that $f_n \to f$ in $W_{loc}^{1,p}(\Omega)$, i.e. for all $K \subseteq \Omega$ compact: $\|f_n - f\|_{L^p(K)} + \sum_{i=1}^d \|\partial_{x_i}(f_n - f)\|_{L^p(K)} \to 0$.

Proof. Case $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$: Take $g \in C_c^{\infty}$, $\int g = 1$, $g_{\epsilon}(x) = \epsilon^{-d}g(\epsilon^{-1}x)$. Then $g_{\epsilon} \star f \in C_c^{\infty}$. Since $f \in L_{loc}^p(\Omega)$ we have $g_{\epsilon} \star f \to f$ in L_{loc}^p as $\epsilon \to 0$. Moreover $\partial_{x_i}(g_{\epsilon} \star f) = (g_{\epsilon} \star \partial_{x_i} f) \xrightarrow{\epsilon \to 0} \partial_{x_i} f$ in L_{loc}^p . Then we can take $f_n = g_{\frac{1}{n} \star f}$.

Remark 3.57 In general, if we want to compute the distributional derivative $D^{\alpha}f$, then we can find $f_n \to f$ in $D'(\Omega)$ and compute $D^{\alpha}f_n$. Then $D^{\alpha}f_n \to D^{\alpha}f$ in $D^{\alpha}(\Omega)$. As an example we can compute $\nabla |f|$ with $f \in W^{1,p}_{loc}(\Omega)$.

$$(\nabla |f|)(x) = \begin{cases} \nabla f(x) & f(x) > 0\\ -\nabla f(x) & f(x) < 0\\ 0 & f(x) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Theorem 3.58 (Chain Rule) Let $G \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $|\nabla G|$ is bounded. Let $f = (f_i)_{i=1}^d \subseteq W^{1,p}_{loc}(\Omega)$. Then $x \mapsto G(f(x)) \in W^{1,p}_{loc}(\Omega)$ and

$$\partial_{x_i} G(f) = \sum_{k=1}^d (\partial_k G)(f) \cdot \partial_{x_i} f_k \quad \text{in } D'(\Omega)$$

Moreover, if $G(0) \in L^p(\Omega)$ (i.e. either $|\Omega| < \infty$ or G(0) = 0), then if $f = (f_i)_{i=1}^d \subseteq W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, then $G(f) \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Proof. Since $G \in C^1$ we have that G is bounded in any compact set. Moreover $\|\nabla G\|_{L^{\infty}} < \infty$ implies:

$$|G(f) - G(0)| \leq \|\nabla G\|_{L^{\infty}} |f| \in L^p_{loc}$$

So $G(f) \in L^p_{loc}$. Let us compute $\partial_{x_i} G(f)$. Let $\{f^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq C^{\infty}$ such that $f^{(n)} \to f$ in $W^{1,p}_{loc}$, then:

$$|G(f^{(n)}) - G(f)| \le ||\nabla G||_{L^{\infty}} |f^{(n)} - f| \to 0 \text{ in } L^p_{loc}$$

So $G(f^{(n)}) \to G(f)$ in L^p_{loc} , thus $\partial_{x_i} G(f^{(n)}) \to \partial_{x_i} G(f)$ in $D'(\Omega)$. On the other hand, by the standard Chain-Rule for C^1 -functions:

$$\partial_{x_i} G(f^{(k)}) = \sum_{k=1}^d \underbrace{\partial_k G(f^{(k)})}_{\text{(b.d.} \to \partial_k G(f))} \underbrace{\partial_i f_k^{(n)}}_{\text{($\to \partial_i f_k \text{ in } L^p(\Omega))}} \to \sum_{k=1}^d \partial_k G(f) \partial_i f_k \text{ in } L^p_{loc}(\Omega)$$

Thus

$$\partial_{x_i} G(f) = \sum_{k=1}^d \underbrace{\partial_k G(f)}_{\in L^{\infty}} \underbrace{\partial_i f_k}_{\in L^p_{loc}} \in L^p_{loc} \text{ in } D'(\Omega)$$

So $G(f) \in W^{1,p}_{loc}(\Omega)$. Aussume that $G(0) \in L^p(\Omega)$ (i.e. $|\Omega| < \infty$ or G(0) = 0). If $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, then $G(f) \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ since

$$|G(f) - G(0)| \leq \|\nabla G\|_{L^{\infty}} |f| \in L^p \Rightarrow G(f) \in L^p$$

and

$$\partial_{x_i} G(f) = \sum_k \underbrace{\partial_k G}_{\in L^{\infty}} \underbrace{\partial_i f_k}_{\in L^p} \in L^p \Rightarrow G(f) \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$$

Theorem 3.59 (Derivative of absolute value) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open. Let $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Then $|f| \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and if f is real-valued:

$$(\nabla |f|)(x) = \begin{cases} \nabla f(x) & f(x) > 0\\ -\nabla f(x) & f(x) < 0\\ 0 & f(x) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Proof. Exercise. Hint: Use the Chain-Rule for $G_{\epsilon}(x)=\sqrt{\epsilon^2+x^2}-\epsilon \to |x|$ as $\epsilon \to 0$

3.4 Distribution vs. measures

Let μ be a Borel measure in \mathbb{R}^d s.t. $\mu(K) < \infty$ for all compact $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$. Then define

$$T: \ D(\mathbb{R}^d) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

$$\phi \longmapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(x) \, d\mu(x) \quad \forall \phi \in C_c^{\infty}$$

 \rightarrow T is a distribution since if $\phi_n \rightarrow \phi$ in $D(\Omega)$, then

$$|T(\phi_n) - T(\phi)| \leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\phi_n - \phi| \, d\mu(x) \leqslant \|\phi_n - \phi\|_{L^{\infty}} \left(\int_K d\mu \right) \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} 0$$

Example 3.60 ∂_0 in $D'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a Borel probability measure.

Theorem 3.61 (Positive distributions are measures) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open, let $T \in D'(\Omega)$. Assume $T \geq 0$, i.e. $T(\phi) \geq 0$ for all $\phi \in D(\Omega)$ satisfying $\phi(x) \geq 0$ for all x. Then there is a Borel positive measure μ on Ω such that $\mu(K) < \infty$ for all $K \subseteq \Omega$ compact and:

$$T(\phi) = \int_{\Omega} \phi(x) d\mu(x) \quad \forall \phi \in D^{(\Omega)}$$

Proof. See Lieb-Loss Analysis. Sketch: If $O \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is open, then

$$\mu(O) = \sup\{T(\phi) \mid \phi \in D(\Omega), 0 \leqslant \phi \leqslant 1, \operatorname{supp} \phi \subseteq O\}$$

For all $A \subseteq \Omega$ (not necessarily open),

$$\mu(A) = \inf{\{\mu(O) \mid O \text{ open}, A \subseteq O\}}$$

The mapping $\mu: 2^{\Omega} \to [0, \infty]$ is an outer measure, i.e.

- 1. $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$
- 2. $\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$ if $A \subseteq B$
- 3. $\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i)$

From the outer measure we can find a σ -algebra Σ and μ is a measure on Ω s.t. E is measurable iff

$$\mu(E) = \mu(E \cap A) + \mu(E \cap A^{\complement}).$$

So all open sets are measurable, thus outer regularity (by def $\mu(A) = \inf\{\mu(O) \mid O \text{ open } \supseteq A\}$, so inner regularity $\mu(A) = \sup\{\mu(K) \mid K \text{ compact } \subseteq A\}$.

Exercise 3.62 (E 4.1) Prove that if $T_n \to T$ in $D'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then $D^{\alpha}T_n \to D^{\alpha}T$ in $D^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$.

My Solution. See Goodnotes.

Exercise 3.63 (E 4.2)

My Solution. See Goodnotes.

Exercise 3.64 (E 4.3) $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\int f = 1$ $f_{\epsilon}(x) = \epsilon^{-d} f(\epsilon^{-1} x)$. Then $f_{\epsilon} \to \delta_0$ in $D'(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

My Solution. See Goodnotes.

Exercise 3.65 (E 4.4) Let $\{f_n\} \subseteq L^1$, supp $f \subseteq B(0,1), f_n \to f$ in L^1 . Prove for all $g \in C_c^{\infty}$ that $f_n \star g \to f \star g$ in $D(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Solution. Since $f_n \in L^1$, supp $f \subseteq B(0,1)$ and $g \in C_c^{\infty}$ we have $f_n \star g \in C_c^{\infty}$ and

$$\operatorname{supp}(f_n \star g) \subseteq (\operatorname{supp} g) + \overline{B(0,1)} = K.$$

Since $f_n \to f$ in L^1 there is a subsequence $f_{n_k} \to f$ almost everywhere, so f supp in $\overline{B(0,1)}$, so $f \star g \in C_c^{\infty}$, supp $(f \star g) \subseteq K$. We have:

$$|f_n \star g(x) - f \star g(x)| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f_n(y) - f(y))g(x - y) \, dy \right|$$

$$\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f_n(y) - f(y)||g(x - y)| \, dy$$

$$\leq ||g||_{L^{\infty}} ||f_n - f||_{L^1} \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} 0$$

thus $||f_n \star g - f \star g||_{L^{\infty}} \to 0$. Similary:

$$||D^{\alpha}(f_n \star g) - D^{\alpha}(f \star g)||_{L^{\infty}} = ||f_n \star \underbrace{(D^{\alpha}g)}_{\in C^{\infty}_{c}} - f \star (D^{\alpha}g)||_{L^{\infty}} \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} 0$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$, so $f_n \star g \to f \star g$ in $D(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Exercise 3.66 (E 4.5) Compute distributional derivatives f', f'' of f(x) = x|x-1|.

Solution. We prove
$$f'(x) = g(x) := \begin{cases} 2x - 1 & x > 1 \\ 1 - 2x & x < 1 \end{cases}$$
. Take $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

$$-f'(\phi) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f \phi' \, dy$$

$$= -\int_{-\infty}^1 f \phi' \, dy - \int_1^{\infty} f \phi' \, dy$$

$$= [f\phi]_{-\infty}^1 - \int_{-\infty}^1 f' \phi \, dy + [f\phi]_1^{\infty} - \int_1^{\infty} f' \phi \, dy$$

$$= [f\phi]_{-\infty}^1 - \int_{-\infty}^1 g \phi \, dy + [f\phi]_1^{\infty} - \int_1^{\infty} g \phi \, dy$$

$$= f(1-)\phi(1) - f(1+)\phi(1) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g \phi \, dy$$

$$= 0 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g \phi \, dy$$

Now we compute f'' = g'. Take $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$:

$$-(g')(\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g\phi' \, dy$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^1 g\phi' \, dy + \int_1^{\infty} g\phi' \, dy$$

$$= [g(1-) - g(1+)]\phi(1) - \int_{-\infty}^1 g'\phi \, dy - \int_1^{\infty} g'\phi \, dy$$

$$= [g(1-) - g(1+)]\phi(1) - \int_{-\infty}^1 (-2)\phi \, dy - \int_1^{\infty} 2\phi \, dy$$

$$= -2\phi(1) + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [2\mathbb{1}_{(-\infty,1)}(x) - 2\mathbb{1}_{(1,\infty)}(x)]\phi(x) \, dx$$

$$= -2\delta_1(\phi) + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [2\mathbb{1}_{(-\infty,1)}(x) - 2\mathbb{1}_{(1,\infty)}(x)]\phi(x) \, dx$$

$$\Rightarrow g' = \underbrace{2\delta_1}_{\notin L^1_{loc}} - \underbrace{2\mathbb{1}_{(-\infty,1)} + 2\mathbb{1}_{(1,\infty)}}_{\int L^1_{loc}}$$

Chapter 4

Weak Solutions and Regularity

Definition 4.1 Consider the linear PDE:

$$\sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} D^{\alpha} u(x) = F(x), \quad c_{\alpha} \text{ constant}, F \text{ given}$$

A function u is called a weak solution (a distributional solution) if

$$\sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} D^{\alpha} u = F \quad \text{in } D'(\Omega).$$

Namely,

$$\sum_{\alpha} (-1)^{|\alpha|} c_{\alpha} \int_{\Omega} u D^{\alpha} \phi = \int_{\Omega} F \phi, \quad \forall \phi \in D(\Omega)$$

Regularity: Given some condition on the data F, what can we say about the smoothness of u? Can we say that the equation holds in the classical sense? We derived G (the solution of the Laplace Equation) before in two ways:

- 1. $\Delta G(x) = 0$ for all $x \neq 0$, assuming G(x) = G(|x|) and $d \geq 2$
- 2. $\hat{G}(k) = \frac{1}{|2\pi k|^2}$ for $d \ge 3$

Theorem 4.2 For all $d \ge 1$ we have $G \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $-\Delta G = \delta_0$ in $D'(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Proof. Take $\phi \in D(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then:

$$(-\Delta G_y)(\phi) = G_y(-\Delta \phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G_y(x)(-\Delta \phi)(x) dx$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G(y - x)(-\Delta \phi)(x) dx$$
$$= [G \star (-\Delta \phi)](y) = (-\Delta)(G \star \phi)(y)$$

Recall for all $f \in C^2$, $-\Delta(G \star f) = f$ pointwise. So we can conclude $-\Delta G_y = \delta_y$ in $D'(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Remark 4.3 In
$$d = 1$$
, $G(x) = -\frac{1}{2}|x|$, so $-G'(x) = \text{sgn}(x)/2$, so $-G''(x) = \delta_0$.

Remark 4.4 Formally:

$$-\Delta(G_y \star \phi) = (-\Delta G_y) \star \phi(x) = (\delta_0 \star \phi)(x) = \int \delta_0(y)\phi(x-y) \, dy = \delta_0(\phi(x-\bullet))$$

Theorem 4.5 (Poisson's equation with L^1_{loc} data) Let $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ s.t. $\omega_d f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ where

$$\omega_d(x) = \begin{cases} 1 + |x| & d = 1\\ \log(1 + |x|) & d = 2\\ \frac{1}{1 + |x|^{d-2}} & d \geqslant 3, \end{cases}$$

then $u(x)=(G\star f)(x)\in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d).$ Moreover $-\Delta u=f$ in $D'(\mathbb{R}^d).$ In fact, $u\in W^{1,1}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and:

$$\partial_{x_i} u(x) = (\partial_{x_i} G) \star f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\partial_{x_i} G)(x - y) f(y) dy$$

Remark 4.6 We can also replace \mathbb{R}^d by Ω and get $-\Delta u = f$ in $D'(\Omega)$.

Proof of Theorem 4.5. First we check that $u \in L^1_{loc}$. Take any Ball $B(0,R) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, prove $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |u| dy < \infty$. We have

$$\begin{split} \int_{B} |u| \, dy &= \int_{B} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} G(x - y) f(y) \, dy \right| \, dx \\ &\leq \int_{B} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |G(x - y)| |f(y)| \, dy \, dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \left(\int_{B} |G(x - y)| \, dx \right) |f(y)| \, dy \end{split}$$

If $y \notin B = B(0, R)$, then by Newtons's theorem (Mean-value theorem):

$$\int_{B(0,R)} |G(x-y)| \, dx = |B(0,r)| |G(y)| \le C|B|\omega_d(y)$$

If $y \in B$, then $|y| \le R$, so $|x - y| \le 2R$ if $x \in B$.

$$\int_{B(0,R)} |G(x-y)| \, dx \le \int_{|x-y| \le 2R} |G(x-y)| \, dx = \int_{|z| \le 2R} |G(z)| \, dz \le c_R$$

as $G \in L^1_{loc}$. Thus

$$\int_{B} |u| \, dy \leqslant c_{B} \int_{|y| \geqslant R} \omega_{d}(y) |f(y)| \, dy + c_{B} \int_{|y| \leqslant R} |f(y)| \, dy < \infty$$

Let us prove $-\Delta u = f$ in $D'(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Take $\phi \in D(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then:

$$(-\Delta u)(\phi) = u(-\Delta \phi)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u(x)(-\Delta \phi)(x) dx$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G(x-y)f(y)(-\Delta \phi)(x) dx dy$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G(y-x)f(y)(-\Delta \phi)(x) dx dy$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [G \star (-\Delta \phi)](y)f(y) dy$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} -\Delta (G \star \phi)(y)f(y) dy$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(y)f(y) dy$$

So $-\Delta u = f$ in $D'(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We check that $\partial_i G \star f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Note that

$$|\hat{\sigma}_i G(x)| \le c \frac{1}{|x|^{d-1}} \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

and

$$\int_{B(0,R)} |\partial_i G(x-y)| dx \leq \begin{cases} C_r \omega_d(y) & |y| \geq R \\ C_r & |y| \leq R \end{cases}$$

So $\int_{B(0,R)} |(\partial_i G \star f)|(y) < \infty$ for all R > 0. For all $\phi \in D(\mathbb{R}^d)$:

$$-(\partial_{i}u)(\phi) = u(\partial_{i}\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} u(x)\partial_{i}\phi(x) dx$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} G(x-y)f(y)\partial_{i}\phi(x) dx dy$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} G(y-x)f(y)\partial_{i}\phi(x) dx dy$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} (G \star \partial_{i}^{y}\phi)(y)f(y) dy$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} (\partial_{i}^{y}G \star \phi)(y)f(y) dy$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \partial_{i}^{y}G(y-x)f(y)\phi(x) dx dy$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} -(\partial_{i}G)(x-y)f(y)\phi(x) dx dy$$

$$= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} (\partial_{i}G \star f)(x)\phi(x) dx$$

So $\partial_i u = \partial_i G \star f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Thus $u \in L^1_{loc}$, $\partial_i u \in L^1_{loc}$ for all i. So $u \in W^{1,1}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. \blacksquare Regularity: We consider the Laplace Equation $\Delta u = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^d .

Lemma 4.7 (Weyl) If $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ open and $T \in D'(\Omega)$ s.t. $\Delta T = 0$ in $D'(\Omega)$, then: $T = f \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and f is a harmonic function.

Proof. $(\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d)$. Take $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}$, then $y \mapsto T(\phi_y) = T(\phi(-y))$ is C^{∞} and $\Delta_y T(\phi_y) = T((\Delta\phi)_y) = (\Delta T)(\phi_y) = 0$. Take $g \in C_c^{\infty}$, g is radial. Then:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} T(\phi_y) g(y) \, dy \stackrel{\text{(exercise)}}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} T(\phi) g(y) \, dy = T(\phi) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g \, dy \right)$$

Exercise 4.8 Let $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a harmonic function and $g \in C_c^{\infty}$, g is radial. Then:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)g(x) \, dx = f(0) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(x) \, dx \right)$$

On the other hand:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} T(\phi_y) g(y) \, dy = T(\phi \star g) = T(g \star \phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} T(g_y) \phi(y) \, dy$$

Take $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g \, dy = 1$, then:

$$T(\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} T(g_y)\phi(y) \ dy$$

For all $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}$. Then $T = T(g_y) \in C^{\infty}$

Now lets regard the Poisson Equation $-\Delta u = f$ in $D'(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Remark 4.9 Any solution has the form $u = G \star g + h$ where $\Delta h = 0$ in $D'(\mathbb{R}^d)$. By Weyls Lemma (4.7), $h \in C^{\infty}$, then we only need to consider the regularity of $G \star f$.

Remark 4.10 The regularity is a local question, namely if we write

$$f = f_1 + f_2 = f\phi + f(1 - \phi),$$

where $\phi = 1$ in a ball B and $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}$.

Then $G \star f = G \star f_1 + G \star f_2$. Here $f_2 = f(1 - \phi) = 0$ in B. With Weyls Lemma (4.7), $G \star f_2 \in C^{\infty}$.

Theorem 4.11 (Low Regularity of Poisson Equation) Lef $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and compactly supported. Then

- a) If $p \ge 1$, then
 - $G \star f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if d = 1.
 - $G \star f \in L^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for any $q < \infty$ if d = 2.
 - $G \star f \in L^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for $q < \frac{d}{d-2}$ if $d \ge 3$.
- b) If $\frac{d}{2} , then <math>G \star f \in C^{0,\alpha}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for all $0 < \alpha < 2 \frac{d}{p}$, i.e.

$$|(G \star f)(x) - (G \star f)(y)| \le C_k |x - y|^{\alpha} \quad \forall x, y \in K$$

with K compact in \mathbb{R}^d .

c) If p > d, then $G \star f \in C^{1,\alpha}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for all $0 < \alpha < 1 - \frac{d}{p}$.

where G is den fundamental solution of the laplace equation.

Example 4.12 Let r = |x|

$$u(x) = \omega(r) = \log(|\log(r)|)$$

if $0 < r < \frac{1}{2}$, so u is well-defined in $B = B(0, \frac{1}{2})$. We conclude:

$$-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3} u(x) = -\omega''(r) - \frac{2\omega'(r)}{r} = f(x) \in L^{\frac{3}{2}(B)}$$

But the Theorem (b) tells us that if $f \in L^{\frac{3}{2}}$ then u is continuous but $u \notin C(B)$.

Proof of theorem 4.11. a) (p=1) Why is $G\star f\in L^q_{loc}$? Recall from the proof of Youngs inequality:

$$\begin{split} |(G\star f)(x)| &= \bigg|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G(x-y)f(y)\,dy\bigg| \\ \text{(H\"{o}lder)} &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |G(x-y)|^q |f(y)|\,yd\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(y)|\,dy\right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \end{split}$$

Where $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1$. Then:

$$|(G \star f)(x)|^q \leqslant C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |G(x-y)|^q |f(y)| \, dy$$

For any Ball $B = B(0, R) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$:

$$\int_{B} |G \star f(x)|^{q} dx \leq C \int_{B} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |G(x-y)|^{q} |f(y)| dy \right) dx$$
$$= C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \left(\int_{B} |G(x-y)|^{q} dx \right) |f(y)| dy$$

 $G(x) \sim \frac{1}{|x|^{d-2}} \rightsquigarrow |G|^q = \frac{1}{|x|^{(d-2)q}} \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if $(d-2)q < 2 \Leftrightarrow q < \frac{d}{d-2}$. Here, $y \in \operatorname{supp} f$, so $|y| \leqslant R_1$, then $|x-y| \leqslant R + R$ if $|x| \leqslant R$. With $y \in \operatorname{supp} f$, this implies:

$$\int_{B(0,R)} |G(x-y)|^q \, dx \le \int_{|z| \le R+R_1} |G(z)|^q \, dz < \infty$$

b)

$$(G \star f)(x) - (G \star f)(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (G(x-z) - G(y-z))f(z) dz$$

So

$$|G\star f(x)-(G\star f)(y)|\leqslant C\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\left|\frac{1}{|x-z|^{d-2}}-\frac{1}{|y-z|^{d-2}}\right||f(z)|\,dz$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$:

$$\begin{split} \left| \frac{1}{|x|^{d-2}} - \frac{1}{|y|^{d-2}} \right| &= \left| \left(\frac{1}{|x|} - \frac{1}{|y|} \right) \left(\frac{1}{|x|^{d-3}} + \dots + \frac{1}{|y|^{d-3}} \right) \right| \\ &\leqslant C \frac{||x| - |y||}{|x||y|} \max \left(\frac{1}{|x|^{d-3}}, \frac{1}{|y|^{d-3}} \right) \\ &= C \frac{|x - y|}{|x||y|} \max \left(\frac{1}{|x|^{d-3}}, \frac{1}{|y|^{d-3}} \right) \\ &\leqslant C \max(|x|, |y|)^{1-\alpha} \frac{|x - y|^{\alpha}}{|x||y|} \max \left(\frac{1}{|x|^{d-3}}, \frac{1}{|y|^{d-3}} \right) \end{split}$$

as

$$||x| - |y|| \le \min(|x - y|, \max(|x|, |y|)) \le |x - y|^{\alpha} \max(|x|, |y|)^{1 - \alpha}$$

Thus, for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$:

$$\left| \frac{1}{|x|^{d-2}} - \frac{1}{|y|^{d-2}} \right| \leqslant C|x - y|^{\alpha} \frac{\max(|x|, |y|)^{1-\alpha}}{|x||y|} \max\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{d-3}}, \frac{1}{|y|^{d-3}}\right)$$
$$\leqslant C|x - y|^{\alpha} \max\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{d-2+\alpha}}, \frac{1}{|y|^{d-2+\alpha}}\right)$$

So we get

$$\left| \frac{1}{|x-y|^{d-2}} - \frac{1}{|y-z|^{d-2}} \right| \le C|x-y|^{\alpha} \max\left(\frac{1}{|x-z|^{d-2+\alpha}}, \frac{1}{|y-z|^{d-2+\alpha}} \right)$$

Therefore:

$$\begin{split} |G \star f(x) - G \star f(y)| \\ &\leqslant C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x - y|^{\alpha} \max \left(\frac{1}{|x - z|^{d - 2 + \alpha}}, \frac{1}{|y - z|^{d - 2 + \alpha}} \right) |f(z)| \, dz \\ &\leqslant C |x - y|^{\alpha} \left(\sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{|\xi - z|^{d - 2 + \alpha}} |f(z)| \, dz \right) \end{split}$$

Claim: If $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is compactly supported, $d \ge p > \frac{d}{2}$, then:

$$\sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{|\xi - z|^{d-2+d}} |f(z)| \ dz < \infty$$

for all $0 < \alpha < 2 - \frac{d}{p}$. Assume supp $f \subseteq \overline{B(0, R_1)}$. Consider 2 cases:

• If $|\xi| > 2R_1$, then: $|\xi - z| \ge R_1$ for all $z \in B(0, R_1)$. Hence:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{|\xi - z|^{d-2+\alpha}} |f(z)| \, dz \leqslant \frac{1}{R_1^{d-2+\alpha}} ||f||_{L^1} < \infty$$

• If $|\xi| \leq 2R_1$, then: $|\xi - z| \leq 3R_1$ for all $z \in B(0, R_1)$:

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{1}{|\xi - z|^{d - 2 + \alpha}} |f(z)| \, dz & \leq \int_{|\xi - z|} \frac{1}{|\xi - z|^{d - 2 + \alpha}} |f(z)| \, dz \\ \text{(H\"{o}lder)}, \left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1\right) & \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |f(z)|^{p} \, dz\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \cdot \left(\int_{|\xi - z|} \frac{1}{|\xi - z|^{(d - 2 + \alpha)q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ & = \|f\|_{L^{p}} \left(\int_{|z| \leqslant 3R_{1}} \frac{1}{|z|^{(d - 2 + \alpha)q}} \, dz\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty \end{split}$$

c) $(d \ge 3)$ We already know:

$$\partial_i(G \star f) = (\partial_i G \star f) \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

as $\omega_d f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We claim that $\partial_i G \star f \in C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. So $G \star f \in C^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by the equivalence between the classical and the distributional derivatives. Exercise. Hint:

$$|\partial_i G \star f(x) - \partial_i G \star f(y)| \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\partial_i G(x - z) - \partial_i G(y - z)||f(z)| dz,$$

$$\partial_i G(x) = \frac{-x_i}{d|B_1||x|^d}$$
. \rightsquigarrow Need to estimate $|\partial_i G(x) - \partial_i G(y)| \leq C|x - y|^{\alpha}$.

Theorem 4.13 (High regularity for Poisson's equation) Let $f \in C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $0 < \alpha < 1$ be compactly supported. Then $G \star f \in C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Remark 4.14 $(-\Delta u = f)$ and $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^d)$ does not imply that $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. (exercise)

Remark 4.15 If $f \in C^{k,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $k \in \{0,1,\dots\}$, $0 < \alpha < 1$ is compactly supported, then $G \star f \in C^{k+2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. This more general statement is a consequence of the theorem since

$$D^{\beta}(G \star f) = G \star \underbrace{(D^{\beta}f)}_{\in C^{0,\alpha}}$$

for all $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d), |\beta| \leq k$.

Proof of theorem 4.13. Since $f \in L^p$ for all $p \leq \infty$ by the low regularity (4.11) we have $G \star f \in C^{1,\alpha}$ and $\partial_i(G \star f) = \partial_i G \star f$ in the classical sense. We will compute the distributional derivatives $\partial_i \partial_j (G \star f)$ and prove that they are Hölder continuous. Compute $\partial_j \partial_i (G \star f)$: For all $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we have

$$-(\partial_{j}\partial_{i}G \star f)(\phi) = \underbrace{(\partial_{i}(G \star f))}_{\in C}(\partial_{j}\phi)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} ((\partial_{i}G) \star f)(x)\partial_{j}\phi(x) dx$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \partial_{i}G(x - y)f(y)\partial_{j}\phi(x) dx dy$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f(y) \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \partial_{i}G(x - y)\partial\phi(x) x \right] dy$$

$$\stackrel{?}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \Box \phi(y) dy$$

Recall: $\partial_i G(x) = \frac{-x_i}{d|B_1||x|^d}$, $\partial_i \partial_j G(x) = \frac{1}{|B_1|} \left[\frac{x_i x_j}{|x|^2} - \frac{\delta_{ij}}{d} \right] \frac{1}{|x|^d}$. We have:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_i G(x - y) \partial_j \phi(x) \, dx = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \int_{|x - y| \ge \epsilon} \partial_i G(x - y) \partial_j \phi(x) \, dx$$

By dominated convergence we have $|\partial_i G(x-y)\partial_j \phi(x)| \in L^1(dx)$. By the Gauss-Green-Theorem (2.2) for all $\epsilon > 0$:

$$\int_{|x-y| \ge \epsilon} \partial_i G(x-y) \partial_j \phi(x) dx$$

$$= \int_{\partial B(y,\epsilon)} \partial_i G(x-y) \phi(x) \omega_j dS(x) - \int_{|x-y| \ge \epsilon} \partial_j \partial_i G(x-y) \phi(x) dx$$

Where $\omega = \frac{x-y}{|x-y|}$. For the boundary term:

$$\begin{split} -\int_{\partial B(y,\epsilon)} \partial_i G(x-y) \phi(x) \omega_j \, dS(x) &= -\epsilon^{d-1} \int_{\partial B(0,1)} \partial_i G(\epsilon \omega) \phi(y+\epsilon \omega) \omega_j \, d\omega \\ (\star) &= \int_{\partial B(0,1)} \frac{1}{d|B_1|} \omega_i \omega_j \phi(y+\epsilon \omega) \, d\omega \\ &\xrightarrow{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\partial B(0,1)} \frac{1}{d|B_1|} \; \omega_i \omega_j \phi(y) \, d\omega \\ &= \frac{1}{d} \delta_{i,j} \phi(y) \end{split}$$

$$(\star) \ \ \partial_i G(x) = \tfrac{-x_i}{d|B_1||x|^d} \ , \ \text{so} \ \partial_i G(\epsilon \omega) = -\tfrac{-\omega_i}{d|B_1|} \tfrac{1}{\epsilon^{d-1}}. \ \text{for all} \ |\omega| \ = 1.$$

Now we split:

$$\begin{split} &-\int_{|x-y|} \underset{\geqslant \epsilon}{\partial_i \partial_j G(x-y) \phi(x)} \, dx \\ &= -\int_{|x-y|} \underset{\geqslant 1}{\partial_i \partial_j G(x-y) \phi(x)} \, dx - \int_{1 \geqslant |x-y| \geqslant \epsilon} \partial_i \partial_j G(x-y) \phi(x) \, dx \end{split}$$

The key observation is: $\int_{\partial B(0,r)} \partial_i \partial_j G(x) dx = 0$ since

$$\partial_i \partial_j G(x) = \frac{1}{|B_1|} \left(\omega_i \omega_j - \frac{\partial_{ij}}{d} \right) \frac{1}{|x|^d},$$

 $\omega = \frac{x}{|x|}$. For example if i = 1, j = 2, r = 1:

$$\int_{\partial B(0,1)} \partial_1 \partial_2 G(x) \, dS(x) = \frac{1}{|B_1|} \int_{\partial B(0,1)} \omega_1 \omega_2 \, d\omega,$$

 $\partial B(0,1) = \{\omega \mid |\omega| = 1\}$. Consider: $\omega \mapsto R\omega, (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_d) \mapsto (-\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_d)$. Then

$$-\int_{1\geqslant |x-y|\geqslant \epsilon} \partial_i \partial_j G(x-y)\phi(y) \, dx = 0.$$

So

$$-\int_{1\geqslant |x-y|\geqslant \epsilon} \partial_i \partial_j G(x-y)\phi(x) \, dx = -\int_{1\geqslant |x-y|\geqslant \epsilon} \partial_i \partial_j G(x-y)(\phi(x)-\phi(y)) \, dx$$

In summary:

$$\begin{split} \partial_i \partial_j (G \star f)(\phi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_i G(x-y) \partial_j \phi(x) \, dx \right) \, dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y) \frac{1}{d} \partial_{ij} \phi(y) \, dy \\ &- \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y) \left(\int_{|x-y|>1} \partial_i \partial_j G(x-y) \phi(x) \, dx \right) \\ &- \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{1 \geqslant |x-y| \geqslant \epsilon} \underbrace{\frac{\partial_i \partial_j G(x-y) (\phi(x)-\phi(y)) \, dx}{\sum_{|x-y|^d} |x-y| \|\nabla \phi\|_L x \leqslant \frac{C}{|x-y|^{d-1}} \epsilon L^1_{loc}(dx) \forall y} \right] \, dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\delta_{ij}}{d} f(x) \phi(x) \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(x) \left(\int_{|x-y|>1} \partial_i \partial_j G(x-y) f(y) \, dy \right) \, dx \\ &- \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(x) \left[\int_{|x-y|\leqslant 1} \partial_i \partial_j G(x-y) (f(y)-f(x)) \, dy \right] \, dx \end{split}$$

Conclusion:

$$\partial_i \partial_j (G \star f)(x) = -\frac{\delta_{ij}}{d} f(x) + \int_{|x-y|>1} \partial_i \partial_j G(x-y) f(y) \, dy$$
$$+ \int_{|x-y| \le 1} \partial_i \partial_j G(x-y) \left(f(y) - f(x) \right) \, dy$$

The first term $f \in C^{0,\alpha}$. The second term is also at least $C^{0,\alpha}$ since $\partial_i \partial_j G(x)$ is smooth as |x| > 1. We need to prove that the thirt term

$$W_{ij}(x) = \int_{|x-y| \le 1} \partial_i \partial_j G(x-y) (f(y) - f(x)) \, dy$$

is Hölder-continuous, $|W_{ij}(x) - W_{ij(y)}| \leq C|x - y|^{\alpha}$. Recall:

$$|\partial_i \partial_j G(x-y)(f(y)-f(x))| \leqslant C \frac{1}{|x-y|^d} |x-y|^\alpha = \frac{C}{|x-y|^{d-\alpha}} \in L^1_{loc}(dy)$$

We write

$$W_{ij}(x) = \int_{|x-y| \le 1} \partial_i \partial_j G(x-y) (f(y) - f(x)) \, dy$$
$$= \int_{|z| \le 1} \partial_i \partial_j G(z) (f(x+z) - f(x)) \, dz$$

So we get:

$$W_{ij} - W_{ij}(y) = \int_{|z| \le 1} \partial_i \partial_j G(z) (f(x+z) - f(y+z) - f(x) + f(y)) dz$$

Easy thought: Use $\partial_i \partial_j G(z) | \leq \frac{C}{|z|^d}$ and

$$|f(x+z) - f(y+z) - f(x) + f(y)|$$

$$\leq \begin{cases} |f(x+z) - f(x)| + |f(y+z) - f(y)| \leq C|z|^{\alpha} \\ |f(x+z) - f(y+z)| + |f(x) - f(y)| \leq C|x-y|^{\alpha} \end{cases}$$

Thus:

$$|W_{ij}(x) - W_{ij}(y)| \le C \int_{|z| \le 1} \frac{1}{|z|^d} \min(|z|^\alpha, |x - y|^\alpha) \, dz$$

$$\le C \int_{|z| \le 1} \frac{1}{|z|^d} (|z|^\alpha)^\epsilon (|x - y|^\alpha)^{1 - \epsilon}, \quad 0 < \epsilon < 1$$

$$\le C \left(\int_{|z| \le 1} \frac{1}{|z|^{d - \alpha \epsilon}} \right) |x - y|^{\alpha (1 - \epsilon)}$$

$$\le C_\epsilon |x - y|^{\alpha (1 - \epsilon)}$$

thus it is easy to prove $|W_{ij}(x) - W_{ij}(y)| \leq C_{\alpha}|x - y|^{\alpha}$ for all $\alpha' \leq \alpha$. However, to get $\alpha' = \alpha$ we need a more precise estimate. We split:

$$W_{ij}(x) - W_{ij}(y) = \int_{|z| \le 1} \dots = \int_{|z| \le \min(4|x-y|,1)} + \int_{4|x-y| < |z| \le 1}$$

For the first domain:

$$\int_{|z| \leq 4|x-y|} |\partial_{ij} G(z)| |f(x+z) - f(y+z) - f(y) + f(x)| dz$$

$$\leq C \int_{|z| \leq 4|x-y|} \frac{1}{|z|^d} |z|^{\alpha} dz = const \cdot |x-y|^{\alpha}$$

For the second domain:

$$\int_{4|x-y|<|z|\leq 1} \partial_{ij} G(z) (f(x+z) - f(y+z) + f(y)f(x)) dz$$

$$= \int_{4|x-y|<|z|\leq 1} \partial_{ij} G(z) (f(x+z) - f(y+z)) dz = (\ldots)$$

since $\int_{4|x-y|<|z|\leq 1} \partial_{ij} G(z) dz = 0$. Then

$$(\ldots) = \int_{4|x-y| < |z-x|} \partial_{ij} G(z-x) f(z) \, dz - \int_{4|x-y| < |z-y|} \partial_{ij} G(z-y) f(z) \, dz.$$

Denote $A = \{z \mid 4|x-y| < |z-x| \leqslant 1\}, B = \{z \mid 4|x-y| < |z-y| \leqslant 1\}.$ Consider

$$\int_{A} \partial_{ij} G(z-x) f(z) dz - \int_{B} \partial_{ij} G(z-y) f(z) dz$$

$$= \int_{A \setminus B} + \int_{B \setminus A} + \int_{A \cap B} (\partial_{ij} G(z-x) - \partial_{ij} G(z-y)) f(z) dz$$

Lets regard the intersection. We have

$$\partial_{ij}G(x) = \frac{1}{|B_1|} \frac{1}{|x|^d} (\omega_i \omega_j - \frac{1}{d} \delta_{ij})$$
$$|\partial_{ij}G(x) - \partial_{ij}G(y)| \le C|x - y| \left(\frac{1}{|x|^{d+1}} + \frac{1}{|y|^{d+1}}\right)$$

Now,

$$|\partial_{ij}G(z-x) - \partial_{ij}G(z-y)| \le C|x-y|\left(\frac{1}{|z-x|^{d+1}} + \frac{1}{|z-y|^{d+1}}\right)$$

So we have

$$\left| \int_{A \cap B} (\partial_{ij} G(z - x) - \partial_{ij} G(z - y)) f(z) dz \right|$$

$$\leq C \int_{A \cap B} |x - y| \left(\frac{1}{|z - x|^{d+1}} + \frac{1}{|z - y|^{d+1}} \right) |f(z)| dz = (\dots)$$

Now we replace f(z) by f(z) - f(x), then:

$$\left| \int_{A \cap B} (\partial_{ij} G(z - x) - \partial_{ij} G(z - y))(f(z) - f(x)) dz \right|$$

$$\leqslant C \int_{A \cap B} |x - y| \left(\frac{1}{|z - x|^{d+1}} + \frac{1}{|z - y|^{d+1}} \right) |z - x|^{\alpha} dz$$

$$= C \underbrace{\int_{A \cap B} |x - y| \frac{1}{|z - x|^{d+1-\alpha}} dz}_{(I)} + \underbrace{C \int_{A \cap B} |x - y| \frac{1}{|z - y|^{d+1}} |z - x|^{\alpha} dz}_{(II)}$$

Now,

$$\begin{split} (I) &\leqslant C|x-y| \int_{4|x-y|<|z-x|\leqslant 1} \frac{1}{|z-x|^{d+1-\alpha}} \, dz \\ &= C|x-y| \int_{4x-y<|z|\leqslant 1} \frac{1}{|z|^{d+1-\alpha}} \, dz \\ &\leqslant C|x-y| \int_{4|x-y|}^{1} \frac{1}{r^{d+1-\alpha}} r^{d-1} \, dr \\ &= C|x-y| \int_{4|x-y|}^{1} \frac{1}{r^{2-\alpha}} \, dr \\ &\leqslant C|x-y| \left[-1 + \frac{1}{(4|x-y|)^{1-\alpha}} \right] \\ &\leqslant C|x-y|^{\alpha} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} (II) \leqslant C|x-y| \int_{A \cap B} \frac{1}{|z-y|^{d+1}} |z-x|^{\alpha} \, dz \\ \leqslant C|x-y| \int_{A \cap B} \frac{1}{|z-y|^{d+1}} \left(|z-y|^{\alpha} + |x-y|^{\alpha}\right) \, dz \\ \leqslant \underbrace{C|x-y| \int_{B} \frac{1}{|z-y|^{d+1-\alpha}} \, dz}_{\text{similar to (I)}} \, dz + C|x-y|^{1+\alpha} \int_{B} \frac{1}{|z-y|^{d+1}} \, dz \end{split}$$

and

$$C|x-y|^{1+\alpha} \int_{B} \frac{1}{|z-y|^{d+1}} \, dz \leqslant \int_{4|x-y|} \frac{1}{r^{d+1}} r^{d-1} \, dr \leqslant \frac{C}{|x-y|}$$

Consider $A \backslash B$:

$$\left| \int_{A \backslash B} \right| \leqslant C \|f\|_{L^{\infty}} \int_{A \backslash B} \frac{1}{|z - x|^d} \, dz$$

where

$$A = \{z \mid 4|x - y| < |z - x| \le 1\}$$

$$B = \{z \mid 4|x - y| < |z - y| \le 1\}$$

$$A \setminus B = \{z \in A \mid |z - y| \le 4|x - y|\} \cup \{z \in A \mid |z - y| > 1\} = E_1 \cup E_2$$

for

$$E_1 = \{ z \mid |z - y| \le 4|x - y| < |z - x| \le 1 \}$$

$$\subseteq \{ z \mid 4|x - y| \le |x - z| \le 5|x - y| \}.$$

$$|x - z| \le |x - y| + |y - z| \le 5|x - y|$$
 in E_1 . We have

$$\int_{E_1} \frac{1}{|z - x|^d} dz \le \int_{4|x - y|} \frac{1}{|z - x|^{d - \alpha}} dz$$

$$= \int_{4|x - y|} \frac{1}{|z|^{d - \alpha}} dz$$

$$= \int_{4|x - y|} \frac{1}{r^d} r^{d - 1} dr$$

$$= \int_{4|x - y|} \frac{1}{r^{1 - \alpha}} dr$$

$$\le C|x - y|^{\alpha}$$

Now in E_2 : $|z - x| \ge |z - y| - |y - x| \ge 1 - |y - x|$.

$$\int_{E_2} \frac{1}{|z - x|^{d - \alpha}} dz \le \int \frac{1}{|z - x|^{d - \alpha}} dz = \int_{1 - |x - y|}^{1} \frac{1}{r^{d - \alpha}} r^{d - 1} dr$$

$$\le const. \left| 1 - \frac{1}{(1 - |x - y|)^{\alpha}} \right| \le C|x - y|^{\alpha}$$

Exercise 4.16 (E 5.1) Prove that if f is a harmonic function in \mathbb{R}^d and $g \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is radial, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)g(x) dx = f(0) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(x) dx$$

Solution. $x = r\omega, r > 0, |\omega| = 1$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)g(x) dx \stackrel{\text{(Polar)}}{=} \int_0^\infty \left(\int_{\partial B(0,1)} f(r\omega)g(r\omega) d\omega \right) dr$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \left(g_0(r) \int_{\partial B(0,1)} f(r\omega) d\omega \right) dr$$
(Mean value theorem (2.12))
$$= \int_0^\infty \left(g_0(r)f(0) \int_{\partial B(0,1)} d\omega \right) dr$$

$$= f(0) \int_0^\infty \left(\int_{\partial B(0,1)} g(r\omega) d\omega \right) dr$$

$$= f(0) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(x) dx$$

Remark 4.17 Let $g \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be radial. Why is $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{g(x)}{|x|} dx \neq \infty$? Because $f(x) = \frac{1}{|x|}$ is harmonic in $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ and sub-harmonic in \mathbb{R}^d , $-\Delta f = c\delta_0$.

Exercise 4.18 (E 5.2) Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open. Consider the Sobolev Space

$$W^{1,p}(\Omega) = \{ f \in L^p(\Omega) \mid \partial_{x_i} f \in L^p(\Omega), \ \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, d \}$$

with the norm

$$||f||_{W^{1,p}} = ||f|| + \sum_{i=1}^d ||\partial_{x_i} f||_{L^p(\Omega)}.$$

Prove that $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is a Banach space. Here $x = (x_i)_{i=1}^d \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Hint: You can use the fact that $L^p(\Omega)$ is a Banach Space.

Solution. $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \subseteq L^p(\Omega) \times L^p(\Omega) \cdots \times L^p(\Omega) = (L^p(\Omega))^{d+1}$. For an element $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ we can think of it as $f \mapsto (f, \partial_1 f, \partial_2 f, \dots, \partial_d f)$, so $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is a subspace of $(L^p(\Omega))^{d+1}$, which is a norm-space. Why is $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ closed in $(L^p(\Omega))^{d+1}$? Take $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that $f_n \to f$ in L^p an $\partial_i f_n \to g_i$ in L^p for all $i=1,\dots,d$. We prove that $(f,g_1,\dots,g_d) \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, i.e. $f \in W^{1,p}$ and $g_i = \partial_i f$ for all $i=1,\dots,d$. We know that $f_n \to f$ in $L^p(\Omega)$, so $f_n \to f$ in $D'(\Omega)$ and $\partial_i f_n \to \partial_i f$ in $D'(\Omega)$. On the other hand we have $partial_i f_n \to g_i$ in $L^p(\Omega)$, so $\partial_i f_n \to g_i$ in $D'(\Omega)$. So we get $\partial_i f = g_i \in L^p(\Omega)$ for all $i=1,\dots,d$ in $D'(\Omega)$. So we can conclude $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $\partial_i f = g_i$ for all $i=1,\dots,d$.

Exercise 4.19 (E 5.3) Let f be a real-valued function in $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for some $1 \le p < \infty$. Prove that $|f| \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and

$$(\nabla |f|)(x) = \begin{cases} \nabla f(x) & f(x) > 0 \\ -\nabla f(x) & f(x) < 0 \\ 0 & f(x) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Solution. Consider $G_{\epsilon}(t) = \sqrt{\epsilon^2 + t^2} - \epsilon$ for $\epsilon > 0$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Clearly we have $G_{\epsilon}(t) \to |t|$ as $\epsilon \to 0$ and

$$G'_{\epsilon}(t) = \frac{2t}{2\sqrt{\epsilon^2 + t^2}} = \frac{t}{\sqrt{\epsilon^2 + t^2}}$$

so $|G'_{\epsilon}(t)| \leq 1$, $G_{\epsilon}(0) = 0$. By the chain rule, $G_{\epsilon}(f) \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and

$$(\partial_i G_{\epsilon}(f))(x) = G'_{\epsilon}(f)\partial_i f(x) = \frac{f(x)}{\sqrt{\epsilon^2 + f^2(x)}}\partial_i f(x) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

for all $i=1,\ldots,d$. Note then when $\epsilon\to 0$ that $G_\epsilon(f)(x)\to |f(x)|$ pointwise, so $G_\epsilon(f)\to |f|$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$. $|G_\epsilon(f)(x)-G_\epsilon(0)|\leqslant |f(x)|\in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by dominated convergence.

$$\partial_{i}G_{\epsilon}(f)(x) = \frac{f(x)}{\sqrt{\epsilon^{2} + f^{2}(x)}} \partial_{i}f(x) \xrightarrow{\epsilon \to 0} g_{i}(x) := \begin{cases} \partial f_{i}(x) & f(x) > 0 \\ -\partial_{i}f(x) & f(x) < 0 \\ 0 & f(x) = 0 \end{cases}$$
$$|\partial_{i}G_{\epsilon}(f)(x)| \leq \left| \frac{f(x)}{\sqrt{\epsilon^{2} + f^{2}(x)}} \right| |\partial_{i}f(x)| \leq |\partial_{i}f(x)| \leq L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$$

So we get $\partial_i G_{\epsilon}(f) \xrightarrow{\epsilon \to 0} g_i$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by Dominated Convergence. So we conclude: $\partial_i(|f|) = g_i \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, d$, so $|f| \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $|f| \in L^p$.

Exercise 4.20 (E 5.4) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open and bounded, $f \in L^1(\Omega)$,

$$u(x) = \int_{\Omega} G(x - y) f(y) \, dy$$

Let $-\Delta u = f$ in $D'(\Omega)$, $u \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$, $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\omega_d f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, where

$$\omega_d(x) = \begin{cases} 1 + |x| & d = 1\\ \log(1 + |x|) & d = 1\\ \frac{1}{(1+|x|)^{d-2}} & d \geqslant 3 \end{cases}$$

Prove that

$$G \star f = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G(x - y) f(y) \, dy \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

and $-\Delta(G \star f) = f$ in $D'(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Solution. Define $\tilde{f} = \mathbb{1}_{\Omega}(x)f(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & x \in \Omega \\ 0 & x \notin \Omega \end{cases}$. Then

$$u(x) = \int_{\Omega} G(x - y) f(y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G(x - y) \tilde{f}(y) dy = (G \star \tilde{f})(x)$$

We have $u \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, so $u \in L^1(\Omega)$. Then $-\Delta u = \tilde{f}$ in $D'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, so $-\Delta u = f$ in $D'(\Omega)$. Claim: $-\Delta u = f$ in $D'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, so $-\Delta u = f$ in $D'(\Omega)$ if $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, $\tilde{f}|_{\Omega} = f$. Take $\phi \in C^\infty_c(\Omega)$. We need: $(-\Delta u)(\phi) \stackrel{?}{=} \int_{\Omega} f \phi$. We have $\phi \in C^\infty_c(\Omega)$, so $\phi C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$. This implies:

$$(-\Delta u)(\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{f}\phi = \int_{\substack{\Omega, \\ \text{supp } \phi \subseteq \Omega}} \tilde{f}\phi = \int_{\Omega} f\phi$$

Exercise 4.21 (E 5.5) Let $B = B\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$. Consider $u: B \to \mathbb{R}$, defined by $u(x) = \log |\log |x||$.

Prove that the distributional derivative $f = -\Delta u$ is a function in $L^{\frac{3}{2}}(B)$.

Solution.

$$\omega(r) = \log(-\log(r)), \quad \text{for } r \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

$$\omega'(r) = \frac{1}{-\log(r)} \left(-\frac{1}{r}\right) = \frac{1}{r \log r}$$

$$\omega''(r) = -\frac{1}{(r \log(r))^2} (r \log(r))' = -\frac{\log(r) + 1}{(r \log r)^2}$$

So we have

$$-\Delta u = w''(r) = \frac{1}{(r \log r)^2} - \frac{1}{r^2 \log(r)} = f(r)$$

We show that $f \in L^{\frac{3}{2}}$:

$$\int_{B} |f(x)|^{\frac{3}{2}} dx = const \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| \frac{1}{r^{2}(\log r)^{2}} - \frac{1}{r^{2}\log r} \right|^{\frac{3}{2}} r^{2} dr$$

$$\tilde{\leq} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{r} \left| \frac{1}{(\log(r))^{2}} - \frac{1}{(\log(r))} \right|^{\frac{3}{2}} dr$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} r = e^{-x}, \\ x \in (\log(2), \infty), \\ dr = -e^{-x} dx \end{pmatrix} \quad \tilde{\leq} \int_{\log(2)}^{\infty} e^{x} \left(\frac{1}{x^{2}} + \frac{1}{x} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-x} dx$$

$$\tilde{\leq} \int_{\log(2)}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^{\frac{3}{2}}} dx < \infty$$

Where $\tilde{\leq}$ means up to a constant. Now, $u(x) = \omega(r) = \log(-\log(r))$.

$$-\Delta u(x) = f(r) = \frac{1}{r^2(\log(r))^2} - \frac{1}{r^2\log(r)}$$

for all $x \neq 0, |x| = r < \frac{1}{2}$. Why is $-\Delta u(x) = f$ in D'(B)? Take $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(B)$, check: $\int_B u(-\Delta \phi) = \int_B f \phi$.

$$\int_{|x|<\frac{1}{2}} u(-\Delta\phi) dx = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \int_{\epsilon < |x|<\frac{1}{2}} u(x)(-\Delta\phi)(x) dx$$

by Dominated convergence. $u \in L^1(B)$. For all $\epsilon > 0$:

$$\begin{split} \int_{\epsilon < |x| < \frac{1}{2}} u(x)(-\Delta \phi)(x) \, dx &= \int_{|x| > \epsilon} u(x)(-\Delta \phi)(x) \, dx \\ &= \int_{\partial B(0,\epsilon)} u(x) \nabla \phi(x) \frac{x}{|x|} \, dS(x) + \int_{|x| > \epsilon} \nabla u(x) \nabla \phi(x) \, dx \end{split}$$

The boundary term vanishes as $\epsilon \to 0$ since

$$\left| u(x)\nabla\phi(x)\frac{x}{|x|} \right| \le \|\nabla\phi\|_{L^{\infty}}|u(x)| = C\log|\log(r)|$$

$$\left| \int_{\partial B(0,\epsilon)} u(x) \nabla \phi(x) \frac{x}{|x|} dS(x) \right| \leq C \int_{\partial B(0,\epsilon)} \log |\log(\epsilon)| dS(x)$$

$$= C \log |\log \epsilon| \underbrace{|\partial B(0,\epsilon)|}_{\sim \epsilon^2} \xrightarrow{\epsilon \to 0} 0$$

$$\int_{|x|>\epsilon} \nabla u(x) \nabla \phi(x) \, dx = \sum_{i=1}^d \int_{|x|>\epsilon} \partial_i u(x) \partial_i \phi(x) \, dx$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^d \left(-\int_{\partial B(0,\epsilon)} \partial_i u(x) \phi(x) \frac{x_i}{|x|} \, dS(x) - \int_{|x|>\epsilon} \underbrace{\partial_i \partial_i u(x)}_{f(x)} \phi(x) \, dx \right)$$

The boundary term vanishes as $\epsilon \to 0$ as

$$\left| \int_{\partial B(0,\epsilon)} \partial u(x) \phi(x) \frac{x_i}{|x|} dS(x) \right| \leq \|\phi\|_{L^{\infty}} \int_{\partial B(0,\epsilon)} |\partial_i u(x)| dS(x)$$

$$(\star) \leq C \frac{1}{|\epsilon \log(r)|} |\partial B(0,\epsilon)| \to 0$$

as $\epsilon \to 0$. $(\star)u = u(r), u(x) = \omega(|x|), \partial_i u(x) = \omega(|x|) \frac{x_i}{|x|}, |\partial_i u(x)| \leq |\omega(|x|)| = \left|\frac{1}{r \log(r)}\right|$. Finally:

$$\int_{|x|>\epsilon} f(x)\phi(x) dx \xrightarrow{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)\phi(x) dx$$

Since $f\phi \in L^1$ and Dominated Convergence.

Exercise 4.22 (Bonus 5) Construct $u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ compactly supported s.t. $-\Delta u \in L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and u is not continuous at 0.

Hint: Related to E 5.5. $u_0(x) = \omega(r) = \log(|\log(r)|)$ if $0 < r = |x| < \frac{1}{2}$. Consider χu_0 where $\chi \in C_c^{\infty}$, $\chi = 0$ if $|x| > \frac{1}{2}$, $\chi = 1$ if $|x| < \frac{1}{4}$. You can prove that $\Delta(\chi u_0) = (\Delta \chi) u_0 + 2 \nabla \chi \nabla u_0 + \chi(\underbrace{\Delta u_0}_{c L^{\frac{3}{2}}})$ in $D'(\mathbb{R}^3)$. (almost everywhere, in distributional

sense, integration by parts)

Theorem 4.23 (Regularity on Domains) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open. Assume $u, f \in D'(\Omega)$ such that $-\Delta u = f$ in $D'(\Omega)$.

- a) If $f \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$, then
 - $u \in C^1(\Omega)$ if d = 1
 - $u \in L^q_{loc}(\Omega)$ for all $q < \infty$ if d = 2
 - $u \in L^q_{loc}(\Omega)$ for all $q < \frac{d}{d-2}$ if $d \geqslant 3$
- b) If $f \in L^q_{loc}(\Omega)$, $d \geqslant p < \frac{d}{2}$, then $u \in C^{0,\alpha}_{loc}(\Omega)$, where $0 < \alpha < 2 \frac{d}{p}$
- c) If $f \in L^p_{loc}(\Omega)$, $p > d\mathbf{f}$, then $u \in C^{1,\alpha}_{loc}(\Omega)$, where $0 \le \alpha < 1 \frac{d}{p}$
- d) If $f \in C^{0,\alpha}_{loc}(\Omega)$ for some $0 < \alpha < 1$, then $u \in C^{2,\alpha}_{loc}(\Omega)$
- e) If $f \in C^{m,\alpha}_{loc}(\Omega)$, then $u \in C^{m+2,\alpha}_{loc}(\Omega)$

Proof. Let $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$. Take a ball $\overline{B} \subseteq \Omega$. Define $f_B : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{K}$,

$$f_B(x) = (\mathbb{1}_B f)(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & x \in B \\ 0 & x \notin B \end{cases}$$

Then if $f \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$, f_B is compactly supported. From the previous theorems: $G \star f_B \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $-\Delta(G \star f_B) = f_B$ in $D'(\mathbb{R}^d)$. On the other hand, $-\Delta u = f$ in $D'(\Omega)$, so $-\Delta(u - G \star f_B) = 0$ in D'(B). Indeed, for all $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(B)$, then:

$$(-\Delta u)(\phi) = \int_{\Omega} f\phi = \int_{B} f_{B}\phi = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f_{B}\phi = (-\Delta)(G \star f_{B})(\phi)$$

Then $-\Delta u = -\Delta(G \star f_B)$ in D'(B). Then $u - G \star f_B$ is harmonic in B and by Weyls lemma we have $u - G \star f_B \in C^{\infty}(B)$. So the smoothness of u in B is the same to that of $G \star f$.

Exercise 4.24 (E 6.1) Show that If $\chi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then $f \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, then $\chi f \in W^{1,p}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and

$$\partial_i(\chi f) = (\partial_i \chi) f + \chi(\partial_i f)$$
 in $D'(\mathbb{R}^d)$

Solution. $\chi f \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ obvious. $\partial(\chi f) \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is nontrivial but follows from $\partial_i(\chi f) = \underbrace{(\partial_i \chi) f + \chi(\partial f)}_{L^p}$ in $D'(\mathbb{R}^d)$. To compute the distributional derivative

 $\partial_i(\chi f)$, then: Take $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$:

$$-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \chi f(\partial \phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (?) \phi$$

We have

$$-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \chi f(\partial_i \phi) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\chi \partial_i \phi)$$

$$(\chi \partial_i \phi = (\partial_i \chi) \phi + \chi(\partial_i \phi)) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\partial_i (\chi \phi) - (\partial_i \chi) \phi)$$

$$= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f \partial_i (\underbrace{\chi \phi}_{\in C_c^{\infty}}) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\partial_i \chi) \phi$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\partial_i f) \chi \phi + \int f(\partial_i \chi) \phi$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} ((\partial_i f) \chi + f(\partial_i \chi)) \phi$$

So
$$\partial_i(\chi f) = (\partial_i f)\chi + f(\partial_i \chi)$$
 in $D'(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Remark 4.25 Question: If $\chi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $f \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Is this it still correct that $\partial_i(\chi f) = (\partial_i \chi)f + \chi(\partial_i f)$ in $D'(\mathbb{R}^d)$?

Proof. It suffices to show that we still can apply intergration by parts.

$$(\star) \quad -\int f\partial_i g \stackrel{?}{=} \int (\partial_i f)g$$

Approximation: (*) is correct if $g \in C_c^{\infty}$

• If $g \in C_c^1$, there is $\{g_n\} \subseteq C_c^{\infty}$ s.t. $g_n \to g$ in $W_{loc}^{1,p}$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

$$\int (\partial_i g) f \overset{n \to \infty}{\longleftarrow} - \int \underbrace{\int}_{L^p} \underbrace{\partial g_n}_{\rightarrow \partial_i g \text{ in } L^q} = \int \underbrace{(\partial_i f)}_{\in L^p} \underbrace{g_n}_{\rightarrow g \text{ in } L^q} \overset{n \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} \int (\partial_i f) g$$

Exercise 4.26 (E 6.2) \mathbb{R}^2 , $G(x) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \log |x|$. Let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, compactly supported. Define $u(x) = (G \star f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G(x-y) f(y) \, dy$

- 1. If p = 1, then $u \in L_{loc}^q(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for all $q < \infty$.
- 2. If p > 2, then $u \in C^{1,\alpha}$ with $0 < \alpha < 1 \frac{2}{p}$.

Solution. 1. Take any ball B = B(0, R) and:

$$\int_{B} |u(x)|^{q} dx = \int_{B} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |G(x-y)| |f(y)| dy \right)^{q} dx$$

$$\leqslant C \int_{B} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} |G(x-y)|^{q} |f(y)| dy \right) dx$$

$$= C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \left(\int_{B} |G(x-y)|^{q} dx \right) |f(y)| dy$$

Recall from the proof of Youngs inequality:

$$\begin{split} |u(x)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G(x-y) f(y) \, dy \right| \\ &\leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |G(x-y)| |f(y)| \ dy \\ &\leqslant \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |G(x-y)|^q |f(y)| \, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f(y)| \, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1 \end{split}$$

Assume supp $f \subseteq \overline{B(0,R)}$. Then if $y \in \text{supp } f$ and $x \in B(0,R)$, then $|x-y| \le |x| + |y| \le R + R_1$. For all $y \in \text{supp } f$:

$$\int_{B(0,R)} |G(x-y)|^q dx \le \int_{|x-y| \le R+R_1} |G(x-y)|^q dx$$

$$= \int_{|z| \le R+R_1} |G(z)|^q dz < \infty$$

as $G \in L^q_{loc}(|G(z)| = \frac{1}{2\pi}|\log(z)| \leqslant \frac{C_{R+R_1,\epsilon}}{|z|^{\epsilon}}$ for all $|z| \leqslant R + R_1$), so

$$\int_{|z| \leqslant R + R_1} |G(z)|^q \leqslant C_{R + R_1, \epsilon} \int_{|z| \leqslant R + R_1} \frac{1}{|z|^{\epsilon q}} dz < \infty$$

if $\epsilon q < 2$.

2. Recall $\partial_i u \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and:

$$\partial_i u(x) = (\partial_i G \star f)(x) = c \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{x_i - y_i}{|x - y|^2} f(y) \, dy$$

First we show $\partial_i u \in C^{0,\alpha}$:

$$|\partial_i u(x) - \partial_i u(z)| = \left| C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\frac{x_i - y_i}{|x - y|^2} - \frac{z_i - y_i}{|z - y|^2} \right) f(y) dy \right|$$

$$\leqslant C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \frac{x_i y_i}{|x - y|^2} - \frac{z_i - y_i}{|z - y|^2} \right| |f(y)| dy$$

$$\stackrel{?}{\leqslant} C|x - y|^{\alpha}$$

Note that

$$\left| \frac{x_i - y_i}{|x - y|^2} - \frac{z_i - y_i}{|z - y|^2} \right| = \left| (x_i - y_i) \left(\frac{1}{|x - y|^2} - \frac{1}{|z - y|^2} \right) + \frac{x_i - z_i}{|z - y|^2} \right|$$

$$\leq |x_i - y_i| \left| \frac{1}{|x - y|^2} - \frac{1}{|z - y|^2} \right| + \frac{|x_i - z_i|}{|z - y|^2}$$

$$\leq C|z - x|^{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{|x - y|^{1+\alpha}} + \frac{1}{|z - y|^{1+\alpha}} + \frac{|x - z|}{|z - y|^2} \right)$$

Here $|x_i - z_i| \le |x - z|$ and $|x_i - y_i| \le |x - y|$ and:

$$\begin{split} \underbrace{\left|\frac{1}{|x-y|^2} - \frac{1}{|z-y|^2}\right|}_{\text{sym } x \leftrightarrow z} &= \left|\frac{1}{|x-y|} - \frac{1}{|z-y|}\right| \, \left|\frac{1}{|x-y|} + \frac{1}{|z-y|}\right| \\ &= \frac{||z-y| - |x-y|}{|x-y||z-y|} \left|\frac{1}{|x-y|} + \frac{1}{|z-y|}\right| \\ &\leqslant |z-x|^{\alpha} \frac{\max(|z-y|, |x-y|)^{1-\alpha}}{|x-y||z-y|} \left(\frac{1}{|x-y|} + \frac{1}{|z-y|}\right) \\ &\leqslant C|z-x|^{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{|x-y|^{2+\alpha}} + \frac{1}{|z-y|^{2+\alpha}}\right) \end{split}$$

By the symmetrie $x \leftrightarrow z$:

$$LHS \leqslant C|z - x|^{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{|x - y|^{1 + \alpha}} + \frac{1}{|z - y|^{1 + \alpha}} \right) + \frac{|x - y|}{|x - y|^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow LHS \leqslant C \dots + |x - z| \min \left(\frac{1}{|z - y|^2}, \frac{1}{|x - y|^2} \right)$$

$$\leqslant (|x - y| + |z - y|)^{1 - \alpha}$$

$$C|z - x|^{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{|x - y|^{1 + \alpha}} + \frac{1}{|z - y|^{1 + \alpha}} \right)$$

In summary:

$$|\partial_i u(x) - \partial_i u(z)| \le C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \frac{x_i - y_i}{|x - y|^2} - \frac{z_i - y_i}{|z - y|^2} \right| |f(y)| \, dy$$
$$= C|x - y|^{\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\frac{1}{|x - y|^{1 + \alpha}} + \frac{1}{|z - y|^{1 + \alpha}} \right) |f(y)| \, dy$$

Consider if $|x| > 2R_1$:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{1+\alpha}} |f(y)| \, dy \leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{R_1^{1+\alpha}} \, \left| f(y) \right| dy \leqslant C$$

supp $f \subseteq B(0,R_1)$. If $|x| < 2R_1$, then $|x-y| \leq 3R$ if $y \in B(0,R_1)$. Hence:

$$\begin{split} & \int_{|x-y| \leqslant 3R_1} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{1+\alpha}} |f(y)| \, dy \\ & \leqslant \left(\int_{|x-y| \leqslant 3R_1} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{(1+\alpha)p'}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left(\int |f(y)|^p \, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & = \int_{|z| \leqslant 3R_1} \frac{1}{|z|^{(1+\alpha)p'}} \, dz < \infty \end{split}$$

So $\alpha < 1 - \frac{2}{p}$.

Exercise 4.27 (E 6.3) Let $f \in C^{0,\alpha}_{loc}$ and $-\Delta u = f$ in $D'(\Omega)$. Prove $u \in C^{2,\alpha}_{loc}(\Omega)$.

Solution. Take an open ball $B \subseteq \bar{B} \subseteq \Omega$. We prove $u \in C^{2,\alpha}(B)$. There is an open Ω_B s.t. $\bar{B} \subseteq \bar{\Omega}_B \subseteq \Omega$. Then there is a $\chi_B \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ s.t. $\chi_B(x) = 1$ if $x \in B$ and $\chi_B(x) = 0$ if $x \notin \Omega_B$. Define

$$f_B(x) = \chi_B(x)f(x) : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$$

We prove that $f_B \in C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Since $f \in C^{0,\alpha}_{loc}(\Omega)$ we have $f \in C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega)$, so $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq C|x - y|^{\alpha}$ for all $x, y \in \Omega_B$. Then:

$$|f_B(x) - f_B(y)| = |\chi_B(x)f(x) - \chi_B(y)f(y)|$$

$$\leq |(\chi_B(x) - \chi_B(y))f(x) + \chi_B(y)(f(x) - f(y))|$$

$$\leq C|x - y|^{\alpha}||f||_{L^{\infty}} + C||\chi||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_B)}||x - y|^{\alpha} \leq C_{\Omega_B}||x - y|^{\alpha}$$

What about other cases? If x,y are bot not in Ω_B , then $|f_B(x)-f_B(y)|=0$, then if $x\in\Omega_B$ and $y\notin\Omega_B$: $|f_B(x)-f_B(y)|=|f_B(x)|=|\chi_B(x)||f(x)|=|\chi_B(x)-\chi_B(y)||f(x)|\leqslant C|x-y|^\alpha$. Conclusion: $|f_B(x)-f_B(y)|\leqslant C|x-y|^\alpha$ for all $x,y\in\mathbb{R}^d$, i.e. $f_B\in C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Also f_B is compactly supported. By a theorem in the lecture: $G\star f_B\in C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Finally: $-\Delta u=f$ in $D'(\Omega), -\Delta(G\star f_B)=f_B$ in $D'(\mathbb{R}^d)$. So we conclude $-\Delta u=f=f_B=-\Delta(G\star f_B)$ in $D'(B), -\Delta(u-G\star f_B)=0$ in D'(B), so $u-G\star f_B\in C^{\infty}(B)$, so $u\in C^{2,\alpha}(B)$.

Exercise 4.28 (6.4) $u, f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d), -\Delta u = f \text{ in } D'(\mathbb{R}^d).$ Prove $u \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d), \|u\|_{W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C (\|u\|_{L^2} + \|f\|_{L^2}).$

$$\begin{split} W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d) &= \{g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \mid D^{\alpha}g \in L^2 \text{ for all } |\alpha| \leqslant 2 \} \\ &= \{g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \mid \widehat{D^{\alpha}g}(k) = (-2\phi i k)^{\alpha} \widehat{g}(k) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ for all } |\alpha| \leqslant 2 \} \\ &= \{g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \mid (1 + |k|^2) \widehat{g}(k) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \} \end{split}$$

 $||u||_{W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ is comparable $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1+|k|^2)^2 |\hat{g}(k)|^2 dk$. If $D^{\alpha}g \in L^2$, then $\widehat{D^{\alpha}g}(k) = (-2\pi i k)^{\alpha} \hat{g}(k)$. For any $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$:

$$\begin{split} \widehat{D^{\alpha}g}(k)\widehat{\phi}(k), dk &= \int (D^{\alpha}g)\phi = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int g(D^{\alpha}\phi) \\ &= (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int \overline{\widehat{g}}(k)\widehat{D^{\alpha}} \ \phi(k) \\ &= (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int \overline{\widehat{g}}(k)(-2\pi i k)^{\alpha} \widehat{\phi}(k) \ dk \end{split}$$

so $\hat{D}^{\alpha}g(k)=(-1)^{|k|}\hat{g}(k)\overline{(-2\pi ikx)^{\alpha}}=\hat{g}(k)(-2\pi ik)^{\alpha}$. This implies:

$$||u||_{W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1+|k|^2)^2 |\hat{u}(k)|^2 dk$$

$$= C \left(||u||_{L^2}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |k|^4 |\hat{u}(k)|^2 dk \right)$$

$$\leq C \left(||u||_{L^2}^2 + ||f||_{L^2}^2 \right)$$

$$\leq C (||u||_{L^2}^2 + ||f||_{L^2}^2)^2$$

Remark 4.29 (Bonus 6) Let $f, g \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Prove that $fg \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and

$$\partial_i(fg) = (\partial_i f)g + f(\partial_i g)$$
 in $D'(\mathbb{R}^d)$

Chapter 5

Existence for Poisson's Equation on Domains

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open. Consider Poisson's equation.

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = g & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$

for given data (f,g) and u the unknown function.

- Classical solutions: $f \in C^2(\bar{\Omega}) \leadsto$ explicit representation formula.
- Weak solution: $f \in L^p(\Omega)$, $g \in L^p(\partial\Omega) \rightsquigarrow u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$. We are going to establish the existence by *Energy Methods*. (Calculus of variations)

Definition 5.1 (C^1 -Domains) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open. We say that Ω is of class C^1 (i.e. $\partial \Omega \in C^1$) if for all $x_0 \in \partial \Omega$ there is a bijective function $h: U \to Q$, where

- $x_0 \in U$ open in \mathbb{R}^d
- $Q = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) = (x', x_d)\} \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R} \mid |x'| < 1, |x_d| < 1\}$
- $h \in C^1(\bar{U})$ and $h^{-1} \in C^1(\bar{Q})$ (C^1 -diffeomorphism)
- h(U) = Q

$$h(U \cap \Omega) = Q_{+} = Q \cap \mathbb{R}^{d}_{+} = \{x = (x', x_{d}) \in Q \mid x_{d} > 0\}$$

$$h(U \cap \partial\Omega) = Q_{0} = Q \cap \partial\mathbb{R}^{d}_{+} = \{x = (x', x_{d}) \in Q \mid x_{d} = 0\}$$

$$h(U \setminus \bar{\Omega}) = Q_{-} = Q \cap \mathbb{R}^{d}_{-} = \{x = (x', x_{d}) \in Q \mid x_{d} < 1\}$$

(From Brezis' book)

Remark 5.2 The set Q can be replaced by a ball, i.e. Ω is of C^1 if for all $x_0 \in \partial \Omega$ there is a function $U \to B(0,1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$.

- $x_0 \in U$ with $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ open.
- $h \in C^1(\bar{U}), h^{-1} \in C^1(\overline{B(0,1)})$
- $h(U \cap \Omega) = B(0,1) \cap \mathbb{R}^d_+, h(U \cap \partial\Omega) = B(0,1) \cap \mathbb{R}^d$.

Remark 5.3 (An equivalent definition form Evan's book App. C) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open. Then Ω is C^1 if for all $x_0 \in \partial \Omega$ there is a r > 0 and a C^1 -function $\gamma : \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \to \mathbb{R}$ s.t. (upon relabeling and reorienting the axes if necessary) such that:

$$\Omega \cap B(x_0, r) = \{x = (x', x_d) \in B(x_0, r) \mid x_d < \gamma(x_0)\}\$$

Proof of the equivalence of the two definitions.

Def. 2 \Rightarrow Def. 1: In fact, given $x_0 \in \partial \Omega$ and γ we can define

$$h(x', x_d) = (x', x_d - \gamma(x')) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$$
$$h^{-1}(x', x_d) = (x', x_d + \gamma(x')) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$$

Def. 1 \Rightarrow Def. 2: We need the inverse function theorem and the implicit function theorem. Let $x_0 \in \partial \Omega$, let $h: U \to B(0,1)$ as in Def. 1. Denote $h = (h_1,h_2,\ldots,h_d)$. Since h is invertible near x_0 , by the inverse function theorem we have for the Jacobi matrix $Jh(x_0) = (\partial_j h_i(x_0))_{1 \leqslant i,j \leqslant d}$ is invertible. So we have $\nabla h_d(x_0) = (\partial_j h_d(x_0))_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant d} \neq \vec{0}^{\mathbb{R}^d}$, so there is a $j \in \{1,2,\ldots,d\}$ s.t. $\partial_j h_d(x_0) \neq 0$. By relabeling and reorienting the axes, we can assume that $\partial_d h_d(x_0) > 0$. By continuity there is a r > 0 such that $\partial_d h_d(x) > 0$ for all $x \in B(x_0,r)$. Define $\gamma: \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \to \mathbb{R}$ s.t. in $B(x_0,r)$:

$$x = (x', x_d) \in \partial\Omega \iff h_d(x', x_d) = 0 \iff x_d = \gamma(x'),$$

 $h_d: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$. This gives a solution γ if $\partial_d h_d > 0$ in $B(x_0, r)$. (For implicit function theorem, $\partial_d h_d(x_0) \neq 0$) Question: Why in $B(x_0, r)$?

$$x = (x', x_d) \in \Omega \iff x_d > \gamma(x')$$

Since $\partial_d h_d(x) > 0$ for all $x \in B(x_0, r)$ we have that $x_d \mapsto h_d(x', x_d)$ is strictly increasing, hence

$$x = (x', x_d) \in \Omega$$

$$\iff h(x', x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$$

$$\iff h_d(x', x_d) > 0 = h_d(x', \gamma(x'))$$

$$\iff x_d > \gamma(x')$$

Theorem 5.4 (Gauss-Green formula / Integration by parts) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open and bounded. Then

1. For all $u, v \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$:

$$\int_{\Omega} (\partial_i u)v = -\int_{\Omega} u(\partial_i v) + \int_{\partial\Omega} uvn_i dS,$$

where $\vec{n} = (n_i)_{i=1}^d$ is the outwarded unit normal vector.

2. For all $u, v \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$:

$$\int_{\Omega} u(-\Delta v) = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v - \int_{\partial \Omega} u \frac{\partial v}{\partial \vec{n}} dS$$

where $\frac{\partial v}{\partial \vec{n}} = \nabla v \vec{n} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \partial_i v n_i$.

Classical solutions via Green's function:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = g & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open, bounded, $\partial \Omega \in C^1$. Assume there exists a $u \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$, $f \in C(\bar{\Omega})$, $g \in C(\partial \Omega)$. Let G be the fundamential solution of the Laplace Equation in \mathbb{R}^d . We use integration by parts in $\Omega \setminus B(x, \epsilon)$:

$$\begin{split} & \int_{\Omega \backslash B(x,\epsilon)} u(y)(-\Delta G)(y-x) \, dy \\ & = \int_{\Omega \backslash B(x,\epsilon)} \nabla u(y) \nabla G(y-x) \, dy - \int_{\partial \Omega \cup \partial B(x,\epsilon)} u(y) \frac{\partial G}{\partial \vec{n}}(y-x) \, dS(y) \\ & \int_{\Omega \backslash B(x,\epsilon)} G(y-x)(-\Delta u)(y) \, dy \\ & = \int_{\Omega \backslash B(x,\epsilon)} \nabla G(y-x) \nabla u(y) \, dy - \int_{\partial \Omega \cup \partial B(x,\epsilon)} G(y-x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \vec{n}}(y) \, dS(y) \end{split}$$

This implies:

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega \backslash B(x,\epsilon)} \left[u(y) (-\Delta G(y-x)) - G(y-x) (-\Delta u)(y) \right] \, dy \\ &= -\int_{\partial \Omega \cup \partial B(x,\epsilon)} \left[u(y) \frac{\partial G}{\partial \vec{n}} (y-x) - G(y,x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \vec{n}} (y) \right] \, dS(y) \end{split}$$

for all $x \in \Omega, x \in B(x, \epsilon) \subseteq \Omega$. When $\epsilon \to 0$, then the left hand side converges to $-\int_{\Omega} G(y-x)f(y)\,dy$ and the right hand side (for $d \geqslant 2$) we have $\partial_j G(y) = \frac{-y_j}{d|B_1||y|^d}$, so

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial \vec{n}} = \nabla G \vec{n} = \nabla G(y) \left(\frac{-y}{|y|} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{-y_i}{d|B_1||y|^d} \frac{-y_j}{|y|} = \frac{1}{d|B_1||y|^{d-1}} \operatorname{on} \partial B(0, \epsilon)$$

so we have

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial \vec{n}}(y-x) = \frac{1}{d|B_1|\epsilon^{d-1}}$$

on $\partial B(x,\epsilon)$. Hence

$$\int_{\partial B(x,\epsilon)} u(y) \frac{\partial G}{\partial \vec{n}}(y-x) dS(y) = \frac{1}{d|B_1|\epsilon^{d-1}} \int_{\partial B(x,\epsilon)} u(y) dS(y)$$
$$= \int_{\partial B(x,\epsilon)} u(y) dS(y) \xrightarrow{\epsilon \to 0} u(x)$$

On the other hand:

$$\left| \int_{\partial B(x,\epsilon)} G(y-x) \frac{\partial u(y)}{\partial \vec{n}} \, dS(y) \right| \leq C \epsilon^{d-1} \sup_{|z|=\epsilon} |G(z)| \xrightarrow{\epsilon \to 0} 0$$

since $|G(z)| \le \frac{C}{|z|^{d-2}}$ if $d \ge 3$, $|G(z)| \le C|\log(z)|$ if d=2 and $|G(z)| \le C|z|$ if d=1. In summary:

$$-\int_{\Omega} G(y-x)f(y) dy = -\int_{\partial\Omega} \left[u(y) \frac{\partial G}{\partial \vec{n}}(y-x) - G(y-x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \vec{n}}(y) \right] dS(y) - u(x)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow u(x) = \int_{\Omega} G(y-x)f(y) dy + \int_{\partial\Omega} \left[G(y-x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \vec{n}}(y) - g(y) \frac{\partial G}{\partial \vec{n}}(y-x) \right] dS(y)$$

Problem: We don't know anything about $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \vec{n}}$ on $\partial \Omega$. Trick: We can resolve that by using the *corrector* function: $\Phi_x = \Phi_x(y)$ which solves:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \Phi_x = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ \Phi_x(y) = G(y - x) & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$

We assume that Φ_x exists.

Definition 5.5 (Green's function) $\tilde{G}(x-y) = G(y-x) - \Phi_x(y)$ for all $x, y \in \Omega$, $x \neq y$.

Exercise 5.6 Prove that (under the assumtion that the corrector exists) that $\tilde{G}(x,y) = \tilde{G}(y,x)$ for all $x,y \in \Omega, x \neq y$.

Theorem 5.7 Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open, bounded and C^1 . If $u \in C^2(\Omega)$ solves

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = g & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases},$$

then

$$u(x) = -\int_{\partial\Omega} g(y) \frac{\partial \tilde{G}}{\partial \vec{u_y}}(x, y) \, dS(y) + \int_{\Omega} \tilde{G}(x, y) \, dy$$

Proof. We need to prove:

$$\int_{\Omega} \Phi_x(y) f(y) \, dy + \int_{\partial \Omega} \left(-g(y) \frac{\partial \phi_x(y)}{\partial \vec{n}_y} + G(y-x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \vec{n}}(y) \right) = 0$$

By integration by parts:

$$\int_{\Omega} \Phi_{x}(y)f(y) \, dy = \int_{\Omega} \Phi_{x}(y)(-\Delta u(y)) \, dy$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \left[\Phi_{x}(y)(-\Delta u(y)) + (\Delta \Phi_{x}(y))u(y) \right] \, dy$$

$$(\Delta \Phi_{x}(y) = 0) = \int_{\partial \Omega} \left(-\Phi_{x}(y) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \vec{n}} + \frac{\partial \Phi_{x}(y)}{\partial \vec{n}} \underbrace{u(y)}_{g(y)} \right) dS(y)$$

How can we compute $\Phi_x(y)$? It is not easy for general domains. But let us prove on two cases:

- $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d_+$ (half-space)
- $\Omega = B(0, r)$ (a ball)

5.1 Green's function on the upper half plane

We use the following notation:

$$\mathbb{R}_{+}^{d} = \{ x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) = (x', x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R} \mid x_d > 0 \}$$
$$\partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{d} = \{ x = (x', x_d) \mid x_d = 0 \} = \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times \{0\}$$

For all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we want to find the correction function $\Phi_x(y)$ with $y \in \overline{\mathbb{R}^d_+}$ s.t.

$$\begin{cases} +\Delta_y \Phi_x(y) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d_+ \\ \Phi_x(y) = G(y - x) & \text{in } \partial \mathbb{R}^d_+ \end{cases}$$

Definition 5.8 (Reflection for \mathbb{R}^d_+) For all $x=(x',x_d)\in\mathbb{R}^d,\ \tilde{x}=(x',-x_d)\in\mathbb{R}^d,$ (if $x\in\mathbb{R}^d_+\Rightarrow \tilde{x}\mathbb{R}^d_-$)

Claim: $\Delta_y \Phi_x(y) = G(y - \tilde{x})$ is a corrector function.

- $\Delta_y \Phi_x(y) = \Delta_y G(y \tilde{x}) = 0$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$ (as $\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d_- = \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \overline{\mathbb{R}^d_+}$)
- $\Phi_x(y) = G(y \tilde{x}) = G(y x)$ on $y \in \partial \mathbb{R}^d_+$. In fact, $y \in \partial \mathbb{R}^d_+$, so $y_d = 0$, so

$$G(y - \tilde{x}) = G_0(|y - \tilde{x}|) = G_0\left(\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} |x_i - y_i|^2 + |x_d|^2}\right) = G_0(|y - x|)$$

Consider f = 0 and

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d_+ \\ u = g & \text{on } \partial \mathbb{R}^d_+ \end{cases}$$

Then we expect

$$u(x) = -\int_{\partial \Omega} g(y) \frac{\partial \tilde{G}}{\partial \vec{n_y}}(x, y) dS(y)$$

We compute

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{G}}{\partial \vec{n_y}}(x-y) = \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\partial \tilde{G}}{\partial y_j}(x,y)\vec{n_j} = -\frac{\partial \tilde{G}}{\partial y_d}(x,y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_d}(G(y-\tilde{x}) - G(y-x)) = \dots$$

because $\tilde{G}(x,y) = G(y-x) - \Phi_x(y) = G(y-x) - G(y-\tilde{x})$.

$$\dots = \frac{1}{d|B_1|} \left[\frac{-(y_d - \tilde{x}_d)}{|y - \tilde{x}|^d} - \frac{-(y_d - x_d)}{|y - x|^d} \right]$$
$$(y \in \partial \mathbb{R}^d_+) = \frac{1}{d|B_1|} \left[\frac{\tilde{x}_d}{|y - x|} - \frac{x_d}{|y - x|^d} \right] = \frac{-2x_d}{d|B_1||y - x|^d}$$

We expect

$$u(x) = -\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^d_+} g(y) \frac{\partial \tilde{G}}{\partial \vec{n_y}}(x, y) \, dS(y) = \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^d_+} g(y) \frac{2x_d}{d|B_1||y - x|^d} \, dS(y)$$

Theorem 5.9 Assume $g \in C(\mathbb{R}^{d-1}) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$ Then

$$u(x) = \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^d_+} g(y) K(x, y) \, dS(y)$$

and

$$K(x,y) = \frac{2x_d}{d|B_1||y-x|^d} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+.$$

satisfies that $u \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d_+) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d_+)$ and

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d_+ \\ \lim_{\substack{x \to 0 \\ x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+}} u(x) = g(x_0) & \forall x_0 \in \partial \mathbb{R}^d_+ \end{cases}$$

Proof. Claim: For all $y \in \partial \mathbb{R}^d_+$, $x \mapsto K(x,y)$ is harmonic in \mathbb{R}^d_+ (i.e. $\Delta_x K(x,y) = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^d_+)

• Argument from Evans:

$$K(x,y) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial y_d}, \ \tilde{G}(y-x) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial y_d}(G(y-x) - G(y-\tilde{x}))$$

We know that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$, $y \mapsto \tilde{G}(y,x)$ is haromnic in $\mathbb{R}^d_+ \setminus \{x\}$. By symmetry we have $\tilde{G}(y,x) = \tilde{G}(x,y)$ for all $x,y \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$. So for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$, $x \mapsto \tilde{G}(y,x)$ is harmonic in $\mathbb{R}^d_+ \setminus \{y\}$. Then for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$: $-\frac{\partial}{\partial y_d} \tilde{G}(y,x) = K(x,y)$ is harmonic $x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+ \setminus \{y\}$. By a limit argument, for all $y \in \partial \mathbb{R}^d_+$, $x \mapsto K(x,y)$ is harmonic for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$.

• A direct proof:

$$K(x,y) = \frac{2x_d}{d|B_1|} \frac{1}{|x-y|^d}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$, $y \in \partial \mathbb{R}^d_+$. For $i \neq d$, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$,

$$\begin{split} \partial_{x_i} K(x,y) &= \frac{2x_d}{d|B_1|} \frac{(-d)}{|x-y|^{d+1}} \frac{x_i - y_i}{|x-y|} = \frac{-2x_d}{|B_1|} \frac{x_i - y_i}{|x-y|^{d+2}} \\ \partial_{x_i}^2 K(x,y) &= -\frac{2x_d}{|B_1|} \left[\frac{1}{|x-y|^{d+1}} - \frac{(d+2)}{|x-y|^{d+3}} (x_i - y_i) \frac{(x_i - y_i)}{|x-y|} \right] \\ &= -\frac{2x_d}{|B_1|} \left[\frac{1}{|x-y|^{d+1}} - \frac{(d+2)}{|x-y|^{d+4}} (x_i - y_i)^2 \right] \end{split}$$

Moreover:

$$\begin{split} \partial_{x_d} K(x,y) &= \frac{2}{d|B_1|} \frac{1}{|x-y|^d} + \frac{2x_d}{d|B_1|} (-d) \frac{(x_d - y_d)}{|x-y|^{d+2}} \\ (y_d = 0) &= \frac{2}{d|B_1|} \frac{1}{|x-y|^d} + \frac{2x_d^2}{|B_1||x-y|^{d+2}} \\ \partial_{x_d}^2 K(x,y) &= \frac{-2}{|B_1|} \frac{(x_d - y_d)}{|x-y|^{d+2}} + \frac{4x_d}{|B_1||x-y|^{d+2}} - \frac{2(d+2)|B_1|}{x} \frac{(x_d - y_d)}{|x-y|^{d+4}} \end{split}$$

Then:

$$\begin{split} \Delta_x K(x,y) &= \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \ \partial_{x_i}^2 K(x,y) + \partial_{x_i}^2 K(x,y) \\ &= -\frac{2x_d}{|B_1|} \left[\frac{d-1}{|x-y|^{d+2}} - (d+2) \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \frac{(x_i - y_i)^2}{|x-y|^{d+4}} \right. \\ &+ \left. \frac{1+2}{|x-y|^{d+2}} - \frac{(d+2)x_d(x_d - y_d)}{|x-y|^{d+4}} \right] \\ &= -\frac{2x_d}{|B_1|} \left[\frac{d+2}{|x-y|^{d+2}} - (d+2) \frac{1}{|x-y|^{d+4}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{d} |x_i - y_i|^2 \right) \right] = 0 \end{split}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$, $y \in \partial \mathbb{R}^d_+$. Claim (exercise) for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$,

$$\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^d_+} K(x, y) \, dy = 1$$

Consider

$$u(x) = \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^d_+} K(x, y) g(y) \, dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$$

Since $g \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{d-1}) = L^{\infty}(\partial \mathbb{R}^d_+)$ and $K(x,y) \geqslant 0$, hence

$$|u(x)| \le \left(\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^d_+} K(x,y) \, dy\right) \|g\|_{L^{\infty}}$$

Thus $||u||_{L^{\infty}} \leq ||g||_{L^{\infty}}$. Moreover

$$D_x^{\alpha} u(x) = \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^d} D_x^{\alpha} K(x, y) g(y) \, dy$$

bounded, so $u \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d_+)$, $x \mapsto K(x,y)$ is smooth as $x \neq y$.

$$\Delta_x u(x) = \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^d_+} \underbrace{\Delta_x K(x, y)}_{=0} g(y) \, dy = 0$$

So u is harmonic in \mathbb{R}^d_+ . ($\Rightarrow u \in C^{\infty}$ by Weyl's lemma). Take $x_0 \in \partial \mathbb{R}^d_+$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$. Then:

$$|u(x) - g(x_0)| = \left| \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^d_+} K(x, y) (g(y) - g(x_0)) \, dy \right|$$

$$\leq \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^d_+} K(x, y) |g(y) - g(x_0)| \, dy$$

$$= \underbrace{\int_{|y - x_0| \leq L|x - x_0|}}_{(I)} + \underbrace{\int_{|y - x_0| > L|x - x_0|}}_{(II)}$$

$$(I) = \int_{|y-x_0| \le L|x-x_0|} K(x,y)|g(y) - g(x_0)| \, dy$$
$$= \sup_{|y-x_0| \le L|x-x_0|} |g(y) - g(x_0)| \xrightarrow{x \to x_0} 0 \quad \forall L > 0$$

(II): If
$$|y - x_0| > L|x - x_0|$$
, then $|y - x| > \frac{1}{2}|y - x_0| > \frac{L}{2}|x - x_0|$ if $L \ge 2$.

$$\begin{split} &\int_{|y-x_0|>|L|x-x_0|} K(x,y)|g(y)-g(x_0)|\,dy\leqslant C\int_{y\in\partial\mathbb{R}^d_+} \frac{x_d}{|x_0-y|}\,dy\\ &Cx_d\int_{\substack{z\in\mathbb{R}^{d-1}\\|z|>L|x-x_0|}} \frac{1}{|z|^d}\,\,dz=const.\frac{x_d}{L|x-x_0|}\leqslant \frac{const.}{L}\xrightarrow{L\to\infty} \ 0 \end{split}$$

$$x_d = |x_d - (x_0)_d| \le |x - x_0|$$

5.2 Green's function for a ball

Let B = B(0,1). For all $x \in B$, for all $y \in \bar{B}$ we want to find the corrector function $\Phi_x(y)$ s.t.

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_y \Phi_x(y) = 0 & \text{in } B \\ \Phi_x(y) = G(y - x) & \text{on } \partial B \end{cases}$$

where for $d \ge 3$: $G(z) = \frac{1}{d(d-2)|B_1||z|^{d-2}}$.

Definition 5.10 (Reflection / Duality through the sphere ∂B) For all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ we define $\tilde{x} = \frac{x}{|x|^2}$. Clearly we have for all $x \in B$ that if |x| < 1, then $|\tilde{x}| = \left|\frac{x}{|x|^2}\right| = \frac{1}{|x|} > 1$, so $\tilde{x} \notin \bar{B}$

Lemma 5.11 For $d \ge 3$ the function $\Phi_x(y) = G(|x|(y-\tilde{x}))$ is a corrector function.

Proof.

$$\Phi_x(y) = \frac{1}{d(d-2)|B_1||x|^{d-2}|y-\tilde{x}|^{d-2}}$$

for all $x \in B, x \neq 0$, for all $y \in \overline{B}$. Then clearly $y \mapsto \Phi_x(y)$ is harmonic in B (Since $\frac{1}{|z|^{d-2}}$ is harmonic in $\mathbb{R}\setminus 0$). Let's check the boundary: Let $y \in \partial B$, i.e. |y| = 1. Then

$$||x|(y - \tilde{x})| = |x| \left| y - \frac{x}{|x|^2} \right|$$

$$= |x| \sqrt{|y|^2 - 2\frac{xy}{|x|^2} + \left| \frac{x}{|x|^2} \right|^2}$$

$$= \sqrt{|x|^2 |y|^2 - 2xy + 1}$$

$$(|y| = 1) = \sqrt{|x|^2 - 2xy + |y|^2} = |x - y|$$

Thus $\Phi_x(y) = G(|x||y - \tilde{x}|) = G(y - x)$ for all $0 \neq x \in B$, for all $y \in \partial B$. Let's compute the Poisson kernel: If want to solve

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = 0 & \text{in } B \\ u = g & \text{on } \partial B \end{cases}$$

then

$$u(x) = -\int_{\partial B} \frac{\partial \tilde{G}}{\partial \vec{n}_y}(x, y)g(y)dS(y).$$

 $\tilde{G}(x,y) = G(y-x) - \Phi_x(y) = G(y-x) - G(|x|(y-\tilde{x})) \text{ for all } x \in B \setminus \{0\}, \ y \in \bar{B}.$

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{G}}{\partial \vec{n}_y} = \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_{y_i} \tilde{G} y_i$$

Here

$$\begin{split} \partial_{y_i} \tilde{G} &= \partial_{y_i} G(y-x) - \partial_{y_i} [G(|x|(y-\tilde{x}))] \\ &= \frac{-(y_i-x_i)}{d|B_1||y-x|^d} + \frac{y_i-\tilde{x}_i}{d|B_1||x|^{d-2}|y-\tilde{x}|^d} \\ \Rightarrow \frac{\partial \tilde{G}}{\partial \vec{n}_y} &= \sum_{i=1}^d [\dots] y_i \\ &= \frac{-y(y-x))}{d|B_1||y-x|^d} + \frac{y(y-\tilde{x})}{d|B_1||x|^{d-2}|y-\tilde{x}|^d} \\ &= \frac{1}{d|B_1||y-x|^d} (-y(y-x) + y(y-\tilde{x})|x|^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{d|B_1||y-x|^d} [-|y|^2 + xy + |y|^2|x|^2 - xy] \\ &= \frac{-1+|x|^2}{d|B_1||y-x|^d} \end{split}$$

as $y \in \partial B$.

Theorem 5.12 (Poisson Formula for a Ball) Let $B = B(0,1), g \in C(\partial B)$. Define for all $x \in B$:

$$u(x) = \int_{\partial B} K(x, y)g(y) \, dS(y),$$

 $K(x,y) = -\frac{\partial \tilde{G}}{\partial \vec{n}_y}(x,y) = \frac{1-|x|^2}{d|B_1||y-x|^d}$ for all $x \in B$, for all $y \in \partial B$. Then $u \in C^{\infty}(B)$, $\Delta u = 0$ and for all $x_0 \in \partial B$ we have $\lim_{x \in B} u(x) = g(x_0)$. This holds for all $d \ge 2$.

Proof. We need to check:

- 1. For all $y \in \partial B$, $x \mapsto K(x, y)$ is harmonic in B.
- 2. $\int_{\partial B} K(x,y) dS(y) = 1$ for all $x \in B$ (exercise)

Now for all $x \in B$, for all $y \in \partial B$:

$$K(x,y) = \frac{1 - |x|^2}{d|B_1||y - x|^d}$$

$$\partial_{x_i} K(x,y) = \frac{-2x_i}{d|B_1|} \frac{1}{|x - y|^d} - \frac{1 - |x|^2}{|B_1|} \frac{x_i - y_i}{|x - y|^{d+2}}$$

$$\partial_{x_i}^2 K(x,y) = -\frac{2}{d|B_1|} \frac{1}{|x - y|^d} + \frac{2x_i}{|B_1|} \frac{x_i - y_i}{|x - y|^{d+2}} + \frac{2x_i}{|B_1|} \frac{x_i - y_i}{|x - y|^{d+2}}$$

$$-\frac{1 - |x|^2}{|B_1|} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{d+2}} + \frac{1 + |x|^2}{|B_1|} (d+2) \frac{(x_i - y_i)^2}{|x - y|^{d+4}}$$

$$\Delta_x K = \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_{x_i}^2 K = -\frac{2}{|B_1|} \frac{1}{|x - y|^d} + \frac{4x(x - y)}{|B_1||x - y|^{d+2}}$$

$$-\frac{d(1 - |x|^2)}{|B_1|} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{d+2}} + (d+2) \frac{1 - |x|}{|B_1|} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{d+2}}$$

$$= \frac{2}{|B_1||x - y|^{d+2}} \left[-|x - y|^2 + 2x(x - y) + 1 - |x|^2 \right]$$

$$-|x|^2 + 2xy - |y|^2 + 2|x|^2 - 2xy + 1 - |x|^2$$

 $1-|y|^2=0$ as $y\in\partial B$. Thus $\Delta_x K(x,y)=0$, for all $x\in B$, for all $y\in\partial B$.