Partial Differerential Equations

Thành Nam Phan

Winter Semester 2021/2022

Lecture notes TEXed by Thomas Eingartner

Tuesday 25th January, 2022, 10:33

Contents

Please note that I write this lecture notes for my personal use. I may write things differently than presented in the lecture. This script also contains some of my personal solutions for exercises (which may be wrong).

Chapter 1

Introduction

A differential equation is an equation of a function and its derivatives.

Example 1.1 (Linear ODE) Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{cases} f(t) = af(t) \text{ for all } t \geqslant 0, a \in \mathbb{R} \\ f(0) = a_0 \end{cases}$$

is a linear ODE (Ordinary differential equation). The solution is: $f(t) = a_0 e^{at}$ for all $t \geqslant 0$.

Example 1.2 (Non-Linear ODE) $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{cases} f'(t) = 1 + f^2(t) \\ f(0) = 1 \end{cases}$$

Lets consider $f(t) = \tan(t) = \frac{\sin(t)}{\cos(t)}$. Then we have

$$f'(t) = \frac{1}{\cos(t)} = 1 + \tan^2(t) = 1 + f^2(t),$$

but this solution only is *good* in $(-\pi, \pi)$. It's a problem to extend this to $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$.

A PDE (Partial Differential Equation) is an equation of a function of 2 or more variables and its derivatives.

Remark 1.3 Recall for $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ open and $f : \Omega \to \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$ the notation of partial derivatives:

- $\partial_{x_i} f(x) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x+he_i) - f(x)}{h}$, where $e_i = (0, 0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^d$
- $D^\alpha f(x) = \partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial_{x_d}^{\alpha_d} f(x)$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$
- $Df = \nabla f = (\partial x_1, \dots, \partial_{x_d})$
- $\Delta f = \partial_{x_1}^2 + \cdots + \partial_{x_d}^2 f$
- $D^k f = (D^\alpha f)_{|\alpha| = k}$, where $|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^d |\alpha_i|$
- $D^2 f = (\partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_j} f)_{1 \leqslant i,j \leqslant d}$

Definition 1.4 Given a function F. Then the equation of the form

$$F(D^k u(x), D^{k-1}u(x), \dots, Du(x), u(x), x) = 0$$

with the unknown function $u: \ \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a *PDE of order k*.

- Equations $\sum_d a_\alpha(x) D^\alpha u(x) = 0$, where a_α and u are unknown functions are called *Linear PDEs*.
- Equations $\sum_{|\alpha|=k} a_\alpha(x) D^\alpha u(x) + F(D^{k-1}u, D^{k-2}u, \dots, Du, u, x) = 0$ are called *semi-linear PDEs*.

Goals: For *solving a PDE* we want to

- Find an explizit solution! This is in many cases impossible.
- Prove a *well-posted theory* (existence of solutions, uniqueness of solutions, continuous dependence of solutions on the data)

We have two notations of solutions:

1. Classical solution: The solution is continuous differentiable (e.g. $\Delta u = f \rightsquigarrow u \in C^2$)
2. Weak Solutions: The solution is not smooth/continuous

Definition 1.5 (Spaces of continous and differentiable functions) Let $\Omega \subseteq R^d$ be open

$$\begin{aligned} C(\Omega) &= \{f: \ \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ continuous}\} \\ C^k(\Omega) &= \{f: \ \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \mid D^\alpha f \text{ is continuous for all } |\alpha| \ \leqslant k\} \end{aligned}$$

Classical solution of a PDE of order $k \rightsquigarrow C^k$ solutions!

$$L^p(\Omega) = \left\{ f: \ \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \text{ lebesgue measurable} \ \middle| \int_\Omega |f|^p d\lambda < \infty, \ 1 \leqslant p < \infty \right\}$$

Sobolev Space:

$$W^{k,p}(\Omega) = \{f \in L^p(\Omega) \mid \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n \text{ with } |\alpha| \leqslant k : D^\alpha f \in L^p(\Omega) \text{ exists}\}$$

In this course we will investigate

- Laplace / Poisson Equation: $-\Delta u = f$
- Heat Equation: $\partial_t u - \Delta u = f$
- Wave Equation: $\partial_t^2 - \Delta u = f$
- Schrödinger Equation: $i\partial_t u - \Delta u = f$

Chapter 2

Laplace / Poisson Equation

2.1 Laplace Equation

$-\Delta u = 0$ (Laplace) or $-\Delta u = f(x)$ (Poisson).

Definition 2.1 (Harmonic Function) Let Ω be an open set in $\mathbb{R}^d$. If $u \in C^2(\Omega)$ and $\Delta u = 0$ in Ω, then u is a harmonic function in Ω.

Theorem 2.2 (Gauss-Green Theorem) Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ open, $\vec{F} \in C^1(A, \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $K \subseteq A$ compact with C^1 boundary. Then

$$\int_{\partial K} \vec{F} \cdot \vec{\nu} \; dS(x) = \int_K \operatorname{div}(\vec{F}) \; dx$$

where ν is the outward unit normal vector field on ∂K. Thus

$$\int_{\partial V} \nabla u \cdot \vec{\nu} \; dS(x) = \int_V \operatorname{div}(\nabla u) \; dx = \int_V \Delta u(x) \; dx$$

for any $V \subseteq \Omega$ open.

Theorem 2.3 (Green's Identities) Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ open, $K \subseteq A$ d-dim. compactum with C^1 boundary and $f, g \in C^2(A)$

1. Green's first identity (Integration by parts):

$$\int_K \nabla f \cdot \nabla g \, dx = \int_{\partial K} f \frac{\partial g}{\partial \nu} \, dS - \int_K f \Delta g \, dx$$

   where $\frac{\partial g}{\partial \nu} = \partial_\nu g = \nu \cdot \nabla g$

2. Green's second identity:

$$\int_K f\Delta g - (\Delta f) g \, dx = \int_{\partial K} \left(f \frac{\partial g}{\partial \nu} - g \frac{\partial f}{\partial \nu} \right) dS$$

Exercise 2.4 Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ open, let $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Prove that if $\int_B f(x) \; dx = 0$, then $u \equiv 0$ in Ω.

Theorem 2.5 (Fundamential Lemma of Calculus of Variations) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ open, let $f \in L^1(\Omega)$. If $\int_B f(x) \; dx = 0$ for all $x \in B_r(x) \subseteq \Omega$, then $f(x) = 0$ a.e. (almost everywhere) $x \in \Omega$.

Remark 2.6 (Solving Laplace Equation) $-\Delta u = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Consider the case when u is radial, i.e. $u(x) = v(|x|)$, $v : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$. Denote $r = |x|$, then

$$\frac{\partial r}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\left(\sqrt{x_1^2 + \cdots + x_d^2}\right) = \frac{2x_i}{2\sqrt{x_1^2 + \cdots + x_d^2}} = \frac{x_i}{r}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{x_i} u &= \partial_{x_i} v = (\partial_r v)\frac{\partial r}{\partial x_i} = v'(r)\frac{x_i}{r} \\
\partial_{x_i}^2 u &= \partial_{x_i}\left(v(r)'\frac{x_i}{r}\right) = (\partial_{x_i} v(r)')\frac{x_i}{r} + v'(r)\partial_{x_i}\left(\frac{x_i}{r}\right) \\
&= (\partial_r v'(r))\left(\frac{dr}{\partial_{x_i}}\right)\frac{x_i}{r} + v'(r)\left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{x_i}{r^2}(\partial_{x_i} r)\right) = v'(r)\frac{x_i^2}{r^2} + v'r(r)\left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{x_i^2}{r^3}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

So we have $\Delta u = \left(\sum_{i=1}^d d_{x_i}^2\right) u = v''(r) + v'(r)(\frac{d}{r} - \frac{1}{r})$
Thus $\Delta u = v'(r) + v(r)\frac{d-1}{r}$. We consider $d \geqslant 2$. Laplace operator $\Delta u = 0$ now becomes $v''(r) + v'(r)\frac{d-1}{r} = 0$
$\Rightarrow \log(v(r))' = \frac{v'(r)}{v(r)} = -\frac{d-1}{r} = -(d-1)(\log r)'$ (recall $log(f)' = \frac{f'}{f}$)
$\Rightarrow v'(r) = \frac{1}{v^{d-2} + \text{const.}}$
$\begin{cases} \frac{const}{r^{d-2}} + const x x + const & , d \geqslant 3 \\ const \log(r) + const x x r + const & , d = 2 \end{cases}$

Definition 2.7 (Fundamential Solution of Laplace Equation)

$$\Phi(x) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2\pi}\log(|x|), & d = 2 \\ \frac{1}{(d-2)d|B_1|}\frac{1}{|x|^{d-2}}, & d \geqslant 3 \end{cases}$$

Where $|B_1|$ is the Volume of the ball $B_1(0) = B(0,1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$.

Remark 2.8 $\Delta\Phi(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $x \neq 0$.

2.2 Poisson-Equation

The Poisson-Equation is $-\Delta u(x) = f(x)$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$. The explicit solution is given by

$$u(x) = (\Phi \star f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(x-y)f(y)\ dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(y)f(x-y)\ dy$$

This can be heuristically justifyfied with

$$-\Delta(\Phi \star f) = (-\Delta\Phi) \star f = \delta_0 \star f = f$$

Theorem 2.9 Assume $f \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then $u = \Phi \star f$ satisfies that $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $-\Delta u(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

Proof. By definition we have

$$u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(y)f(x-y)\,dy.$$

First we check that u is continuous: Take $x_k \to x_0$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$. We prove that $u(x_n) \xrightarrow{n} u_0$, i.e.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(y) f(x_n - y)\ dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(y) f(x_0 - y)\ dy$$

This follows from the Dominated Convergence Theorem. More precisely:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \Phi(y) f(x_n - y) = \Phi(y) f(x_0 - y) \qquad \text{for all } y \in \mathbb{R}^d \backslash \{0\}$$

and

$$|\Phi(y) f(x-y)| \ \leqslant \|f||_{L^\infty} \cdot \mathbb{1}(|y| \leqslant R) \cdot |\Phi(y)| \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, dy)$$

where $R > 0$ depends on $\{x_n\}$ and $\operatorname{supp}(f)$ but independent of y. Now we compute the derivatives:

$$\partial_{x_i} u(x) = \partial_{x_i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(y) f(x-y)\ dy = \lim_{h\to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(y) \frac{f(x+he_i-y) - f(x-y)}{h}\ dy$$

$$\text{(dom. conv.)} \qquad = \int \Phi(y) \partial_{x_i} f(x-y)\ dy$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad D^\alpha u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(y) D_x^\alpha f(x-y)\ dy \quad \text{for all } |\alpha| \leqslant 2$$

$D^\alpha u(x)$ is continuous, thus $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Now we check if this solves the Poisson-Equation:

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(y)(-\Delta_x) f(x-y)\, dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(y)(-\Delta_y) f(x-y)\, dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \backslash B(0,\epsilon)} \Phi(y)(-\Delta_x) f(x-y)\, dy + \int_{B(0,\epsilon)} \Phi(y)(-\Delta_x) f(x-y)\, dy \quad (\epsilon > 0 \text{ small}) \end{aligned}$$

Now we come to the main part. We apply integration by parts (2.3):

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \backslash B(0,\epsilon)} \Phi(y)(-\Delta_y) f(x-y)\, dy \\ &\quad = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \backslash B(0,\epsilon)} (\nabla_y \Phi(y)) \cdot \nabla_y f(x-y)\, dy - \int_{\partial B(0,\epsilon)} \Phi(y) \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \vec{n}}(x-y)\, dS(y) \\ &\quad = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \backslash B(0,\epsilon)} \underbrace{(-\Delta_y \Phi(y))}_{=0} f(x-y)\, dy \\ &\qquad + \int_{\partial B(0,\epsilon)} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \vec{n}}(y) f(x-y)\, dS(y) - \int_{\partial B(0,\epsilon)} \Phi(y) \frac{\partial f}{\partial \vec{n}}(x-y)\, dS(y) \end{aligned}$$

We have that $\nabla_y \Phi(y) = -\frac{1}{d|B_1|} \frac{y}{|y|^d}$ and $\vec{n} = \frac{y}{|y|}$ in $\partial B(0,\epsilon)$. This leads to

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \vec{n}} = \frac{1}{d|B_1|} \frac{1}{|y|^{d-1}} = \frac{1}{d|B_1| \epsilon^{d-1}} \qquad \text{for } y \in \partial B(0,\epsilon)$$

Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial B(0,\epsilon)} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \vec{n}}(y) f(x-y)\ dS(y) &= \frac{1}{d|B_1|\epsilon^{d-1}} \int_{\partial B(0,\epsilon)} f(x-y)\ dS(y) \\ &= \fint_{\partial B(0,\epsilon)} f(x-y)\ dS(y) = \fint_{\partial B(x,\epsilon)} f(y)\ dS(y) \xrightarrow{\epsilon \to 0} f(x) \end{aligned}$$

We have to regard the following error terms:

- $$\left|\int_{B(0,\epsilon)} \Phi(y)(-\Delta_y) f(x-y)\ dy\right| \leqslant \int_{B(0,\epsilon)} |\Phi(y)| \underbrace{|-\Delta_y f(x-y)|}_{\leqslant \|\Delta f\|_{L^\infty} \mathbb{1}(|y|\leqslant R)}\ dy$$
$$\leqslant \|\Delta f\|_{L^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \underbrace{|\Phi(y)| \mathbb{1}(|y| \leqslant R)}_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \mathbb{1}(|y| \leqslant \epsilon) \xrightarrow{\epsilon \to 0} 0$$
  Where $R > 0$ depends on x and the support of f but is independent of y.
- $$\left|\int_{\partial B(0,\epsilon)} \Phi(y) \frac{\partial f}{\partial \vec{n}}(x-y)\ dS(y)\right| \leqslant \|\nabla f\|_{L^\infty} \int_{\partial B(0,\epsilon)} |\Phi(y)|\ \ dy$$
$$\leqslant \begin{cases} const \cdot \epsilon |\log \epsilon| \ \to 0, & d = 2 \\ const \cdot \epsilon \to 0, & d \geqslant 3 \end{cases}$$

Conclusion: $-\Delta u(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ proved that $u = \Phi \star f$ and $f \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. ■

Thus, if $f \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R})$, then $u = \Phi \star f$ satisfies $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $-\Delta u(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Remark 2.10 The result holds for a much bigger class of functions f. For example if $f \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R})$ we can easily extend the previous proof:

$$\partial_{x_i} u = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(y) \partial_{x_i} f(x-y)\, dy \in C(\mathbb{R}^d) \Rightarrow u \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

Consequently:

$$\partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_j} u = \partial_{x_i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(y) \partial_{x_j} f(x-y)\, dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_{x_i} \Phi(y) \partial_{x_j} f(x-y)\, dy \in C(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

So we have $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Now we can compute

$$\Delta u = \sum_{i=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_{x_i} \Phi(y) \partial_{x_i} f(x-y)\, dy \overset{(IBP)}{=} f(x).$$

Exercise 2.11 Extend this to more general functions!

2.3 Equations in general domains

Theorem 2.12 (Mean Value Theorem for Harmonic Functions) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be open, let $u \in C^2(\Omega)$ and $\Delta u = 0$ in Ω. Then

$$u(x) = ⨍_{B(x,r)} u = ⨍_{\partial B(x,r)} u \quad \text{for all } x \in \Omega, B(x,r) \subseteq \Omega$$

Proof. Consider all $r > 0$ s.t. $B(x,r) \subseteq \Omega$,

$$f(r) = ⨍_{\partial B(x,r)} u$$

We need to prove that $f(r)$ is independent of r. When it is done, then we immediately obtain

$$f(r) = \lim_{t \to 0} f(t) = u(x)$$

as u is continuous. To prove that, consider

$$\begin{aligned}
f'(r) &= \frac{d}{dr}\left(⨍_{\partial B(0,r)} u(x+y)\, dS(y) \right) \\
&= \frac{d}{dr}\left(⨍_{\partial B(0,1)} u(x+rz)\, dS(z) \right) \\
\text{(dom. convergence)} \quad &= ⨍_{\partial B(0,1)} \frac{d}{dr}[u(x+rz)]\, dS(z) \\
&= ⨍_{\partial B(0,1)} \nabla u(x+rz) z\, dS(z) \\
&= ⨍_{\partial B(x,r)} \nabla u(y) \frac{y-x}{r}\, dS(y) \\
&= \frac{1}{|B(x,r)|_{\mathbb{R}^d}} \int_{\partial B(x,r)} \nabla \cdot u(y) \cdot \vec{n_y}\, dS(y) \\
\text{(Gauss-Green 2.2)} \quad &= \frac{1}{|B(x,r)|_{\mathbb{R}^d}} \int_{B(x,r)} \underbrace{(\Delta u)(y)}_{=0}\, dy = 0
\end{aligned}$$

■

Exercise 2.13 In 1D: $\Delta u = 0 \Leftrightarrow u'' = 0 \Leftrightarrow u(x) = ax + b$ (Linear Equation)

Remark 2.14 Recall the polar decomposition. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^d, x = (r, w), r = |x| > 0, \omega \in S^{d-1}$, then

$$\int_{B(0,r)} g(y)\, dy = \int_0^r \left(\int_{B(0,r)} g(y)\, dS(y) \right) dr$$

Remark 2.15 We already proved that for u harmonic we have $u(x) = ⨍_{\partial B(x,r)} u\, dy$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B(x,r)} u(y)\, dy &= \int_{B(0,r)} u(x+y)\, dy \\
\text{(Pol. decomposition)} \quad &= \int_0^r \left(\int_{\partial B(0,s)} u(x+y)\, dS(y) \right) ds \\
&= \int_0^r \left(\int_{\partial B(x,s)} u(y)\, dS(y) \right) ds \\
\text{(Mean value property)} \quad &= \int_0^r (|\partial B(x,s)|\, u(x))\, ds = |B(x,r)|\, u(x)
\end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$⨍_{B(x,r)} u(y)\, dy = u(x) \quad \text{for any } B(x,r) \subseteq \Omega.$$

Remark 2.16 The reverse direction is also correct, namely if $u \in C^2(\Omega)$ and

$$u(x) = ⨍_{B(x,r)} u(y)\, dy = ⨍_{\partial B(x,r)} u(y)\, dy \quad \text{for all } B(x,r) \subseteq \Omega,$$

then u is harmonic, i.e. $\Delta u = 0$ in Ω. (The proof is exactly like before)

Theorem 2.17 (Maximum Principle) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open, let $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$, $\Delta u = 0$ in Ω. Then

a) $\max_{x\in\bar{\Omega}} u(x) = \max_{x\in\partial\Omega} u(x)$

b) Assume that Ω is connected. Then if there is a $x_0 \in \Omega$ s.t. $u(x_0) = \max_{x\in\bar{\Omega}} u(x)$, then $u \equiv const.$ in Ω.

Proof. Given $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ open, we can write $U = \bigcup_i U_i$, where U_i is open and connected.

b) Assume that Ω is connected and there is a $x_0 \in \Omega$ s.t. $u(x_0) = \sup_{y\in\Omega} u(x)$. Define $U = \{x \in \Omega \mid u(x) = u(x_0)\} = u^{-1}(u(x_0))$. U is closed since u is continuous. Moreover, U is open by the mean-value theorem. I.e. for all $x \in U$ there is a $r > 0$ s.t. $B(x,r) \subseteq U \subseteq \Omega$. Since U is connected we get $U = \Omega$, so u is constant in Ω. On the other hand, if there is no $x_0 \in \Omega$ s.t. $u(x_0) = \sup_{x\in\Omega}$ we have $\forall x_0 \in \Omega : \quad u(x) < \sup_{x\in\bar{\Omega}} u(x) = \sup_{x\in\partial\Omega} u(x)$

a) Given $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ open, we can write $\Omega = \bigcup_i \Omega_i$, where Ω_i is open and connected. By b) we have

$$\sup_{x\in\bar{\Omega}_i} u(x) = \sup_{x\in\partial\Omega_i} u(x), \quad \forall i$$

So we can conclude

$$\sup_{x\in\bar{\Omega}} u(x) = \sup_{x\in\partial\Omega} u(x).$$

■

Definition 2.18
- If $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is open, $u \in C^2(\Omega)$, then u is called *sub-harmonic* if $\Delta u \geqslant 0$ in Ω.
- If $\Delta u \leqslant 0$, then u is called *super-harmonic*.

Exercise 2.19 (E 1.4) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open and $u \in C^2(\Omega)$ be subharmonic.

a) Prove that u satisfies the Mean Value Inequality

$$\fint_{\partial B(x,r)} u(y)\, dS(y) \geqslant \fint_{B(x,r)} u(y)\, dy \geqslant u(x)$$

for all $B(x,r) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$.

b) Assume further that Ω is connected and $u \in C(\bar{\Omega})$. Prove that u satisfies the strong maximum principle, namely either

- u is constant in Ω, or
- $\sup_{y\in\partial\Omega} u(y) > u(x)$ for all $x \in \Omega$.

My Solution. a) Let $f(r) = ⨍_{\partial B(x,r)} u(y)\,dS(y)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_r f(r) &= \partial_r ⨍_{\partial B(x,r)} u(y)\,dS(y) \\
\text{(Dom. Convergence)} \quad &= ⨍_{\partial B(x,r)} \partial_r u(y)\,dS(y) \\
&= ⨍_{\partial B(0,1)} \partial_r u(x+yr)\,dS(y) \\
&= ⨍_{\partial B(0,1)} \nabla u(x+yr)\cdot y\,dS(y) \\
&= ⨍_{\partial B(x,r)} \nabla u(y)\cdot \frac{y-x}{r}\,dS(y) \\
&= ⨍_{\partial B(x,r)} \nabla u(y)\cdot \vec{n}_y\,dS(y) \\
\text{(Gauss-Green)} \quad &= ⨍_{B(x,r)} \operatorname{div}(\nabla u(y))\,dS(y) \\
&= ⨍_{B(x,r)} \underbrace{\Delta u(y)}_{\geqslant 0}\,dS(y) \geqslant 0
\end{aligned}$$

So we can conclude that

$$⨍_{\partial B(x,r)} u(y)\,dS(y) = f(r) \geqslant \lim_{r\to 0} f(r) = u(x).$$

Now regard

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B(x,r)} u(y)\,dy &= \int_0^r \left(\int_{\partial B(x,r)} u(y)\,dS(y)\right) ds \\
&= \int_0^r \left(|\partial B(x,r)| ⨍_{\partial B(x,r)} u(y)\,dS(y)\right) ds \\
&\geqslant \int_0^r |\partial B(x,r)|\cdot u(x)\,dS(y) \\
&= u(x)\int_0^r |\partial B(x,r)|\,dS(y) = u(x)|B(x,r)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$u(x) \leqslant ⨍_{B(x,r)} u(y) dy.$$

Finally, lets regard

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B(x,r)} u(y)\,dy &= \int_0^r \left(|\partial B(x,s)| ⨍_{\partial B(x,s)} u(y)\,dS(y)\right) ds \\
(\partial_r f(r) \geqslant 0) \quad &\leqslant \int_0^r \left(|\partial B(x,s)| ⨍_{\partial B(x,r)} u(y)\,dS(y)\right) ds \\
&= ⨍_{\partial B(x,r)} u(y)\,dS(y) \int_0^r |\partial B(x,s)|\,ds \\
&= ⨍_{\partial B(x,r)} u(y)\,dS(y)\cdot |B(x,s)|
\end{aligned}$$

and we conclude

$$\fint_{B(x,r)} u(y)\,dy \leqslant \fint_{\partial B(x,r)} u(y)\,dS(y).$$

b) Let $x_0 \in \Omega$ s.t. $u(x_0) = \sup_{x\in\Omega} u(x)$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{x\in\Omega} u(x) = u(x_0) &\leqslant \fint_{\partial B(x_0,r)} u(y)\,dy \\ &\leqslant \fint_{\partial B(x_0,r)} \sup_{x\in\Omega} u(x)\,dy = \sup_{x\in\Omega} u(x)\end{aligned}$$

Since u is continous we get $u(y) = u(x_0)$ for all $y \in B(x_0, r)$, so u is constant.

■

Definition 2.20 The *Poisson Equation* for given f, g on a bounded set is:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f, & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = g, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.21 (Uniqueness) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be bounded, open and connected. Let $f \in C(\Omega), g \in C(\partial\Omega)$. Then there exists *at most* one solution $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$, s.t.

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f, & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = g, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

Proof. Assume that we have two solutions u_1 and u_2. Then $u := u_1 - u_2$ is a solution to

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = 0, & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

By the maximum principle, we know that $u = 0$ in Ω. More precisely, by the maximum principle we have $\forall x \in \Omega$

$$\sup_{x\in\Omega} u(x) \leqslant \sup_{x\in\partial\Omega} u(x) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad u(x) \leqslant 0$$

Since $-u$ satisfies the same property we have $\forall x \in \Omega$:

$$\sup_{x\in\Omega}(-u(x)) \leqslant \sup_{x\in\partial\Omega}(-u(x)) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad -u(x) \leqslant 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad u(x) \geqslant 0$$

So we geht $u(x) = 0$ in Ω. ■

Exercise 2.22 (Bonus 1) Let Ω be open, connected and bounded in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Let $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ s.t.

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = 0, & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = g, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

Prove that

a) If $g \geqslant 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, then $u \geqslant 0$ in Ω.

b) If $g \geqslant 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ and $g \neq 0$, then $u > 0$ in Ω.

Lemma 2.23 (Estimates for derivatives) If u is harmonic in $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$, $|\alpha| = N$ and $B(x_0, r) \subseteq \Omega$, then

$$|D^\alpha u(x)| \leqslant \frac{(c_d N)^N}{r^{d+N}} \int_{B(x,r)} |u| \, dy$$

Proof. Induction: Assume $|\alpha| = N - 1$, Take $|\alpha| = N$

$$|D^\alpha u(x_0)| \leqslant \frac{|S_1|}{|B_1| \frac{r}{N}} \|D^\beta u\|_{L^\infty(B(x_0, \frac{r}{n}))}, \quad D^\alpha u = \partial_{x_i}(D^\beta u)_{|\beta|=N-1}$$

Note: $x \in B(x_0, \frac{r}{N})$, so $B(x, \frac{r(N-1)}{N}) \subseteq B(x_0, r)$. By the induction hypothesis:

$$\|D^\beta u\|_{L^\infty(B(x_0, \frac{r}{N}))} \leqslant \frac{[c_d(N-1)]^{N-1}}{[r\frac{(N-1)}{N}]^{d+N-1}} \int_{B(x_0,r)} |u| \, dy$$

The conclusion is:

$$\begin{aligned}
|D^\alpha u(x_0)| &\leqslant \frac{|S_1|}{|B_1| \frac{r}{N}} \frac{[c_d(N-1)]^{N-1}}{\left(r\frac{N-1}{N^d}\right)^{d+N-1}} \int_{B(x_0,r)} |u| \, dy \\
&= \frac{|S_1|}{|\beta_1|} \frac{c_d^{N-1}}{\left(\frac{r}{N}\right)^{d+N} (N-1)^d} \int_{B(x_0,r)} |u| \, dy \\
&= \frac{|S_1|}{|\beta_1|} \frac{c_d^{N-1}}{\left(\frac{r}{N}\right)^{d+N} N^d} \left(\frac{N}{N-1}\right)^d \int_{B(x_0,r)} |u| \, dy \\
&\leqslant \frac{2^d |S_1|}{|B_1|} \frac{c_d^{N-1} N^N}{r^{d+N}} \int_{B(x_0,r)} |u| \; dy \qquad \text{if } c_d \geqslant \frac{2^d |S_1|}{|B_1|}
\end{aligned}$$

■

Theorem 2.24 (Regularity) Let Ω be open in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Let $u \in C(\Omega)$ satisfy $u(x) = \fint_{\partial B} u \, dy$ for any $x \in B(x, r) \subseteq \Omega$. Then u is a harmonic function in Ω. Moreover, $u \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ and u is analytic in Ω.

Exercise 2.25 (E 1.1: Proof the Gauss–Green formula) Let $f := (f_i)_1^d \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$. Prove that for every open ball $B(y, r) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ we have

$$\int_{\partial B(y,r)} f(y) \cdot \nu_y \, dS(y) = \int_{B(y,r)} \operatorname{div} f \, dx.$$

Here ν_y is the outward unit normal vector and dS is the surface measure on the sphere.

Solution. We proof this in d=3. Let $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$

$$\int_{B(0,1)} \partial_{x_3} f \, dx = \int_{\partial B(0,1)} f x_3 \, dS(x), \quad x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3, \vec{n} = \frac{x}{|x|} \text{ on } \partial B(0,1)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
B(0,1) &= \{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 \leqslant 1\} \\
&= \{x_1^2 + x_2^2 \leqslant 1 - \sqrt{1 - x_1^2 - x_2^2} \leqslant x_3 \leqslant \sqrt{1 - x_1^2 - x_2^2}\}
\end{aligned}$$

Then:

$$\int_{B(0,1)} \partial_{x_3} f\, dx = \int_{x_1^2+x_2^2 \leqslant 1} \left(\int_{-\sqrt{1-x_1^2-x_2^2} \leqslant x_3 \leqslant \sqrt{1-x_1^2-x_2^2}} \partial_{x_3} f\, dx_3 \right) dx_1\, dx_2$$
$$= \int_{x_1^2+x_2^2 \leqslant 1} \Big[f(x_1, x_2, \sqrt{1-x_1^2-x_2^2})$$
$$- f(x_1, x_2, -\sqrt{1-x_1^2-x_2^2}) \Big]\, dx_1\, dx_2$$

Lets take polar coordinates in 2D:

$$x_1 = r\cos\phi \qquad r > 0, \phi \in [0, 2\pi)$$
$$x_2 = r\sin\phi \qquad \det \frac{\partial(x_1, x_2)}{\partial(r, \phi)} = r$$

$$(\star) = \int_0^1 \int_0^{2\pi} [f(r\cos\phi, r\sin\phi, r) - f(r\cos\theta, r\sin\phi, -r)] r\, dr\, d\phi$$

On the other hand:

$$\int_{\partial B(0,1)} f x_3\, dS$$

The polar coordinates in 3D are:

$$x_1 = r\cos\phi sin\theta \qquad r > 0, \phi \in (0, 2\pi), \theta \in (0, \pi)$$
$$x_2 = r\sin\phi\sin\theta \qquad \det \frac{\partial x_1, x_2, x_3}{\partial(r, \phi, t)} = r^2 \sin\theta$$
$$x_3 = \cos\theta$$

Then:

$$(\star\star) = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} f(\cos\phi\sin\theta, \sin\phi\sin\theta, \cos\theta) \sin\theta\cos\theta\, d\theta\, d\phi$$
$$= \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} + \int_{\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\pi} d\theta \right) d\phi$$
$$(r = \sin\theta) \qquad = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^1 f(r\cos\phi, r\sin\phi, \sqrt{1-r^2}) r\, dr\, d\phi$$
$$- f(r\cos\phi, r\sin\phi, -\sqrt{1-r^2}) r\, dr\, d\phi \qquad \blacksquare$$

Exercise 2.26 (E 1.2) Let $u \in C(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\int_{B(x,r)} u\, dy = 0$ for every open ball $B(x,r) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$. Show that $u(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

My Solution. Assume there is a $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ s.t. w.l.o.g. $u(x_0) > 0$. Since u is continous there is a ball $B(x_0, r)$ s.t. $u(y) > \frac{u(x_0)}{2}$ for all $y \in B(x_0, r)$. But then we get

$$\int_{B(x_0,r)} u(y)\, dy \geqslant \int_{B(x_0,r)} \frac{u(x_0)}{2}\, dy = \frac{u(x_0)}{2} |B(x_0, r)| > 0. \qquad \blacksquare$$

Exercise 2.27 (E 1.3) Let $f \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $d \geqslant 2$ and $u(x) := (\Phi \star f)(x)$. Prove that $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $-\Delta u(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ (2.9 was the same for $f \in C_1(\mathbb{R})$)

Theorem 2.28 (Liouville's Theorem) If $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is harmonic and bounded, then $u = const.$

Proof. By the bound of the derivative 2.23 we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_{x_i} u(x_0)| &\leqslant \frac{c_d}{r^{d+1}} \int_{B(x_0,r)} |u| \, dy \quad \forall x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d \ \forall r > 0 \\ &\leqslant \|u\|_{L^\infty} \frac{c_d}{r^{d+1}} |B(x_0,r)| \\ &\leqslant \|u\|_{L^\infty} \frac{c_d}{r} \xrightarrow{r\to\infty} 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\partial_{x_i} u = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots d$ and $u = const.$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ ■

Theorem 2.29 (Uniqueness of solutions to Poisson Equation in $\mathbb{R}^d$) If $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a bounded function and satisfies $-\Delta u = f$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ where $f \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then we have

$$u(x) = \Phi \star f(x) + C = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(x-y) f(y) \, dy + C \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

where C is a constant and Φ is the fundamental solution of the Laplace equation in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Proof. If we can prove that v is bounded, then $v = const.$. We first need to show that $\Phi \star f$ is bounded.

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi = \Phi_1 + \Phi_2 = \Phi \mathbb{1}(|x| \leqslant 1) + \Phi(|x| \geqslant 1) \\ \Phi \star f = \Phi_1 \star f + \Phi_2 \star f \end{aligned}$$

We have $\Phi_1 \star f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\Phi_2 \star f$ is bounded since $\Phi \to 0$ as $|x| \to \infty$ in $d \geqslant 3$. ■

Exercise 2.30 (Hanack's inequality) Let $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be harmonic and non-negative. Prove that for all open, bounded and connected $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, we have

$$\sup_{x \in \Omega} u(x) \leqslant C_\Omega \inf_{x \in \Omega} u(x),$$

where C_∞ is a finite constant depending only on Ω.

Proof. (Exercise) Hint: $\Omega = B(x,r)$. General case cover Ω by finitely many balls, one ball is inside Ω. ■

Chapter 3

Convolution, Fourier Transform and Distributions

3.1 Convolutions

Definition 3.1 (Convolution) Let $f, g : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$.

$$(f \star g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x-y)g(y)\,dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y)g(x-y)\,dy = (g \star f)(x)$$

Remark 3.2 (Properties of the Convolution)

- $(f \star g)(x) = f \star (g \star h)$
- $\hat{f \star g} = \hat{f} \star \hat{g}$

Theorem 3.3 (Young Inequality) If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, where $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$, then $f \star g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\|f \star g\|_{L^p} \leqslant \|f\|_{L^1}\|g\|_{L^p}$. More generally, if $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d), g \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then $f \star g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\|f \star g\|_{L^1} \leqslant \|f\|_{L^p}\|g\|_{L^q}$, where $1 \leqslant p, q, r, \leqslant \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 + \frac{1}{r}$

Proof. Let $f \in L^1, g \in L^p$. With the Hölder Inequality **??**, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f \star g\|_{L^p}^p &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f \star g(x)|^p\,dx \\
&\leqslant \|f\|_{L^1}^{\frac{p}{q}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x-y)||g(y)|^p\,dy\,dx \\
&= \|f\|_{L^1}^{\frac{p}{q}+1}\|g\|_{L^p}^p
\end{aligned}$$

So we have $\|f \star g\|_{L^p} \leqslant \|f\|_{L^1}\|g\|_{L^p}$ ∎

Theorem 3.4 (Smoothness of the Convolution) If $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$. Then $f \star g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$D^\alpha(f \star g) = (D^\alpha f) \star g$$

for all $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d), \alpha_i \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$

Proof. First we note that $x \mapsto (f \star g)$ is continous as $x_n \to x$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ since

$$(f \star g)(x_n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x_n - y)g(y)\,dy \xrightarrow{\text{dom. conv.}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x-y)g(y)\,dy = (f \star g)(x)$$

We can apply Dominated convergence because

$$f(x_n - y)g(y) \to f(x-y)g(y) \quad \forall y \text{ as } f \text{ is continuous and } x_n \to x$$

and

$$|f(x_n - y)\ g(y)| \leqslant \|f\|_{L^\infty}|g(y)|\ \mathbb{1}(|y| \leqslant R) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Where $R > 0$ satisfies $B(0,R) \supseteq \operatorname{supp} f + \sup_n |x_n|$. Now we can compute the derivatives:

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{x_i}(f \star g)(x) &= \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{(f\star g)(x+he_i) - (f\star g)(x)}{h} \\
&= \lim_{h\to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{f(x+he_i-y) - f(x-y)}{h} g(y)\,dy \\
\text{(Dominated Convergence)} \quad &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{f(x+he_i-y) - f(x-y)}{h} g(y)\,dy \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\partial_{x_i} f)(x-y)g(y)\ dy
\end{aligned}$$

We could apply Dominated Convergence since

$$\frac{f(x+he_i-y) - f(x-y)}{h} g(y) \xrightarrow{h\to 0} (\partial_{x_i} f)(x-y)g(y) \quad \text{as } f \in C^1$$

$$\left|\frac{f(x+he_i-y) - f(x-y)}{h} g(y)\right| \leqslant \|\partial_{x_i} f\|_{L^\infty}|g(y)|\ \mathbb{1}(|y| \leqslant R) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

where $B(0,R) \supseteq \operatorname{supp}(f) + B(0,|x|+1)$ and $\partial_{x_i}(f\star g) = (\partial_{x_i} f)\star g \in C(\mathbb{R}^d)$ since $\partial_{x_i} f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. By induction we get $D^\alpha(f\star g) = (D^\alpha f \star g) \in C(\mathbb{R}^d)$. ■

Remark 3.5 Question: Is there a f s.t. $f \star g = g$ for all g. In fact there is no regular function f that solves this formally:

$$f\star g = g \Rightarrow \widehat{f\star g} = \hat{g} \Rightarrow \hat{f}\hat{g} = \hat{g} \ \Rightarrow \hat{f} = 1 \Rightarrow f \text{ is not a regular function!}$$

However, if f is the Dirac-Delta Distribution, $f = \delta_0$ then $\delta_0 \star g = g$ for all g. Formally:

$$\delta_0(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \neq 0 \\ \infty & x = 0 \\ \int \delta_0 = 1 \end{cases}$$

In fact, if $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\int f = 1$, $f_\epsilon(x) = \epsilon^{-d} f(\epsilon^{-1}x)$, then $f_\epsilon \to \delta_0$ in an appropriate sense and $f_\epsilon \star g \to g$ for all g nice enough.

Theorem 3.6 (Approximation by convolution) Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\int f = 1$, $f_\epsilon(x) = \epsilon^{-d} f(\frac{x}{\epsilon})$. Then for all $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, where $1 \leqslant p < \infty$, then

$$f_\epsilon \star g \to g \quad \text{in } L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

Proof.

Step 1: Let $f, g \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(f_\epsilon \star g)(x) - g(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\epsilon(y) g(x-y)\,dy - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\epsilon(y) g(x)\,dy \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\epsilon(y)(g(x-y) - g(x))\,dy \\
|(f_\epsilon \star g)(x) - g(x)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\epsilon(y)(g(x-y) - g(x))\,dy \right| \\
&\leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f_\epsilon(y)||g(x-y) - g(x)|\ \,dy \\
&\leqslant \int_{|y| \leqslant R_\epsilon} |f_\epsilon(y)||g(x-y) - g(x)|\,dy \\
&\leqslant \underbrace{\int_{|y| \leqslant R_\epsilon} |f_\epsilon(y)|\ \,dy}_{\leqslant \|f_\epsilon\|_{L^1} = \|f\|_{L^1}} \left[\sup_{|z| \leqslant R} |g(x-z) - g(x)| \right] \xrightarrow{\epsilon \to 0} 0
\end{aligned}$$

We have Dominated Convergence since:

$$(f_\epsilon \star g)(x) - g(x) \to 0 \quad \text{as } \epsilon \to 0$$

and

$$|f_\epsilon \star g(x) - g(x)| \leqslant \|f\|_{L^1} \sup_{|z| \leqslant R_\epsilon} |g(x-z) - g(x)| \leqslant 2\|f\|_1 \|g\|_{L^\infty} \mathbb{1}(|x| \leqslant R_1).$$

Where $B(0, R_1) \supseteq \operatorname{supp}(g) + B(0, R_\epsilon)$, thus $f_\epsilon \star g \to g$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$. To remove the technical assumptions $f, g \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then we use a density argument. We use the fact that $C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is dense in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $1 \leqslant p < \infty$.

Step 2: Let $g \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d), g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then there is $\{g_m\} \subseteq L^p(\mathbb{R}^d), g_m \to g$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f_\epsilon \star g - g\|_{L^p} &\leqslant \|f_\epsilon \star (g - g_m)\|_{L^p} + \|f_\epsilon \star g_m - g_m\|_{L^p} + \|g_m - g\|_{L^p} \\
\text{(Young)} \quad &\leqslant \|f_\epsilon\|_{L^1} \|g - g_m\|_{L^p} + \|f_\epsilon \star g_m - g_m\|_{L^p} + \|g_m - g\|_{L^p} \\
&\leqslant \|f\|_{L^1} \|g - g_m\|_{L^p} + \|f_\epsilon \star g_m - g_m\|_{L^p} + \|g_m - g\|_{L^p} \\
&\leqslant (\|f\|_{L^1} + 1)\|g - g_m\|_{L^p} + \|f \star g_m - g_m\|_{L^p}
\end{aligned}$$

So we get:

$$\limsup_{\epsilon \to 0} \|f_\epsilon \star g - g\|_{L^p} \leqslant (\|f\|_{L^p} + 1)\|g - g_m\|_{L^p} + \underbrace{\limsup_{\epsilon \to 0} \|f_\epsilon \star g_m - g_m\|_{L^p}}_{0 \text{by step 1.}}$$

$$\xrightarrow{m \to \infty} 0$$

Step 3: Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Take $\{f_m\} \subseteq C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$, s.t.

$$\begin{cases} F_m \to g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \text{ as } m \to \infty \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} F_m = 1 (\text{it is possible since } \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}) f = 1) \end{cases}$$

Define $F_{m,\epsilon}(x) = \epsilon^{-d} F_m(\epsilon^{-1} x)$ (recall $f_\epsilon(x) = \epsilon^{-d} f(\epsilon^{-1} x)$). Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
f_\epsilon \star g - g &= (f_\epsilon - F_{m,\epsilon}) \star g + F_{m,\epsilon} \star g - g \\
\Rightarrow \|f_\epsilon - g\|_{L^p} &\leqslant \underbrace{\|f_\epsilon - F_{m,\epsilon} \star g\|_{L^p}}_{\overset{\text{Young}}{\leqslant} \|f_\epsilon - F_{m,\epsilon}\|_{L^1} \|g\|_{L^p} = \|f - F_m\|_{L^1} \|g\|_{L^p}} + \|F_{m,\epsilon} \star g - g\|_{L^p}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \limsup_{\epsilon \to 0} \|f_\epsilon \star g - g\|_{L^p} \leqslant \|f - F_m\|_{L^1} \|g\|_{L^p} = \|f - F_m\|_{L^1} \|g\|_{L^p} \qquad \blacksquare$$

Lemma 3.7 $C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is dense in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $1 \leqslant p < \infty$

Proof. For all $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ there are g_m step functions and $g_m \to m$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, We can assume that Ω is open and bounded and we want to approximate χ_Ω by $C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$. ■

Lemma 3.8 (Urnson) Define

$$\Omega_\epsilon = \{x \in \Omega \mid \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) > \epsilon\}$$

Then there is a $\eta_\epsilon \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ s.t.

$$\begin{cases} 0 \leqslant \eta(x) \leqslant 1 & \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d \\ \eta_\epsilon(x) = 1 & \text{if } x \in \Omega_\epsilon \\ \eta_\epsilon(x) = 0 & \text{if } x \notin \Omega \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3.9 (Gernal Version of Urnson) If $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, A closed, B closed, $A \cap B = \varnothing$. Then

$$\eta(x) = \frac{\operatorname{dist}(x, A)}{\operatorname{dist}(x, A) + \operatorname{dist}(x, B)}$$

Then $\eta \in C(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $0 \leqslant \eta \leqslant 1$ and $\eta = 0$if $x \in B$, $\eta = 1$ if $x \in A$. App to $A = \overline{\Omega_\epsilon} \subset\subset \Omega$ and $B = \mathbb{R}^d \backslash \Omega$.

Theorem 3.10 (Appendix C4 in Evans) Let Ω be open in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and for $\epsilon > 0$ define

$$\Omega_\epsilon = \{x \in \Omega \mid \operatorname{dist}(x, \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Omega) > \epsilon\}$$

Let $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d), \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f = 1, \operatorname{supp} f \subseteq B(0,1), f_\epsilon(x) = \epsilon^{-d} f(\epsilon^{-1}x) \operatorname{supp}$ is $B(0,\epsilon)$. Then for all $g \in L^p_{loc}(\Omega)$ (i.e. $\mathbb{1}_K g \in L^p(\Omega) \forall K$ compakt set in Ω), then:

a) $g_\epsilon(x) = (f_\epsilon \star g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\epsilon(x-y) g(y)\,dy - \int_\Omega f_\epsilon(x-y) g(y)\,dy$ is well-defined in Ω_ϵ and $g_\epsilon \in C^\infty(\Omega_\epsilon)$.

b) $g_\epsilon \to g$ in $L^p_{loc}(\Omega)$ if $1 \leqslant p < \infty$ and $g_\epsilon(x) \to g(x)$ almost everywhere $x \in \Omega$.

c) If $g \in C(\Omega)$, then $g_\epsilon(x) \to g(x)$ uniformly in any compact subset of Ω.

Proof. a) $D^\alpha(g_\epsilon) = (D^\alpha f_\epsilon) \star g \in C(\Omega_\epsilon)$

b) Already proved in $\mathbb{R}^d$ space.

■

Corrolary 3.11 (Lebesgue differentation theorem) If $f \in L^P_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then

$$⨍_{B(x,\epsilon)} |f(y) - f(x)|^p\,dy \to 0 \quad \text{as } \epsilon \to 0$$

Exercise 3.12 (E 2.1) Let $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be convex. I.e.

$$tu(x) + u(y)(1-t) \geqslant u(tx + (1-t)y) \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d \forall t \in [0,1]$$

a) Prove for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ that $H(x) = ...$

Solution.

a In 1D: If u is convex $\Leftrightarrow u''(x) \geqslant 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. In general: Taylor expansion for all $x, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$:

$$u(x) = u(z) + \nabla u(z)(x-y) + \int_0^1 \sum_{|\alpha|=2} D^\alpha u(z+s(x-z)) \frac{(x-z)^\alpha}{\alpha!}\, ds$$

$x = z + s(x-z), s = 1$ Use $z = tx + (t-1)y \Rightarrow x - z = (1-t)(x-y)$

$$tu(x) = tu(z) + t\nabla u(z)(1-t)(x-y) + t\int_0^1 \sum_{|\alpha|=2} D^\alpha u(z+s(x-z)) \frac{[(1-t)(x-y)]^\alpha}{\alpha!}\, ds$$

$$(1-t)u(y) = (1-t)u(z) + (1-t)\nabla u(z)t(y-x) + (1-t)\int_0^r \sum_{|\alpha|=2} D^\alpha u(z+s(y-z)) \frac{[t(y-x)]^\alpha}{\alpha!}\, ds$$

$$\Rightarrow tu(x) + (1-t)u(y) = u(z) + t\int_0^1 ... + (1-t)\int_0^1 ...$$

$$\Rightarrow t\int_0^1 ... + (1-t)\int_0^1 ... \geqslant 0 \forall x, y, t, z = tx + (1-t)y$$

$$t(1-t)^2 \int_0^1 \sum_{|\alpha| \ =2} D^\alpha u(z+s(x-z)) \frac{(x-y)}{\alpha!}\, ds + (1-t)t^2 \int_0^1 \sum_{|\alpha|=2} D^\alpha u(z+s(y-z)) \frac{(y-z)^\alpha}{\alpha!}\, ds \geqslant 0$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \in [0,1], z = tx + (1-t)y$. Divides for $t(1-t)$

$$(1-t)\int_0^1 \cdots + + \int_0^1 \cdots \geqslant 0$$

Take $t \to 0$

$$\int_0^1 \sum_{|\alpha|=2} D^\alpha u(y+s(x-y)) \frac{(x-y)^\alpha}{\alpha!}\, ds \geqslant 0 \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

Take $y = x + a, a \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\int_0^1 \sum_{|\alpha|=2} D^\alpha u(x+a+sa) \frac{a^\alpha}{\alpha!}\, ds \geqslant 0 \forall \epsilon > 0, \forall x, a \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

Take $\epsilon \to 0$

$$\int_0^1 \sum_{|\alpha|=2} D^\alpha u(x) \frac{a^\alpha}{\alpha!} \geqslant 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{i,j=1, i\neq j} \partial_{x_i}\partial_{x_j} u(x) a_i a_j + \sum_{i=j=1}^{d} \partial_{x_i}^2 u(x) \frac{a_i^2}{2}$$

We get

$$\frac{1}{2} a^T H a \geqslant 0 \forall a (a_i)_{i=1}^d \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

b $H(x) \geqslant 0 \Rightarrow (\partial_i \partial_j u) \geqslant 0 \Rightarrow TrH(x) \geqslant 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_{x_i}^2 u(x) \geqslant 0 \Rightarrow \Delta u(x) \geqslant 0 \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

■

Exercise 3.13 (E 2.2)

Solution. Regard $d = 3$. The function $\frac{1}{|x|}$ is harmonic in $\mathbb{R}^3 \backslash \{0\}$. We prove

$$\fint_{\partial B(x,r)} \frac{dS(y)}{|y|} = \frac{1}{\max(|x|, r)}$$

If $|x| > r$, then $0 \notin B(x, r+\epsilon)$. Then

$$y \mapsto \frac{1}{|y|}$$

is harmonic in $B(x, r+\epsilon)$. Then by the Mean Value Property:

$$\fint_{\partial B(x,r)} \frac{dS(y)}{|y|} = \frac{1}{|x|}$$

If $|x| < r$: Then $\frac{1}{|y|}$ is not harmonic in $B(x,r)$ since $0 \in B(x,r)$. Note

$$\fint_{\partial B(x,r)} \frac{dS(y)}{|y|} = \fint_{\partial B(0,r)} \frac{dS(y)}{|x-y|}$$

This function depends on x only via $|x|$.

$$... = \fint_{\partial B(0,r)} \frac{dS(y)}{|Rx - Ry|}$$

for all R rotation $SO(3)$, $dS(R_y) = dS(y)$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \fint_{\partial B(0,r)} \frac{dS(y)}{|Rx - y|} \\
&= \fint_{\partial B(0,r)} \frac{dS(y)}{|z - y|} \\
\text{(Radial in z)} \quad &= \fint_{\partial B(0,|x|)} \left(\fint_{\partial B(0,r)} \frac{dS(y)}{|z-y|} \right) dS(z) \\
\text{(Fubini)} \quad &= \fint_{\partial B(0,r)} \left(\fint_{\partial B(0,|x|)} \frac{dS(z)}{|z-y|} \right) dS(y) \\
\text{(case 1 since } |y| = r > |x|) \quad &= \fint_{\partial B(0,r)} \frac{1}{|y|} \, dS(y) = \frac{1}{r}
\end{aligned}$$

If $|x| = r$: Continuity: $x \mapsto \fint_{\partial B(0,r)} \frac{dS(y)}{|x-y|}$ ■

Remark 3.14 For $f \in C^{|\alpha|}, g \in C^{|\beta|}$:

$$D^{\alpha+\beta}(f \star g) = (D^\alpha f) \star (D^\beta g)$$

Lemma 3.15 If $d \geqslant 3$ and $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ radial. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{d-2}} \star f \right)(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|^{d-2}} \, dy \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{f(y)}{\max(|x|^{d-2}, |y|^{d-2})} \, dy
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. (d=3) Polar coordinates:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|}\, dy &= \int_0^\infty \left[\int_{\partial B(0,1)} \frac{1}{|x-r\omega|}\, d\omega \right] f(r)\, dr \\
(a) \qquad &= \int_0^\infty \left[\int_{\partial B(0,1)} \frac{d\omega}{\max(|x|,r)} \right] f(r)\, dr \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{f(y)}{\max(|x|,|y|)}\, dy
\end{aligned}$$

(b) (d=3) If f radial and non-negative

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{f(y))}{|x-y|} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{f(y)}{|x|}\, dy = \frac{(Sf?)}{|x|}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{f_1(x-z_1) f_2(y-z_2)}{|x-y|}\, dx\, dy &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{f_1(x) f_2(y)}{|x+z_1-y-z_2|}\, dx\, dy \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_1(x)\, dx \right) f_2(y)\, dy \leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_1)}{|y+z_2-z_1|} f_2(y)\, dy \\
&\leqslant \frac{(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_1)(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_2)}{|z_1-z_2|}
\end{aligned}$$

■

Exercise 3.16 (Bonus 2) a) Prove that $u(x) = \frac{1}{|x|}$ is sub-harmonic in $\mathbb{R}^2 \backslash \{0\}$.

b) Prove that if $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ radial, non-negative, measurable:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|}\, dy \geqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{f(y)}{\max(|x|,|y|)}\, dy$$

3.2 Fourier Transformation

Definition 3.17 (Fourier Transform) For $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ define

$$\mathcal{F}f(k) = \hat{f}(k) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) e^{-2\pi i k\cdot x}\, dx, \quad k \cdot x = \sum_{i=1}^d k_i x_i$$

Theorem 3.18 (Basic Properties) 1. If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then $\hat{f} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\|\hat{f}\|_{L^\infty} \leqslant \|f\|_{L^1}$

2. For all $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\|\hat{f}\|_{L^2} = \|f\|_{L^2}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{F}$ can be extended to be a unitary transforamtion $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ s.t.

$$\|\mathcal{F}g\|_{L^2} = \|f\|_{L^2} \quad \forall f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

3. The inverse of F can be defined as

$$(F^{-1}f)(x) = \check{f}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) e^{2\pi i k x}\, dk$$

for all $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$

4. $\widehat{D^\alpha f}(k) = (2\pi i k)^\alpha \hat{f}(k)$ as $(2\pi i k)^\alpha f(k) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ $(k^\alpha = k_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots k_\alpha^{\alpha_k})$

5. $\widehat{f \star g}(k) = \hat{f}(k)\hat{g}(k)$ if f, g are nice enough.

Theorem 3.19 (Hausdorff-Young-Inequality) If $1 \leqslant p \leqslant 2$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$ and $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then

$$\|\hat{f}\|_{L^{p'}} \leqslant \|f\|_{L^p}$$

and

$$\|\hat{f}\|_{L^p} \leqslant \|f\|_{L^p} \quad \forall f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

Remark 3.20 We want to apply the Fourier transform to find the solution of a PDE, e.g. the Poisson-Equation:

$$-\Delta u = f \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^d \Rightarrow |2\pi k|^2 \hat{u}(k) = \hat{f}(k) \Rightarrow \hat{u}(k) = \frac{1}{|2\pi k|^2} \hat{f}(k)$$

If we can find G s.t. $\hat{G}(k) = \frac{1}{|2\pi k|^2}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{u}(k) &= \hat{G}(k)\hat{f}(k) = \widehat{G \star f} \\ \Rightarrow u(x) &= (G \star f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G(x-y) f(y)\, dy \end{aligned}$$

In fact G is the fundamential solution of laplace quation.

Theorem 3.21 (Fourier Transform of $\frac{1}{|x|^\alpha}$ for $0 < \alpha < d$) We have formally

$$\widehat{\frac{c_\alpha}{|x|^\alpha}} = \frac{c_{d-\alpha}}{|k|^{d-\alpha}} \quad \forall\ 0 < \alpha < d$$

Here

$$c_\alpha = \pi^{-\frac{d}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) = \pi^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1}\, d\lambda$$

More precisely, for all $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\frac{c_\alpha}{|x|^\alpha} \star f = \left(\frac{c_{d-\alpha}}{|k|^{d-\alpha}} \hat{f}(k) \right)^\vee$$

Moreover if $\alpha > \frac{d}{2}$, then we also have

$$\left(\frac{c_\alpha}{|x|^\alpha} \star f \right)^\wedge = \frac{c_{d-\alpha}}{|f|^{d-\alpha}} \hat{f}(k)$$

Lemma 3.22 (Fourier Transform of Gaussians) In $\mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\widehat{e^{-\pi|x|^2}} = e^{-\pi|k|^2}$$

More generally for all $\lambda > 0$:

$$\widehat{e^{-\pi\lambda^2|x|^2}} = \lambda^{-d} e^{-\pi\frac{|k|^2}{\lambda^2}}$$

(exercise)

Proof of Theorem. Formally:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{c_\alpha}{|x|^\alpha} &= \frac{1}{|x|^\alpha}\pi^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda}\lambda^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1}\,d\,\lambda = \int_0^\infty e^{-\pi\lambda|x|^2}\lambda^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1}\,d\lambda\\
\Rightarrow \frac{\hat{c}_\alpha}{|x|^\alpha}(k) &= \int_0^\infty \widehat{e^{-\pi\lambda|x|^2}}(k)\lambda^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1}\,d\lambda = \int_0^\infty \lambda^{-\frac{d}{2}}e^{-\pi\frac{|k|^2}{\lambda}}\lambda^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1}\,d\lambda\\
(\lambda\to\frac{1}{\lambda}) \quad &= \int_0^\infty \lambda^{\frac{d}{2}}e^{-\pi|k|^2\lambda}\lambda^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}+1}\lambda^{-2}\,d\lambda\\
&= \frac{c_{d-\alpha}}{|k|^{d-\alpha}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $f\in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then $\left(\frac{1}{|x|^\alpha}\star f\right)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\frac{1}{|x-y|^\alpha}f(y)\,dy$ is well defined as $\frac{1}{|x-y|}\in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d,dy)$. It is bounded

$$
\frac{1}{|x|^\alpha}\star f = \underbrace{\frac{1}{|x|^\alpha}\mathbb{1}(|x|\leqslant 1)}_{\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}\star\underbrace{f}_{L^\infty} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{|x|}\mathbb{1}(|x|>1)}_{\in L^\infty}\star\underbrace{f}_{\in L^1}\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)
$$

When $|x|\ \to\infty$:

$$
\left(\frac{1}{|x|^\alpha}\star f\right)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\frac{f(y)}{|x-y|^\alpha}\,dy = \int_{|y|\leqslant R}\frac{f(y)}{|x-y|^\alpha}\,dy \sim \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}f(y)\,dy}{|x|^\alpha}
$$

Note that $\frac{c_{d-\alpha}}{|k|^{d-\alpha}}\underbrace{\hat{f}(k)}_{\text{bounded}}\in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\ldots)\mathbb{1}(|k|\leqslant 1)+(\ldots)\mathbb{1}(|k|>1)\frac{1}{|k|^{d-\alpha}}|\hat{f}(k)|\ \mathbb{1}(|k|\leqslant 1) &\leqslant \|f\|_{L^1}\frac{\mathbb{1}(|k|\leqslant 1)}{|k|^{d-\alpha}}\in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d,dk)\\
\frac{1}{|k|^{d-\alpha}}|\hat{f}(k)|\mathbb{1}(k>1) &\leqslant |\hat{f}(k)|\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d,dK)\text{ as }f\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3.23 If $f\in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then $\hat{f}\in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$

Proof. (Exercise) Hint: $|\widehat{D^\alpha f}| = |2\pi k|^{|\alpha|}|\hat{f}(k)| \rightsquigarrow |\hat{f}(k)|\leqslant\frac{1}{|k|^{|k|}}$ as $|k|\to\infty$. ■

Compute:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{c_{d-\alpha}}{|k|^{d-\alpha}}\hat{f}(k)\right)^\vee(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\frac{c_{d-\alpha}}{|k|^{d-\alpha}}\hat{f}(k)e^{2\pi ikx}\,dk\\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\left(\int_0^\infty e^{-\pi|k|^2\lambda}\lambda^{\frac{d-\alpha}{2}-1}\,d\lambda\right)\hat{f}(k)e^{2\pi ikx}\,dk\\
&= \int_0^\infty\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}e^{-\pi|k|^2\lambda}\hat{f}(k)e^{2\pi ikx}\,dk\right)\lambda^{\frac{d-\alpha}{2}-1}\,d\lambda\\
&= \int_0^\infty\left(e^{-\pi k^2\lambda}\hat{f}(x)\right)^\vee\lambda^{\frac{d-\alpha}{2}-1}\,d\lambda\\
&= \int_0^\infty\left(\widehat{\lambda^{-\frac{d}{2}}e^{-\pi\frac{x^2}{\lambda}}}(k)\hat{f}(k)\right)^\vee\lambda^{\frac{d-\alpha}{2}-1}\,d\lambda\\
&= \int_0^\infty\left(\lambda^{-\frac{d}{2}}e^{-\pi\frac{x^2}{\lambda}}\star f\right)\lambda^{\frac{d-\alpha}{2}-1}\,d\lambda\\
&= \left(\int_0^\infty\lambda^{-\frac{d}{2}}e^{-\pi\frac{x^2}{\lambda}}\lambda^{\frac{d-\alpha}{2}-1}\,d\lambda\right)\star f
\end{aligned}
$$

Assume $d > \alpha > \frac{d}{2}$. Then $\frac{c_\alpha}{|x|^\alpha} \star f \in L^\infty$ and behaves $\frac{c_\alpha(\int f)}{|x|^\alpha}$ as $|x| \to \infty$. This implies:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \frac{c_\alpha}{|x|^\alpha} \star f \right|^2 \leqslant c + \int_{|x| \geqslant R} \frac{c}{|x|^{2d}} \, dx < \infty$$

Thus the Fourier Transform $\widehat{\frac{c_\alpha}{|x|^\alpha} \star f}$ exists. Combining with

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{c_\alpha}{|x|^\alpha} \star f &= \left(\frac{c_{d-\alpha}}{|f|^{d-\alpha}} \hat{f}(k) \right)^\vee \\ \Rightarrow \widehat{\frac{c_\alpha}{|x|^\alpha} \star f} &= \frac{c_{d-\alpha}}{|k|^{d-\alpha}} \hat{f}(k) \end{aligned}$$

■

Remark 3.24 If $d \geqslant 3$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{G}(k) &= \frac{1}{|2\pi k|^2} \\ \Rightarrow G(x) &= \left(\frac{1}{|2\pi k|^2} \right)^\vee = \frac{1}{d(d-2(k)|x|^{d-2})} = \Phi(x) \end{aligned}$$

3.3 Theory of Distribution

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open.

- $D(\Omega) = C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ the space of test functions.
- $\phi_n \to \phi$ in $D(\Omega)$ if $\exists K \subseteq \Omega$, $\text{supp}(\phi_n), \text{supp}(\phi) \subseteq K$ and $\|D^\alpha(\phi_n - \phi)\|_{L^\infty} \to 0$ for all $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d)$, $d_i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.

  $$D'(\Omega) = \{T : D(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R} \text{ or } \mathbb{C} \text{ linear and continuous}\}$$

  the space of distributions.

Motivation: $L^2(\Omega)' = L^2(\Omega)$, $(L^p(\Omega))' = (L^q(\Omega))$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

Example 3.25 ("normal functions" are distributions) If $f \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$, then $T = T_f$ defined by:

$$T(\phi) = \int_\Omega f(x)\phi(x) \, dx$$

is a distribution for all $\phi \in D(\Omega)$, i.e. $T \in D'(\Omega)$. Indeed, it is clear that $T(\phi)$ is well-defined for all $\phi \in D(\Omega)$ and $\phi \mapsto T(\phi)$ is linear. Let us check that $\phi \mapsto T(\phi)$ is continuous. Take $\phi_n \to \phi$ in $D(\Omega)$ and prove that $T(\phi_n) \to T(\phi)$. Since $\phi_n \to \phi$ in $D(\Omega)$, there is a compact K s.t. $\text{supp}(\phi_n), \text{supp}(\phi) \subseteq K \subseteq \Omega$.

Question: Why is $f \mapsto T_f$ injective?

Lemma 3.26 (Fundamental lemma of calculus of variants) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open. If $f, g \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ and $\int_\Omega f\phi \, dy = \int_\Omega g\phi \, dy$ for all $\phi \in D(\Omega)$, then $f = g$ in $L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$

Example 3.27 (Dirac delta function) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ open. Define $T : D(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ by $T(\phi) = \phi(x_0)$. Let $x_0 \in \Omega$. Then $T \in D'(\Omega)$ and we denote it by δ_{x_0}. It is clear that $\phi \mapsto T(\phi) = \phi(x_0)$ is well-defined and linear for all $\phi \in D(\Omega)$. Take $\phi_n \to \phi$ in $D(\Omega)$ and prove $T(\phi_n) \to T(\phi)$, i.e. $\phi_n(x_0) \to \phi(x_0)$ (obvious.)

Example 3.28 (Principle Value) The function $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ is not in $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$, but we can still define

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\phi(x)\,dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\phi(x)}{x}\,dx$$

for all $\phi \in D(\mathbb{R})$ s.t. $\phi(0) = 0$. In fact,

$$\phi(x) = |\phi(x) - \phi(0)| \;\leqslant (\sup|\phi'|)(x),$$

so $\frac{|\phi(x)|}{|x|} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and compactly supported. So $\frac{\phi(x)}{x} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Define $T : D(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ by

$$T(\phi) = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{|x|\geqslant\epsilon} \frac{\phi(x)}{x}\,dx \quad \forall\phi \in D(\mathbb{R}) \text{ s.t. } \phi(0) = 0$$

We denote $T = p.v.\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$. We check that $T \in D'(\mathbb{R})$: For all $\epsilon > 0$ we have

$$\left|\frac{\phi(x)}{x}\right| \leqslant \frac{\|\phi\|_{L^\infty}}{\epsilon}$$

for all $|x| \geqslant \epsilon$ and ϕ is compactly supported. So we get for all $\epsilon > 0$:

$$\mathbb{1}(|x| \geqslant \epsilon)\frac{\phi(x)}{x} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \rightsquigarrow \int_{|x|\geqslant\epsilon} \frac{\phi(x)}{x}\,dx < \infty$$

We can write:

$$\int_{|x|\geqslant\epsilon} \frac{\phi(x)}{x}\,dx = \int_{|x|\geqslant 1} \frac{\phi(x)}{x}\,dx + \int_{\epsilon\leqslant|x|\leqslant 1} \frac{\phi(x)}{x}\,dx$$

The second part can be written as:

$$\int_{\epsilon\leqslant|x|\leqslant 1} \frac{\phi(x)}{x}\,dx = \int_{\epsilon}^{1} \frac{\phi(x)}{x}\,dx + \int_{-1}^{-\epsilon} \frac{\phi(x)}{x}\,dx = \int_{\epsilon}^{1} \frac{\phi(x) - \phi(-x)}{x}\,dx$$

Since $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ it holds that $|\phi(x) - \phi(-x)| \leqslant 2\|\phi'\|_{L^\infty}(x)$.

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\phi(x) - \phi(-x)}{x} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow \frac{\phi(x) - \phi(-x)}{x} \in L^1(0,1)$$
$$\Rightarrow \int_0^1 \frac{\phi(x) - \phi(-x)}{x}\,dx = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{\epsilon}^{1} \frac{\phi(x) - \phi(-x)}{x}\,dx$$

Remark 3.29 The function $\frac{1}{|x|^d}$ is not in $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ but $\exists T \in D'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ s.t. $T(\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\phi(x)}{|x|^d}\,dx$ for all $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ s.t. $\phi(0) = 0$

Let in the following $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$.

Definition 3.30 (Derivatives of distributions) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ and $T \in D'(\Omega)$. Define for $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$:

$$\begin{aligned} D^\alpha T :\; D(\Omega) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{K} \\ \phi &\longmapsto (-1)^{|\alpha|}T(D^\alpha\phi) \end{aligned}$$

Motivation: $f \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$

$$\int_\Omega (D^\alpha f)\phi = (-1)^{|\alpha|}\int_\Omega f(D^\alpha\phi)$$

„If the classical derivative exists, then it is the same as the distributional derivative.“ We write

$$(D^\alpha T)(\phi) = T_{D^\alpha f}(\phi) = (-1)^{|\alpha|} T_f(D^\alpha \phi).$$

Remark 3.31 For all $T \in D'(\Omega)$ it holds $D^\alpha T \in D'(\Omega)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$. Clearly

$$\phi \longmapsto (D^\alpha T)(\phi) = (-1)^{|\alpha|} T(D^\alpha \phi)$$

is linear. Moreover, if $\phi_n \to \phi$ in $D(\Omega)$, then $D^\alpha \phi_n \to D^\alpha \phi$ in $D(\Omega)$, so

$$(D^\alpha T)(\phi_n) = (-1)^{|\alpha|} T(D^\alpha \phi_n) \xrightarrow{n\to\infty} (-1)^{|\alpha|} T(D^\alpha \phi) = (D^\alpha T)(\phi)$$

Example 3.32 Consider $f : x \mapsto |x|$, then $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$ but $f \notin C^1(\mathbb{R})$. However,

$$f'(x) = g(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \geqslant 0 \\ -1 & x < 0 \end{cases} \in L^1_{loc}$$

Lets check $f' = g$, i.e. $-f(\phi') = f'(\phi) = g(\phi)$ for all $\phi \in D(\mathbb{R})$. Thus we need to prove:

$$-\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\phi'(x)\,dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x)\phi(x)\,dx \quad \forall \phi \in D(\mathbb{R})$$

namely:

$$\underbrace{-\int_{\mathbb{R}} |x|\phi'(x)\,dx}_{:=(\star)} = \int_0^\infty \phi(x)\,dx - \int_{-\infty}^0 \phi(x)\,dx$$

Now we have

$$(\star) = -\int_0^\infty x\phi'(x)\,dx + \int_{-\infty}^0 x\phi'(x)\,dx.$$

By integration by parts:

$$\int_0^\infty x\phi'(x)\,dx = \underbrace{[x\phi(x)]_0^\infty}_{=0} - \int_0^\infty \phi(x)\,dx = -\int_0^\infty \phi(x)\,dx$$

and similary:

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 x\phi'(x)\,dx = -\int_{-\infty}^0 \phi(x)\,dx$$

Thus $f' = g$ in $D'(\Omega)$. We claim that $g' = 2\delta_0$ in $D'(\mathbb{R})$. In fact, for all $\phi \in D(\mathbb{R})$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} g'(\phi) &= -g(\phi') = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} g\phi'\,dx = -\int_{-\infty}^0 (-1)\phi'\,dx - \int_0^\infty (1)\phi'\,dx \\ &= -\int_0^\infty \phi'\,dx + \int_{-\infty}^0 \phi'\,dx = [\phi(0) - \underbrace{\phi(\infty)}_{=0}] + [\phi(0) - \underbrace{\phi(-\infty)}_{=0}] = 2\phi(0) = 2\delta_0(\phi) \end{aligned}$$

So $g' = 2\delta_0$ in $D'(\mathbb{R})$.

Exercise 3.33 Prove that $(D^\alpha \delta_x)(\phi) = (-1)^{|\alpha|}(D^\alpha \phi)(x)$ for all $\phi \in D(\mathbb{R})$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 3.34 (Convergence of distributions) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open, then

$$T_n \xrightarrow{n\to\infty} T$$

in $D'(\Omega)$ if $T_n(\phi) \xrightarrow{n\to\infty} T(\phi)$ for all $\phi \in D(\Omega)$.

Exercise 3.35 Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\int f = 1$ For $\epsilon > 0$, define $f_\epsilon(x) = \epsilon^{-d} f(\epsilon^{-1}x)$. Then: $f_\epsilon \to \delta_0$ in $D'(\Omega)$.

Exercise 3.36 Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open and $T_n \to T$ in $D'(\Omega)$. Then: $D^\alpha T_n \to D^\alpha T$ in $D'(\Omega)$ for all $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d)$

Definition 3.37 (Convolution of distributions) Let $T \in D'(\mathbb{R})$ and $f \in L_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Define

$$(T \star f)(y) = T(f_y)$$

We write $f_y(x) = f(x-y)$ and $\tilde{f}(x) = f(-x)$.

Theorem 3.38 Let $T \in D'(\mathbb{R})$. Then for all $f \in D(\mathbb{R})$:

1. $y \mapsto T(f_y)$ is $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and

$$D_y^\alpha(T(f_y)) = (D^\alpha T)(f_y) = (-1)^{|\alpha|} T(D^\alpha f_y)$$

2. For all $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and compactly supported, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(y) T(f_y)\, dy = T(\underbrace{f \star g}_{\in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})})$$

Proof. 1. We prove that $y \mapsto T(f_y)$ is continuous. Take $y_n \to y$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$, then:

$$T(f_{y_n}) \to T(f_y)$$

since $f_{y_n} \to f_y$ in $D(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We check this: Since $f \subseteq C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, it holds that $\operatorname{supp} f \subseteq B(0,R) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$. $\underline{\text{Since } y_n \to y \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^d}$. We have $\sup_n |y_n| < \infty$. Thus f_{y_n}, f_y are supported in $B(0, R + \sup_n |y_n|) = K$ compact. Moreover

$$|f_{y_n}(x) - f_y(x)| = |f(x-y_n) - f(x-y))| \leqslant \|\nabla f\|_{L^\infty} \|y_n - y\| \to 0$$

So we get $\|f_{y_n} - f_y\|_{L^\infty} \to 0$ Similary:

$$\|D^\alpha f_{y_n} - D^\alpha f_n\|_{L^\infty} \to 0$$

■

Exercise 3.39 (E 3.1 Lebesgue Differentiation Theorem) Let $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Prove that that for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$:

$$⨍_{B(x,r)} |f(x) - f(y)|\, dy \xrightarrow{r\to 0} 0$$

Proof. Clearly the same result holds with $\mathbb{R}^d \rightsquigarrow \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ open. Also it suffices to consider $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. From the last time discussion, by a density argument there exists $r_n \to 0$ s.t.

$$⨍_{B(x,r_n)} |f(y) - f(x)|\, dy = 0$$

for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. We prove that for all $\epsilon > 0$, te set $A_\epsilon = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \limsup_{r\to 0} \fint_{B(x,r)} |f(y) - f(x)|\, dy > \epsilon\}$ has measure 0. This will imply that

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{\frac{1}{n}} = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \limsup_{r\to 0} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y) - f(x)|\, dy > 0 \right\}$$

has measure 0, which is what wie want to show. First, we show that $|A_\epsilon| = 0$: Take $\{f_n\} \subseteq C_c^\infty$, $f_n \to f$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. By the triangle inequality:

$$|f(y) - f(x)| \leqslant |f(y) - f_n(y)| + |f_n(y) - f_n(x)| + |f_n(x) - f(x)|$$

So we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\fint_{B(x,r)} |f(y) - f(x)|\, dy \\ &\leqslant \fint_{B(x,r)} |f(y) - f_n(y)|\, dy + \fint_{B(x,r)} |f_n(y) - f_n(x)| + |f_n(x) - f(x)| \\ \Rightarrow \quad \limsup_{r\to 0} \ldots &\leqslant \limsup_{r\to 0}(\ldots) + 0 + |f_n(x) - f(x)| \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for all $x \in A_\epsilon$, then:

$$\limsup_{r\to 0} \fint_{B(x,r)} |f_n(y) - f(y)|\, dy + |f_n(x) - f(x)| > 2\epsilon$$

Observation: If $a, b \geqslant 0$, $a + b > 2\epsilon$ then either $a > \epsilon$ or $b > \epsilon$. Therefore $A_\epsilon \subseteq \left(S_{n,\epsilon} \bigcup \tilde{S}_{n,\epsilon}\right)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} S_{n,\epsilon} &= \{x \mid |f_n(x) - f(x)| > \epsilon\} \\ \tilde{S}_{n,\epsilon} &= \{x \mid \limsup_{r\to 0} \fint_{B(x,r)} |f_n(y) - f(y)|\, dy > \epsilon\} \end{aligned}$$

Consequently: $|A_\epsilon| \leqslant |S_{n,\epsilon}| + |\tilde{S}_{n,\epsilon}|$ for all $n \geqslant 1$. By the Markov / Chebyshev inequality:

$$|S_{n,\epsilon}| \leqslant \int_{S_{n,\epsilon}} \frac{|f_n(x) - f(x)|}{\epsilon}\, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|f_n(x) - f(x)|}{\epsilon}\, dx = \frac{\|f_n - f\|_{L^1}}{\epsilon}$$

We want to prove a simpler bound for $\tilde{S}_{n\epsilon}$. For all $x \in \tilde{S}_{n\epsilon}$:

$$\limsup_{r\to 0} \fint_{B(x,r)} |f_n(x) - f(y)|\, dy > \epsilon$$

So there is a $r_x \in (0, 1)$ s.t.

$$\fint_{B(x,r_x) = B_x} |f_n(y) - f(y)|\, dy > \epsilon$$

Thus $\tilde{S}_{n\epsilon} \subseteq \left(\bigcup_{x \in \tilde{S}_{n,\epsilon}} B_x\right)$.

Lemma 3.40 (Vitali Covering) If F is a collection of balls in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with bounded radius, then there exists a sub-collection $G \subseteq F$ s.t.

- G has disjoint balls

- $\bigcup_{B\in F} B \subseteq \bigcup_{B\in G} 5B, 5B(x,r) = B(x,5r)$

Remark 3.41 The condition of the boundedness of the radius is necessary. Otherwise, consider $\{B(0,n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$

Here consider $F = \{B_x\}_{x\in\tilde{S}_{n_\epsilon}}$. With the vitali covering leamm there is a $G \subseteq F$ s.t. G contains disjoint balls and:

$$\tilde{S}_{n,\epsilon} \subseteq \bigcup_{B\in F} B \subseteq \bigcup_{B\in G} 5B$$

So we get

$$|\tilde{S}_{n,\epsilon}| \leqslant |\bigcup_{B\in G} 5B| \leqslant \sum_{B\in G} |5B| = \sum_{B\in G} 5^d|B|$$

On the other hand, for all $B \in G \subseteq F$:

$$\fint_B |f_n(y) - f(y)| \ dy > \epsilon \Rightarrow \int_B |f_n - f| > \epsilon|B|$$

This implies:

$$\sup_{B\in G} \int_B |f_n - f| > \epsilon \sum_{B\in G} |B|$$

Since balls in G are disjoint:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \geqslant \int_{\bigcup_{B\in G}} |f_n - f|\, dy > \epsilon \sum_{B\in G} |B| \geqslant \frac{\epsilon}{5^d}|\tilde{S}_{n,\epsilon}|$$

So

$$|\tilde{S}_{n\epsilon}| \leqslant \frac{5^d}{\epsilon}\|f_n - f\|_{L^1}$$

In summary:

$$|A_\epsilon| \leqslant |S_{n,\epsilon}| + |\tilde{S}_{n,\epsilon}| \leqslant \frac{5^d+1}{\epsilon}\|f_n - f\|_{L^1} \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$. So $|A_\epsilon| = 0$ for all $\epsilon > 0$ ∎

Remark 3.42

1. The proof can be done by using the Besicovitch covering lemma: For all $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ s.t. E is bounded. Let F = collection of balls s.t. for all $x \in E$ there is a $B_x \in F$ s.t. x is the center of B_x. There is a sub-collection $G \subseteq F$ s.t.

   - $E \subseteq \bigcup_{B\in G} B$
   - Any point in E belongs to at most C_d balls in C_T (C_d depends only on $\mathbb{R}^d$), i.e.

   $$\mathbb{1}_E(x) \leqslant \sum_{B\in G} \mathbb{1}_B(x) \leqslant C_d \mathbb{1}_E(x) \forall x$$

2. By a simpler argument we can prove the weak L^1-estimate:

   $$\{x \mid f^\star(x) > \epsilon\} \leqslant \frac{c_d}{\epsilon}\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

   (Hardy-Littlewood maximal function)

Exercise 3.43 (E 3.2) Let $1 \leqslant p, q, r \leqslant 2$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 + \frac{1}{r}$. Recall that if $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $g \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then $f \star g \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by Young's Inequality, and its Fourier transform is well-defined by the Hausdorff-Young inequality. Prove that

$$\widehat{f \star g}(k) = \hat{f}(k)\hat{g}(k) \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

Hint: In the lecture we already discussed the case $f, g \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Solution.

Step 1) $f, g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (Fubini)

Step 2) $f \in L^p, g \in L^q$, find $f_n, g_n \in C_c^\infty$ s.t. $f_n \to f$ in L^p, $g_n \to g$ in L^q. $\widehat{f_n \star g_n} = \hat{f}_n \hat{g}_n$ pointwise a.e. we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(Hausdorff-Young)} \quad & \|\widehat{f \star g} - \widehat{f_n \star g_n}\|_{L^{r'}} \\
& \leqslant \|\widehat{f \star g} - \widehat{f_n \star g_n}\|_{L^r} \\
& = \|(f - f_n) \star g_n + f_n \star (g_n - g)\|_{L^r} \\
& \leqslant \|(f - f_n) \star g_n\|_{L^r} + \|f_n \star (g_n - g)\|_{L^r} \\
\text{(Young)} & \leqslant \|f - f_n\|_{L^p}\|g_n\| + \|f_n\|_{L^p}\|g_n - g\|_{L^p} \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} 0
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\hat{f}_n\hat{g}_n - \hat{f}\hat{g}\|_{L^{r'}} & = \|(\hat{f}_n \hat{f})\hat{g}_n + \hat{f}(\hat{g}_n - \hat{g})\|_{L^{r'}} \\
\text{(Hölder)} \quad & \leqslant \|\hat{f}_n - \hat{f}\|_{L^{p'}}\|\hat{g}_n\|_{L^{q'}} + \|\hat{f}\|_{L^{q'}} \\
\text{(Hausdorff-Young (3.19))} \quad & \leqslant \|f_n - f\|_{L^p}\|g_n\|_{L^q} + \|f\|_{L^p}\|g_n - g\|_{L^p} \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} 0
\end{aligned}$$

So $\hat{f}_n\hat{g}_n \to \hat{f}\hat{g}$ in $L^{r'}$ $\widehat{f \star g} = \hat{f}\hat{g}$ in $L^{r'}$ $\frac{1}{r'} = \frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1}{q'}$ ■

Exercise 3.44 (E 3.3) $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Prove $|\hat{f}(k)| \leqslant \frac{C_N}{(1+|k|)^N}$

Solution. Since $f \in C_c^\infty$ we have that $D^\alpha f \in C_c^\infty$. Recall

$$\widehat{D^\alpha f}(k) = (-2\pi i k)^\alpha \hat{f}(k)$$

For example

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{-\Delta f}(k) &= |2\pi i k|^2 \hat{f}(k) \\
\text{(Induction)} \rightsquigarrow \widehat{(-\Delta)^N} f(k) &= |2\pi k|^{2N} \hat{f}(k)
\end{aligned}$$

So we can conclude

$$\hat{f}(k) = \frac{\widehat{(-\Delta)^N f(k)}}{|2\pi k|^{2N}} \forall k \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

1. $f \in C_c^\infty \subseteq L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \Rightarrow \hat{f} \in L^\infty$
2. $(-\Delta)^N f \in C_c^\infty \subseteq L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \Rightarrow \widehat{(-\Delta)^N} f \in L^\infty$

Conclusion: $\hat{f}(k) \leqslant \begin{cases} C & \forall k \\ \frac{C_N}{|k|^{2N}} & \forall k \end{cases}$ So $\hat{f}(k) \leqslant \frac{C_N}{(1+|k|)^N}$ ■

Exercise 3.45 (E 3.4)

Proof. Siehe Goodnotes ■

Exercise 3.46 (Bonus 3) Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

$$|\hat{f}(k)| \leqslant \frac{C_N}{(1+|k|)^N}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{R}^d$, for all $N \geqslant 1$. (C_N is independent of k). Prove that $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$

($f \in C^\infty$) i.e. $\exists \tilde{f} \in C^\infty$ s.t. $f = \tilde{f}$ a.e.

Theorem 3.47 Take $T \in D'(\mathbb{R}), f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) = D(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $f_y(x) = f(x-y)$

a) $y \mapsto T(f_y) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $D_y^\alpha(T(f_y)) = (D^\alpha T)(f_y) = (-1)^{|\alpha|} T(D_x^\alpha f_y)$

b) $\forall g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and compactly supported

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(y) T(f_y)\, dy = T(\underbrace{f \star g}_{\in C_c^\infty})$$

Proof. a) $y \mapsto T(f_y)$ is continuous since $y_n \to y$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$, then $f_{y_n} \to f_y$ implies $T(f_{y_n}) \to T(f_y)$. Let's check that $y \mapsto T(f_y) \in C^1$:

$$\lim_{h\to 0} \frac{T(f_{y-he_i}) - T(f_y)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0} T\left(\frac{f_{y-he_i} - f_y}{h}\right)$$

We have $\frac{f_{y-he_i}-f_y}{h} \xrightarrow{h\to 0} (\partial_i f)_y$ in $D(\mathbb{R}^d)$

- $\exists K$ compact set such that $\operatorname{supp}(f_{y-e_i} - f_y)$, $\operatorname{supp} \partial_i f \subseteq K$ as $|h|$ small.
- $$\frac{f_{y-he_i}(x) - f_y(x)}{h} - (\partial_i f)_y(x)$$
  $$= \frac{f(x-y+he_i) - f(x-y)}{h} - (\partial_i f)(x-y)$$
  $$\left| \int_0^1 \partial_i f(x-y+the_i)\, dt - \partial_i f(x-y) \right| \xrightarrow{h\to 0} 0 \text{ uniformly in } x$$
  Similary:
  $$\left| D_x^\alpha \left(\frac{f(x-y+he_i) - f(x-y)}{h} - (\partial_i f)(x-y) \right) \right|$$
  $$= \left| \frac{D^\alpha f(x-y+he_i) - D^\alpha f(x-y)}{h} - \partial_i (D^\alpha f)(x-y) \right| \xrightarrow{h\to 0} 0$$
  uniformly in x. Conclude:
  $$\lim_{h\to 0} \frac{T(f_{y-he_i}) - T(f_y)}{h} \xrightarrow{h\to 0} T((\partial_i f)_y) \in C(\mathbb{R}^d)$$
  So we geht that $y \mapsto T(f_y) \in C^1$ and $-\partial_{y_i} T(f_y) = T((\partial_i f)_y)$

By induction:

$$D_y^\alpha T(f_y) = (-1)^{|\alpha|} T((D^\alpha f)_y) = (D^\alpha T)(f_y) \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$$

b) Heuristic: $T = T(x)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(y)T(f_y)\,dy &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(y)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} T(x)f(x-y)\,dx\right)\,dy \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} T(x)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(y)f(x-y)\,dy\right)\,dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} T(x)(f\star g)(x)\,dx = T(f\star g)
\end{aligned}$$

Step 1: $g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\text{(Rieman Sum)} \qquad \begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(y)T(f_y)\,dy &= \lim_{\Delta_N\to 0} \Delta_N \sum_{j=1}^N g(y_j)T(f_{y_j}) \\
&= \lim_{\Delta_N\to 0} T\left(\Delta_N \sum_{j=1}^N g(y_j)f_{y_j}\right) \\
&= T(f\star g)
\end{aligned}$$

because

$$\lim_{\Delta_N\to 0} \Delta_N \sum_{j=1}^N g(y_j)f_{y_j}(x) \to (f\star g)(x) \text{ in } D(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

$$\lim_{\Delta_N\to 0} \Delta_N \sum_{j=1}^N g(y_j)f(x-y_j) \xrightarrow{\text{Riemann}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(y)f(x-y)\;\;dy = (f\star g)(x)$$

Proof of:

$$\lim_{\Delta_N\to 0} \Delta_N \sum_{j=1}^N g(y_j)f(x-y_j) \to (f\star g)(x) \text{ in } D(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

1) Since $f, g \in C_c^\infty$ we have $f\star g \in C_c^\infty$. And we have

$$x \mapsto \Delta_N \sum_{j=1}^N g(y_j)f(x-y_j) \in C^\infty$$

since $f \in C^\infty$ supported in $(\operatorname{supp} g + \operatorname{supp} f)$. So all functions are C_c^∞ and supported in $(\operatorname{supp} g + \operatorname{supp} f)$.

2)

$$\left|\lim_{\Delta_N\to 0} \Delta_N \sum_{j=1}^N g(y_j)f(x-y_j) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(y)f(x-y)\,dy\right| \xrightarrow{\Delta_N\to 0} 0$$

uniformly in x. (Result from the Riemann-Sum)

3)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|D_x^\alpha(\Delta_N \sum_{j=1}^N g(y_j)f(x-y) - (f\star g)(x))\right| \\
&= \left|\Delta_N \sum_{j=1}^N g(y_j)D^\alpha f(x-y) - (D^\alpha f)\star g(x)\right| \xrightarrow{\Delta_N\to 0} 0
\end{aligned}$$

uniformly in x for all α.

Step 2: Take $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and compactly supported. Then $\exists \{g_n\} \subseteq C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\operatorname{supp} g_n \subseteq \operatorname{supp} g + B(0,1)$ such that $g_n \to g$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. By Step 1:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g_n(y) T(f_y)\, dy = T(g_n \star f)$$

Take $n \to \infty$:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g_n(y) T(f_y)\, dy \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(y) T(f_y)\, dy$$

since $g_n \to g$ in L^1 compactly supported and $y \mapsto T(f_y) \in C^\infty \subseteq L^\infty(K)$. Moreover (exercise):

$$\underbrace{g_n \star f}_{\in C_c^\infty} \to g \star f \quad \text{in } D(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

So $T(g_n \star f) \xrightarrow{n\to\infty} T(g \star f)$. Finally we optain:

$$\int g(y) T(f_n)\, dy = T(g \star f)$$ ■

Theorem 3.48 Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open. Let $T \in D'(\Omega)$ and $f \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$. Denote

$$\Omega_f = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \operatorname{supp} f_y = y + \operatorname{supp} f \subseteq \Omega\}$$

a) $y \mapsto T(f_y) \in C^\infty(\Omega_f)$ and $D_y^\alpha(T(f_y)) = (D^\alpha T)(f_y) = (-1)^{|\alpha|} T((D^\alpha f)_y)$

b) For all $g \in L^1(\Omega_g)$ compactly supported in Ω_f and it holds:

$$\int_\Omega g(y) T(f_y)\, dy = T(f \star g).$$

Theorem 3.49 Let $T \in D'(\Omega)$ s.t. $\nabla T = 0$ in $D'(\Omega)$. Then: $T = \mathit{const}.$ in Ω.

Proof. ($\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$) for all $f \in C_c^\infty$, $y \mapsto T(f_y) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\partial_{y_i} T(f_y) = (\partial_j T)(f_y) = 0$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, d$. Then by the result of the theorem for C^∞ functions, $y \mapsto T(f_y) = \mathit{const}$ independent of y. Consequently:

$$T(f_y) = T(f_0) = T(f) \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^d \ \forall f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

For any $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$:

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g\, dy\right) T(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(y) T(f_y)\, dy = T(f \star g) = T(g \star f) = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f\, dy\right) T(g)$$

So $\frac{T(f)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f}$ is independent of f (as soon as $\int f \neq 0$). So we get that $T(f) = \mathit{const} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f$, where const is independent of f. ■

Remark 3.50 If $u \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then:

$$u(x+y) - u(x) = \int_0^1 \sum_{j=1}^d y_j (\partial_j u)(x + t y_j)\, dt = \int_0^1 y \nabla u(x + ty)\, dt$$

So we get that if $\nabla u = 0$, then $u(x+y) - u(x) = 0$ for all x, y, so $u = \mathit{const}$.

Theorem 3.51 (Taylor expansion for distributions) Let $T \in D'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then $y \mapsto T(f_y) \in C^\infty$ and

$$T(f_y) - T(f) = \int_0^1 \sum_{j=1}^d y_j (\partial_j T)(f_{ty})\, dt.$$

In particular, if $g \in L^1_{loc}$ and $\nabla g \in L^1_{loc}$, then $\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^d$:

$$g(x+y) - g(x) = \int_0^1 g(x+ty) y\, dt$$

for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Proof. $y \mapsto T(f_y)$ is C^∞ and $\frac{d}{dt}[T(f_{ty})] = (\nabla T)(f_{ty})y$ So we get

$$\begin{aligned} T(f_y) - T(f) &= \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt}(T(f_{ty}))\, dt \\ &= \int_0^1 (\nabla T)(f_{ty}) y\, dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \sum_{j=1}^d (\partial_j T)(f_{ty}) y_j\, dt \end{aligned}$$

■

Corrolary 3.52 Let $g \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ s.t. $\partial_j g \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, d$ (i.e. $g \in W^{1,1}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$). Then for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$:

$$\begin{aligned} g(x+y) - g(x) &= \int_0^1 y \cdot \nabla g(x+ty)\, dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \sum_{j=1}^d y_j \partial g(x+ty)\, dt \end{aligned}$$

for a.e. x.

Proof. For all $f \in C_c^\infty$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)[g(x+y) - g(x)]\, dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(x)[f(x-y) - f(x)]\, dx \\ &= g(f_y) - g(f) \\ &= \int_0^1 \sum_{j=1}^d y_j (\partial_j g)(f_{ty})\, dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \sum_{j=1}^d y_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{j=1}^d y_j \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\partial_j g)(x) f_{ty}(x)\, dx \right] \\ &= \int_0^1 \sum_{j=1}^d y_i \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\partial_j g)(x+ty) f(x)\, dx \right] dt \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \left[\int_0^1 \sum_{j=1}^d y_j \partial_j g(x+ty)\, dt \right] dx \end{aligned}$$

For all $\phi \in C_c^\infty$: $= g(x+y) - g(x)$ a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. ■

Remark 3.53 If $T \in D'(\Omega)$, $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ open, if $y\nabla T = 0$, then $T = const.$

Theorem 3.54 (Equivalence of the classical and distributional derivatives) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$. Then the following are equivalent:

1. $T \in D'(\Omega)$ s.t. $\partial_{x_i} T = g_i \in C(\Omega)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d$.
2. $T = f \in C^1(\Omega)$ and $g_i = \partial_{x_i} f$

Proof.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): If $T = f \in C^1(\Omega)$, then: $\partial_{x_i} f \in C(\Omega)$.

$$\partial_{x_i} T(\phi) = -T(\partial_{x_i}\phi) = -\int_\Omega f(\partial_{x_i}\phi) = \int_\Omega (\partial_{x_i} f)\phi$$

for all $\phi \in D(\Omega)$, so $\partial_{x_i} T = \partial_{x_i} f$.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Why is $T = f \in C^1(\Omega)$? As $\partial_{x_i} f = g_i$:

$$f(x+y) - f(x) = \int_0^1 \nabla f(x+ty)y \, dt = \int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^d g_i(x+ty)y_i \, dt$$

So we get

$$f(y) = f(0) + \int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^d g_i(ty)g_i \, dt.$$

We expect that $f \in C^1$ and $\partial_{x_i} f = g_i$. But this is not trivial to prove.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{f(y+he_i) - f(y)}{h} &= \int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^d [g_i(ty + the_i)(y_i + h\delta_{ij})] \, dt \\
&= \int_0^1 g_i(ty + the_i) \, dt + \int_0^1 \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{[g_i(ty + the_i) - g_i(ty)]}{h} y_i \, dt \\
&\xrightarrow{h \to 0} \int_0^1 g_i(ty) \, dt + \text{is difficult ...}
\end{aligned}$$

Lets take $\phi \in C_c^\infty$, then:

$$\begin{aligned}
T(\phi_y) - T(\phi) &= \int_0^1 \underbrace{\nabla T}_{(g_i)_{i=1}^d}(\phi_{ty})y \, dt \\
&= \int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^d \left(\int_\Omega g_i(x) \underbrace{\phi_{ty}}_{=\phi(x-ty)} \, dx \right) \, dt \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^1 g_i(x)\phi(x-ty)y_i \, dt \right) \, dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^1 g_i(x+ty)\phi(x)y_i \, dt \right) \, dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\sum_i \int_0^1 g_i(x+ty)y_i \, dt \right) \phi(x) \, dx
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating against $\psi(y)$ with $\psi \in C_c^\infty$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} T(\phi_y)\psi(y)\,dy - T(\phi)\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\psi(y)\,dy \\
&\quad = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\sum_i \int_0^1 g_i(x+ty)y_i\psi(y)\,dt\,dy\right)\psi(x)\,dx
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Rightarrow T(\phi \star \psi) - T(\phi)\int \psi = \dots \\
&\Rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} T(\psi_y)\phi(y)\,dy - T(\phi)\int \psi = \dots
\end{aligned}$$

Take $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\int \psi = 1$. Then:

$$T(\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \underbrace{T(\psi_x) - \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^1 g_i(x+ty)y_i\psi(y)\,dt\,dy\right)}_{f(x)}\phi(x)\,dx$$

for all $\phi \in C_c^\infty$, so $T = f \in C(\Omega)$. Thus $T = f \in C(\Omega)$ and $\partial_{x_i}T = g_i \in C(\Omega)$. Then we need to prove that $f \in C^1(\Omega)$ and $\partial_{x_i} f = g_i$ (classical derivative). Since $f \in W^{1,1}_{loc}$:

$$f(x+y) - f(x) = \int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^d g_i(x+ty)y_i\,dt \quad \forall x, y$$

In particular:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{f(x+he_i) - f(x)}{h} &= \int_0^1 \frac{1}{h}\sum_{i=1}^d g_i(x+the_i)h\delta_{ij}\,dt \\
&= \int_0^1 g_i(x+the_i)\,dt \xrightarrow{h\to 0} g_i(x)
\end{aligned}$$

So we get $\partial_{x_i} f(x) = g_i(x) \in C(\Omega)$ in the classical sense. So $f \in C^1(\Omega)$. ■

Definition 3.55 (Sobolev Spaces) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open. We define for $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$:

$$\begin{aligned}
W^{1,p}(\Omega) &= \{f \in L^p(\Omega) \mid \partial_{x_i} f \in L^p(\Omega)\ \forall i = 1,\dots,d\} \\
W^{k,p}(\Omega) &= \{f \in L^p(\Omega) \mid D^\alpha f \in L^p(\Omega)\ \forall |\alpha| \leqslant k\} \\
W^{k,p}_{loc}(\Omega) &= \{f \in L^p_{loc}(\Omega) \mid D^\alpha f \in L^p_{loc}(\Omega)\ \forall |\alpha| \leqslant k\}
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.56 (Approximation of $W^{1,p}_{loc}(\Omega)$ by $C^\infty(\Omega)$) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open, let $f \in W^{1,p}_{loc}(\Omega)$. Then there exists $\{f_n\} \subseteq C^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $f_n \to f$ in $W^{1,p}_{loc}(\Omega)$, i.e. for all $K \subseteq \Omega$ compact: $\|f_n - f\|_{L^p(K)} + \sum_{i=1}^d \|\partial_{x_i}(f_n - f)\|_{L^p(K)} \to 0$.

Proof. Case $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$: Take $g \in C_c^\infty$, $\int g = 1$, $g_\epsilon(x) = \epsilon^{-d}g(\epsilon^{-1}x)$. Then $g_\epsilon \star f \in C_c^\infty$. Since $f \in L^p_{loc}(\Omega)$ we have $g_\epsilon \star f \to f$ in L^p_{loc} as $\epsilon \to 0$. Moreover $\partial_{x_i}(g_\epsilon \star f) = (g_\epsilon \star \partial_{x_i} f) \xrightarrow{\epsilon\to 0} \partial_{x_i} f$ in L^p_{loc}. Then we can take $f_n = g_{\frac{1}{n}} \star f$. ■

Remark 3.57 In general, if we want to compute the distributional derivative $D^\alpha f$, then we can find $f_n \to f$ in $D'(\Omega)$ and compute $D^\alpha f_n$. Then $D^\alpha f_n \to D^\alpha f$

in $D^\alpha(\Omega)$. As an example we can compute $\nabla|f|$ with $f \in W^{1,p}_{loc}(\Omega)$.

$$(\nabla|f|)(x) = \begin{cases} \nabla f(x) & f(x) > 0 \\ -\nabla f(x) & f(x) < 0 \\ 0 & f(x) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Theorem 3.58 (Chain Rule) Let $G \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $|\nabla G|$ is bounded. Let $f = (f_i)_{i=1}^d \subseteq W^{1,p}_{loc}(\Omega)$. Then $x \mapsto G(f(x)) \in W^{1,p}_{loc}(\Omega)$ and

$$\partial_{x_i} G(f) = \sum_{k=1}^d (\partial_k G)(f) \cdot \partial_{x_i} f_k \quad \text{in } D'(\Omega)$$

Moreover, if $G(0) \in L^p(\Omega)$ (i.e. either $|\Omega| < \infty$ or $G(0) = 0$), then if $f = (f_i)_{i=1}^d \subseteq W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, then $G(f) \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Proof. Since $G \in C^1$ we have that G is bounded in any compact set. Moreover $\|\nabla G\|_{L^\infty} < \infty$ implies:

$$|G(f) - G(0)| \leqslant \|\nabla G\|_{L^\infty} |f| \in L^p_{loc}$$

So $G(f) \in L^p_{loc}$. Let us compute $\partial_{x_i} G(f)$. Let $\{f^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq C^\infty$ such that $f^{(n)} \to f$ in $W^{1,p}_{loc}$, then:

$$|G(f^{(n)}) - G(f)| \leqslant \|\nabla G\|_{L^\infty} |f^{(n)} - f| \to 0 \text{ in } L^p_{loc}$$

So $G(f^{(n)}) \to G(f)$ in L^p_{loc}, thus $\partial_{x_i} G(f^{(n)}) \to \partial_{x_i} G(f)$ in $D'(\Omega)$. On the other hand, by the standard Chain-Rule for C^1-functions:

$$\partial_{x_i} G(f^{(k)}) = \sum_{k=1}^d \underbrace{\partial_k G(f^{(k)})}_{(\text{b.d.} \to \partial_k G(f))} \underbrace{\partial_i f_k^{(n)}}_{(\to \partial_i f_k \text{ in } L^p(\Omega))} \to \sum_{k=1}^d \partial_k G(f) \partial_i f_k \text{ in } L^p_{loc}(\Omega)$$

Thus

$$\partial_{x_i} G(f) = \sum_{k=1}^d \underbrace{\partial_k G(f)}_{\in L^\infty} \underbrace{\partial_i f_k}_{\in L^p_{loc}} \in L^p_{loc} \text{ in } D'(\Omega)$$

So $G(f) \in W^{1,p}_{loc}(\Omega)$. Aussume that $G(0) \in L^p(\Omega)$ (i.e. $|\Omega| < \infty$ or $G(0) = 0$). If $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, then $G(f) \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ since

$$|G(f) - G(0)| \leqslant \|\nabla G\|_{L^\infty} |f| \in L^p \Rightarrow G(f) \in L^p$$

and

$$\partial_{x_i} G(f) = \sum_k \underbrace{\partial_k G}_{\in L^\infty} \underbrace{\partial_i f_k}_{\in L^p} \in L^p \Rightarrow G(f) \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$$

■

Theorem 3.59 (Derivative of absolute value) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open. Let $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Then $|f| \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and if f is real-valued:

$$(\nabla|f|)(x) = \begin{cases} \nabla f(x) & f(x) > 0 \\ -\nabla f(x) & f(x) < 0 \\ 0 & f(x) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Proof. Exercise. Hint: Use the Chain-Rule for $G_\epsilon(x) = \sqrt{\epsilon^2 + x^2} - \epsilon \to |x|$ as $\epsilon \to 0$ ■

3.4 Distribution vs. measures

Let μ be a Borel measure in $\mathbb{R}^d$ s.t. $\mu(K) < \infty$ for all compact $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$. Then define

$$\begin{aligned} T: \ D(\mathbb{R}^d) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ \phi &\longmapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(x)\, d\mu(x) \quad \forall \phi \in C_c^\infty \end{aligned}$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ T is a distribution since if $\phi_n \to \phi$ in $D(\Omega)$, then

$$|T(\phi_n) - T(\phi)| \leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\phi_n - \phi|\, d\mu(x) \leqslant \|\phi_n - \phi\|_{L^\infty} \left(\int_K d\mu \right) \xrightarrow{n\to\infty} 0$$

Example 3.60 ∂_0 in $D'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a Borel probability measure.

Theorem 3.61 (Positive distributions are measures) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open, let $T \in D'(\Omega)$. Assume $T \geqslant 0$, i.e. $T(\phi) \geqslant 0$ for all $\phi \in D(\Omega)$ satisfying $\phi(x) \geqslant 0$ for all x. Then there is a Borel positive measure μ on Ω such that $\mu(K) < \infty$ for all $K \subseteq \Omega$ compact and:

$$T(\phi) = \int_\Omega \phi(x)\, d\mu(x) \quad \forall \phi \in D^(\Omega)$$

Proof. See Lieb-Loss Analysis. Sketch: If $O \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is open, then

$$\mu(O) = \sup\{T(\phi) \mid \phi \in D(\Omega), 0 \leqslant \phi \leqslant 1, \operatorname{supp} \phi \subseteq O\}$$

For all $A \subseteq \Omega$ (not necessarily open),

$$\mu(A) = \inf\{\mu(O) \mid O \text{ open}, A \subseteq O\}$$

The mapping $\mu : 2^\Omega \to [0, \infty]$ is an outer measure, i.e.

1. $\mu(\varnothing) = 0$
2. $\mu(A) \leqslant \mu(B)$ if $A \subseteq B$
3. $\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty A_i\right) \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu(A_i)$

From the outer measure we can find a σ-algebra Σ and μ is a measure on Ω s.t. E is measurable iff

$$\mu(E) = \mu(E \cap A) + \mu(E \cap A^\complement).$$

So all open sets are measurable, thus outer regularity (by def $\mu(A) = \inf\{\mu(O) \mid O \text{ open } \supseteq A)$, so inner regularity $\mu(A) = \sup\{\mu(K) \mid K \text{ compact } \subseteq A\}$. ∎

Exercise 3.62 (E 4.1) Prove that if $T_n \to T$ in $D'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then $D^\alpha T_n \to D^\alpha T$ in $D^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$.

Exercise 3.63 (E 4.2)

Exercise 3.64 (E 4.3) $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\int f = 1$ $f_\epsilon(x) = \epsilon^{-d} f(\epsilon^{-1} x)$. Then $f_\epsilon \to \delta_0$ in $D'(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Exercise 3.65 (E 4.4) Let $\{f_n\} \subseteq L^1$, $\operatorname{supp} f \subseteq B(0,1)$, $f_n \to f$ in L^1. Prove for all $g \in C_c^\infty$ that $f_n \star g \to f \star g$ in $D(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Solution. Since $f_n \in L^1$, $\operatorname{supp} f \subseteq B(0,1)$ and $g \in C_c^\infty$ we have $f_n \star g \in C_c^\infty$ and

$$\operatorname{supp}(f_n \star g) \subseteq (\operatorname{supp} g) + \overline{B(0,1)} = K.$$

Since $f_n \to f$ in L^1 there is a subsequence $f_{n_k} \to f$ almost everywhere, so f supp in $\overline{B(0,1)}$, so $f \star g \in C_c^\infty$, $\operatorname{supp}(f \star g) \ \subseteq K$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
|f_n \star g(x) - f \star g(x)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f_n(y) - f(y)) g(x-y) \ dy \right| \\
&\leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f_n(y) - f(y)||g(x-y)| \, dy \\
&\leqslant \|g\|_{L^\infty} ||f_n - f\|_{L^1} \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} 0
\end{aligned}$$

thus $\|f_n \star g - f \star g\|_{L^\infty} \to 0$. Similary:

$$\|D^\alpha (f_n \star g) - D^\alpha (f \star g)\|_{L^\infty} = \|f_n \star \underbrace{(D^\alpha g)}_{\in C_c^\infty} - f \star (D^\alpha g)\|_{L^\infty} \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} 0$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$, so $f_n \star g \to f \star g$ in $D(\mathbb{R}^d)$. ∎

Exercise 3.66 (E 4.5) Compute distributional derivatives f', f'' of $f(x) = x|x-1|$.

Solution. We prove $f'(x) = g(x) := \begin{cases} 2x-1 & x > 1 \\ 1-2x & x < 1 \end{cases}$. Take $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
-f'(\phi) &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f\phi' \, dy \\
&= -\int_{-\infty}^{1} f\phi' \, dy - \int_{1}^{\infty} f\phi' \, dy \\
&= [f\phi]_{-\infty}^{1} - \int_{-\infty}^{1} f'\phi \, dy + [f\phi]_{1}^{\infty} - \int_{1}^{\infty} f'\phi \, dy \\
&= [f\phi]_{-\infty}^{1} - \int_{-\infty}^{1} g\phi \, dy + [f\phi]_{1}^{\infty} - \int_{1}^{\infty} g\phi \, dy \\
&= f(1-)\phi(1) - f(1+)\phi(1) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g\phi \, dy \\
&= 0 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g\phi \, dy
\end{aligned}$$

Now we compute $f'' = g'$. Take $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
-(g')(\phi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g\phi'\,dy \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{1} g\phi'\,dy + \int_{1}^{\infty} g\phi'\,dy \\
&= [g(1-) - g(1+)]\phi(1) - \int_{-\infty}^{1} g'\phi\,dy - \int_{1}^{\infty} g'\phi\,dy \\
&= [g(1-) - g(1+)]\phi(1) - \int_{-\infty}^{1} (-2)\phi\,dy - \int_{1}^{\infty} 2\phi\,dy \\
&= -2\phi(1) + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [2\mathbb{1}_{(-\infty,1)}(x) - 2\mathbb{1}_{(1,\infty)}(x)]\phi(x)\,dx \\
&= -2\delta_1(\phi) + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [2\mathbb{1}_{(-\infty,1)}(x) - 2\mathbb{1}_{(1,\infty)}(x)]\phi(x)\,dx \\
\Rightarrow g' &= \underbrace{2\delta_1}_{\notin L^1_{loc}} - \underbrace{2\mathbb{1}_{(-\infty,1)} + 2\mathbb{1}_{(1,\infty)}}_{\int L^1_{loc}}
\end{aligned}
$$

■

Chapter 4

Weak Solutions and Regularity

Definition 4.1 Consider the linear PDE:

$$\sum_{\alpha} c_\alpha D^\alpha u(x) = F(x), \quad c_\alpha \text{ constant}, F \text{ given}$$

A function u is called a weak solution (a distributional solution) if

$$\sum_{\alpha} c_\alpha D^\alpha u = F \quad \text{in } D'(\Omega).$$

Namely,

$$\sum_{\alpha} (-1)^{|\alpha|} c_\alpha \int_\Omega u D^\alpha \phi = \int_\Omega F\phi, \quad \forall \phi \in D(\Omega)$$

Regularity: Given some condition on the data F, what can we say about the smoothness of u? Can we say that the equation holds in the classical sense? We derived G (the solution of the Laplace Equation) before in two ways:

1. $\Delta G(x) = 0$ for all $x \neq 0$, assuming $G(x) = G(|x|)$ and $d \geqslant 2$
2. $\hat{G}(k) = \frac{1}{|2\pi k|^2}$ for $d \geqslant 3$

Theorem 4.2 For all $d \geqslant 1$ we have $G \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $-\Delta G = \delta_0$ in $D'(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Proof. Take $\phi \in D(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
(-\Delta G_y)(\phi) = G_y(-\Delta\phi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G_y(x)(-\Delta\phi)(x)\,dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G(y-x)(-\Delta\phi)(x)\,dx \\
&= [G \star (-\Delta\phi)](y) = (-\Delta)(G \star \phi)(y)
\end{aligned}$$

Recall for all $f \in C^2$, $-\Delta(G \star f) = f$ pointwise. So we can conclude $-\Delta G_y = \delta_y$ in $D'(\mathbb{R}^d)$. ■

Remark 4.3 In $d = 1$, $G(x) = -\frac{1}{2}|x|$, so $-G'(x) = \operatorname{sgn}(x)/2$, so $-G''(x) = \delta_0$.

Remark 4.4 Formally:

$$-\Delta(G_y \star \phi) = (-\Delta G_y) \star \phi(x) = (\delta_0 \star \phi)(x) = \int \delta_0(y)\phi(x-y)\,dy = \delta_0(\phi(x-\bullet))$$

Theorem 4.5 (Poisson's equation with L^1_{loc} data) Let $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ s.t. $\omega_d f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ where

$$\omega_d(x) = \begin{cases} 1+|x| & d=1 \\ \log(1+|x|) & d=2 \\ \frac{1}{1+|x|^{d-2}} & d \geqslant 3, \end{cases}$$

then $u(x) = (G \star f)(x) \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Moreover $-\Delta u = f$ in $D'(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In fact, $u \in W^{1,1}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and:

$$\partial_{x_i} u(x) = (\partial_{x_i} G) \star f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\partial_{x_i} G)(x-y) f(y)\,dy$$

Remark 4.6 We can also replace $\mathbb{R}^d$ by Ω and get $-\Delta u = f$ in $D'(\Omega)$.

Proof of Theorem 4.5. First we check that $u \in L^1_{loc}$. Take any Ball $B(0,R) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, prove $\int_B |u|\,dy < \infty$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_B |u|\,dy &= \int_B \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G(x-y) f(y)\,dy \right| dx \\ &\leqslant \int_B \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |G(x-y)||f(y)|\,dy\,dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_B |G(x-y)\,dx \right) |f(y)|\,dy \end{aligned}$$

If $y \notin B = B(0,R)$, then by Newtons's theorem (Mean-value theorem):

$$\int_{B(0,R)} |G(x-y)|\,dx = |B(0,R)||G(y)| \leqslant C|B|\omega_d(y)$$

If $y \in B$, then $|y| \leqslant R$, so $|x-y| \leqslant 2R$ if $x \in B$.

$$\int_{B(0,R)} |G(x-y)|\,dx \leqslant \int_{|x-y|\leqslant 2R} |G(x-y)|\,dx = \int_{|z|\leqslant 2R} |G(z)|\,dz \leqslant c_R$$

as $G \in L^1_{loc}$. Thus

$$\int_B |u|\,dy \leqslant c_B \int_{|y|\geqslant R} \omega_d(y)|f(y)|\,dy + c_B \int_{|y|\leqslant R} |f(y)|\,dy < \infty$$

Let us prove $-\Delta u = f$ in $D'(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Take $\phi \in D(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(-\Delta u)(\phi) &= u(-\Delta\phi) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u(x)(-\Delta\phi)(x)\,dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G(x-y)f(y)(-\Delta\phi)(x)\,dx\,dy \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G(y-x)f(y)(-\Delta\phi)(x)\,dx\,dy \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [G\star(-\Delta\phi)](y)f(y)\,dy \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} -\Delta(G\star\phi)(y)f(y)\,dy \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(y)f(y)\,dy
\end{aligned}
$$

So $-\Delta u = f$ in $D'(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We check that $\partial_i G \star f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Note that

$$|\partial_i G(x)| \leqslant c\frac{1}{|x|^{d-1}} \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

and

$$\int_{B(0,R)} |\partial_i G(x-y)|\ \ dx \leqslant \begin{cases} C_r\omega_d(y) & |y|\ \geqslant R \\ C_r & |y| \leqslant R \end{cases}$$

So $\int_{B(0,R)} |(\partial_i G\star f)|(y) < \infty$ for all $R>0$. For all $\phi \in D(\mathbb{R}^d)$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
-(\partial_i u)(\phi) = u(\partial_i\phi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u(x)\partial_i\phi(x)\,dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G(x-y)f(y)\partial_i\phi(x)\,dx\,dy \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G(y-x)f(y)\partial_i\phi(x)\,dx\,dy \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (G\star\partial_i^y\phi)(y)f(y)\ \ dy \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\partial_i^y G\star\phi)(y)f(y)\,dy \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_i^y G(y-x)f(y)\phi(x)\,dx\,dy \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} -(\partial_i G)(x-y)f(y)\phi(x)\,dx\,dy \\
&= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\partial_i G\star f)(x)\phi(x)\,dx
\end{aligned}
$$

So $\partial_i u = \partial_i G\star f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Thus $u \in L^1_{loc}$, $\partial_i u \in L^1_{loc}$ for all i. So $u \in W^{1,1}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. ■

Regularity: We consider the Laplace Equation $\Delta u = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Lemma 4.7 (Weyl) If $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ open and $T \in D'(\Omega)$ s.t. $\Delta T = 0$ in $D'(\Omega)$, then: $T = f \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ and f is a harmonic function.

Proof. ($\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$). Take $\phi \in C_c^\infty$, then $y \mapsto T(\phi_y) = T(\phi(-y))$ is C^∞ and $\Delta_y T(\phi_y) = T((\Delta\phi)_y) = (\Delta T)(\phi_y) = 0$. Take $g \in C_c^\infty$, g is radial. Then:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} T(\phi_y) g(y)\, dy \overset{\text{(exercise)}}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} T(\phi) g(y)\, dy = T(\phi) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g\, dy \right)$$

Exercise 4.8 Let $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a harmonic function and $g \in C_c^\infty$, g is radial. Then:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) g(x)\, dx = f(0) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(x)\, dx \right)$$

On the other hand:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} T(\phi_y) g(y)\, dy = T(\phi \star g) = T(g \star \phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} T(g_y) \phi(y)\, dy$$

Take $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g\, dy = 1$, then:

$$T(\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} T(g_y) \phi(y) \ \ dy$$

For all $\phi \in C_c^\infty$. Then $T = T(g_y) \in C^\infty$ ■

Now lets regard the Poisson Equation $-\Delta u = f$ in $D'(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Remark 4.9 Any solution has the form $u = G \star g + h$ where $\Delta h = 0$ in $D'(\mathbb{R}^d)$. By Weyls Lemma (4.7), $h \in C^\infty$, then we only need to consider the regularity of $G \star f$.

Remark 4.10 The regularity is a *local question*, namely if we write

$$f = f_1 + f_2 = f\phi + f(1 - \phi),$$

where $\phi = 1$ in a ball B and $\phi \in C_c^\infty$.

Then $G \star f = G \star f_1 + G \star f_2$. Here $f_2 = f(1 - \phi) = 0$ in B. With Weyls Lemma (4.7), $G \star f_2 \in C^\infty$.

Theorem 4.11 (Low Regularity of Poisson Equation) Lef $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and compactly supported. Then

a) If $p \geqslant 1$, then
   - $G \star f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if $d = 1$.
   - $G \star f \in L^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for any $q < \infty$ if $d = 2$.
   - $G \star f \in L^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for $q < \frac{d}{d-2}$ if $d \geqslant 3$.

b) If $\frac{d}{2} < p \leqslant d$, then $G \star f \in C^{0,\alpha}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for all $0 < \alpha < 2 - \frac{d}{p}$, i.e.

$$|(G \star f)(x) - (G \star f)(y)| \leqslant C_k |x - y|^\alpha \quad \forall x, y \in K$$

with K compact in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

c) If $p > d$, then $G \star f \in C^{1,\alpha}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for all $0 < \alpha < 1 - \frac{d}{p}$.

where G is den fundamental solution of the laplace equation.

Example 4.12 Let $r = |x|$

$$u(x) = \omega(r) = \log(|\log(r)|)$$

if $0 < r < \frac{1}{2}$, so u is well-defined in $B = B(0, \frac{1}{2})$. We conclude:

$$-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3} u(x) = -\omega''(r) - \frac{2\omega'(r)}{r} = f(x) \in L^{\frac{3}{2}(B)}$$

But the Theorem (b) tells us that if $f \in L^{\frac{3}{2}}$ then u is continuous but $u \notin C(B)$.

Proof of theorem 4.11. a) ($p = 1$) Why is $G \star f \in L^q_{loc}$? Recall from the proof of Youngs inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}
|(G \star f)(x)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G(x-y) f(y)\, dy \right| \\
\text{(Hölder)} \quad &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |G(x-y)|^q |f(y)|\, yd \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(y)|\, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}}
\end{aligned}$$

Where $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1$. Then:

$$|(G \star f)(x)|^q \leqslant C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |G(x-y)|^q |f(y)|\, dy$$

For any Ball $B = B(0, R) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_B |G \star f(x)|^q \, dx &\leqslant C \int_B \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |G(x-y)|^q |f(y)|\, dy \right) dx \\
&= C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_B |G(x-y)|^q \, dx \right) |f(y)|\, dy
\end{aligned}$$

$G(x) \sim \frac{1}{|x|^{d-2}} \rightsquigarrow |G|^q = \frac{1}{|x|^{(d-2)q}} \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if $(d-2)q < 2 \Leftrightarrow q < \frac{d}{d-2}$. Here, $y \in \operatorname{supp} f$, so $|y| \leqslant R_1$, then $|x - y| \leqslant R + R$ if $|x| \leqslant R$. With $y \in \operatorname{supp} f$, this implies:

$$\int_{B(0,R)} |G(x-y)|^q \, dx \leqslant \int_{|z| \leqslant R + R_1} |G(z)|^q \, dz < \infty$$

b)

$$(G \star f)(x) - (G \star f)(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (G(x-z) - G(y-z)) f(z)\, dz$$

So

$$|G \star f(x) - (G \star f)(y)| \leqslant C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \frac{1}{|x-z|^{d-2}} - \frac{1}{|y-z|^{d-2}} \right| |f(z)|\, dz$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \frac{1}{|x|^{d-2}} - \frac{1}{|y|^{d-2}} \right| &= \left| \left(\frac{1}{|x|} - \frac{1}{|y|} \right) \left(\frac{1}{|x|^{d-3}} + \cdots + \frac{1}{|y|^{d-3}} \right) \right| \\
&\leqslant C \frac{||x| - |y||}{|x||y|} \max\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{d-3}}, \frac{1}{|y|^{d-3}} \right) \\
&= C \frac{|x-y|}{|x||y|} \max\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{d-3}}, \frac{1}{|y|^{d-3}} \right) \\
&\leqslant C \max(|x|, |y|)^{1-\alpha} \frac{|x-y|^\alpha}{|x||y|} \max\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{d-3}}, \frac{1}{|y|^{d-3}} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

as

$$||x| - |y|| \leqslant \min(|x-y|, \max(|x|,|y|)) \leqslant |x-y|^\alpha \max(|x|,|y|)^{1-\alpha}$$

Thus, for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$:

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\frac{1}{|x|^{d-2}} - \frac{1}{|y|^{d-2}}\right| &\leqslant C|x-y|^\alpha \frac{\max(|x|,|y|)^{1-\alpha}}{|x||y|} \max\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{d-3}}, \frac{1}{|y|^{d-3}}\right) \\ &\leqslant C|x-y|^\alpha \max\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{d-2+\alpha}}, \frac{1}{|y|^{d-2+\alpha}}\right)\end{aligned}$$

So we get

$$\left|\frac{1}{|x-y|^{d-2}} - \frac{1}{|y-z|^{d-2}}\right| \leqslant C|x-y|^\alpha \max\left(\frac{1}{|x-z|^{d-2+\alpha}}, \frac{1}{|y-z|^{d-2+\alpha}}\right)$$

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned}&|G\star f(x) - G\star f(y)| \\ &\quad\leqslant C\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x-y|^\alpha \max\left(\frac{1}{|x-z|^{d-2+\alpha}}, \frac{1}{|y-z|^{d-2+\alpha}}\right)|f(z)|\,dz \\ &\quad\leqslant C|x-y|^\alpha\left(\sup_{\xi\in\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\frac{1}{|\xi-z|^{d-2+\alpha}}|f(z)|\,dz\right)\end{aligned}$$

Claim: If $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is compactly supported, $d \geqslant p > \frac{d}{2}$, then:

$$\sup_{\xi\in\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\frac{1}{|\xi-z|^{d-2+d}}|f(z)|\;\,dz < \infty$$

for all $0 < \alpha < 2 - \frac{d}{p}$. Assume $\operatorname{supp} f \subseteq \overline{B(0,R_1)}$. Consider 2 cases:

- If $|\xi| > 2R_1$, then: $|\xi - z| \;\geqslant R_1$ for all $z \in B(0,R_1)$. Hence:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\frac{1}{|\xi-z|^{d-2+\alpha}}|f(z)|\,dz \leqslant \frac{1}{R_1^{d-2+\alpha}}\|f\|_{L^1} < \infty$$

- If $|\xi| \leqslant 2R_1$, then: $|\xi - z| \leqslant 3R_1$ for all $z \in B(0,R_1)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\frac{1}{|\xi-z|^{d-2+\alpha}}|f(z)|\,dz &\leqslant \int_{|\xi-z|\;\leqslant 3R_1}\frac{1}{|\xi-z|^{d-2+\alpha}}|f(z)|\,dz \\ \text{(Hölder)}, \left(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1\right) \quad &\leqslant \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|f(z)|^p\,dz\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\quad\cdot\left(\int_{|\xi-z|\;\leqslant 3R_1}\frac{1}{|\xi-z|^{(d-2+\alpha)q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \|f\|_{L^p}\left(\int_{|z|\leqslant 3R_1}\frac{1}{|z|^{(d-2+\alpha)q}}\,dz\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty\end{aligned}$$

c) $(d \geqslant 3)$ We already know:

$$\partial_i(G\star f) = (\partial_i G \star f) \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

as $\omega_d f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We claim that $\partial_i G \star f \in C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. So $G \star f \in C^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by the equivalence between the classical and the distributional derivatives. Exercise. Hint:

$$|\partial_i G \star f(x) - \partial_i G \star f(y)| \leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\partial_i G(x-z) - \partial_i G(y-z)||f(z)| \; dz,$$

$\partial_i G(x) = \frac{-x_i}{d|B_1||x|^d}$. $\rightsquigarrow$ Need to estimate $|\partial_i G(x) - \partial_i G(y)| \; \leqslant C|x-y|^\alpha$. ■

Theorem 4.13 (High regularity for Poisson's equation) Let $f \in C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $0 < \alpha < 1$ be compactly supported. Then $G \star f \in C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Remark 4.14 $(-\Delta u = f)$ and $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^d)$ does not imply that $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. (exercise)

Remark 4.15 If $f \in C^{k,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $k \in \{0, 1, \dots\}$, $0 < \alpha < 1$ is compactly supported, then $G \star f \in C^{k+2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. This more general statement is a consequence of the theorem since

$$D^\beta(G \star f) = G \star \underbrace{(D^\beta f)}_{\in C^{0,\alpha}}$$

for all $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d)$, $|\beta| \leqslant k$.

Proof of theorem 4.13. Since $f \in L^p$ for all $p \leqslant \infty$ by the low regularity (4.11) we have $G \star f \in C^{1,\alpha}$ and $\partial_i(G \star f) = \partial_i G \star f$ in the classical sense. We will compute the distributional derivatives $\partial_i \partial_j (G \star f)$ and prove that they are Hölder continuous. Compute $\partial_j \partial_i (G \star f)$: For all $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
-(\partial_j \partial_i G \star f)(\phi) &= (\underbrace{\partial_i (G \;\star f)}_{\in C})(\partial_j \phi) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} ((\partial_i G) \star f)(x) \partial_j \phi(x) \, dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_i G(x-y) f(y) \partial_j \phi(x) \, dx \, dy \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y) \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_i G(x-y) \partial \phi(x) \, x \right] dy \\
&\overset{?}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \square \phi(y) \, dy
\end{aligned}$$

Recall: $\partial_i G(x) = \frac{-x_i}{d|B_1||x|^d}, \partial_i \partial_j G(x) = \frac{1}{|B_1|} \left[\frac{x_i x_j}{|x|^2} - \frac{\delta_{ij}}{d} \right] \frac{1}{|x|^d}$. We have:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_i G(x-y) \partial_j \phi(x) \, dx = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \int_{|x-y| \geqslant \epsilon} \partial_i G(x-y) \partial_j \phi(x) \, dx$$

By dominated convergence we have $|\partial_i G(x-y) \partial_j \phi(x)| \in L^1(dx)$. By the Gauss-Green-Theorem (2.2) for all $\epsilon > 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{|x-y| \; \geqslant \epsilon} \partial_i G(x-y) \partial_j \phi(x) \, dx \\
&\quad = \int_{\partial B(y,\epsilon)} \partial_i G(x-y) \phi(x) \omega_j \, dS(x) - \int_{|x-y| \; \geqslant \epsilon} \partial_j \partial_i G(x-y) \phi(x) \, dx
\end{aligned}$$

Where $\omega = \frac{x-y}{|x-y|}$. For the boundary term:

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\int_{\partial B(y,\epsilon)} \partial_i G(x-y)\phi(x)\omega_j \, dS(x) &= -\epsilon^{d-1}\int_{\partial B(0,1)} \partial_i G(\epsilon\omega)\phi(y+\epsilon\omega)\omega_j \, d\omega \\
(\star) \quad &= \int_{\partial B(0,1)} \frac{1}{d|B_1|}\omega_i\omega_j\phi(y+\epsilon\omega)\, d\omega \\
&\xrightarrow{\epsilon\to 0} \int_{\partial B(0,1)} \frac{1}{d|B_1|}\ \omega_i\omega_j\phi(y)\, d\omega \\
&= \frac{1}{d}\delta_{i,j}\phi(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

$(\star)$ $\partial_i G(x) = \frac{-x_i}{d|B_1||x|^d}$, so $\partial_i G(\epsilon\omega) = -\frac{-\omega_i}{d|B_1|}\frac{1}{\epsilon^{d-1}}$. for all $|\omega| = 1$.

Now we split:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\int_{|x-y| \geqslant \epsilon} \partial_i\partial_j G(x-y)\phi(x)\, dx \\
&\quad = -\int_{|x-y| \geqslant 1} \partial_i\partial_j G(x-y)\phi(x)\, dx - \int_{1\geqslant|x-y|\geqslant\epsilon} \partial_i\partial_j G(x-y)\phi(x)\, dx
\end{aligned}
$$

The key observation is: $\int_{\partial B(0,r)} \partial_i\partial_j G(x)\, dx = 0$ since

$$
\partial_i\partial_j G(x) = \frac{1}{|B_1|}\left(\omega_i\omega_j - \frac{\partial_{ij}}{d}\right)\frac{1}{|x|^d},
$$

$\omega = \frac{x}{|x|}$. For example if $i=1, j=2, r=1$:

$$
\int_{\partial B(0,1)} \partial_1\partial_2 G(x)\, dS(x) = \frac{1}{|B_1|}\int_{\partial B(0,1)} \omega_1\omega_2\, d\omega,
$$

$\partial B(0,1) = \{\omega \mid |\omega| = 1\}$. Consider: $\omega \mapsto R\omega, (\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_d) \mapsto (-\omega_1,\omega_2,\ldots,\omega_d)$. Then

$$
-\int_{1\geqslant|x-y|\geqslant\epsilon} \partial_i\partial_j G(x-y)\phi(y)\, dx = 0.
$$

So

$$
-\int_{1\geqslant|x-y|\geqslant\epsilon} \partial_i\partial_j G(x-y)\phi(x)\, dx = -\int_{1\geqslant|x-y|\geqslant\epsilon} \partial_i\partial_j G(x-y)(\phi(x)-\phi(y))\, dx
$$

In summary:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_i\partial_j(G\star f)(\phi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_i G(x-y)\partial_j\phi(x)\, dx\right) dy \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y)\frac{1}{d}\partial_{ij}\phi(y)\, dy \\
&\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y)\left(\int_{|x-y|>1} \partial_i\partial_j G(x-y)\phi(x)\, dx\right) \\
&\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\left[\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{1\geqslant|x-y|\geqslant\epsilon} \underbrace{\partial_i\partial_j G(x-y)(\phi(x)-\phi(y))\, dx}_{\leqslant \frac{C}{|x-y|^d}|x-y|\|\nabla\phi\|_{L^\infty}\leqslant\frac{C}{|x-y|^{d-1}}\in L^1_{loc}(dx)\forall y}\right] dy \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\delta_{ij}}{d} f(x)\phi(x)\, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(x)\left(\int_{|x-y|>1} \partial_i\partial_j G(x-y) f(y)\, dy\right) dx \\
&\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(x)\left[\int_{|x-y|\leqslant 1} \partial_i\partial_j G(x-y)(f(y)-f(x))\, dy\right] dx
\end{aligned}
$$

Conclusion:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_i\partial_j(G\star f)(x) &= -\frac{\delta_{ij}}{d}f(x) + \int_{|x-y|>1}\partial_i\partial_j G(x-y)f(y)\,dy\\ &+\int_{|x-y|\ \leqslant 1}\partial_i\partial_j G(x-y)\left(f(y)-f(x)\right)\,dy\end{aligned}$$

The first term $f \in C^{0,\alpha}$. The second term is also at least $C^{0,\alpha}$ since $\partial_i\partial_j G(x)$ is smooth as $|x|\ > 1$. We need to prove that the thirt term

$$W_{ij}(x) = \int_{|x-y|\ \leqslant 1}\partial_i\partial_j G(x-y)(f(y)-f(x))\,dy$$

is Hölder-continuous, $|W_{ij}(x) - W_{ij(y)}| \leqslant C|x-y|^\alpha$. Recall:

$$|\partial_i\partial_j G(x-y)(f(y)-f(x))| \leqslant C\frac{1}{|x-y|^d}|x-y|^\alpha = \frac{C}{|x-y|^{d-\alpha}} \in L^1_{loc}(dy)$$

We write

$$\begin{aligned}W_{ij}(x) &= \int_{|x-y|\leqslant 1}\partial_i\partial_j G(x-y)(f(y)-f(x))\,dy\\ &= \int_{|z|\leqslant 1}\partial_i\partial_j G(z)(f(x+z)-f(x))\,dz\end{aligned}$$

So we get:

$$W_{ij} - W_{ij}(y) = \int_{|z|\leqslant 1}\partial_i\partial_j G(z)(f(x+z)-f(y+z)-f(x)+f(y))\,dz$$

Easy thought: Use $\partial_i\partial_j G(z)| \leqslant \frac{C}{|z|^d}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}&|f(x+z)-f(y+z)-f(x)+f(y)|\\ &\leqslant \begin{cases}|f(x+z)-f(x)| + |f(y+z)-f(y)| \leqslant C|z|^\alpha\\ |f(x+z)-f(y+z)| + |f(x)-f(y)| \leqslant C|x-y|^\alpha\end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

Thus:

$$\begin{aligned}|W_{ij}(x)-W_{ij}(y)| &\leqslant C\int_{|z|\leqslant 1}\frac{1}{|z|^d}\min(|z|^\alpha, |x-y|^\alpha)\,dz\\ &\leqslant C\int_{|z|\leqslant 1}\frac{1}{|z|^d}(|z|^\alpha)^\epsilon(|x-y|^\alpha)^{1-\epsilon}, \quad 0<\epsilon<1\\ &\leqslant C\left(\int_{|z|\leqslant 1}\frac{1}{|z|^{d-\alpha\epsilon}}\right)|x-y|^{\alpha(1-\epsilon)}\\ &\leqslant C_\epsilon|x-y|^{\alpha(1-\epsilon)}\end{aligned}$$

thus it is easy to prove $|W_{ij}(x)-W_{ij}(y)|\ \leqslant C_\alpha|x-y|^\alpha$ for all $\alpha' \leqslant \alpha$. However, to get $\alpha' = \alpha$ we need a more precise estimate. We split:

$$W_{ij}(x) - W_{ij}(y) = \int_{|z|\leqslant 1}\cdots = \int_{|z|\leqslant min(4|x-y|,1)} + \int_{4|x-y|<|z|\leqslant 1}$$

For the first domain:

$$\int_{|z|\leqslant 4|x-y|} |\partial_{ij}G(z)||f(x+z)-f(y+z)-f(y)+f(x)|\,dz$$
$$\leqslant C\int_{|z|\leqslant 4|x-y|} \frac{1}{|z|^d}|z|^\alpha\,dz = const\cdot|x-y|^\alpha$$

For the second domain:

$$\int_{4|x-y|<|z|\leqslant 1} \partial_{ij}G(z)(f(x+z)-f(y+z)+f(y)f(x))\,dz$$
$$= \int_{4|x-y|<z\leqslant 1} \partial_{ij}G(z)(f(x+z)-f(y+z))\,dz = (\ldots)$$

since $\int_{4|x-y|<|z|\leqslant 1} \partial_{ij}G(z)\,dz = 0$. Then

$$(\ldots) = \int_{4|x-y|<|z-x|\ \leqslant 1} \partial_{ij}G(z-x)f(z)\,dz - \int_{4|x-y|<|z-y|\ \leqslant 1} \partial_{ij}G(z-y)f(z)\,dz.$$

Denote $A=\{z \mid 4|x-y|<|z-x|\leqslant 1\}$, $B=\{z \mid 4|x-y|\ <|z-y|\leqslant 1\}$. Consider

$$\int_A \partial_{ij}G(z-x)f(z)\,dz - \int_B \partial_{ij}G(z-y)f(z)\,dz$$
$$= \int_{A\setminus B} + \int_{B\setminus A} + \int_{A\cap B} (\partial_{ij}G(z-x)-\partial_{ij}G(z-y))f(z)\,dz$$

Lets regard the intersection. We have

$$\partial_{ij}G(x) = \frac{1}{|B_1|}\frac{1}{|x|^d}(\omega_i\omega_j - \frac{1}{d}\delta_{ij})$$
$$|\partial_{ij}G(x)-\partial_{ij}G(y)| \leqslant C|x-y|\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{d+1}}+\frac{1}{|y|^{d+1}}\right)$$

Now,

$$|\partial_{ij}G(z-x)-\partial_{ij}G(z-y)| \leqslant C|x-y|\left(\frac{1}{|z-x|^{d+1}}+\frac{1}{|z-y|^{d+1}}\right)$$

So we have

$$\left|\int_{A\cap B}(\partial_{ij}G(z-x)-\partial_{ij}G(z-y))f(z)\,dz\right|$$
$$\leqslant C\int_{A\cap B}|x-y|\left(\frac{1}{|z-x|^{d+1}}+\frac{1}{|z-y|^{d+1}}\right)|f(z)|\ dz = (\ldots)$$

Now we replace $f(z)$ by $f(z)-f(x)$, then:

$$\left|\int_{A\cap B}(\partial_{ij}G(z-x)-\partial_{ij}G(z-y))(f(z)-f(x))\,dz\right|$$
$$\leqslant C\int_{A\cap B}|x-y|\left(\frac{1}{|z-x|^{d+1}}+\frac{1}{|z-y|^{d+1}}\right)|z-x|^\alpha\ dz$$
$$= \underbrace{C\int_{A\cap B}|x-y|\ \frac{1}{|z-x|^{d+1-\alpha}}\,dz}_{(I)} + \underbrace{C\int_{A\cap B}|x-y|\frac{1}{|z-y|^{d+1}}|z-x|^\alpha\,dz}_{(II)}$$

Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(I) &\leqslant C|x-y| \int_{4|x-y|<|z-x|\leqslant 1} \frac{1}{|z-x|^{d+1-\alpha}}\, dz \\
&= C|x-y| \int_{4x-y<|z|\leqslant 1} \frac{1}{|z|^{d+1-\alpha}}\, dz \\
&\leqslant C|x-y| \int_{4|x-y|}^{1} \frac{1}{r^{d+1-\alpha}} r^{d-1}\, dr \\
&= C|x-y| \int_{4|x-y|}^{1} \frac{1}{r^{2-\alpha}}\, dr \\
&\leqslant C|x-y| \left[-1 + \frac{1}{(4|x-y|)^{1-\alpha}}\right] \\
&\leqslant C|x-y|^{\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(II) &\leqslant C|x-y| \int_{A\cap B} \frac{1}{|z-y|^{d+1}} |z-x|^{\alpha}\, dz \\
&\leqslant C|x-y| \int_{A\cap B} \frac{1}{|z-y|^{d+1}} \left(|z-y|^{\alpha} + |x-y|^{\alpha}\right)\, dz \\
&\leqslant \underbrace{C|x-y| \int_{B} \frac{1}{|z-y|^{d+1-\alpha}}\, dz}_{\text{similar to (I)}} + C|x-y|^{1+\alpha} \int_{B} \frac{1}{|z-y|^{d+1}}\, dz
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
C|x-y|^{1+\alpha} \int_{B} \frac{1}{|z-y|^{d+1}}\, dz \leqslant \int_{4|x-y|} \frac{1}{r^{d+1}} r^{d-1}\, dr \leqslant \frac{C}{|x-y|}
$$

Consider $A\backslash B$:

$$
\left|\int_{A\backslash B}\right| \leqslant C\|f\|_{L^{\infty}} \int_{A\backslash B} \frac{1}{|z-x|^{d}}\, dz
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
A &= \{z \mid 4|x-y| < |z-x| \leqslant 1\} \\
B &= \{z \mid 4|x-y| < |z-y| \leqslant 1\} \\
A\backslash B &= \{z \in A \mid |z-y| \leqslant 4|x-y|\} \cup \{z \in A \mid |z-y| > 1\} = E_1 \cup E_2
\end{aligned}
$$

for

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_1 &= \{z \mid |z-y| \leqslant 4|x-y| < |z-x| \leqslant 1\} \\
&\subseteq \{z \mid 4|x-y| \leqslant |x-z| \leqslant 5|x-y| \}.
\end{aligned}
$$

$|x-z| \leqslant |x-y| + |y-z| \leqslant 5|x-y|$ in E_1. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{E_1} \frac{1}{|z-x|^d}\,dz &\leqslant \int_{4|x-y| \ \leqslant |x-z| \leqslant 5|x-y|} \frac{1}{|z-x|^{d-\alpha}}\,dz \\
&= \int_{4|x-y| \ \leqslant |z| \leqslant 5|x-y|} \frac{1}{|z|^{d-\alpha}}\,dz \\
&= \int_{4|x-y|} \frac{1}{r^d} r^{d-1}\,dr \\
&= \int_{4|x-y|} \frac{1}{r^{1-\alpha}}\,dr \\
&\leqslant C|x-y|^\alpha
\end{aligned}$$

Now in E_2: $|z-x| \geqslant |z-y| - |y-x| \geqslant 1 - |y-x|$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{E_2} \frac{1}{|z-x|^{d-\alpha}}\,dz \leqslant \int \frac{1}{|z-x|^{d-\alpha}}\,dz &= \int_{1-|x-y|}^{1} \frac{1}{r^{d-\alpha}} r^{d-1}\,dr \\
&\leqslant const. \left| 1 - \frac{1}{(1-|x-y|)^\alpha} \right| \leqslant C|x-y|^\alpha
\end{aligned}$$

■

Exercise 4.16 (E 5.1) Prove that if f is a harmonic function in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $g \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is radial, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)g(x)\,dx = f(0) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(x)\,dx$$

Solution. $x = r\omega, r > 0, |\omega| = 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)g(x)\,dx &\overset{\text{(Polar)}}{=} \int_0^\infty \left(\int_{\partial B(0,1)} f(r\omega)g(r\omega)\,d\omega \right) dr \\
&= \int_0^\infty \left(g_0(r) \int_{\partial B(0,1)} f(r\omega)\,d\omega \right) dr \\
\text{(Mean value theorem (2.12))} \quad &= \int_0^\infty \left(g_0(r) f(0) \int_{\partial B(0,1)} d\omega \right) dr \\
&= f(0) \int_0^\infty \left(\int_{\partial B(0,1)} g(r\omega)\,d\omega \right) dr \\
&= f(0) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(x)\,dx
\end{aligned}$$

■

Remark 4.17 Let $g \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be radial. Why is $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{g(x)}{|x|}\,dx \neq \infty$? Because $f(x) = \frac{1}{|x|}$ is harmonic in $\mathbb{R}^d \backslash \{0\}$ and sub-harmonic in $\mathbb{R}^d$, $-\Delta f = c\delta_0$.

Exercise 4.18 (E 5.2) Let $1 \leqslant p < \infty$. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open. Consider the Sobolev Space

$$W^{1,p}(\Omega) = \{ f \in L^p(\Omega) \mid \partial_{x_i} f \in L^p(\Omega),\ \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, d \}$$

with the norm

$$\|f\|_{W^{1,p}} = \|f\| + \sum_{i=1}^d \|\partial_{x_i} f\|_{L^p(\Omega)}.$$

Prove that $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is a Banach space. Here $x = (x_i)_{i=1}^d \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Hint: You can use the fact that $L^p(\Omega)$ is a Banach Space.

Solution. $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \subseteq L^p(\Omega) \times L^p(\Omega) \cdots \times L^p(\Omega) = (L^p(\Omega))^{d+1}$. For an element $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ we can think of it as $f \mapsto (f, \partial_1 f, \partial_2 f, \ldots, \partial_d f)$, so $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is a subspace of $(L^p(\Omega))^{d+1}$, which is a norm-space. Why is $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ closed in $(L^p(\Omega))^{d+1}$? Take $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that $f_n \to f$ in L^p an $\partial_i f_n \to g_i$ in L^p for all $i = 1, \ldots, d$. We prove that $(f, g_1, \ldots, g_d) \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, i.e. $f \in W^{1,p}$ and $g_i = \partial_i f$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, d$. We know that $f_n \to f$ in $L^p(\Omega)$, so $f_n \to f$ in $D'(\Omega)$ and $\partial_i f_n \to \partial_i f$ in $D'(\Omega)$. On the other hand we have $partial_i f_n \to g_i$ in $L^p(\Omega)$, so $\partial_i f_n \to g_i$ in $D'(\Omega)$. So we get $\partial_i f = g_i \in L^p(\Omega)$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, d$ in $D'(\Omega)$. So we can conclude $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $\partial_i f = g_i$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, d$. ∎

Exercise 4.19 (E 5.3) Let f be a real-valued function in $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for some $1 \leqslant p < \infty$. Prove that $|f| \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and

$$(\nabla |f|)(x) = \begin{cases} \nabla f(x) & f(x) > 0 \\ -\nabla f(x) & f(x) < 0 \\ 0 & f(x) = 0 \end{cases}.$$

Solution. Consider $G_\epsilon(t) = \sqrt{\epsilon^2 + t^2} - \epsilon$ for $\epsilon > 0$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Clearly we have $G_\epsilon(t) \to |t|$ as $\epsilon \to 0$ and

$$G_\epsilon'(t) = \frac{2t}{2\sqrt{\epsilon^2 + t^2}} = \frac{t}{\sqrt{\epsilon^2 + t^2}},$$

so $|G_\epsilon'(t)| \leqslant 1$, $G_\epsilon(0) = 0$. By the chain rule, $G_\epsilon(f) \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and

$$(\partial_i G_\epsilon(f))(x) = G_\epsilon'(f)\partial_i f(x) = \frac{f(x)}{\sqrt{\epsilon^2 + f^2(x)}} \partial_i f(x) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

for all $i = 1, \ldots, d$. Note then when $\epsilon \to 0$ that $G_\epsilon(f)(x) \to |f(x)|$ pointwise, so $G_\epsilon(f) \to |f|$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$. $|G_\epsilon(f)(x) - G_\epsilon(0)| \leqslant |f(x)| \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by dominated convergence.

$$\partial_i G_\epsilon(f)(x) = \frac{f(x)}{\sqrt{\epsilon^2 + f^2(x)}} \partial_i f(x) \xrightarrow{\epsilon \to 0} g_i(x) := \begin{cases} \partial f_i(x) & f(x) > 0 \\ -\partial_i f(x) & f(x) < 0 \\ 0 & f(x) = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$|\partial_i G_\epsilon(f)(x)| \leqslant \left| \frac{f(x)}{\sqrt{\epsilon^2 + f^2(x)}} \right| |\partial_i f(x)| \leqslant |\partial_i f(x)| \ \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

So we get $\partial_i G_\epsilon(f) \xrightarrow{\epsilon \to 0} g_i$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by Dominated Convergence. So we conclude: $\partial_i(|f|) = g_i \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, d$, so $|f| \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $|f| \in L^p$. ∎

Exercise 4.20 (E 5.4) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open and bounded, $f \in L^1(\Omega)$,

$$u(x) = \int_\Omega G(x-y) f(y)\, dy$$

Let $-\Delta u = f$ in $D'(\Omega)$, $u \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$, $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\omega_d f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, where

$$\omega_d(x) = \begin{cases} 1 + |x| & d = 1 \\ \log(1 + |x|) & d = 1 \\ \frac{1}{(1+|x|)^{d-2}} & d \geqslant 3 \end{cases}.$$

Prove that

$$G \star f = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G(x-y)f(y)\,dy \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

and $-\Delta(G \star f) = f$ in $D'(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Solution. Define $\tilde{f} = \mathbb{1}_\Omega(x)f(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & x \in \Omega \\ 0 & x \notin \Omega \end{cases}$. Then

$$u(x) = \int_\Omega G(x-y)f(y)\,dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G(x-y)\tilde{f}(y)\,dy = (G \star \tilde{f})(x)$$

We have $u \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, so $u \in L^1(\Omega)$. Then $-\Delta u = \tilde{f}$ in $D'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, so $-\Delta u = f$ in $D'(\Omega)$. Claim: $-\Delta u = f$ in $D'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, so $-\Delta u = f$ in $D'(\Omega)$ if $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, $\tilde{f}|_\Omega = f$. Take $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$. We need: $(-\Delta u)(\phi) \stackrel{?}{=} \int_\Omega f\phi$. We have $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$, so $\phi C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. This implies:

$$(-\Delta u)(\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{f}\phi = \int_{\substack{\Omega, \\ \operatorname{supp}\phi \subseteq \Omega}} \tilde{f}\phi = \int_\Omega f\phi \qquad \blacksquare$$

Exercise 4.21 (E 5.5) Let $B = B\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$. Consider $u : B \to \mathbb{R}$, defined by

$$u(x) = \log|\log|x||.$$

Prove that the distributional derivative $f = -\Delta u$ is a function in $L^{\frac{3}{2}}(B)$.

Solution.

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega(r) &= \log(-\log(r)), \quad \text{for } r \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \\
\omega'(r) &= \frac{1}{-\log(r)}\left(-\frac{1}{r}\right) = \frac{1}{r\log r} \\
\omega''(r) &= -\frac{1}{(r\log(r))^2}(r\log(r))' = -\frac{\log(r)+1}{(r\log r)^2}
\end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$-\Delta u = w''(r) = \frac{1}{(r\log r)^2} - \frac{1}{r^2\log(r)} = f(r)$$

We show that $f \in L^{\frac{3}{2}}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_B |f(x)|^{\frac{3}{2}}\ dx &= const\int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| \frac{1}{r^2(\log r)^2} - \frac{1}{r^2\log r}\right|^{\frac{3}{2}} r^2\,dr \\
&\lesssim \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{r}\left| \frac{1}{(\log(r))^2} - \frac{1}{(\log(r))}\right|^{\frac{3}{2}} dr \\
\begin{pmatrix} r = e^{-x}, \\ x \in (\log(2), \infty), \\ dr = -e^{-x}\ dx \end{pmatrix} \quad &\lesssim \int_{\log(2)}^\infty e^x\left(\frac{1}{x^2} + \frac{1}{x}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-x}\ dx \\
&\lesssim \int_{\log(2)}^\infty \frac{1}{x^{\frac{3}{2}}}\,dx < \infty
\end{aligned}$$

Where $\tilde{<}$ means *up to a constant*. Now, $u(x) = \omega(r) = \log(-\log(r))$.

$$-\Delta u(x) = f(r) = \frac{1}{r^2(\log(r))^2} - \frac{1}{r^2\log(r))}$$

for all $x \neq 0, |x| = r < \frac{1}{2}$. Why is $-\Delta u(x) = f$ in $D'(B)$? Take $\phi \in C_c^\infty(B)$, check: $\int_B u(-\Delta\phi) = \int_B f\phi$.

$$\int_{|x|<\frac{1}{2}} u(-\Delta\phi)\,dx = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0^+}\int_{\epsilon<|x|<\frac{1}{2}} u(x)(-\Delta\phi)(x)\,dx$$

by Dominated convergence. $u \in L^1(B)$. For all $\epsilon > 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\epsilon<|x|<\frac{1}{2}} u(x)(-\Delta\phi)(x)\,dx &= \int_{|x|>\epsilon} u(x)(-\Delta\phi)(x)\,dx \\ &= \int_{\partial B(0,\epsilon)} u(x)\nabla\phi(x)\frac{x}{|x|}\,dS(x) + \int_{|x|>\epsilon}\nabla u(x)\nabla\phi(x)\,dx\end{aligned}$$

The boundary term vanishes as $\epsilon \to 0$ since

$$\left|u(x)\nabla\phi(x)\frac{x}{|x|}\right| \leqslant \|\nabla\phi\|_{L^\infty}|u(x)| = C\log|\log(r)|$$

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_{\partial B(0,\epsilon)} u(x)\nabla\phi(x)\frac{x}{|x|}\,dS(x)\right| &\leqslant C\int_{\partial B(0,\epsilon)}\log|\log(\epsilon)|\,dS(x) \\ &= C\log|\log\epsilon|\underbrace{|\partial B(0,\epsilon)|}_{\sim\epsilon^2} \xrightarrow{\epsilon\to 0} 0\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{|x|>\epsilon}\nabla u(x)\nabla\phi(x)\,dx &= \sum_{i=1}^d\int_{|x|>\epsilon}\partial_i u(x)\partial_i\phi(x)\,dx \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^d\left(-\int_{\partial B(0,\epsilon)}\partial_i u(x)\phi(x)\frac{x_i}{|x|}\,dS(x) - \int_{|x|>\epsilon}\underbrace{\partial_i\partial_i u(x)}_{f(x)}\phi(x)\,dx\right)\end{aligned}$$

The boundary term vanishes as $\epsilon \to 0$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_{\partial B(0,\epsilon)}\partial u(x)\phi(x)\frac{x_i}{|x|}\,dS(x)\right| &\leqslant \|\phi\|_{L^\infty}\int_{\partial B(0,\epsilon)}|\partial_i u(x)|\;dS(x) \\ (\star)\quad &\leqslant C\frac{1}{|\epsilon\log(r)|}|\partial B(0,\epsilon)| \to 0\end{aligned}$$

as $\epsilon \to 0$. $(\star) u = u(r), u(x) = \omega(|x|), \partial_i u(x) = \omega(|x|)\frac{x_i}{|x|}$, $|\partial_i u(x)| \leqslant |\omega(|x|)| = \left|\frac{1}{r\log(r)}\right|$. Finally:

$$\int_{|x|>\epsilon} f(x)\phi(x)\,dx \xrightarrow{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)\phi(x)\,dx$$

Since $f\phi \in L^1$ and Dominated Convergence. ■

Exercise 4.22 (Bonus 5) Construct $u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ compactly supported s.t. $-\Delta u \in L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and u is not continuous at 0.

Hint: Related to E 5.5. $u_0(x) = \omega(r) = \log(|\log(r)|)$ if $0 < r = |x| < \frac{1}{2}$. Consider χu_0 where $\chi \in C_c^\infty$, $\chi = 0$ if $|x| > \frac{1}{2}$, $\chi = 1$ if $|x| < \frac{1}{4}$. You can prove that $\Delta(\chi u_0) = (\Delta\chi)u_0 + 2\nabla\chi\nabla u_0 + \chi(\underbrace{\Delta u_0}_{\in L^{\frac{3}{2}}})$ in $D'(\mathbb{R}^3)$. (almost everywhere, in distributional sense, integration by parts)

Theorem 4.23 (Regularity on Domains) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open. Assume $u, f \in D'(\Omega)$ such that $-\Delta u = f$ in $D'(\Omega)$.

a) If $f \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$, then

- $u \in C^1(\Omega)$ if $d = 1$
- $u \in L^q_{loc}(\Omega)$ for all $q < \infty$ if $d = 2$
- $u \in L^q_{loc}(\Omega)$ for all $q < \frac{d}{d-2}$ if $d \geqslant 3$

b) If $f \in L^q_{loc}(\Omega)$, $d \geqslant p < \frac{d}{2}$, then $u \in C^{0,\alpha}_{loc}(\Omega)$, where $0 < \alpha < 2 - \frac{d}{p}$

c) If $f \in L^p_{loc}(\Omega)$, $p >$ df, then $u \in C^{1,\alpha}_{loc}(\Omega)$, where $0 \leqslant \alpha < 1 - \frac{d}{p}$

d) If $f \in C^{0,\alpha}_{loc}(\Omega)$ for some $0 < \alpha < 1$, then $u \in C^{2,\alpha}_{loc}(\Omega)$

e) If $f \in C^{m,\alpha}_{loc}(\Omega)$, then $u \in C^{m+2,\alpha}_{loc}(\Omega)$

Proof. Let $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$. Take a ball $\overline{B} \subseteq \Omega$. Define $f_B : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{K}$,

$$f_B(x) = (\mathbb{1}_B f)(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & x \in B \\ 0 & x \notin B \end{cases}$$

Then if $f \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$, f_B is compactly supported. From the previous theorems: $G \star f_B \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $-\Delta(G \star f_B) = f_B$ in $D'(\mathbb{R}^d)$. On the other hand, $-\Delta u = f$ in $D'(\Omega)$, so $-\Delta(u - G \star f_B) = 0$ in $D'(B)$. Indeed, for all $\phi \in C_c^\infty(B)$, then:

$$(-\Delta u)(\phi) = \int_\Omega f\phi = \int_B f_B\phi = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_B\phi = (-\Delta)(G \star f_B)(\phi)$$

Then $-\Delta u = -\Delta(G \star f_B)$ in $D'(B)$. Then $u - G \star f_B$ is harmonic in B and by Weyls lemma we have $u - G \star f_B \in C^\infty(B)$. So the smoothness of u in B is the same to that of $G \star f$. ∎

Exercise 4.24 (E 6.1) Show that If $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then $f \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $1 \leqslant p < \infty$, then $\chi f \in W^{1,p}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and

$$\partial_i(\chi f) = (\partial_i\chi)f + \chi(\partial_i f) \quad \text{in } D'(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

Solution. $\chi f \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ obvious. $\partial(\chi f) \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is nontrivial but follows from $\partial_i(\chi f) = \underbrace{(\partial_i\chi)f + \chi(\partial f)}_{\in L^p_{loc}}$ in $D'(\mathbb{R}^d)$. To compute the distributional derivative $\partial_i(\chi f)$, then: Take $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$:

$$-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \chi f(\partial\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (?)\phi$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \chi f(\partial_i \phi) &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\chi \partial_i \phi) \\
(\chi\partial_i\phi = (\partial_i\chi)\phi + \chi(\partial_i\phi)) \qquad &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f\left(\partial_i(\chi\phi) - (\partial_i\chi)\phi\right) \\
&= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f\partial_i(\underbrace{\chi\phi}_{\in C_c^\infty}) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\partial_i\chi)\phi \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\partial_i f)\chi\phi + \int f(\partial_i\chi)\phi \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} ((\partial_i f)\chi + f(\partial_i\chi))\phi
\end{aligned}
$$

So $\partial_i(\chi f) = (\partial_i f)\chi + f(\partial_i \chi)$ in $D'(\mathbb{R}^d)$. ■

Remark 4.25 Question: If $\chi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $f \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Is this it still correct that $\partial_i(\chi f) = (\partial_i\chi)f + \chi(\partial_i f) \quad \text{in } D'(\mathbb{R}^d)$?

Proof. It suffices to show that we still can apply intergration by parts.

$$
(\star) \qquad -\int f\partial_i g \stackrel{?}{=} \int (\partial_i f) g
$$

Approximation: $(\star)$ is correct if $g \in C_c^\infty$

- If $g \in C_c^1$, there is $\{g_n\} \subseteq C_c^\infty$ s.t. $g_n \to g$ in $W^{1,p}_{loc}$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

$$
\int (\partial_i g) f \xleftarrow{n\to\infty} -\int \underbrace{f}_{L^p} \underbrace{\partial g_n}_{\to\partial_i g \text{ in } L^q} = \int \underbrace{(\partial_i f)}_{\in L^p} \underbrace{g_n}_{\to g \text{ in } L^q} \xrightarrow{n\to\infty} \int (\partial_i f) g
$$

■

Exercise 4.26 (E 6.2) $\mathbb{R}^2$, $G(x) = -\frac{1}{2\pi}\log|x|$. Let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, compactly supported. Define $u(x) = (G \star f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G(x-y)f(y)\,dy$

1. If $p = 1$, then $u \in L^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for all $q < \infty$.
2. If $p > 2$, then $u \in C^{1,\alpha}$ with $0 < \alpha < 1 - \frac{2}{p}$.

Solution. 1. Take any ball $B = B(0,R)$ and:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_B |u(x)|^q \, dx &= \int_B \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |G(x-y)||f(y)|\,dy\right)^q dx \\
&\leqslant C\int_B \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |G(x-y)|^q |f(y)|\,dy\right) dx \\
&= C\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\int_B |G(x-y)|^q\,dx\right) |f(y)|\,dy
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall from the proof of Youngs inequality:

$$
\begin{aligned}
|u(x)| &= \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} G(x-y)f(y)\,dy\right| \\
&\leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |G(x-y)||f(y)|\ dy \\
&\leqslant \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |G(x-y)|^q|f(y)|\,dy\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f(y)|\,dy\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad \frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{q'}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

Assume $\operatorname{supp} f \subseteq \overline{B(0,R)}$. Then if $y \in \operatorname{supp} f$ and $x \in B(0,R)$, then $|x-y| \leqslant |x|+|y| \leqslant R+R_1$. For all $y \in \operatorname{supp} f$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B(0,R)} |G(x-y)|^q\,dx &\leqslant \int_{|x-y|\leqslant R+R_1} |G(x-y)|^q\,dx \\
&= \int_{|z|\leqslant R+R_1} |G(z)|^q\,dz < \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

as $G \in L^q_{loc}$ $(|G(z)| = \frac{1}{2\pi}|\log(z)| \leqslant \frac{C_{R+R_1,\epsilon}}{|z|^\epsilon}$ for all $|z| \leqslant R+R_1)$, so

$$
\int_{|z|\leqslant R+R_1} |G(z)|^q \leqslant C_{R+R_1,\epsilon}\int_{|z|\leqslant R+R_1} \frac{1}{|z|^{\epsilon q}}\,dz < \infty
$$

if $\epsilon q < 2$.

2. Recall $\partial_i u \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and:

$$
\partial_i u(x) = (\partial_i G \star f)(x) = c\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{x_i-y_i}{|x-y|^2} f(y)\,dy
$$

First we show $\partial_i u \in C^{0,\alpha}$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\partial_i u(x) - \partial_i u(z)| &= \left|C\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left(\frac{x_i-y_i}{|x-y|^2} - \frac{z_i-y_i}{|z-y|^2}\right) f(y)\,dy\right| \\
&\leqslant C\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left|\frac{x_iy_i}{|x-y|^2} - \frac{z_i-y_i}{|z-y|^2}\right| |f(y)|\,dy \\
&\overset{?}{\leqslant} C|x-y|^\alpha
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{x_i-y_i}{|x-y|^2} - \frac{z_i-y_i}{|z-y|^2}\right| &= \left|(x_i-y_i)\left(\frac{1}{|x-y|^2}-\frac{1}{|z-y|^2}\right) + \frac{x_i-z_i}{|z-y|^2}\right| \\
&\leqslant |x_i-y_i|\left|\frac{1}{|x-y|^2}-\frac{1}{|z-y|^2}\right| + \frac{|x_i-z_i|}{|z-y|^2} \\
&\leqslant C|z-x|^\alpha\left(\frac{1}{|x-y|^{1+\alpha}}+\frac{1}{|z-y|^{1+\alpha}}+\frac{|x-z|}{|z-y|^2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $|x_i-z_i| \leqslant |x-z|$ and $|x_i-y_i| \leqslant |x-y|$ and:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underbrace{\left|\frac{1}{|x-y|^2}-\frac{1}{|z-y|^2}\right|}_{\text{sym } x\leftrightarrow z} &= \left|\frac{1}{|x-y|}-\frac{1}{|z-y|}\right|\left|\frac{1}{|x-y|}+\frac{1}{|z-y|}\right| \\
&= \frac{||z-y|-|x-y||}{|x-y||z-y|}\left|\frac{1}{|x-y|}+\frac{1}{|z-y|}\right| \\
&\leqslant |z-x|^\alpha\frac{\max(|z-y|,|x-y|)^{1-\alpha}}{|x-y||z-y|}\left(\frac{1}{|x-y|}+\frac{1}{|z-y|}\right) \\
&\leqslant C|z-x|^\alpha\left(\frac{1}{|x-y|^{2+\alpha}}+\frac{1}{|z-y|^{2+\alpha}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By the symmetrie $x \leftrightarrow z$:

$$\begin{aligned}
LHS &\leqslant C|z-x|^{\alpha}\left(\frac{1}{|x-y|^{1+\alpha}}+\frac{1}{|z-y|^{1+\alpha}}\right)+\frac{|x-y|}{|x-y|^2} \\
\Rightarrow LHS &\leqslant C\cdots+|x-z|\ \min\left(\frac{1}{|z-y|^2},\frac{1}{|x-y|^2}\right) \\
&\leqslant (|x-y|+|z-y|)^{1-\alpha} \\
& C|z-x|^{\alpha}\left(\frac{1}{|x-y|^{1+\alpha}}+\frac{1}{|z-y|^{1+\alpha}}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

In summary:

$$\begin{aligned}
|\partial_i u(x)-\partial_i u(z)| &\leqslant C\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left|\frac{x_i-y_i}{|x-y|^2}-\frac{z_i-y_i}{|z-y|^2}\right||f(y)|\,dy \\
&= C|x-y|^{\alpha}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left(\frac{1}{|x-y|^{1+\alpha}}+\frac{1}{|z-y|^{1+\alpha}}\right)|f(y)|\,dy
\end{aligned}$$

Consider if $|x|>2R_1$:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\frac{1}{|x-y|^{1+\alpha}}|f(y)|\,dy \leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\frac{1}{R_1^{1+\alpha}}\ |f(y)|\,dy \leqslant C$$

$\operatorname{supp} f \subseteq B(0,R_1)$. If $|x| \ < 2R_1$, then $|x-y|\leqslant 3R$ if $y\in B(0,R_1)$. Hence:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{|x-y|\leqslant 3R_1}\frac{1}{|x-y|^{1+\alpha}}|f(y)|\,dy \\
&\leqslant \left(\int_{|x-y|\leqslant 3R_1}\frac{1}{|x-y|^{(1+\alpha)p'}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}\left(\int |f(y)|^p\,dy\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&= \int_{|z|\leqslant 3R_1}\frac{1}{|z|^{(1+\alpha)p'}}\,dz<\infty
\end{aligned}$$

So $\alpha<1-\frac{2}{p}$. ■

Exercise 4.27 (E 6.3) Let $f\in C^{0,\alpha}_{loc}$ and $-\Delta u=f$ in $D'(\Omega)$. Prove $u\in C^{2,\alpha}_{loc}(\Omega)$.

Solution. Take an open ball $B\subseteq\bar{B}\subseteq\Omega$. We prove $u\in C^{2,\alpha}(B)$. There is an open Ω_B s.t. $\bar{B}\subseteq\bar{\Omega}_B\subseteq\Omega$. Then there is a $\chi_B\in C^{\infty}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ s.t. $\chi_B(x)=1$ if $x\in B$ and $\chi_B(x)=0$ if $x\notin\Omega_B$. Define

$$f_B(x)=\chi_B(x)f(x):\ \mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}$$

We prove that $f_B\in C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Since $f\in C^{0,\alpha}_{loc}(\Omega)$ we have $f\in C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega)$, so $|f(x)-f(y)|\leqslant C|x-y|^{\alpha}$ for all $x,y\in\Omega_B$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
|f_B(x)-f_B(y)| &= |\chi_B(x)f(x)-\chi_B(y)f(y)| \\
&\leqslant |(\chi_B(x)-\chi_B(y))f(x)+\chi_B(y)(f(x)-f(y))| \\
&\leqslant C|x-y|^{\alpha}\|f\|_{L^\infty}+C\|\chi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_B)}|x-y|^{\alpha}\leqslant C_{\Omega_B}|x-y|^{\alpha}
\end{aligned}$$

What about other cases? If x,y are bot not in Ω_B, then $|f_B(x)-f_B(y)|=0$, then if $x\in\Omega_B$ and $y\notin\Omega_B$: $|f_B(x)-f_B(y)|=|f_B(x)|=|\chi_B(x)||f(x)|=|\chi_B(x)-\chi_B(y)||f(x)|\leqslant C|x-y|^{\alpha}$. Conclusion: $|f_B(x)-f_B(y)|\leqslant C|x-y|^{\alpha}$ for all $x,y\in\mathbb{R}^d$, i.e. $f_B\in C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Also f_B is compactly supported. By a theorem in the lecture: $G\star f_B\in C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Finally: $-\Delta u=f$ in $D'(\Omega)$, $-\Delta(G\star f_B)=f_B$ in $D'(\mathbb{R}^d)$. So we conclude $-\Delta u=f=f_B=-\Delta(G\star f_B)$ in $D'(B)$. $-\Delta(u-G\star f_B)=0$ in $D'(B)$, so $u-G\star f_B\in C^{\infty}(B)$, so $u\in C^{2,\alpha}(B)$. ■

Exercise 4.28 (E 6.4) $u, f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $-\Delta u = f$ in $D'(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Prove $u \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\|u\|_{W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leqslant C\left(\|u\|_{L^2} + \|f\|_{L^2}\right)$.

$$\begin{aligned} W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d) &= \{g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \mid D^\alpha g \in L^2 \text{ for all } |\alpha| \leqslant 2\} \\ &= \{g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \mid \widehat{D^\alpha g}(k) = (-2\phi i k)^\alpha \hat{g}(k) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ for all } |\alpha| \leqslant 2\} \\ &= \{g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \mid (1+|k|^2)\hat{g}(k) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)\} \end{aligned}$$

$\|u\|_{W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ is comparable $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(1+|k|^2)^2|\hat{g}(k)|^2\,dk$. If $D^\alpha g \in L^2$, then $\widehat{D^\alpha g}(k) = (-2\pi i k)^\alpha \hat{g}(k)$. For any $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \int \widehat{D^\alpha g}(k)\hat{\phi}(k), dk = \int (D^\alpha g)\phi = (-1)^{|\alpha|}\int g(D^\alpha \phi) \\ &= (-1)^{|\alpha|}\int \overline{\hat{g}}(k)\widehat{D^\alpha}\ \phi(k) \\ &= (-1)^{|\alpha|}\int \overline{\hat{g}}(k)(-2\pi i k)^\alpha \hat{\phi}(k)\,dk \end{aligned}$$

so $\hat{D}^\alpha g(k) = (-1)^{|k|}\hat{g}(k)\overline{(-2\pi i k x)^\alpha} = \hat{g}(k)(-2\pi i k)^\alpha$. This implies:

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)} &\leqslant C\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(1+|k|^2)^2|\hat{u}(k)|^2\,dk \\ &= C\left(\|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|k|^4|\hat{u}(k)|^2\,dk\right) \\ &\leqslant C\left(\|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|f\|_{L^2}^2\right) \\ &\leqslant C(\|u\|_{L^2} + \|f||_{L^2})^2 \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.29 (Bonus 6) Let $f, g \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Prove that $fg \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and

$$\partial_i(fg) = (\partial_i f)g + f(\partial_i g) \quad \text{in } D'(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

Chapter 5

Existence for Poisson's Equation on Domains

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open. Consider Poisson's equation.

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = g & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

for given data (f, g) and u the unknown function.

- Classical solutions: $f \in C^2(\bar{\Omega}) \rightsquigarrow$ explicit representation formula.
- Weak solution: $f \in L^p(\Omega)$, $g \in L^p(\partial\Omega) \rightsquigarrow u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$. We are going to establish the existence by *Energy Methods.* (Calculus of variations)

Definition 5.1 (C^1-Domains) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open. We say that Ω is of class C^1 (i.e. $\partial\Omega \in C^1$) if for all $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$ there is a bijective function $h : U \to Q$, where

- $x_0 \in U$ open in $\mathbb{R}^d$
- $Q = \{x = (x_1, \ldots, x_d) = (x', x_d)\} \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R} \mid |x'| < 1, |x_d| < 1\}$
- $h \in C^1(\bar{U})$ and $h^{-1} \in C^1(\bar{Q})$ (C^1-diffeomorphism)
- $h(U) = Q$

$$\begin{aligned} h(U \cap \Omega) &= Q_+ = Q \cap \mathbb{R}^d_+ = \{x = (x', x_d) \in Q \mid x_d > 0\} \\ h(U \cap \partial\Omega) &= Q_0 = Q \cap \partial\mathbb{R}^d_+ = \{x = (x', x_d) \in Q \mid x_d = 0\} \\ h(U \backslash \bar{\Omega}) &= Q_- = Q \cap \mathbb{R}^d_- = \{x = (x', x_d) \in Q \mid x_d < 1\} \end{aligned}$$

(From Brezis' book)

Remark 5.2 The set Q can be replaced by a ball, i.e. Ω is of C^1 if for all $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$ there is a function $U \to B(0,1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$.

- $x_0 \in U$ with $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ open.
- $h \in C^1(\bar{U}), h^{-1} \in C^1(\overline{B(0,1)})$
- $h(U \cap \Omega) = B(0,1) \cap \mathbb{R}^d_+$, $h(U \cap \partial\Omega) = B(0,1) \cap \mathbb{R}^d$.

Remark 5.3 (An equivalent definition form Evan's book App. C) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open. Then Ω is C^1 if for all $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$ there is a $r > 0$ and a C^1-function $\gamma : \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \to \mathbb{R}$ s.t. (upon relabeling and reorienting the axes if necessary) such that:

$$\Omega \cap B(x_0, r) = \{x = (x', x_d) \in B(x_0, r) \mid x_d < \gamma(x_0)\}$$

Proof of the equivalence of the two definitions.

Def. 2 $\Rightarrow$ Def. 1: In fact, given $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$ and γ we can define

$$h(x', x_d) = (x', x_d - \gamma(x')) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$$
$$h^{-1}(x', x_d) = (x', x_d + \gamma(x')) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$$

Def. 1 $\Rightarrow$ Def. 2: We need the inverse function theorem and the implicit function theorem. Let $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$, let $h : U \to B(0,1)$ as in Def. 1. Denote $h = (h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_d)$. Since h is invertible near x_0, by the inverse function theorem we have for the Jacobi matrix $Jh(x_0) = (\partial_j h_i(x_0))_{1 \leqslant i,j \leqslant d}$ is invertible. So we have $\nabla h_d(x_0) = (\partial_j h_d(x_0))_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant d} \neq \vec{0}^{\mathbb{R}^d}$, so there is a $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, d\}$ s.t. $\partial_j h_d(x_0) \neq 0$. By relabeling and reorienting the axes, we can assume that $\partial_d h_d(x_0) > 0$. By continuity there is a $r > 0$ such that $\partial_d h_d(x) > 0$ for all $x \in B(x_0, r)$. Define $\gamma : \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \to \mathbb{R}$ s.t. in $B(x_0, r)$:

$$x = (x', x_d) \in \partial\Omega \iff h_d(x', x_d) = 0 \iff x_d = \gamma(x'),$$

$h_d : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$. This gives a solution γ if $\partial_d h_d > 0$ in $B(x_0, r)$. (For implicit function theorem, $\partial_d h_d(x_0) \neq 0$) Question: Why in $B(x_0, r)$?

$$x = (x', x_d) \in \Omega \iff x_d > \gamma(x')$$

Since $\partial_d h_d(x) > 0$ for all $x \in B(x_0, r)$ we have that $x_d \mapsto h_d(x', x_d)$ is strictly increasing, hence

$$\begin{aligned} & x = (x', x_d) \in \Omega \\ \iff\ & h(x', x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d_+ \\ \iff\ & h_d(x', x_d) > 0 = h_d(x', \gamma(x')) \\ \iff\ & x_d > \gamma(x') \end{aligned}$$

■

Theorem 5.4 (Gauss-Green formula / Integration by parts) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open and bounded. Then

1. For all $u, v \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$:

$$\int_\Omega (\partial_i u)v = -\int_\Omega u(\partial_i v) + \int_{\partial\Omega} uvn_i \, dS,$$

where $\vec{n} = (n_i)_{i=1}^d$ is the outwarded unit normal vector.

2. For all $u, v \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$:

$$\int_\Omega u(-\Delta v) = \int_\Omega \nabla u \nabla v - \int_{\partial\Omega} u \frac{\partial v}{\partial \vec{n}} \, dS$$

where $\frac{\partial v}{\partial \vec{n}} = \nabla v \vec{n} = \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_i v n_i$.

Classical solutions via Green's function:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = g & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open, bounded, $\partial\Omega \in C^1$. Assume there exists a $u \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$, $f \in C(\bar{\Omega})$, $g \in C(\partial\Omega)$. Let G be the fundamentіal solution of the Laplace Equation in $\mathbb{R}^d$. We use integration by parts in $\Omega \backslash B(x,\epsilon)$:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega\backslash B(x,\epsilon)} u(y)(-\Delta G)(y-x)\,dy \\ &\quad= \int_{\Omega\backslash B(x,\epsilon)} \nabla u(y) \nabla G(y-x)\,dy - \int_{\partial\Omega\cup\partial B(x,\epsilon)} u(y)\frac{\partial G}{\partial \vec{n}}(y-x)\,dS(y) \\ &\int_{\Omega\backslash B(x,\epsilon)} G(y-x)(-\Delta u)(y)\,dy \\ &\quad= \int_{\Omega\backslash B(x,\epsilon)} \nabla G(y-x)\nabla u(y)\,dy - \int_{\partial\Omega\cup\partial B(x,\epsilon)} G(y-x)\frac{\partial u}{\partial \vec{n}}(y)\,dS(y) \end{aligned}$$

This implies:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega\backslash B(x,\epsilon)} [u(y)(-\Delta G(y-x)) - G(y-x)(-\Delta u)(y)]\,dy \\ &\quad = -\int_{\partial\Omega\cup\partial B(x,\epsilon)} \left[u(y)\frac{\partial G}{\partial\vec{n}}(y-x) - G(y,x)\frac{\partial u}{\partial \vec{n}}(y)\right] dS(y) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \Omega, x \in B(x,\epsilon) \subseteq \Omega$. When $\epsilon \to 0$, then the left hand side converges to $-\int_\Omega G(y-x)f(y)\,dy$ and the right hand side (for $d \geqslant 2$) we have $\partial_j G(y) = \frac{-y_j}{d|B_1||y|^d}$, so

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial \vec{n}} = \nabla G \vec{n} = \nabla G(y)\left(\frac{-y}{|y|}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{-y_i}{d|B_1||y|^d}\frac{-y_j}{|y|} = \frac{1}{d|B_1||y|^{d-1}} \text{ on} \partial B(0,\epsilon)$$

so we have

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial \vec{n}}(y-x) = \frac{1}{d|B_1|\epsilon^{d-1}}$$

on $\partial B(x,\epsilon)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial B(x,\epsilon)} u(y)\frac{\partial G}{\partial\vec{n}}(y-x)\,dS(y) &= \frac{1}{d|B_1|\epsilon^{d-1}}\int_{\partial B(x,\epsilon)} u(y)\,dS(y) \\ &= ⨍_{\partial B(x,\epsilon)} u(y)\,dS(y) \xrightarrow{\epsilon\to 0} u(x) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand:

$$\left|\int_{\partial B(x,\epsilon)} G(y-x)\frac{\partial u(y)}{\partial \vec{n}}\,dS(y)\right| \leqslant C\epsilon^{d-1}\sup_{|z|=\epsilon}|G(z)| \xrightarrow{\epsilon\to 0} 0$$

since $|G(z)| \leqslant \frac{C}{|z|^{d-2}}$ if $d \geqslant 3$, $|G(z)| \leqslant C|\log(z)|$ if $d=2$ and $|G(z)| \leqslant C|z|$ if $d=1$. In summary:

$$\begin{aligned} -\int_\Omega G(y-x)f(y)\,dy &= -\int_{\partial\Omega}\left[u(y)\frac{\partial G}{\partial\vec{n}}(y-x) - G(y-x)\frac{\partial u}{\partial\vec{n}}(y)\right] dS(y) - u(x) \\ \Leftrightarrow u(x) &= \int_\Omega G(y-x)f(y)\,dy + \int_{\partial\Omega}\left[G(y-x)\frac{\partial u}{\partial\vec{n}}(y) - g(y)\frac{\partial G}{\partial\vec{n}}(y-x)\right] dS(y) \end{aligned}$$

Problem: We don't know anything about $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \vec{n}}$ on $\partial\Omega$. Trick: We can resolve that by using the *corrector* function: $\Phi_x = \Phi_x(y)$ which solves:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta\Phi_x = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ \Phi_x(y) = G(y-x) & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

We assume that Φ_x exists.

Definition 5.5 (Green's function) $\tilde{G}(x-y) = G(y-x) - \Phi_x(y)$ for all $x, y \in \Omega$, $x \neq y$.

Exercise 5.6 (E 7.1) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open and bounded with C^1 boundary. For $x \in \Omega$, assume there exist $\Phi_x(y)$, $y \in \bar{\Omega}$, s.t.

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_y \Phi_x(y) = 0 \\ \Phi_x(y) = G(y-x) \end{cases},$$

$G(z) = \frac{1}{d(d-2)|B_1||z|^{d-2}}, d \geqslant 3$. Prove that $\Phi_x(y) = \Phi_y(x)$ for all $x, y \in \Omega$. Then $\tilde{G}(x,y) = G(y-x) - \Phi_x(y)$ is symmetric, i.e. $\tilde{G}(x,y) = \tilde{G}(y,x)$.

Solution. Assume $x \neq y$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \tilde{G}(x,z) = G(z-x) - \Phi_x(z) \\ g(z) &= \tilde{G}(y,z) = G(z-y) - \Phi_y(z) \end{aligned}$$

Integration by parts:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega\setminus(B(x,\epsilon)\cup B(y,\epsilon))} (f\Delta g - g\Delta f) &= \int_{\partial\Omega\cup\partial B(x,\epsilon)\cup\partial B(y,\epsilon)} \left(f\frac{\partial g}{\partial \vec{n_z}} - g\frac{\partial f}{\partial \vec{n_z}} \right) dS(z) \\ &= \int_{\partial B(x,\epsilon)\cup\partial B(y,\epsilon)} \left(f\frac{\partial g}{\partial \vec{n_z}} - g\frac{\partial f}{\partial \vec{n_z}} \right) dS(z) \end{aligned}$$

Consider $f\frac{\partial g}{\partial \vec{n_z}}$ on $\partial B(x,\epsilon)$. Since g is only singular at y, so $\left|\frac{\partial g}{\partial \vec{n}}\right| \leqslant C$ on $\partial B(x,\epsilon)$. This implies:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial B(x,\epsilon)} \left| f\frac{\partial g}{\partial \vec{n_z}} \right| dS(z) &\leqslant C \int_{\partial B(x,\epsilon)} |f|\, dS(z) \\ &\leqslant C \int_{\partial B(x,\epsilon)} \left(\frac{1}{|x-z|^{d-2}} + \|\Phi_x\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \right) dS(z) \\ &\leqslant C\epsilon^{d-1} \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^{d-2}} + 1 \right) \leqslant C\epsilon \xrightarrow{\epsilon\to 0} 0 \end{aligned}$$

Consider $f\frac{\partial g}{\partial \vec{n_z}}$ on $\partial B(y,\epsilon)$. Decompose $\frac{\partial g}{\partial \vec{n}} = [\nabla_z G(z-y) - \nabla_z \Phi_y(z)]\,\frac{(z-y)}{|z-y|}$. Since $\Phi_y(z)$ is harmonic in Ω, we have that

$$\int_{\partial B(y,\epsilon)} \left| f\nabla_z \Phi_y(z) \frac{-(z-y)}{|z-y|} \right| \leqslant C \int_{\partial B(y,\epsilon)} |f| \leqslant C\epsilon^{d-1} \xrightarrow{\epsilon\to 0} 0$$

Thus the main contribution from $f\frac{\partial g}{\partial \vec{n}}$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\partial B(y,\epsilon)} f(z)\nabla_z G(z-y)\frac{-(z-y)}{|z-y|}\,dS(z) \\
&\quad= \int_{\partial B(y,\epsilon)} f(z)\frac{-(z-y)}{d|B_1||z-y|^d}\frac{-(z-y)}{|z-y|}\,dS(z) \\
&\quad= \frac{1}{d|B_1|\epsilon^{d-1}}\int_{\partial B(y,\epsilon)} f(z)\,dS(z) \\
&\quad= \fint_{\partial B(y,\epsilon)} f(z)\,dS(z) = f(y)
\end{aligned}$$

In summary:

$$\int_{\partial B(x,\epsilon)\cup\partial B(y,\epsilon)} f\frac{\partial g}{\partial \vec{n_z}}\,dS(z) \xrightarrow{\epsilon\to 0} f(y)$$

Similary:

$$\int_{\partial B(x,\epsilon)\cup\partial B(y,\epsilon)} g\frac{\partial f}{\partial \vec{n_z}}\,dS(z) \xrightarrow{\epsilon\to 0} g(x)$$

So we have that $f(y) = g(x)$, so

$$\begin{aligned}
f(y) &= G(y-x) - \Phi_x(y) \\
g(x) &= G(x-y) - \Phi_y(x).
\end{aligned}$$

So $\Phi_x(y) = \Phi_y(x)$ for all $x \neq y \in \Omega$. This implies $\Phi_x(y) = \Phi_y(x)$ for all $x, y \in \Omega$. ∎

Theorem 5.7 Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open, bounded and C^1. If $u \in C^2(\Omega)$ solves

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = g & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases},$$

then

$$u(x) = -\int_{\partial\Omega} g(y)\frac{\partial \tilde{G}}{\partial \vec{n_y}}(x,y)\,dS(y) + \int_\Omega \tilde{G}(x,y)\,dy$$

Proof. We need to prove:

$$\int_\Omega \Phi_x(y)f(y)\,dy + \int_{\partial\Omega}\left(-g(y)\frac{\partial\Phi_x(y)}{\partial\vec{n}_y} + G(y-x)\frac{\partial u}{\partial\vec{n}}(y)\right) = 0$$

By integration by parts:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_\Omega \Phi_x(y)f(y)\,dy &= \int_\Omega \Phi_x(y)(-\Delta u(y))\,dy \\
&= \int_\Omega \left[\Phi_x(y)(-\Delta u(y)) + (\Delta\Phi_x(y))u(y)\right]\,dy \\
(\Delta\Phi_x(y) = 0) \qquad &= \int_{\partial\Omega}\left(-\Phi_x(y)\frac{\partial u}{\partial\vec{n}} + \frac{\partial\Phi_x(y)}{\partial\vec{n}}\underbrace{u(y)}_{g(y)}\right)\,dS(y)
\end{aligned}$$

∎

How can we compute $\Phi_x(y)$? It is not easy for general domains. But let us prove on two cases:

- $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d_+$ (half-space)
- $\Omega = B(0,r)$ (a ball)

5.1 Green's function on the upper half plane

We use the following notation:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{R}^d_+ &= \{x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_d) = (x', x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R} \mid x_d > 0\}\\ \partial\mathbb{R}^d_+ &= \{x = (x', x_d) \mid x_d = 0\} = \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times \{0\}\end{aligned}$$

For all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we want to find the correction function $\Phi_x(y)$ with $y \in \overline{\mathbb{R}^d_+}$ s.t.

$$\begin{cases} +\Delta_y \Phi_x(y) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d_+ \\ \Phi_x(y) = G(y-x) & \text{in } \partial\mathbb{R}^d_+ \end{cases}$$

Definition 5.8 (Reflection for $\mathbb{R}^d_+$) For all $x = (x', x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\tilde{x} = (x', -x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, (if $x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+ \Rightarrow \tilde{x}\mathbb{R}^d_-$)

Claim: $\Delta_y \Phi_x(y) = G(y - \tilde{x})$ is a corrector function.

- $\Delta_y \Phi_x(y) = \Delta_y G(y - \tilde{x}) = 0$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$ (as $\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d_- = \mathbb{R}^d \backslash \overline{\mathbb{R}^d_+}$)
- $\Phi_x(y) = G(y - \tilde{x}) = G(y - x)$ on $y \in \partial\mathbb{R}^d_+$. In fact, $y \in \partial\mathbb{R}^d_+$, so $y_d = 0$, so

$$G(y - \tilde{x}) = G_0(|y - \tilde{x}|) = G_0\left(\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} |x_i - y_i|^2 + |x_d|^2}\right) = G_0(|y - x|)$$

Consider $f = 0$ and

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d_+ \\ u = g & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}^d_+ \end{cases}$$

Then we expect

$$u(x) = -\int_{\partial\Omega} g(y) \frac{\partial \tilde{G}}{\partial \vec{n_y}}(x, y)\, dS(y)$$

We compute

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{G}}{\partial \vec{n_y}}(x - y) = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \frac{\partial \tilde{G}}{\partial y_j}(x, y)\vec{n_j} = -\frac{\partial \tilde{G}}{\partial y_d}(x, y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_d}(G(y - \tilde{x}) - G(y - x)) = \ldots$$

because $\tilde{G}(x, y) = G(y - x) - \Phi_x(y) = G(y - x) - G(y - \tilde{x})$.

$$\begin{aligned} \ldots &= \frac{1}{d|B_1|}\left[\frac{-(y_d - \tilde{x}_d)}{|y - \tilde{x}|^d} - \frac{-(y_d - x_d)}{|y - x|^d}\right] \\ (y \in \partial\mathbb{R}^d_+) \quad &= \frac{1}{d|B_1|}\left[\frac{\tilde{x}_d}{|y - x|} - \frac{x_d}{|y - x|^d}\right] = \frac{-2x_d}{d|B_1||y - x|^d} \end{aligned}$$

We expect

$$u(x) = -\int_{\partial\mathbb{R}^d_+} g(y) \frac{\partial \tilde{G}}{\partial \vec{n_y}}(x, y)\, dS(y) = \int_{\partial\mathbb{R}^d_+} g(y) \frac{2x_d}{d|B_1||y - x|^d}\, dS(y)$$

Theorem 5.9 Assume $g \in C(\mathbb{R}^{d-1}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$ Then

$$u(x) = \int_{\partial\mathbb{R}^d_+} g(y) K(x, y)\, dS(y)$$

and

$$K(x,y) = \frac{2x_d}{d|B_1||y-x|^d} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+.$$

satisfies that $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d_+) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d_+)$ and

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d_+ \\ \lim_{\substack{x\to 0 \\ x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+}} u(x) = g(x_0) & \forall x_0 \in \partial\mathbb{R}^d_+ \end{cases}$$

Proof. Claim: For all $y \in \partial\mathbb{R}^d_+$, $x \mapsto K(x,y)$ is harmonic in $\mathbb{R}^d_+$ (i.e. $\Delta_x K(x,y) = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^d_+$)

- Argument from Evans:

$$K(x,y) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial y_d},\ \tilde{G}(y-x) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial y_d}(G(y-x) - G(y-\tilde{x}))$$

We know that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$, $y \mapsto \tilde{G}(y,x)$ is haromnic in $\mathbb{R}^d_+\backslash\{x\}$. By symmetry we have $\tilde{G}(y,x) = \tilde{G}(x,y)$ for all $x,y \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$. So for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$, $x \mapsto \tilde{G}(y,x)$ is harmonic in $\mathbb{R}^d_+\backslash\{y\}$. Then for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^d_+ : -\frac{\partial}{\partial y_d}\tilde{G}(y,x) = K(x,y)$ is harmonic $x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+\backslash\{y\}$. By a limit argument, for all $y \in \partial\mathbb{R}^d_+$, $x \mapsto K(x,y)$ is harmonic for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$.

- A direct proof:

$$K(x,y) = \frac{2x_d}{d|B_1|}\frac{1}{|x-y|^d}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$, $y \in \partial\mathbb{R}^d_+$. For $i \neq d$, $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{x_i} K(x,y) &= \frac{2x_d}{d|B_1|}\frac{(-d)}{|x-y|^{d+1}}\frac{x_i - y_i}{|x-y|} = \frac{-2x_d}{|B_1|}\frac{x_i-y_i}{|x-y|^{d+2}} \\ \partial^2_{x_i} K(x,y) &= -\frac{2x_d}{|B_1|}\left[\frac{1}{|x-y|^{d+1}} - \frac{(d+2)}{|x-y|^{d+3}}(x_i-y_i)\frac{(x_i-y_i)}{|x-y|}\right] \\ &= -\frac{2x_d}{|B_1|}\left[\frac{1}{|x-y|^{d+1}} - \frac{(d+2)}{|x-y|^{d+4}}(x_i-y_i)^2\right] \end{aligned}$$

Moreover:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{x_d} K(x,y) &= \frac{2}{d|B_1|}\frac{1}{|x-y|^d} + \frac{2x_d}{d|B_1|}(-d)\frac{(x_d-y_d)}{|x-y|^{d+2}} \\ (y_d = 0) \quad &= \frac{2}{d|B_1|}\frac{1}{|x-y|^d} + \frac{2x_d^2}{|B_1||x-y|^{d+2}} \\ \partial^2_{x_d} K(x,y) &= \frac{-2}{|B_1|}\frac{(x_d-y_d)}{|x-y|^{d+2}} + \frac{4x_d}{|B_1||x-y|^{d+2}} - \frac{2(d+2)|B_1|^2}{x}{}_d\frac{(x_d-y_d)}{|x-y|^{d+4}} \end{aligned}$$

Then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_x K(x,y) &= \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \partial_{x_i}^2 K(x,y) + \partial_{x_i}^2 K(x,y) \\
&= -\frac{2x_d}{|B_1|}\left[\frac{d-1}{|x-y|^{d+2}} - (d+2)\sum_{i=1}^{d-1}\frac{(x_i-y_i)^2}{|x-y|^{d+4}}\right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1+2}{|x-y|^{d+2}} - \frac{(d+2)x_d(x_d-y_d)}{|x-y|^{d+4}}\right] \\
&= -\frac{2x_d}{|B_1|}\left[\frac{d+2}{|x-y|^{d+2}} - (d+2)\frac{1}{|x-y|^{d+4}}\left(\underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{d}|x_i-y_i|^2}_{|x-y|^2}\right)\right] = 0
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$, $y \in \partial\mathbb{R}^d_+$. Claim (exercise) for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$,

$$\int_{\partial\mathbb{R}^d_+} K(x,y)\,dy = 1$$

Consider

$$u(x) = \int_{\partial\mathbb{R}^d_+} K(x,y)g(y)\,dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$$

Since $g \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d-1}) = L^\infty(\partial\mathbb{R}^d_+)$ and $K(x,y) \geqslant 0$, hence

$$|u(x)| \leqslant \left(\int_{\partial\mathbb{R}^d_+} K(x,y)\,dy\right)\|g\|_{L^\infty}$$

Thus $\|u\|_{L^\infty} \leqslant \|g\|_{L^\infty}$. Moreover

$$D_x^\alpha u(x) = \int_{\partial\mathbb{R}^d_+} D_x^\alpha K(x,y)g(y)\,dy$$

bounded, so $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d_+)$, $x \mapsto K(x,y)$ is smooth as $x \neq y$.

$$\Delta_x u(x) = \int_{\partial\mathbb{R}^d_+} \underbrace{\Delta_x K(x,y)}_{=0} g(y)\,dy = 0$$

So u is harmonic in $\mathbb{R}^d_+$. ($\Rightarrow u \in C^\infty$ by Weyl's lemma). Take $x_0 \in \partial\mathbb{R}^d_+$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$. Then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
|u(x) - g(x_0)| &= \left|\int_{\partial\mathbb{R}^d_+} K(x,y)(g(y)-g(x_0))\,dy\right| \\
&\leqslant \int_{\partial\mathbb{R}^d_+} K(x,y)|g(y)-g(x_0)|\,dy \\
&= \underbrace{\int_{|y-x_0|\leqslant L|x-x_0|}}_{(I)} + \underbrace{\int_{|y-x_0|>L|x-x_0|}}_{(II)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(I) &= \int_{|y-x_0|\leqslant L|x-x_0|} K(x,y)|g(y)-g(x_0)|\,dy \\
&= \sup_{|y-x_0|\leqslant L|x-x_0|} |g(y)-g(x_0)| \xrightarrow{x\to x_0} 0 \quad \forall L > 0
\end{aligned}
$$

(II): If $|y-x_0| > L|x-x_0|$, then $|y-x| > \frac{1}{2}|y-x_0| > \frac{L}{2}|x-x_0|$ if $L \geqslant 2$.

$$\int_{|y-x_0|>|L|x-x_0|} K(x,y)|g(y)-g(x_0)|\,dy \leqslant C\int_{y\in\partial\mathbb{R}^d_+} \frac{x_d}{|x_0-y|}\,dy$$

$$Cx_d \int_{\substack{z\in\mathbb{R}^{d-1}\\|z|>L|x-x_0|}} \frac{1}{|z|^d}\;dz = const.\frac{x_d}{L|x-x_0|} \leqslant \frac{const.}{L} \xrightarrow{L\to\infty} 0$$

$$x_d = |x_d-(x_0)_d| \;\leqslant |x-x_0|$$

■

5.2 Green's function for a ball

Let $B = B(0,1)$. For all $x \in B$, for all $y \in \bar{B}$ we want to find the corrector function $\Phi_x(y)$ s.t.

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_y \Phi_x(y) = 0 & \text{in } B \\ \Phi_x(y) = G(y-x) & \text{on } \partial B \end{cases}$$

where for $d \geqslant 3$: $G(z) = \frac{1}{d(d-2)|B_1||z|^{d-2}}$.

Definition 5.10 (Reflection / Duality through the sphere ∂B) For all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d\backslash\{0\}$ we define $\tilde{x} = \frac{x}{|x|^2}$. Clearly we have for all $x \in B$ that if $|x| < 1$, then $|\tilde{x}| = \left|\frac{x}{|x|^2}\right| = \frac{1}{|x|} > 1$, so $\tilde{x} \notin \bar{B}$

Lemma 5.11 For $d \geqslant 3$ the function $\Phi_x(y) = G(|x|(y-\tilde{x}))$ is a corrector function.

Proof.

$$\Phi_x(y) = \frac{1}{d(d-2)|B_1||x|^{d-2}|y-\tilde{x}|^{d-2}}$$

for all $x \in B, x \neq 0$, for all $y \in \bar{B}$. Then clearly $y \mapsto \Phi_x(y)$ is harmonic in B (Since $\frac{1}{|z|^{d-2}}$ is harmonic in $\mathbb{R}\backslash 0$). Let's check the boundary: Let $y \in \partial B$, i.e. $|y| = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} ||x|(y-\tilde{x})| &= |x|\left|y - \frac{x}{|x|^2}\right| \\ &= |x|\sqrt{|y|^2 - 2\frac{xy}{|x|^2} + \left|\frac{x}{|x|^2}\right|^2} \\ &= \sqrt{|x|^2|y|^2 - 2xy + 1} \\ (|y| = 1) \qquad &= \sqrt{|x|^2 - 2xy + |y|^2} = |x-y| \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\Phi_x(y) = G(|x||y-\tilde{x}|) = G(y-x)$ for all $0 \neq x \in B$, for all $y \in \partial B$. Let's compute the Poisson kernel: If want to solve

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = 0 & \text{in } B \\ u = g & \text{on } \partial B \end{cases}$$

then

$$u(x) = -\int_{\partial B} \frac{\partial \tilde{G}}{\partial \vec{n}_y}(x,y)g(y)dS(y).$$

$\tilde{G}(x,y) = G(y-x) - \Phi_x(y) = G(y-x) - G(|x|(y-\tilde{x}))$ for all $x \in B\backslash\{0\}$, $y \in \bar{B}$.

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{G}}{\partial \vec{n}_y} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \partial_{y_i} \tilde{G} y_i$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{y_i} \tilde{G} &= \partial_{y_i} G(y-x) - \partial_{y_i}[G(|x|(y-\tilde{x}))] \\
&= \frac{-(y_i - x_i)}{d|B_1||y-x|^d} + \frac{y_i - \tilde{x}_i}{d|B_1||x|^{d-2}|y-\tilde{x}|^d} \\
\Rightarrow \frac{\partial \tilde{G}}{\partial \vec{n}_y} &= \sum_{i=1}^{d} [\ldots] y_i \\
&= \frac{-y(y-x))}{d|B_1||y-x|^d} + \frac{y(y-\tilde{x})}{d|B_1||x|^{d-2}|y-\tilde{x}|^d} \\
&= \frac{1}{d|B_1||y-x|^d}(-y(y-x) + y(y-\tilde{x})|x|^2) \\
&= \frac{1}{d|B_1||y-x|^d}[-|y|^2 + xy + |y|^2|x|^2 - xy] \\
&= \frac{-1+|x|^2}{d|B_1||y-x|^d}
\end{aligned}$$

as $y \in \partial B$. ■

Theorem 5.12 (Poisson Formula for a Ball) Let $B = B(0,1)$, $g \in C(\partial B)$. Define for all $x \in B$:

$$u(x) = \int_{\partial B} K(x,y) g(y)\, dS(y),$$

$K(x,y) = -\frac{\partial \tilde{G}}{\partial \vec{n}_y}(x,y) = \frac{1-|x|^2}{d|B_1||y-x|^d}$ for all $x \in B$, for all $y \in \partial B$. Then $u \in C^\infty(B)$, $\Delta u = 0$ and for all $x_0 \in \partial B$ we have $\lim_{\substack{x \to x_0 \\ x \in B}} u(x) = g(x_0)$. This holds for all $d \geqslant 2$.

Proof. We need to check:

1. For all $y \in \partial B$, $x \mapsto K(x,y)$ is harmonic in B.
2. $\int_{\partial B} K(x,y)\, dS(y) = 1$ for all $x \in B$ (exercise)

Now for all $x \in B$, for all $y \in \partial B$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
K(x,y) &= \frac{1-|x|^2}{d|B_1||y-x|^d} \\
\partial_{x_i} K(x,y) &= \frac{-2x_i}{d|B_1|}\frac{1}{|x-y|^d} - \frac{1-|x|^2}{|B_1|}\frac{x_i-y_i}{|x-y|^{d+2}} \\
\partial_{x_i}^2 K(x,y) &= -\frac{2}{d|B_1|}\frac{1}{|x-y|^d} + \frac{2x_i}{|B_1|}\frac{x_i-y_i}{|x-y|^{d+2}} + \frac{2x_i}{|B_1|}\frac{x_i-y_i}{|x-y|^{d+2}} \\
&\quad - \frac{1-|x|^2}{|B_1|}\frac{1}{|x-y|^{d+2}} + \frac{1+|x|^2}{|B_1|}(d+2)\frac{(x_i-y_i)^2}{|x-y|^{d+4}} \\
\Delta_x K &= \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_{x_i}^2 K = -\frac{2}{|B_1|}\frac{1}{|x-y|^d} + \frac{4x(x-y)}{|B_1||x-y|^{d+2}} \\
&\quad - \frac{d(1-|x|^2)}{|B_1|}\frac{1}{|x-y|^{d+2}} + (d+2)\frac{1-|x|}{|B_1|}\frac{1}{|x-y|^{d+2}} \\
&= \frac{2}{|B_1||x-y|^{d+2}}[-|x|^2+2xy-|y|^2+2|x|^2-2xy+1-|x|^2] \\
&= \frac{2}{|B_1||x-y|^{d+2}}[-|x|^2+2xy-|y|^2+2|x|^2-2xy+1-|x|^2]
\end{aligned}
$$

$1-|y|^2 = 0$ as $y \in \partial B$. Thus $\Delta_x K(x,y) = 0$, for all $x \in B$, for all $y \in \partial B$.

$$
|u(x)| = \left| \int_{\partial B} K(x,y) g(y)\, dS(y) \right| \leqslant \|g\|_{L^\infty(\partial B)}
$$

$\int_{\partial B} K(x,y), dS(y) = \|g\|_{L^\infty}$,

$$
\Delta_x u(x) = \int_{\partial B} \underbrace{\Delta_x K(x,y)}_{=0} g(y)\, dS(y) = 0
$$

Take $x \in B$, $x \to x_0 \in \partial B$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
|u(x) - g(x_0)| &= \left| \int_{\partial B} K(x,y)(g(y) - g(x_0))\, dS(y) \right| \\
&\leqslant \int_{A_1} + \int_{A_2} K(x,y)|g(y) - g(x_0)| \; dS(y),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= \{y \in \partial B \mid |y - x_0| \; \leqslant |x-x_0|^\alpha\} \\
A_2 &= \{y \in \partial B \mid |y - x_0| > |x-x_0|^2\}
\end{aligned}
$$

On A_1 we have:

$$
\int_{A_1} \cdots \leqslant \sup_{\substack{|z-x_0| \leqslant |x-x_0|^\alpha \\ z \in \partial B}} \int_{\partial B} K(x,y)\, dS(y) \xrightarrow{x \to x_0} 0
$$

since $G \in C(\partial B)$. On A_2:

$$
|y-x_0| > |x-x_0|^\alpha
$$

$$
\Rightarrow |y-x| \geqslant |y-x_0| - |x-x_0| \geqslant |x-x_0|^\alpha - |x-x_0| \geqslant \frac{1}{2} x - x_0^{\alpha}
$$

if $\alpha < 1$ and $|x - x_0|$ small. So we get

$$
K(x,y) = \frac{1-|x|^2}{d|B_1||x-y|^d} \leqslant C\frac{1-|x|^2}{|x-x_0|^{d\alpha}} \; \leqslant C|x-x_0|^{1-d\alpha}
$$

Thus

$$\int_{A_2} K(x,y)|g(y)-g(x_0)|\,dS(y) \leqslant C\|g\|_{L^\infty}|x-x_0|^{1-d\alpha} \xrightarrow{x\to x_0} 0$$

if $1-d\alpha>0 \Leftrightarrow \alpha<\frac{1}{d}$. ■

Exercise 5.13 (E 7.2) Define $\mathbb{R}^d_+ = \{(x',x_d)\in\mathbb{R}^{d-1}\times\mathbb{R} \mid x_d>0\}$. Let $K(x,y) = \frac{2x_d}{d|B_1||x-y|^d}$ for all $x\in\mathbb{R}^d_+, y\in\partial\mathbb{R}^d_+ = \{(y',0)\mid y'\in\mathbb{R}^{d-1}\}\simeq\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. Prove

$$\int_{\partial\mathbb{R}^d_+} K(x,y)\,dS(y) = 1 \quad \forall x\in\mathbb{R}^d_+$$

Solution. Denote $x=(x',x_d)$, $y=(y',0)$, $x',y'\in\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$, $x_d>0$.

$$\int_{\partial\mathbb{R}^d_+} K(x,y)\,dS(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} \frac{2x_d}{d|B_1|\left(|x'-y'|^2+x_d^2\right)^{\frac{d}{2}}}\,dy' = \dots$$

as $|x-y| = |(x'-y',x_d)| = \sqrt{|x'-y'|^2+x_d^2}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
(y'-x'\mapsto y') \quad \dots &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} \frac{2x_d}{d|B_1|\left(|y'|^2+x_d^2\right)^{\frac{d}{2}}}\,dy' \\
(y'=x_dz) \quad &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} \frac{2x_d}{d|B_1|\left(x_d^2(|z|^2+1)\right)^{\frac{d}{2}}}\left(x_d^{d-1}\right)dz \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} \frac{2}{d|B_1|\left(|z|^2+1\right)^{\frac{d}{2}}}\,dz \\
&= \int_0^\infty \frac{2\omega_{d-1}}{d|B_1|}\frac{1}{(r^2+1)^{\frac{d}{2}}}r^{d-2}\,dr \\
&= \frac{2\omega_{d-1}}{\omega_d}\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(r^2+1)^{\frac{d}{2}}}r^{d-2}\,dr
\end{aligned}$$

Set $d=2$: $\omega_1=1, |\omega_2|=2\pi$

$$\frac{2}{\pi}\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{r^2+1}\,dr = \frac{2}{\pi}\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{1}{(\tan t)^2+1}\left[(\tan t)^2+1\right]dt = 1$$

we we set $r=\tan t, t\in\left(0,\frac{\pi}{2}\right), \frac{dr}{dt} = (\tan t)' = 1+(\tan t)^2$ ■

For $d=3$:

$$\frac{2\cdot 2\pi}{4\pi}\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(r^2+1)^{\frac{3}{2}}}r\,dr = \int_0^\infty \frac{d}{dr}\left[\frac{-1}{(r^2+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right]dr = \left.\frac{-1}{(r^2+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right]_0^\infty = 1$$

Exercise 5.14 (7.3) Let $g\in C(\partial\mathbb{R}^d_+)\cap L^\infty(\partial\mathbb{R}^d_+)$ $(\partial\mathbb{R}^d_+\simeq\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$.

$$u(x) = \int_{\partial\mathbb{R}^d_+} K(x,y)g(y)\,dS(y) \qquad K(x,y) = \frac{2x_d}{d|B_1||x-y|^d}, x\in\mathbb{R}^d_+$$

Prove that if $g(y)=|y|$, if $|y|\leqslant 1$, then $|\nabla u|$ is unbounded in $B(0,r)\cap\mathbb{R}^d_+$ for all $r>0$.

Solution.

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{x_d} u(x) &= \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^d_+} \partial x_d K(x,y) g(y)\, dy \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+ \\
&= \frac{2}{d|B_1|} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^d_+} \left[\frac{1}{|x-y|^d} - \frac{d x_d^2}{|x-y|^{d+2}} \right] g(y)\, dy \\
&= \frac{2}{d|B_1|} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^d_+} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{d+2}} [|x-y|^2 - d x_d^2] g(y)\, dy \\
&= \frac{2}{d|B_1|} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^d_+} \frac{1}{(|x'-y'| + x_d^2)^{\frac{d+2}{2}}} \left[|y'|^2 - (d-1) x_d^2 \right] g(y)\, dy
\end{aligned}$$

Assume that $\partial_d u$ is bounded in $B(0,r) \cap \mathbb{R}^d_+$ Then:

$$|u(0,x_d) - \underbrace{u(0,0)}_{g(0)=0}| \leqslant C|x_d|$$

if x_d small. Consider:

$$\begin{aligned}
\limsup_{x_d \to 0^+} \frac{u(0,x_d)}{x_d} &= \limsup_{x_d \to 0^+} c \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} \frac{1}{(|y'|^2 + x_d^2)^{\frac{d}{2}}} g(y)\, dy' \\
&\geqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} \frac{1}{|y'|^d} g(y)\, dy = \int_{|y'| \leqslant 1} + \int_{|y'|>1} \\
&to \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} \frac{1}{|y'|^{d-1}}\, dy' = \infty
\end{aligned}$$

■

Exercise 5.15 (Bonus 7) Recall the Poisson kernel on a ball $B(0,r) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$:

$$K(x,y) = \frac{r^2 - |x|^2}{d|B_1| r} \frac{1}{|x-y|^d}$$

for all $x \in B(0,r)$, $y \in \partial B(0,r)$. Prove:

$$\int_{\partial B(0,r)} K(x,y)\, dS(y) = 1$$

for all $x \in B(0,r)$. (It suffices if you can prove $d = 2$ and $d = 3$)

5.3 Energy Method

Consider $u \in C^2(\Omega)$ for $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ open, bounded and with C^1 boundary and

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = g & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Take $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$, then by integration by parts:

$$0 = \int_\Omega (-\Delta u - f)\phi = \int_\Omega \nabla u \nabla \phi - \int_\Omega f\phi$$

Key observation: This is the *derivative* of the energy functional

$$E(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 - \int_\Omega f u$$

If u is a minimizer of E, then it solves the equation $-\Delta u = f$ in Ω. The boundary condition $u = g$ does not appear on E, but this is encoded in the set of *admissible functions*. (The set of candidates of solutions). For the classical solutions, we have

Theorem 5.16 (Dirichlet's principle) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open, bounded with C^1-boundary. Let $f \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ and $g \in C(\partial B)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

1. $u \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ solves $\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = g & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$

2. u is a minimizer of the variational problem $E = \inf_{v \in A} E(v)$, where
$$E(v) = \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2 - \int_\Omega fv,$$
$A = \{v \in C^2(\bar{\Omega}) \mid v = g \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}$.

Moreover there is at most a solution / minimizer (uniqueness).

Proof. The result holds even for complex-valued functions. Let us write the proof for real-valued functions.

1. $\Rightarrow$ 2.: Let $u \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ be a solution of $\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = g & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$. Then we prove $E(u) \leqslant E(v)$ for all $v \in A$. If $v \in A$, then $u - v = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. Using this and $-\Delta u = f$ in Ω, we have:
$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_\Omega (-\Delta u - f)\cdot(u - v)\,dy \\ \text{(Part. Int.)} \quad &= \int_\Omega \nabla u(\nabla u - \nabla v)\,dy - \int_\Omega f(u-v)\,dy \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2\,dy - \int_\Omega fu\,dy\right] - \left[\frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2\,dy - \int_\Omega fv\,dy\right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega \\ &= E(u) - E(v) + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega |\nabla u - \nabla v|^2}_{\geqslant 0} \end{aligned}$$

$E(u) \leqslant E(v)$, so u is a minimizer of $\inf_{v\in A}\ E(v)$. Moreover u is the unique minimizer on A. Since $E(u) = E(v)$ we have $\int_\Omega |\nabla(u-v)^2 = 0$, so $u - v =$ *const.*, so $u - v = 0$ in $\bar{\Omega}$.

2. $\Rightarrow$ 1.: Assume that u is a minimizer of $\inf_{v\in A} E(v)$. Then $E(u) \leqslant E(v)$ for all $v \in A$. Take $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$, then $u + t\phi \in A$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
$$\begin{aligned} &\Rightarrow E(u) \leqslant E(u + t\phi) \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R} \\ &\Rightarrow t \mapsto E(u+t\phi) \text{ has a minimizer at } t = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow 0 = \frac{d}{dt}E(u+t\phi)|_{t=0} \\ &\quad = \frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega |\nabla u + t\nabla\phi|^2 - \int_\Omega f(u+t\phi)\right)\Bigg|_{t=0} \\ &\quad = \frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 + t^2|\nabla\phi|^2 + 2t\nabla u\nabla\phi - \int_\Omega f(u+t\phi)\right)\Bigg|_{t=0} \\ &\int_\Omega \nabla u\nabla\phi - \int_\Omega f\phi = \int_\Omega (-\Delta u - f)\phi \end{aligned}$$
for all $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$. So $-\Delta u - f = 0$ in Ω and $u = g$ since $u \in A$.

Direct method of calculus of variations. Think $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$, $f(x) \to \infty$ as $|x| \to \infty$. There is a $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $f(x_0) = \inf_{x\in\mathbb{R}} f(x)$.

Step 1: $E = \inf_{x\in\mathbb{R}} f(x) > -\infty$

Step 2: Take a minizing sequence $\{x_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $f(x_n) \to E$. Up to a subsequence $x_n \to x_0$ in $\mathbb{R}$ (compactness)

Step 3: Lower semicontinuity $E = \liminf_{n\to\infty} f(x_n) \geqslant f(x_0)$

If we apply the direct method to $\inf_{v\in A} E(v)$,

$$E(v) = \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2 - \int_\Omega fv,$$

$A = \{v \in C^2(\bar{\Omega}), v = g \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}$

Step 1: Easy $E = \int_{v\in A} E(v) > -\infty$

Step 2: There is a minimizing sequence $\{v_n\} \subseteq A$ s.t. $E(v_n) \to E$. We don't know if there is a subsequence of $\{v_n\}$ that converges to $u \in A$. The lack of compactness is a serious problem! We need to find the rigt set A! Consider again

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = g & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

Consider the simple case $g = 0$. $\Delta u - f$ in $\Omega \Leftrightarrow \nabla u \nabla \phi$... The right set A should be $A = \{v \mid \int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2 < \infty, v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}$. Rigorously we take $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) = \overline{C_c^\infty(\Omega)} W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ (Notation: $H_0^1 = W_0^{1,2}, H^1 = W^{1,2}$) Recall that $W^{1,p}$ is a banach space with norm $\|f\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} = \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|\nabla f\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$. We know that $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ is dense in $W_{loc}^{1,p}(\Omega)$, i.e. for all $u \in W_{loc}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ there is $\|u_n\| \subseteq C_c^\infty$ s.t. $u_n \to u$ in $W^{1,p}(K)$ for all $K \subseteq \Omega$ compact. However in general $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ is not dense in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, i.e. $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) = \overline{C_c^\infty(\Omega)} W^{1,p}(\Omega) \subsetneq W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Clearly $W_0^{1,p}$ is a closed subspace of $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \to W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is a Banach space with $\|\cdot\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}$. Why does $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ encode the 0-boundary condition? Note that by definition for all $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ there is a sequence $\{u_n\} \subseteq C_c^\infty(\Omega)$, $u_n \to u$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ up to a subsequence $u_n(x) \to u(x)$ for almost every $x \in \Omega$. Note $u_n|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \nrightarrow u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ since $\partial\Omega$ must be of 0-measure. ■

Theorem 5.17 (Characterization for $W_0^{1,p}$) Let Ω be open, bounded with C^1-boundary. Let $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

a) $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$

b) $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$

(Later we will remove the condition $C(\bar{\Omega})$ by introducing the *Trace operator*.)

Remark 5.18 If $d = 1$, it holds that $W^{1,p} \subseteq C(\bar{\Omega})$. Then the theorem gives a full characterization for $W_0^{1,p}$, but if $d \geqslant 2$, then in general $W^{1,p} \nsubseteq C(\Omega)$. (later)

Proof of theorem 5.17.

a) $\Rightarrow$ b):

Lemma 5.19 If $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $\operatorname{supp} u \subseteq \Omega$, then $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Proof. Since $K := \operatorname{supp} u$ is a compact subset in Ω, we can find a function $\chi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$, $\chi = 1$ on K. Moreover since $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, there is a sequence $\{u_n\} \subseteq C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ s.t. $u_n \to u$ in $W_{loc}^{1,p}(\Omega)$. We claim that $\chi u_n \to \chi u$ in $W_{loc}^{1,p}(\Omega)$. (exercise, $\nabla(\chi u) = \nabla \chi u + \chi \nabla u$). This implies $\chi u_n \to u$ in $W^{1,p}(\operatorname{supp} \chi)$, thus $\chi u_n \to u$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, so $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. ■

Assume $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ and $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. Take $G \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ s.t. $|G(t)| \leqslant t$ for all t, $G(t) = t$ if $t \geqslant 2$ and $G(t) = 0$ if $t \leqslant 1$. Then let

$$u_n(x) := \frac{1}{n} G(nu(x)) \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$$

$$\overset{\text{(Chain-rule)}}{\Rightarrow} \qquad \nabla u_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} G'(nu(x)) n \nabla u(x) = G'(nu(x))\ \nabla u(x)$$

Moreover, u_n is compactly supported in Ω, so $u_n \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ by the lemma and $u_n \to u$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, so $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ since $W_0^{1,p}$ is a closed space. Recall that $u \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ and $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. Thus for all $\epsilon > 0$ there is a compact $K_\epsilon \subseteq \Omega$ s.t. $\sup_{x \in \Omega \setminus K_\epsilon} |u(x)| \leqslant \epsilon$. For any given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $u_n(x) \neq 0$, so $G(nu(x)) \neq 0$. This implies $n|u(x)| > 1$, hence $|u(x)| > \frac{1}{n}$. Thus $u_n(x) = 0$ for all x such that $|u(x)| \leqslant \frac{1}{n}$, so $\operatorname{supp} u_n \subseteq K_{\frac{1}{n}}$ compact in Ω. Next, let us check $u_n \to u$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

$$\int_\Omega |u_n(x) - u(x)|^p \, dx \to 0$$

since $u_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} G(nu(x)) \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} u(x)$ for all $x \in \Omega$ and $|u_n(x)| \leqslant \frac{1}{n} |G(nu(x))| \leqslant \frac{1}{n} |nu(x)| \leqslant |u(x)| \in L^p(\Omega)$.

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u_n(x) - \nabla u(x)|^p \, dx = \int_\Omega |G'(nu(x)) - 1|^p |\nabla u(x)|^p \, dx \to 0$$

as $|G'(v(x)) - 1| \to 0$ for all x s.t. $u(x) \neq 0$ and $\nabla u(x) = 0$ on $\{x \mid u(x) = 0\}$. (exercise)

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (a): Let $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ and $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Then we prove $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. Lets regard the case $\Omega = Q_+ = \{(x', x_d) \mid \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R} \mid |x'| < 1, 0 < x_d < 1\}$. We prove that if $u \in W_0^{1,p}(Q_+) \cap C(\overline{Q_+})$, then $u = 0$ on $Q_0 = \{(x', 0) \mid x' \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}, |x'| < 1\}$. Since $u \in W_0^{1,p}(Q_+)$ there is $\{u_n\} \subseteq C_c^\infty(Q_+)$ s.t. $u_n \to u$ in $W^{1,p}(Q_+)$ for all $x = (x', x_d) \in Q_+$, then:

$$u_n(x', x_d) = \underbrace{u_n(x', 0)}_{=0} + \int_0^{x_d} \partial_d u_n(x', t) \, dt$$

Hence

$$|u_n(x', x_d)| \leqslant \int_0^{x_d} |\partial_d u_n(x', t) \, dt$$

This implies:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{0 < x_d < \epsilon} \int_{|x'| \leqslant 1} |u_n(x', x_d)| \ dx' \, dx_d \\
&\leqslant \int_{0 < x_d < \epsilon} \int_{|x'| < 1} \left(\int_0^{x_d} |\partial_d u_n(x', t)| \ dt \right) dx' \, dx_d \\
&\leqslant \epsilon \int_{|x'| < 1} \int_0^\epsilon |\partial_d u_n(x', t)| \ dx' \, dt
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_0^\epsilon \int_{|x'|\leqslant 1} |u_n(x',x_d)|\ dx'\, dx_d \leqslant \int_0^\epsilon \int_{|x'|<1} |\partial_d u_n(x',x_d)|\ dx'\, dx_d$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\epsilon > 0$. Take now $n \to \infty$, use $u_n \to u$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Then:

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_0^\epsilon \int_{|x'|\leqslant 1} |u(x',x_d)|\, dx'\, dx_d \leqslant \int_0^\epsilon \int_{|x'|\ <1} |\partial_x u_n(x',x_d)|\, dx'\, dy$$

for all $\epsilon > 0$. Take $\epsilon \to 0$:

$$\int_{|x'|\ \leqslant 1} |u(x',0)|\, dx' \leqslant 0$$

here we use $u \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ for the left side and Dominated Convergence for the right side. Thus $u(x',0) = 0$ for all $|x'| \leqslant 1$, i.e. $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. Let's regard the general case: Let Ω be open, bounded and with C^1-boundary. Lets define *local charts* By definition for all $x \in \partial\Omega$, there is a U_x open, such there is a bijecitve map $h : U_x \to Q$, and h, h^{-1} are C^1. Then clearly $\partial\Omega \subseteq \bigcup_{x\in\partial\Omega} U_x$. Since $\partial\Omega$ is compact, there is a finite subcover $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^N$ s.t. $\partial\Omega \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N U_i$. We can find U_0 open s.t. $\bar{U}_0 \subseteq \Omega$ and $\Omega \subseteq \bigcup_{i=0}^N U_i$.

Lemma 5.20 There is a sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^N \subseteq C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ s.t.

1. $\chi_i \geqslant 0$, $\sum_{i=0}^N \chi_i = 1$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ ($\{\chi_i\}$ is a partition of unity)
2. For all $i = 1,\dots,N$, $\operatorname{supp}\chi_i$ is in U_i, i.e. $\chi_i \in C_c^\infty(U_i)$.
3. $i = 0$, $\operatorname{supp}\chi_0 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d\backslash\partial\Omega$ and $\chi_0\backslash\Omega \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$. (exercise)

Given $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$. Then $u = \sum_{i=0}^N \chi_i u$, where $\chi_i \geqslant 0$, $\chi_0 \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$, $\chi_i \in C_c^\infty(U_i)$. Since $\chi_0 u$ is supported in a copact set inside Ω, $\chi_0 u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. It remains to show that for all $i = 1,\dots,N$, $\chi_i u = 0$ on $U_i \cap \partial\Omega$. Then $\chi_i u(h^{-1}x) \in W_0^{1,p}(Q) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$. This implies $\chi_i u(h^{-1}x) = 0$ on Q_0, so $\chi_i u(x) = 0$ on $U_i \cap \partial\Omega$. Why $W_0^{1,p}(U_i \cap \Omega) \to W_0^{1,p}(Q_+)$. If $v \in W_0^{1,p}(U_i \cap \Omega)$, then $v_n \to v, v_n \in C_c^\infty$. $v_n \circ h^{-1} \ \to v \circ h^{-1} \ \Rightarrow v \circ h^{-1} \ \in W_0^{1,p}(Q_+)$

■

Exercise 5.21 (E 8.1) Let $u \in W_{loc}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let $B = u^{-1}(\{0\})$. Prove that $\nabla u(x) = 0$ for a.e. $x \in B$.

Solution. We have already seen that if $f, g \in W_{loc}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then $\max(f,g) \in W_{loc}^{1,1}$. This implies that if $u = u^+ - u^- \in W_{loc}^{1,1}$, then $u^+, v^+ \in W_{loc}^{1,1}$ since $u^+ = \max(u,0)$ and $u^- = \max(-u,0)$. We have that $\nabla u = \nabla u^+ - \nabla u^-$. Claim:

$$\nabla u^+ = \begin{cases} 0 & u(x) \leqslant 0 \\ \nabla u & u(x) > 0 \end{cases} \quad \nabla u^- = \begin{cases} 0 & u(x) \geqslant 0 \\ \nabla u & u(x) < 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\partial_i u^+)\phi &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^+ \partial_i \phi = -\int_{\{u(x)\leqslant 0\}} 0\partial_i\phi - \int_{\{u(x)>0\}} u\partial_i\phi \\ &= \int_{\{u(x)\leqslant 0\}} 0\phi + \int_{\{u(x)>0\}} \partial_i u\phi\end{aligned}$$

Alternative way: We showed for $f \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, that

$$\nabla|f|(x) = \begin{cases} (\nabla f)(x) & f(x) > 0 \\ -(\nabla f)(x) & f(x) < 0 \\ 0 & f(x) = 0 \end{cases}$$

$u_+ = \frac{1}{2}(u+|u|)$. Hence $\nabla u_+ = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla u + \nabla|u|)$. Remark: If $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ has measure zero, then $\nabla u 1_{\{u(x)\in A\}} = 0$ a.e. (Th. 6.19 Lieb-Loss Analysis) ■

Exercise 5.22 (E 8.2) Let $\Omega, U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open, $U \cap \Omega \neq \varnothing$, $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $1 \leqslant p < \infty$, $\chi \in C_c^\infty(U)$. Prove: $\chi u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega \cap U)$ Hint: Recall $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) = \overline{C_c^\infty(\Omega)}^{\|\cdot\|_{W^{1,p}}}$

Solution. By definition there is a sequence $(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ s.t. $u_n \xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{\|\cdot\|_{W^{1,p}}} u$, i.e.

$$\|u_n - u\|_p + \|\nabla u_n - \nabla u\|_p \xrightarrow{n\to\infty} 0.$$

Define $f_n : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{C}$, $f_n(x) := u_n(x)\chi(x)$. Note $f_n \in C_c^\infty(\Omega \cap U)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Claim: $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{W^{1,p}}$. Proof:

$$\|f_n - f_m\|_p = \|\chi(u_n - u_m)\|_p \leqslant \|\chi\|_\infty \underbrace{\|u_n - u_m\|_p}_{\xrightarrow{n,m\to\infty} 0} \xrightarrow{n,m\to\infty} 0$$

$$\nabla f_n = \nabla(\chi u_n) = (\nabla\chi)u_n + \chi\nabla u_n$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla f_n - \nabla f_m\|_p &\leqslant \|\nabla\chi(u_n - u_m)\|_p + \|\chi(\nabla u_n - \nabla u_m)\|_p \\ &\leqslant \|\nabla\chi\|_\infty \underbrace{\|u_n - u_m\|_p}_{\xrightarrow{n,m\to\infty} 0} + \underbrace{\|\chi\|}_{<\infty} \underbrace{\|\nabla u_n - \nabla u_m\|_p}_{\xrightarrow{n,m\to\infty} 0} \xrightarrow{n,m\to\infty)} 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, there is a $f \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega \cap U)$ s.t. $\|f_n - f\|_{W^{1,p}} \xrightarrow{n\to\infty} 0$. We know:

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_n - \chi u\|_{L^p} &= \|\chi u_n - \chi u\|_p \\ &\leqslant \|\chi\|_\infty \underbrace{\|u_n - u\|_p}_{\to 0} \xrightarrow{n\to\infty} 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since limits in L^p are unique, we get $\chi u = f \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega \cup U)$. ■

Exercise 5.23 (E 8.3) Let $\Omega, U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ open and bounded, $h : \bar{U} \to \bar{\Omega}$ C^1-diffeomorphisms, $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $1 \leqslant p < \infty$. Prove $(x \mapsto u(h(x)) \in W_0^{1,p}(U)$.

Solution. Since $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ there is a sequence $(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ s.t.

$$\|u - u_n\|_p + \|\nabla u - \nabla u_n\|_p \xrightarrow{n\to\infty} 0$$

Define for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ $f_n : U \to \mathbb{C}$, $f_n(x) = u_n(h(x))$. Note $f_n \in C_c^1(U)$. Claim 1: $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy wrt. $\|\cdot\|_{W^{1,p}}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_n - f_m\|_p^p &= \int_U |u_n(h(x)) - u_m(h(x))|^p \, dx \\ &= \int_\Omega |u_n(y) - u_m(y)|^p \, dy \underbrace{|\det(Dh^{-1})(y)|}_{\leqslant C<\infty} \xrightarrow{n,m\to\infty} 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$(\nabla f_n)(x) = \nabla(u_n(h(x))) = (\nabla u_n)(h(x))(Dh)(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla f_n - \nabla f_m\|_p^p &= \int_U |[(\nabla u_n)(h(x)) - (\nabla u_m)(h(x))]\underbrace{(Dh)(x)}_{bdd.}|^p \, dx \\ &\leqslant C\int_U |(\nabla u_n)(h(x)) - (\nabla u_m)(h(x))|^p \, dx \\ &= C\int_\Omega |(\nabla u_n)(y) - (\nabla u_m)(y)|^p \underbrace{|\det Dh^{-1}(y)|}_{\leqslant \tilde{C}} \, dx \xrightarrow{n,m\to 0} 0\end{aligned}$$

Claim 2: $\|f_n - u\circ h\|_p \xrightarrow{n\to\infty} 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}\|f_n - u\circ h\|_p &= \int_U |u_n(h(x)) - u(h(x))|^p \, dx \\ &= \int_\Omega |u_n(y) - u(y)|^p \underbrace{|\det Dh^{-1}(y)|}_{\leqslant C} \, dy \xrightarrow{n\to\infty} 0\end{aligned}$$

Conclusion: Since $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq C_c^1(U)$ is Cauchy with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{W^{1,p}}$, there is a $f\in W_0^{1,p}(U)$ s.t. $f_n \xrightarrow[\|\cdot\|_{W^{1,p}}]{n\to\infty} f$. Since limits in L^p are unique by claim 2 we get $u\circ h = f \in W_0^{1,p}(U)$. ■

Exercise 5.24 (E 8.4) Let $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be compact, $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^N$ open s.t. $\Gamma \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N U_i$. Prove: There exists $\{\chi_i\}_{i=0}^N \subseteq C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ s.t.

1. $\chi_i \geqslant 0$ for all i, $\sum_{i=0}^N \chi_i = 1$
2. $\operatorname{supp}(\chi_i) \subseteq U_i$ for all $i \in \{1,\dots,N\}$
3. $\operatorname{supp}(\chi_0) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d\backslash\Gamma$

Solution. WLOG assume that $U_i \neq \varnothing$ for all i. If $\Gamma \neq 0$, then $\chi_0 = 1$ does the job. Now suppose $\Gamma \neq \varnothing$. Let $\psi \in C_c^\infty(B_1(0))$, $\psi \geqslant 0$, $\int\psi = 1$, $\psi|_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)} > 0$ and for $\epsilon > 0$ let $\psi_\epsilon(x) = \frac{1}{\epsilon^d}\ \psi\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right)$, so $\int\psi_\epsilon = 1$. Define

$$\tilde{d} := \sup\{\tilde{\tilde{d}} > 0 \mid \forall x\in\Gamma \exists i \in\{1,\dots,N\} s.t. \operatorname{dist}(x, U_i^c) \geqslant \tilde{\tilde{d}}\}$$

Claim 1: $\tilde{d} > 0$ Suppose this was not true. Then there is a sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \Gamma$ s.t. for all $i \in \{1,\dots,N\}$,

$$\operatorname{dist}(x_n, U_i^c) < \frac{1}{n}$$

Since Γ is compact, there is a subsequence, which we call x_n again, s.t. $x_n \xrightarrow{n\to\infty} \bar{x}$ for asome Γ. By $\Gamma \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N U_i$ there is a $\epsilon_{\bar{x}} > 0$ and $i \in \{1,\dots,N\}$ s.t. $B_{\epsilon_{\bar{x}}}(\bar{x}) \subseteq U_i$ ↯. Define $d := \min\{\tilde{d}, 1\} > 0$. For all $\epsilon > 0$, for all $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$: $(A)_\epsilon := \{x \in A \mid \operatorname{dist}(x, A^c) \geqslant \epsilon\}$. for every $i \in \{1,\dots,N\}$ define $\phi_i : U_i \to [0,\infty)$ by

$$\phi_i(x) := \mathbb{1}_{(U_i \cap B_R(0))_{\frac{d}{4}}} \star \phi_{\frac{d}{4}}$$

Note $\phi_i \in C_c^\infty(U_i)$ and $(U_i \cap B_R(0))_{\frac{d}{4}} \subseteq (\operatorname{supp}(\phi_i))^0$. Define $\phi_0 : \mathbb{R}^d\backslash\Gamma \to [0,\infty)$ by $\phi_0(x) = \mathbb{1}_{(\mathbb{R}^1\backslash\Gamma)_{\frac{d}{4}}} \star \psi_{\frac{d}{4}}$. Again, $\phi_0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d\backslash\Gamma)$, $\operatorname{supp}(\phi_0))^0 \supseteq (\mathbb{R}^d\backslash\Gamma)_{\frac{d}{4}}$, $\operatorname{supp}(\phi_0) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d\backslash\Gamma$. Claim 2: For all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ there is a $i \in \{0,1,\dots,N\} : \phi_i(x) > 0$. Proof: By construction, we know for $i \in \{1,\dots,N\}$ that ϕ_i is > 0 on $(U_i \cap B_R(0))_{\frac{d}{4}}$. Moreover $\phi_0 > 0$ on $(\mathbb{R}^d\backslash\Gamma)_{\frac{d}{4}}$. thus, we are done if we can show taht $\bigcup_{i=1}^N (U_i \cap B_R(0))_{\frac{d}{4}} \cup$

$(\mathbb{R}^d\backslash\Gamma)_{\frac{d}{4}} = \mathbb{R}^d$. Suppose there is a $x \in \mathbb{R}^d\backslash A$. Then $\operatorname{dist}(x,\Gamma) < \frac{d}{4}$. Since $\Gamma \subseteq B_{\frac{R}{2}}(0)$ and $R > 2$ and $d \leqslant 1$.

$$|x-0| \leqslant \operatorname{dist}(x,\Gamma) + \frac{R}{2} < \frac{d}{4} + \frac{R}{2} = R - \frac{d}{4} - \frac{R}{2} + \frac{d}{2} < R - \frac{d}{4} - \frac{2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} < R - \frac{d}{4}$$

Thus $x \in (B_R(c))_{\frac{d}{4}}$. Thus, we are done if we can show that $x \in (U_i)_{\frac{d}{4}}$ for some $i \in \{1,\dots,N\}$. Since $\operatorname{dist}(x,\Gamma) < \frac{d}{4}$, there is a $y \in \Gamma$ s.t. $|x-y| < \frac{d}{4}$. By definition of $\tilde{d}$ there is a $i \in \{1,\dots,N\}$ s.t. $\operatorname{dist}(y,U_i^c) \geqslant \tilde{d} \geqslant d$, i.e. for all $z \in U_i^c$ we have $|y-z| \geqslant d$. We get

$$|x-z| \geqslant \big|\underbrace{|x-y|}_{<\frac{d}{4}} - \underbrace{|y-z|}_{\geqslant d}\big| \geqslant \frac{3d}{4} < \frac{d}{4}$$

This implies $\operatorname{dist}(x,U_i^c) > \frac{d}{4}$, so $x \in (U_i)_{\frac{d}{4}}$ $\lightning$. Define for all $i \in \{0,\dots,N\} : \chi_i : \mathbb{R}^d \to [0,\infty)$ by

$$\chi_i(x) = \frac{\phi_i(x)}{\sum_{j=0}^N \phi_j(x)}$$

χ_i is well-defined by Claim 2 and $\chi_i \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Also note that $\sum \chi_i = 1$, $\chi_i \geqslant 0$, which implies 1. Furthermore, since $\operatorname{supp}(\phi_i) \subseteq U_i$, we have $\operatorname{supp}(\chi_i) \subseteq U_i$ for all $i \in \{1,\dots,N\}$, which implies 2. Finally, since $\operatorname{supp}(\phi_0) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d\backslash\Gamma$, we get $\operatorname{supp}(\chi_0) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d\backslash\Gamma$. This implies 3. ■

5.4 Variational problem for weak solutions

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

("formally") for all $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$, then

$$\int_\Omega \nabla u \nabla \phi = \int_\Omega f\phi$$

if $\nabla u \in L^2$, $f \in L^2$. By a density argument:

$$\int_\Omega \nabla u \nabla \phi = \int_\Omega f\phi$$

for all $\phi \in \overline{C_c^\infty(\Omega)}^{H^1(\Omega)} = H_0^1(\Omega)$.

Theorem 5.25 (Poincare inequality) There is a $C > 0$ s.t.

$$C\int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2 \geqslant \int_\Omega |v|^2$$

for all $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$.

Remark 5.26 $H^1(\Omega)$ with $\|v\|_{H^1(\Omega)} = \left(\|v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is a Hilbert-Space. This implies that $H_0^1(\Omega) \overset{\text{(closed)}}{\subseteq} H^1(\Omega)$ is also a Hilbert space. By the Poincare inequality (5.25) we have for all $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$:

$$\|v\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \geqslant \|\nabla v\|_{L^2} \geqslant \frac{1}{2c}\|v\|_{L^2} + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2} \geqslant \frac{1}{C^1}\|v\|_{H^1(\Omega)}$$

We can think of $H_0^1(\Omega)$ as a Hilbert space with $\|v\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} := \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$.

Proof. (Of the Poincare inequality (5.25)) We need to prove:

$$\begin{aligned} & \exists C > 0: \quad C \int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2 \geqslant \int_\Omega |v|^2 \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega) \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad & \exists C > 0: \quad C \int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2 \geqslant \int_\Omega |v|^2 \quad \forall v \in C_c^\infty(\Omega) \end{aligned}$$

Assume by contradiction that this does not hold, i.e. there is no $C > 0$ s.t. the statement holds. Thus there is a sequence $\{v_n\} \subseteq C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ s.t.

$$\int_\Omega |v_n|^2 = 1, \quad \int_\Omega |\nabla v_n|^2 \xrightarrow{n\to\infty} 0$$

Since $v_n \in C_c^2(\Omega)$ we can extend v_n by 0 outside Ω, so $v_n \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |v_n|^2 = 1, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla v_n|^2 \to 0, \quad \operatorname{supp} v_n \subseteq \Omega$$

By the Fourier transform:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\hat{v}_n(k)|^2 \, dk = 1, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |2\pi k|^2 |\hat{v}_n(k)|^2 \, dk \to 0, \quad \operatorname{supp} v_n \subseteq \Omega$$

We prove that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\hat{v}_n(k)|^2 \, dk \to 0$$

We write

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\hat{v}_n(k)| \, dk = \int_{|k| \leqslant \epsilon} + \int_{|k|>\epsilon}$$

First, for all $\epsilon > 0$:

$$\int_{|k|>\epsilon} |\hat{v}_n(k)|^2 \leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|k|^2}{\epsilon^2} |\hat{v}_n(k)|^2 \, dk \xrightarrow{n\to\infty} 0$$

Second:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|k|\leqslant\epsilon} |\hat{v}_n(k)|^2 \, dk &\leqslant \left(\int_{|k|\leqslant\epsilon} 1 \, dk \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_{|k|\leqslant\epsilon} |\hat{v}_n(k)|^{2p} \, dk \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad 1 < p, q < \infty \\ &\leqslant C\epsilon^{\frac{d}{q}} \|\hat{v}_n\|_{L^{2p}}^2, \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 \text{ and } 1 \leqslant r \leqslant 2 \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since Ω is bounded,

$$\|v_n\|_{L^r} \leqslant \left(\int_\Omega |v_n|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leqslant \|1_\Omega\|_{L^s} \|v_n\|_{L^2}^{1-\theta} \leqslant C_\Omega \quad \forall 1 \leqslant r \leqslant 2.$$

Thus we can take $r < 1$ but close to 1. Then p is sufficiently large, so q is close to 1. Then

$$\int_{|k| \leqslant\epsilon} |\hat{v}_n(k)|^2 \leqslant C\epsilon^{\frac{d}{q}} \|\hat{v}_n\|_{L^{2p}}^2 \leqslant C\epsilon^{\frac{d}{q}} \|v_n\|_{L^r}^2 \leqslant C\epsilon^{\frac{d}{q}}$$

Conclusion:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\hat{v}_n(k)|^2 = \int_{|k|\leqslant\epsilon} + \int_{|k|>\epsilon} \leqslant C\epsilon^{\frac{d}{q}} + \int_{|k|>\epsilon} \xrightarrow{n\to\infty} C\epsilon^{\frac{d}{q}} \xrightarrow{\epsilon\to 0} 0$$

which contradicts to the assumtion $\|\hat{v}\|_{L^2} = \|v\|_{L^2} = 1$. ∎

Exercise 5.27 Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open, bounded with C^1-boundary. Let $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, for some $1 \leqslant p < \infty$. Then the following is equivalent:

a) $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$

b) $\tilde{u}(x) = \begin{cases} u(x) & x \in \Omega \\ 0 & x \in \mathbb{R}^d \backslash \Omega \end{cases} \in W^{1,p}()$

Theorem 5.28 (Dirichlet, Riemann, Poincare, Hilbert) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open, bounded with C^1-boundary. Let $f \in L^2(\Omega)$. Then there exists a unique solution $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ of the variational problem

$$\int_\Omega \nabla u \nabla \phi = \int_\Omega f\phi$$

for all $\phi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. ($\Rightarrow -\Delta = f$ in $D'(\Omega)$). Moreover, u is the unique minimizer of

$$\inf_{v \in H_0^1(\Omega)} \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2 - \int_\Omega fv \right)$$

Proof. Let us prove that there is a solution $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ for $\inf_{v \in H_0^1(\Omega)} E(v)$, $E(v) = \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2 - \int_\Omega fv$.

Step 1: We prove $E > -\infty$. Take $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. By the Poincare and Hölder inequalites:

$$\begin{aligned} E(v) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2 - \int_\Omega fv \\ &\geqslant \frac{1}{2C} \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} - \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\geqslant \frac{1}{2C} \|v\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} - \left(\frac{1}{4C} \|v\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + C\|f\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \right) \\ &\geqslant -C\|f\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} > -\infty \end{aligned}$$

We can also bound:

$$\begin{aligned} E(v) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2 - \int_\Omega fv \\ &\geqslant \frac{1}{4} \int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2 - \frac{1}{4C} \int_\Omega |v|^2 - \|f\|_{L^2} \|v\|_{L^2} \\ &\geqslant \frac{1}{4} \int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2 - C\|f\|^2_{L^2} \end{aligned}$$

Step 2: We can take a minimizing sequence $\{v_n\} \subseteq H_0^1(\Omega)$ s.t. $E(v_n) \xrightarrow{n\to\infty} E$. Then:

$$\frac{1}{4} \int_\Omega |\nabla v_n|^2 \leqslant E(v_n) + C\|f\|^2_{L^2} \longrightarrow const.$$

So $|\nabla v_n|$ is bounded in $L^2(\Omega)$. We know that $H_0^1(\Omega)$ is a Hilbert space with norm $\|v\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} = \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ (and the norm is equivalent to the H^1-norm). Thus $\{v_n\}$ is bounded in $H_0^1(\Omega)$.

Remark 5.29 (Reminder from functional analysis) Let H be a Hilbert space. We say that $v_n \to v$ if $\|v_n - v\| \to 0$ and $v_n \to v$ weakly in H if $\langle v_n, \phi \rangle \to \langle v, \phi \rangle$ for all $\phi \in H$.

Theorem 5.30 (Banach-Alaoglu) If H is a Hilbert space and $\{v_n\}$ is a bounded sequence, then there is a subsequence $\{v_{n_k}\}$ s.t. $v_{n_k} \rightharpoonup v$ weakly in H.

Remark 5.31 – $v_n \rightharpoonup v$ in H iff $f(v_n) \to f(v)$ for all $f \in H^\star = \mathcal{L}(H, \mathbb{R})$.

– If $v_n \rightharpoonup v$ in H, then: $\liminf_{n\to\infty} \|v_n\| \geqslant \|v\|$ (Fatous Lemma)

In fact, for all $\phi \in H$ $\langle v_n, \phi\rangle \to \langle v, \phi\rangle$ and $|\langle v_n, \phi\rangle| \leqslant \|v_n\|\|\phi\|$. This implies

$$\frac{|\langle v, \phi\rangle|}{\|\phi\|} \leqslant \liminf_{n\to\infty} \|v_n\|.$$

So we get

$$\|v\| = \sup_{\phi\neq 0} \frac{\langle v, \phi\rangle|}{\|\phi\|} \leqslant \liminf_{n\to\infty} \|v_n\|$$

By the Banach-Alaoglu theorem, up to a subsequence, $v_n \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $H^1_0(\Omega)$. We prove that u is aminimizer for $\mathcal{E}$

$$E \longleftarrow \mathcal{E}(v_n) = \frac{1}{2}\int |\nabla v_n|^2 - \int f v_n$$

– Since $v_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $H^1_0(\Omega)$ we have that

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \|v_n\|^2_{H^1_0(\Omega)} \geqslant \|u\|^2_{H^1_0(\Omega)}$$

So we have

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \int_\Omega |\nabla v_n|^2 \geqslant \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2.$$

– Consider the functional $\mathcal{L} : \phi \in H^1_0(\Omega) \to \int_\Omega f\phi$. We claim that $\mathcal{L}$ is continuous. In fact:

$$|\mathcal{L}| = \left|\int_\Omega f\phi\right| \leqslant \|f\|_{L^2}\|\phi\|_{L^2} \leqslant C\|f\|_{L^2}\|\nabla f\|_{L^2} = C\|f\|_{L^2}\|\phi\|_{H^1_0(\Omega)}$$

Thus from $v_n \rightharpoonup v$ in $H^1_0(\Omega)$ we get $\mathcal{L}(v_n) \to \mathcal{L}(u)$, thus $\int_\Omega f v_n \to \int_\Omega f u$.

Conclusion: $E = \liminf \mathcal{E}(v_n) \geqslant \mathcal{E}(u)$, so u is a minimizer for $\mathcal{E}$.

Step 3: Uniqueness. If E has 2 minimizers u_1, u_2 we can prove that $u_1 = u_2$. This is because of the convexity:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geqslant \frac{\mathcal{E}(u_1) + \mathcal{E}(u_2)}{2} - \mathcal{E}\left(\frac{u_1+u_2}{2}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{8}\left[2\int_\Omega |\nabla u_1|^2 + 2\int_\Omega |\nabla u_2|^2 - \int_\Omega |\nabla(u_1+u_2)|^2\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{8}\int_\omega |\nabla u_1 - \nabla u_2|^2 \geqslant 0 \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\nabla(u_1 - u_2) = 0$, so $u_1 - u_2 = const = c_0$. Since $u_1, u_2 \in H^1_0(\Omega)$, we have that $u_1 - u_2 \in H^1_0(\Omega)$ and $c_0 \in C(\bar{\Omega})$. Hence $c_0 = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, so $c_0 = 0$. ■

Remark 5.32 We can also prove directly that there is a unique $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ s.t.

$$\int_\Omega \nabla u \nabla \phi = \int_\Omega f\phi \quad \forall \phi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$$

by Riesz theorem. So we get $\langle u, \phi \rangle_{H_0^1(\Omega)} = \mathcal{L}(\phi)$.

Recall the corrector function for the unit ball:

$$\phi_x(y) = G(|x||y - \tilde{x}|), \quad \tilde{x} = \frac{x}{|x|^2}$$

This is ok if $x \neq 0$. When $x \to 0$:

$$G(|x|(y - \tilde{x})) = G(\underbrace{|x|y - \frac{x}{|x|}}_{|\cdot| \to 1})G(z), \quad |z| = 1$$

is well-defined as G is radial.
Question: If $u \in H^1(\Omega)$, then how can we define $u|_{\partial\Omega}$?

5.5 Theory of Trace

Theorem 5.33 (Trace Operator) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open, bounded with C^1 boundary. Then there is a unique linear bounded operator $T : H^1(\Omega) \to L^2(\partial\Omega)$ such that

- If $u \in H^1(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$, then $Tu = u|_{\partial\Omega}$ in the usual restriction sense.
- There is a $C > 0$ s.t. $\|Tu\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \leqslant C\|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}$ for all $u \in H^1(\Omega)$

Theorem 5.34 If $u \in H^1(\Omega)$, then $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ is equivalent to $Tu = 0$ in $L^2(\partial\Omega)$. ($H_0^1(\Omega) = T^{-1}(\{0\})$). The we can discuss about

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = g & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

Lemma 5.35 (Trace inequality on $\mathbb{R}_+^d$) if $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then:

$$\|u|_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^d}\|_{L^2(\partial\mathbb{R}_+^d)} \leqslant C\|u\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \quad \text{with } C > 0 \text{ independent of } u.$$

Proof. $x = (x', x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R}$.

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x',0)|^2 &= -\int_0^\infty \partial_d(|u(x',x_d)|^2)\,dx_d \\ &= -\int_0^\infty 2\partial_d u(x',x_d)u(x',x_d)\,dx_d \\ &\leqslant \int_0^\infty \left[|\partial_d u(x',x_d)|^2 + |u(x',x_d)|^2\right] dx_d \end{aligned}$$

This implies:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} |u(x',0)|^2\,dx' &\leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} \left(\int_0^\infty [\dots]\,dx_d\right) dx' \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^d} \left[|\partial_d u|^2 + |u|^2\right] = \|u\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^d)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

∎

Corrolary 5.36 If $u \in H^1(Q)$ and u is compactly supported, then:

$$\|u\|_{L^2(Q_0)} \leqslant \|u\|_{H^1(Q_+)}$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= \{x = (x', x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R} \mid |x'| < 1, |x_d| < 1\} \\ Q_+ &= \{x = (x', x_d) \in Q \mid x_d > 0\} \\ Q_0 &= \{x = (x', x_d) \in Q \mid x_d = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We extend u by 0 outside of Q, so $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. ∎

Theorem 5.37 (Extension) If $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is open, bounded with C^1-boundary, then there is a bounded linear operator $B : H^1(\Omega) \to H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ s.t.

- $Bu|_\Omega = u$ for all $u \in H^1(\Omega)$
- $\|Bu\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leqslant C\|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}$ and $\|Bu\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leqslant C\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$.

Proof of Theorem 5.33. Since $\partial\Omega$ is C^1 there are open sets $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^N \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $\partial\Omega \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N U_i$ and for all i there is a C^1-diffeomorphism $h_i : U_i \to Q$ s.t. $h_i(U_i) = Q$, $h_i(U_i \cap \Omega) = Q_+$, $h_i(U_i \cap \partial\Omega) = Q_0$. Then there exists a partition of unity $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^N \subseteq C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ s.t.

1. $\sum_{i=0}^N \theta_i = 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$
2. For all $i = 1, \ldots, N$: $\theta_i \in C_c^\infty(U_i)$
3. $\operatorname{supp}\theta_0 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \backslash \partial\Omega$ (in particular $\theta_0|_\Omega \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$)

Then given $u \in H^1(\Omega)$, we can write $u = \sum_{i=0}^N u_i$, where $u_i = \theta_i u$. By the extension theorem (5.37), $u \longrightarrow$ extended to $Bu \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, thus

$$Bu = \sum_{i=0}^N \theta_i(Bu) = \sum_{i=0}^N v_i, \quad v_i = \theta_i(Bu)$$

Then $v_i \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and v_i is compactly supported in U_i for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N$ and $\operatorname{supp} v_0 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \backslash \partial\Omega$, $v_i \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and compactly supported inside U_i. This implies $\tilde{v}_i(y) = v_i(h_i^{-1}(y)) \in H^1(Q)$ and compactly supported inside Q, $y \in Q$. Thus $\|\tilde{v}_i\|_{L^2(Q_0)} \leqslant C\|\tilde{v}_i\|_{H^1(Q_+)}$. So we have $\|v_i\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \leqslant C\|\tilde{v}_i\|_{L^2(Q_0)} \leqslant C'\|\tilde{v}\|_{H^1(Q_+)} \leqslant C''\|v_i\|_{H^1(U_i \cap \Omega)}$. Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} &= \left\|\sum_{i=1}^N v_i\right\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^N \|v_i\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^N C''\|v_i\|_{H^1(U_i \cap \Omega)} \\ &= C'' \sum_{i=1}^N \|\theta_i u\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leqslant C'' \sum_{i=1}^N C\|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \end{aligned}$$

This proof works for $u \in C(\bar{\Omega})$. This implies

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \leqslant C\|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \quad \text{for all } u \in H^1(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega}).$$

This allows us to define

$$\begin{aligned} T : H^1(\Omega) &\longrightarrow L^2(\partial\Omega) \\ u &\longmapsto u|_{\partial\Omega} \end{aligned}$$

by continuity. I.e. for all $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ there is $\{u_n\} \subseteq H^1(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ s.t. $u_n \to u$ in H_0^1. Then $Tu_n \to Tu$ in $L^2(\partial\Omega)$. ∎

Lemma 5.38 (Extension for Q) Let $u \in H^1(Q_+)$. Then we define $Bu : Q \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$Bu(x) = \begin{cases} u(x) & x \in Q_+ \\ -u(x', -x_d) & x \in Q_- \end{cases},$$

$x = (x, x_d)$. Then $Bu \in H^1(Q)$ and $Bu|_{Q^+} = u$, $\|Bu\|^2_{L^2(Q)} = 2\|u\|^2_{L^2(Q_+)}$, $\|\nabla(Bu)\|^2_{L^2(Q)} = \|\nabla u\|^2_{L^2(Q_+)}$

Proof. It is obvious $Bu|_{Q^+} = u$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q |Bu|^2 &= \int_{Q_+} |Bu|^2 \int_{Q_-} |Bu|^2 \\ &= \int_Q |u|^2 + \int_{Q_- = \{(x,-x_d) | (x,x_d) \in Q_+\}} |u(x, -x_d)|^2 \\ &= 2\int_{Q_+} |u|^2 \end{aligned}$$

We prove:

$$\nabla(Bu)(x) = \begin{cases} \nabla u(x) & u \in Q_+ \\ \nabla u(x', -x_d) & u \in Q_- \end{cases}$$

First, $\partial_d Bu(x) = \partial_d u(x', -x_d)$ if $x \in Q_-$. Take $\phi \in C_c^\infty(Q)$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q (Bu(x))(\partial_d \phi)(x)\, dx &= \int_{Q_+} u\partial_d \phi + \int_{Q_-} -u(x', -x_d)\partial_d[\phi(x', x_d)]\, dx \\ (x \to -x_d) \quad &= \int_{Q_+} u\partial_d \phi + \int_{Q_+} [u(x', x_d)(\partial_d \phi)(x', -x_d)]\, dx \\ &\overset{(\phi\ \notin C_c^\infty(Q_+))}{\approx} -\int_{Q^+} (\partial_d u)\phi(x) + \int_{Q_+} (\partial_d u(x', x_d))\phi(x', -x_d)\, dx \\ &= -\int_{Q_+} (\partial_d u)\phi(x) + \int_{Q_-} \partial_d u(x', -x_d)\phi(x', x_d)\, dx \\ &= -\int_Q f\phi, \quad \text{where } f(x) = \begin{cases} \partial_d u & x \in Q_+ \\ -\partial_d u(x', -x_d) & x \in Q_- \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

We prove $\int_{Q_+} u\partial_d \tilde{\phi} = -\int_{Q_+} (\partial_d u)\tilde{\phi}$ where $\tilde{\phi}(x, x_d) = \phi(x, x_d) - \phi(x, -x_d)$, $\tilde{\phi} \notin C_c^\infty(Q_+)$. Define $\eta_\epsilon = 0$ when $|x_d| \leqslant \epsilon$, $\eta_\epsilon = 1$ if $|x_d| \geqslant 2\epsilon$, $\eta_\epsilon \in C^\infty$, $\eta_\epsilon(x', x_d) = \eta_0(x', \frac{x_d}{\epsilon}), \eta_0 = \begin{cases} 1 & |x_d| \geqslant 2 \\ 0 & |x_d| \geqslant 1 \end{cases}$. We have

$$\int_{Q_+} u\partial_d(\eta_\epsilon \tilde{\phi}) = -\int_{Q_+} \partial_d u(\eta_\epsilon \tilde{\phi})$$

We take $\epsilon \to 0$,

$$\int_{Q_+} (\partial_d u)(\eta_\epsilon \tilde{\phi}) \to \int_{Q_+} (\partial_d u)\tilde{\phi}$$

by dominated convergence.

$$\int_{Q_+} u\partial_d(\eta_\epsilon \tilde{\phi}) = \int_{Q_+} u(\partial_d \eta_\epsilon)\tilde{\phi} + \int_{Q_+} u\eta_\epsilon \partial_d \tilde{\phi}$$
$$\int_{Q_+} u\eta_\epsilon \partial_d \tilde{\phi} \xrightarrow{\epsilon \to 0} u\partial_d \tilde{\phi}$$

by dominated convergence.

$$\left|\int_{Q_+} u(\partial_d \eta_\epsilon)\tilde{\phi}\right| = \left|\int_Q u\frac{1}{\epsilon}(\partial_d\eta_0)\left(x, \frac{x_d}{\epsilon}\right)\tilde{\phi}\right|$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} |\tilde{\phi}(x', x_d)| \\ = |\phi(x, x_d) - \phi(x, x_d)| \\ \leqslant \|\partial_d \phi\|_{L^\infty}|x_d| \end{pmatrix} \quad \leqslant \frac{1}{\epsilon}\|\partial_d \eta_0\|_{L^\infty}\int_{Q_+\cap\{x_d\leqslant 2\epsilon\}} |u| \underbrace{|\tilde{\phi}|}_{\leqslant C|x_d|\leqslant C\epsilon}$$

$$\text{(Dominated cv } u \in L^1(Q_+)) \quad \leqslant C\int_{Q_+\cap\{0<x_d\leqslant 2\epsilon\}} |u| \xrightarrow{\epsilon\to 0} 0$$

where $u \in L^2(Q_+)$ because $u \in H^1(Q_+)$. ■

Exercise 5.39 (E. 9.1) Let Ω be open, bounded with C^1-boundary. Let $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, $f \in L^2(\Omega)$. Show that the following statements are equivalent:

1) $-\Delta u = f$ in $D'(\Omega)$
2) $\int \nabla u \nabla \phi = \int f\phi$ for all $\phi \in H_0^1$
3) $E = \inf_v \left(\frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2 - \int_\Omega fv\right)$

Solution.

1) $\Rightarrow$ 2) From $-\Delta u = f$ in $D'(\Omega)$ we get that

$$\int_\Omega u(-\Delta\phi) = \int_\Omega f\phi$$

for all $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$. Claim: If $u \in H_0^1, \phi \in C_c^\infty$, then

$$\int_\Omega(-\Delta\phi) = \int_\Omega \nabla u \nabla\phi$$

Density argument: $u \in H_0^1 = \overline{C_c^\infty(\Omega)}^{\|\cdot\|_H}$, so there is a sequence $\{u_n\} \subseteq C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ s.t. $u_n \to u$ in $H^1(\Omega)$. Since $u_n, \phi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$, then by the integration by parts:

$$\int_\Omega u_n(-\Delta\phi) = \int_\Omega (\nabla u_n)\nabla\phi \forall n$$

Take $n \to \infty$, then,

$$\int_\Omega u(-\Delta\phi) = \int_\Omega (\nabla u)\nabla\phi$$

as $u_n \to u$ and $\nabla u_n \to \nabla u$ in L^2. Claim: If $\int_\Omega \nabla u \nabla \phi = \int_\Omega f\phi$for all $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$, then

$$\int_\Omega \nabla u\nabla\phi = \int_\Omega f\phi$$

for all $\phi \in H_0^1$. (Given $\nabla u, f \in L^2$). With density argument: For all $\phi \in H_0^1$ there is a sequence $\{\phi_n\} \subseteq C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ s.t. $\phi_n \to \phi$ in H^1. Then:

$$\int_\Omega \nabla u \nabla\phi_n = \int_\Omega f\phi_n$$

for all n. Take $n \to \infty$:

$$\int \nabla u\nabla\phi = \int f\phi$$

as $\phi_n \to \phi$, $\nabla\phi_n \to \nabla\phi$ in L^2.

2) $\Rightarrow$ 3) We show $E(u) \leqslant E(v)$ for all $v \in H_0^1$, i.e.

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 - \int_\Omega fu \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2 - \int_\Omega fv$$

for all $v \in H_0^1$. Write $v = u + w$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} E(v) &= \frac{1}{2}\int |\nabla v|^2 - \int fv \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega |\nabla (u+w)|^2 - \int_\Omega f(u+w) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega \left[|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla w|^2 + 2\nabla u \nabla w\right] \int_\Omega (fu + fw) \\ &= E(u) + \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega |\nabla w|^2 + \left(\underbrace{\nabla u \nabla w - \int fw}_{=0}\right) \end{aligned}$$

as $w = v - u \in H_0^1$ (by (2))

3) $\Rightarrow$ 1)

$$E(u) \leqslant E(u + t\phi)$$

for all $\phi \in H_0^1$ (or C_c^∞) for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. This implies:

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(u+t\phi)|_{t=0} = 0$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} E(u+t\phi) &= \frac{1}{2}\int \underbrace{|\nabla(u+t\phi)|^2}_{|\nabla u|^2 + t^2|\nabla\phi|^2 + 2t\nabla u \nabla \phi} - \int f(u+t\phi) \\ &= E(u) + t\left[\int_\Omega \nabla u \nabla \phi \int_\Omega f\phi\right] + t^2 \int_\Omega |\nabla \phi|^2 \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(u+t\phi)|_{t=0} = \int_\Omega \nabla u \nabla \phi - \int_\Omega f\phi$$

Conclude:

$$\int_\Omega \nabla u \nabla \phi = \int_\Omega f\phi$$

for all $\phi \in H_0^1$ or C_c^∞ So we get

$$\int_\Omega u(-\Delta\phi) = \int_\Omega f\phi$$

for all $\phi \in C_c^\infty$. so we can conclude:

$$-\Delta u = f$$

in $D'(\Omega) \Rightarrow$ 1)

■

Exercise 5.40 (E 9.2)

$$Q = \{(x', x_d) \mid |x'| < 1, |x_d| < 1\}$$

Given $u \in H^1(Q_+)$, define $Bu : Q \to \mathbb{R}$ as

$$Bu(x) = \begin{cases} u(x) & x \in Q_+ \\ u(\tilde{x}) & x \in Q_- \end{cases},$$

$x = (x', x_d) \Leftrightarrow \tilde{x} = (x', -x_d)$, $x \in Q_- \Leftrightarrow \tilde{x} \in Q_+$. In the lectures:

$$\partial_d(Bu)(x) = \begin{cases} \partial_d u(x) & x \in Q_+ \\ -(\partial_d u)(\tilde{x}) & x \in Q_- \end{cases}$$

This implies $\partial_d(Bu) \in L^2(Q)$.

1. For all $i = 1, \dots, d-1$, then:

$$\partial_i(Bu)(x) = \begin{cases} \partial_i u(x) & x \in Q_+ \\ \partial_i u(\tilde{x}) & x \in Q_- \end{cases}$$

2. Example $u \in H^2(Q_+)$ but $Bu \notin H^2(Q)$.

Solution. 1. For all $\phi \in C_c^\infty(Q)$:

$$\int_Q Bu(x)\partial_i\phi(x) = \int_{Q_+} u(x)\partial_i\phi(x) + \int_{Q_-} u(\tilde{x})\partial_i\phi(x)$$

Write $\vec{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_d)$. Here:

$$\int_{Q_+} u(x)\partial_i\phi(x)\,dx = \int_{Q_+} -\partial_i u(x)\phi(x)\,dx + \int_{\partial Q_+} u(x)\phi(x)n_i\,dS$$

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{Q_-} u(x', -x_d)\partial_i\phi(x', x_d)\,dx'dx_d &= -\int_{Q_+} u(x', x_d)\partial\phi(x', -x_d)\,dx'\,dx_d \\ &= \int_{Q_+} \partial_i u(x)\phi(\tilde{x}) - \int_{\partial Q_+} u\phi n_i\,dS \\ &= \int_{Q_-} -\partial_i u(\tilde{x})\phi(x) - \int_{\partial Q_+} u\phi n_i\,dS\end{aligned}$$

with $d(-x_d) = d(x_d)$. Conclude:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_Q (Bu)(x)\partial_i\phi(x)\,dx &= \int_{Q_+} (-\partial_i u)(x)\phi(x) + \int_{Q_-} (-\partial_i u)(\tilde{x})\phi(x) \\ &= \int_Q -h(x)\phi(x)\,dx, \quad h(x) = \begin{cases} \partial_i u(x), & x \in Q_+ \\ \partial_i u(\tilde{x}), & x \in Q_- \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

for all $\phi \in C_c^\infty(Q)$, so $\partial_i(Bu) \in L^2$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, d-1$. Thus $Bu \in H^1(Q)$.

2. 1D: Take $Q_+(0,1), Q_- = (-1,0), Q_0 = \{0\}, Q = (-1,1)$, $u(x) = x$ in $Q_+ = (0,1)$, $Bu(x) = u(x) = -x$ if $x \in Q_- = (-1,0)$, i.e. $Bu(x) = |x|$ if $x \in Q = (-1,1)$. We know

$$(Bu)'(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in (0,1) \\ -1 & x \in (-1,0) \end{cases} \in L^2(-1,1)$$

i.e. $Bu \in H^1(Q)$.

$$(Bu)''(x) = 2\delta_0(x)$$

in $D'(Q)$ but $\notin L^2(-1,1)$, i.e. $Bu \notin H^2(Q)$. Question: Given $u \in H^2(Q_+)$, can we find an extension $Bu \in H^2(Q)$ Yes! E.g. $u(x) = x$ in $(0,1)$, so $Bu(x) = x$ in $(-1,1)$. In general: $u \in H^2(Q) \rightsquigarrow \tilde{u} \in H^2(Q)$ but $\nabla u = 0$ on ∂Q_+. ∎

Exercise 5.41 (Bonus 8) Assume $u \in H^2(Q_+)$ and $\begin{cases} u = 0 \\ \nabla u = 0 \end{cases}$ on ∂Q_+ Prove that $Bu \in H^2(Q)$. (Reflection extension) (Ok in 1D)

Remark 5.42 If $u \in H^2(Q_+)$, then $\nabla u \in H^1(Q_+)$, so $\nabla u|_{\partial Q_+}$ by trace theory. In general: $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d, C^2$-boundary condition, then the same result holds.

Remark 5.43 In 1D: $\begin{cases} u \in H^2(0,1) \\ u(0) = 0 \\ u'(0) = 0 \end{cases}$, $u|_{Q_0} \in L^2(Q_0)$, 1D: $Q_0 = \{0\}$. In general: If $u \in H^1(0,1)$, then $u(0)$ is determined by trace theory. If $u \in H^2(0,1)$, $u'(0)$ is determined. Sobolev:

$$H^1(0,1) \subseteq C([0,1])$$
$$H^2(0,1) \subseteq C^1([0,1])$$

Lemma 5.44 (Poincare inequality) Let Ω be open, bounded connected with C^1-boundary. Then for all $g \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$ s.t. $g \neq \mathit{constant}$ there is a $C > 0$ s.t.

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leqslant C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

for all $u \in M$, where

$$M = \{v \in H^1(\Omega) \mid v|_{\partial\Omega} = g\}.$$

Proof. We assume that the statement does not hold true. Then there is a sequence $\{u_n\} \subseteq H^1(\Omega)$, $u_n|_{\partial\Omega} = g$ s.t.

$$\|\nabla u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \to 0, \quad \|u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 1.$$

Since $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H^1(\Omega)$, by the Banach-Alaoglu theorem (5.30), up to a subsequence

$$u_n \to u_0 \quad \text{weakly in } \ H^1(\Omega)$$

Since $\nabla u_n \to 0$ strongly in L^2 and $\nabla u_n \to \nabla u_0$ weakly in L^2, we have $\nabla u_0 = 0$, so $u_0|_{\partial\Omega} = \mathit{const}$. (here we need Ω to be connected), so $u_0|_{\partial\Omega} = \mathit{const}$. On the other hand, note that M is convex and closed in $H^1(\Omega)$ since the trace operator $T : H^1(\Omega) \to L^2(\partial\Omega)$ is continuous. Therefore, M is also weakly closed in $H^1(\Omega)$ by the Hahn-Banach theorem. Thus from $\{u_n\} \subseteq M$, $u_n \to u_0$ wekly in $H^1(\Omega)$ we get that $u_0 \in M$, so $u_0|_{\partial\Omega} = g$. We get a contradiction since $g \neq \mathit{const}$ ∎

Theorem 5.45 (Solution for Poisson Equation with inhomogeneous boundary condition) Let Ω be open, bounded with C^1-boundary. Let $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, $g \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$. There there is a unique $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ s.t.

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f & \text{in } D'(\Omega) \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = g & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

Here $u|_{\partial\Omega} = T(u) \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$ is defined by the trace operator. Moreover if Ω is connected and $g \neq \textit{constant}$, then u is the unique minimizer for the variational problem

$$E = \inf_{v \in M} \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2 - \int_\Omega fv,$$

where $M = \{v \in H^1(\Omega), v|_{\partial\Omega} = g \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}$

Proof. First let us assume that Ω is connected and $g \neq \textit{const}$.

Step 1: We prove that $E = \int_{v \in M} E(v)$ has a minimizer. By Poincares Inequality (5.44), for all $v \in M$:

$$\begin{aligned} E(v) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2 - \int_\Omega fv \\ \text{(Hölder)} \quad &\geqslant \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla v\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} - \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ \text{(Poincaré 5.44)} \quad &\geqslant \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla v\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} - C\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\geqslant \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} - C\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \end{aligned}$$

Thus $E = \inf_{v \in M} E(v) > -\infty$. Moreover, taking a minimizing sequence $\{v_n\} \subseteq M$, $E(v_n) \to E$, we find that $\|\nabla v_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ is bounded, and hence $\|v_n\|_{H^1(\Omega)}$ is bouned (by Poincare inequality) again. By Banach-Alaoglu (5.30), up to a subsequence we have $v_n \to u$ weakly in $H^1(\Omega)$. Hence

$$\begin{cases} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \int_\Omega |\nabla v_n|^2 \geqslant \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 & \text{as } \nabla v_n \to \nabla u \text{ in } L^2 \\ \int_\Omega v_n f \to \int_\Omega uf & \text{as } v_n \to u \text{ in } L^2 \end{cases}$$

Note that $\{v_n\} \subseteq M$, $v_n \to u$ in $H^1(\Omega)$ and M is weakly closed in $H^1(\Omega)$ (as argued in the proof of Poincare inequality), therefore $u \in M$. This means that u is a minimizer for $E = \inf_{v \in M} E(v)$.

Step 2: Now we prove that if u is a minimizer for E, then $-\Delta u = f$ in $D'(\Omega)$. In fact, for all $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ we have

$$E(u) \leqslant E(u + t\phi) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$$

because $u + t\phi \in M$. So we get that

$$0 = \frac{d}{dt} E(u + t\phi)|_{t=0} = \int_\Omega \nabla u \nabla \phi - \int_\Omega f\phi$$

Thus

$$\int_\Omega u(-\Delta\phi) = \int_\Omega \nabla u \nabla \phi, = \int_\Omega f\phi \quad \forall \phi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega).$$

So $-\Delta u = f$ in $D'(\Omega)$.

Step 3: We prove that Poissons equation has at most one solution. Assume that u_1, u_2 are 2 solutions. Then $u = u_1 - u_2$ solves

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \text{ on } \Omega \end{cases}$$

so $u = 0$.

Step 4: If $g = c_0$ is a constant, then Poissons equation can be rewritten with $\tilde{u} = u - c_0$:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = c_0 & \text{on } \Omega \end{cases} \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad \begin{cases} -\Delta \tilde{u} = f & \text{in } \ \Omega \\ \tilde{u} = 0 & \text{on } \ \Omega \end{cases}$$

If Ω is not connected, then by considering connected components of Ω we can prove that Poisson's equation always has a unique solution (for all $f \in L^2(\Omega), g \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$).

■

5.6 Final Remarks

We can describe $H_0^1(\Omega)$ as the kernel of the trace operator $T : H^1(\Omega) \to L^2(\partial\Omega)$

Theorem 5.46 Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open, bounded with C^1-boundary. Then:

$$H_0^1(\Omega) = \{u \in H^1(\Omega) \mid T(u) = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}$$

Recall that if $u \in H^1(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$, then $T(u) = u|_{\partial\Omega}$ is the usual restriction. In this case we recover a result proved before.

Proof. ■

Recall that the varionational characterization of the Poisson equation

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = g & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

is

$$\int_\Omega \nabla u \nabla \phi = \int_\Omega f\phi \quad \forall \phi \in M$$

where $M = \{v \in H^1(\Omega) \mid v = g \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}$ In fact, if $u \in H^2(\Omega)$ and

$$\int_\Omega \nabla u \nabla \phi = \int_\Omega f\phi \quad \forall \phi \in H^1(\Omega)$$

Then u satisfies the Neumann condition:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = \nabla u \cdot \vec{n} = 0 \text{ on } \ \partial\Omega$$

(justification ...)

For the exercises of sheet 10: Let $\Omega = (a, b) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an open bounded interval. For every $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ the values $u(a)$ and $u(b)$ are determined uniquely by trace theory, or by Sobolev's embedding theorem. Recall: If $u \in H^1((a, b)) \rightsquigarrow \partial\Omega = \{a, b\}$ counting measure iff $g \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$ i.e. $g(a) = g(b)$ are *well-defined.*

Exercise 5.47 (E 10.1) a) Prove $H^1(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq (C(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}))$
Hint: You can use Fourier Transform

b) $H^1(\Omega) \subseteq C(\Omega)$

Solution. a) Let $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$. Then $u, u' \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \Leftrightarrow \hat{u}(k)(1+|2\pi k|) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Thus:

$$u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{u}(k) e^{2\pi i k x}\, dk \in C(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$$

if $\hat{u} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. So we have to show $\hat{u} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$.

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{u}(k)| &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|g(k)|}{1+|2\pi k|} \\ &\leqslant \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(k)|^2\, dk\right) \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{1}{1+|2\pi k|}\right)^2 dk\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty\end{aligned}$$

b) Given $u \in H^1(\Omega)$, then there is an extension $\tilde{u} \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$. By a) $\tilde{u} \in C(\mathbb{R})$, so $u = \tilde{u}|_{\bar{\Omega}} \in C(\bar{\Omega})$. Remak: We have $\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leqslant C\|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}$, where $\Omega = (a,b)$ or $\mathbb{R}$ (but only in 1D) ∎

Recall: If $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d (d \geqslant 1)$ open, bounded with C^1-boundary. Then

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leqslant C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \quad \forall u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$$

Actually the same bound holds if $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ and $u|_\Gamma = 0$ for an open subset $\Gamma \subseteq \partial\Omega$. In 1D we have:

Exercise 5.48 (E 10.2 (Poincare inequality)) Let $u \in H^1(\Omega)$, $u(a) = 0$. Prove that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leqslant C\|u'\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

Solution. Let $u \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ and $u(a) = 0$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} u(x) &= u(a) + \int_0^x u'(t)\, dt \quad \forall x \in (a,b) \\ \Rightarrow \quad |u(x)| &\leqslant \int_a^x |u'(t)|\, dt \leqslant \int_a^b |u'(t)|\, dt = \|u'\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leqslant C\|u'\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\end{aligned}$$

as Ω is bounded. This implies:

$$\frac{1}{C}\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leqslant \|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leqslant C\|u'\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

To extend this for $u \in H^1(\Omega)$, we can use a density argument. More precisely, for all $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ there is a sequence $\{u_n\} \subseteq C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ s.t $u_n \to u$ in $H^1(\Omega)$. Then:

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leqslant C \lim_{n\to\infty} \|u_n'\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = C\|u'\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

Recall: For all $f \in W^{1,1}_{loc}(O)$ with O in $\mathbb{R}^d$ we have

$$f(x) - f(y) = \int_0^1 \nabla f(y + t(x-y))(x-y)\, dt$$

if $x, y \in O$, $y + t(x-y) \in O$ for all $t \in [0,1]$. For 1D: If $u \in H^1(a,b)$:

$$u(x) - u(y) = \int_y^x u'(t)\, dt \quad \forall x, y \in (a,b)$$

∎

Exercise 5.49 (E 10.3 (Poincare inequality)) Let $u \in H^2(\Omega)$ and $f \in L^2(\Omega)$. Prove that the following statements are equivalent:

a) u solves the equation:

$$\begin{cases} -u'' = f & \text{in } D'(\Omega) \\ u'(0) = u'(1) = 0 \end{cases}$$

b)

$$\int_\Omega u'\phi' = \int_\Omega f\phi$$

for all $\phi \in H^1(\Omega)$.

Here $u \in H^2(\Omega) \Rightarrow u' \in H^1(\Omega) \Rightarrow u'(0), u'(1)$ determined uniquely by trace theorem / Sobolev inequality $H^1(\Omega) \subseteq C(\bar{\Omega})$

Solution.

b) $\Rightarrow$ a) For all $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$:

$$\int_\Omega f\phi = \int_\Omega u'\phi' = -\int_\Omega u\phi''$$

This implies $-u'' = f$ in $D'(\Omega)$ a.e. Thus for all $\phi \in H^1(\Omega)$:

$$\int_\Omega f\phi = \int_\Omega -u''\phi = \int_\Omega u'\phi' - [u'\phi]_a^b$$

By b) we conclude $0 = [u'\phi]_a^b = u'(b)\phi(b) - u'(a)\phi(a)$ for all $\phi \in H^1(\Omega)$. We can choose $\phi \in H^1(\Omega)$ s.t. $\phi(a) = 0$, $\phi(b) = 1$. This implies $\phi'(b) = 0$. Similarly, we can chose $\phi \in H^1(\Omega)$ s.t. $\phi(a) = 1$, $\phi(b) = 0$. This implies $u'(a) = 0$.

a) $\Rightarrow$ b) From a) and Integration by parts:

$$\int_\Omega f\phi = \int_\Omega -u''\phi = \int_\Omega u'\phi' - \underbrace{[u'\phi]_a^b}_{=0 \text{ as } u'(a)=u'(b)=0}$$

This implies:

$$\int_\Omega f\phi = \int_\Omega u'\phi' \quad \forall \phi \in H^1(\Omega)$$

■

Exercise 5.50 (E 10.4 (Robin boundary condition)) Let $f \in L^2(\Omega)$.

a) Prove that there exists a unique $u \in M := \{\phi \in H^1(\Omega), u(a) = 0\}$ s.t.

$$\int_\Omega u'\phi' = \int_\Omega f\phi \quad \forall \phi \in M$$

b) Prove that the above function u is the unique solution to the equation

$$\begin{cases} -u'' = f & \text{in } D'(\Omega) \\ u(a) = 0 & u'(b) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Solution. a) By 10.2 we have

$$\|\phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leqslant C\|\phi'\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \quad \forall \phi \in M$$

Thus: $(M, \|\phi\|_M := \|\phi'\|_{L^2(\Omega)})$ is a Hilbert space. More precisely, we know $(M, \|\cdot\|_M)$ is a closed subspace of $H^1 \rightsquigarrow$ a Hilbert space. And $\|\cdot\|_M$ is comparable to $\|\cdot\|_{H^1}$. By Riesz representation theorem there is a unique $u \in M$ s.t. $\langle \phi, u\rangle_M = F(\phi)$ for all $\phi \in M$. We use this for

$$F(\phi) = \int_\Omega f\phi \quad \forall \phi \in M$$

Here $|F(\phi)| \leqslant \|f\|_{L^2}\|\phi\|_{L^2}$.

b) Let $u \in M$ be the solution in (a) i.e.

$$\int_\Omega f\phi = \int_\Omega u'\phi' \quad \forall \phi \in M$$

Then we prove that u solves

$$\begin{cases} -u'' = f & \text{in } D'(\Omega) \\ u(a) = u'(b) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Since $u \in M$ we have $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ and $u(a) = 0$. From

$$\int_\Omega f\phi = \int_\Omega u'\phi' \quad \forall \phi \in M$$

we get for all $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$:

$$\int_\Omega f\phi = \int_\Omega u'\phi' = \int_\Omega -u\phi''$$

So we get $-u'' = f$ in $D'(\Omega)$. Since $f \in L^2(\Omega) \Rightarrow u'' \in L^2(\Omega) \Rightarrow u \in H^2(\Omega)$ $\Rightarrow u' \in H^1(\Omega) \Rightarrow u'(b)$ is uniquely determined. For all $\phi \in M$:

$$\int_\Omega f\phi = \int_\Omega -u''\phi = \int_\Omega u'\phi' - \big(u'(b)\phi(b) - u'(a)\phi(a)\big) \quad \text{as } \phi \in M$$

and $\int_\Omega f\phi = \int_\Omega u'\phi'$. This implies:

$$u'(b)\phi(b) = 0 \quad \forall \phi \in M$$

Take $\phi(x) = \frac{x-a}{b-a} \in M$, $\phi(b) = 1$. Uniqueness of the solution: Take u s.t.

$$\begin{cases} -u'' = f & \text{in } D'(\Omega) \\ u(a) = u'(b) = 0 \end{cases}$$

This implies $u \in H^2(\Omega)$. By integration by parts: For all $\phi \in H^1(\Omega)$, $\phi(a) = 0$.

$$\int_\Omega f\phi = \int_\Omega -u''\phi = \int u'\phi' \quad \forall \phi \in M$$

Thus $u \in M$ and

$$\int_\Omega f\phi = \int_\Omega u'\phi' \quad \forall \phi \in M.$$

■

Exercise 5.51 (Bonus 9) Prove that the solution u in Problem E 10.4 is the unique minimizer for the minimization problem:

$$E = \inf_{v \in M} \left(\int_\Omega |v'|^2 - \int_\Omega fv \right)$$

Chapter 6

Heat Equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = \Delta u & (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times (0,\infty) \\ u = g & (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \{0\} \end{cases}$$

The fundamential solution is:

$$\Phi(x,t) = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{d}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, t > 0$$

We have:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \Phi = \Delta \phi & (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times (0,\infty) \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(x,t)\, dx = 1 & \forall t > 0 \\ \lim_{t\to 0} \Phi(x,t) = \delta_0(x) & \text{in } D'(\mathbb{R}^d) \end{cases}$$

Theorem 6.1 If $g \in C(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then

$$u(x,t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(x-y,t) g(y)\, dy$$

satisfies

(i) $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times (0,\infty))$

(ii) $\partial_t u = \Delta u$ for all $(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times (0,\infty)$

(iii) $\lim_{t\to 0} u(x,t) = g(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

Theorem 6.2 (Nonhomogeneous problem) Let $f \in C_1^2(\mathbb{R}^d, [0,\infty))$ be compactly supported. Define

$$u(x,t) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(x-y,t-s) f(y,s)\, dy\, ds$$

Then

(i) $u \in C_1^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times (0,\infty))$

(ii) $\partial_t u = \Delta u + f$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d, t > 0$

(iii) $\lim_{t\to 0} u(x,t) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Proof. We write

$$u(x,t) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(y,s) f(x-y,t-s)\,dy\,ds$$

With the Leibniz integral rule we get

$$\partial_t u(x,t) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(y,s)\partial_t f(x-y,t-s)\,dy\,ds + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(y,s) f(x-y,0)\,dy$$

and

$$\partial_{ij} u(x,t) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(y,s)\partial_{ij} f(x-y,t-s)\,dy.$$

This shows that $\partial_t u$, $\partial_{ij} u$ are in $C(\mathbb{R}^d \times (0,\infty))$. Next we calculate:

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t u - \Delta u &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(y,s)(\partial_t - \Delta_x) f(x-y,t-s)\,dy\,ds + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(y,s) f(x-y,0)\,dy \\
&= \underbrace{\int_\epsilon^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(y,s)(\partial_t - \Delta_x) f(x-y,t-s)\,dy\,ds}_{=:I_\epsilon} \\
&\quad + \underbrace{\int_0^\epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(y,s)(\partial_t - \Delta_x) f(x-y,t-s)\,dy\,ds}_{J_\epsilon} \\
&\quad + \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(y,s) f(x-y,0)\,dy}_{K}
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_\epsilon| &\leqslant \|(\partial_t - \Delta_x) f\|_{L^\infty} \int_0^\epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(y,s)\,dy\,ds \leqslant C\epsilon \xrightarrow{\epsilon\to 0} 0 \\
I_\epsilon &= \int_\epsilon^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(y,s)(-\partial_s - \Delta_y) f(x-y,t-s)\,dy\,ds \\
\text{(Green (2.3))} \qquad &= \int_\epsilon^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \underbrace{(\partial_s - \Delta_y)\Phi(y,s)}_{=0} f(x-y,t-s)\,dy\,ds \\
&\quad - \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(y,s) f(x-y,t-s)\right]_{s=\epsilon}^{s=t}
\end{aligned}$$

This implies:

$$\begin{aligned}
I_\epsilon + K &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(y,\epsilon) f(x-y,t-\epsilon)\,dy \\
&\xrightarrow{\epsilon\to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \delta_0(y) f(x-y,t)\,dy = f(x,t)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u = f(x,t) \quad \forall (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times (0,\infty)$$

Finally:

$$\|u(\cdot,t)\|_{L^\infty} \leqslant \|f\|_{L^\infty} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(y,s)\,dy\,ds = \|f\|_{L^\infty} t \xrightarrow{t\to 0} 0$$

■

Exercise 6.3 If f, g are given as above, then

$$u(x,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(x-y,t)g(y)\,gy + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(x-y,t-s)f(y,s)\,ds$$

solves

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t - \Delta u = f \\ u(\cdot,t) = g \end{cases}$$

Remark 6.4 (Duhamel formula) Consider the ODE $\partial_t w(t) = Aw(t)$ for all $A \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the solution is

$$w(t) = e^{tA}w(0).$$

More generally: If $\partial_t w(t) = Aw(t) + f(t)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t(e^{-tA}w(t)) = e^{-tA}(\partial_t w(t) - Aw(t)) = e^{-tA}f(t) = e^{-tA}f(t) \\ \Rightarrow \quad & e^{-tA}w(t) = w(0) + \int_0^t e^{-sA}f(s)\,ds \\ \Rightarrow \quad & w(t) = e^{tA}w(0) + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A}f(s)\,ds \end{aligned}$$

More generally, if A is an operator (independend of time) then:

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t w(t) = Aw(t) + f(t) \\ \Rightarrow \quad & w(t) = e^{tA}w(0) + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A}f(s)\,ds \end{aligned}$$

Application: If $A = \Delta$, then the operator $e^{t\Delta}$ has kernel

$$e^{t\Delta}(x,y) = \Phi(x-y,t) = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{d}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t}}.$$

This is called the *heat kernel*.

Theorem 6.5 (L^2-data) For every $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, define

$$u(t,x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(x-y,t)g(y)\,dy$$

Then $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times (0,\infty))$ and it solves the heat equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = \Delta_x u & \mathbb{R}^d \times (0,\infty) \\ \lim_{t\to 0} u(\cdot,t) = g & \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \end{cases}$$

Proof. Recall the heuristic computation from the heat equation using the Fourier transform

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t u(x,t) = \Delta_x u(x,t) \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad & \partial_t \hat{u}(k,t) = -|2\pi k|^2 \hat{u}(k,t) \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad & \partial_t(e^{t|2\pi k|^2}\hat{u}(k,t)) = 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad & e^{t|2\pi k|^2}\hat{u}(k,t) = \hat{u}(k,0) = \hat{g}(k) \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad & \hat{u}(k,t) = e^{-t|2\pi k|^2}\hat{g}(k) = \hat{\Phi}(k,t)\hat{g}(k) = \widehat{\Phi \star g} \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad & u(x,t) = \Phi \star g = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(x-y,t)g(y)\,dy \end{aligned}$$

■

Here we only need the direction $\Leftarrow$ which is rigorous if $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. From the Fourier transform, it is also easy to check that $u(\cdot, t) \to g$ in L^2 as $t \to 0$ (exercise). To see the smoothness, note that for all $t > 0$, and for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$(1 + |2\pi k|^m)\hat{u}(k,t) = \underbrace{(1 + |2\pi k|^m)e^{-t|2\pi k|^2}}_{\in L^\infty} \underbrace{\hat{g}(k)}_{\in L^2} \in L^2$$

This implies $u(\cdot, t) \in H^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for all $m \geqslant 1$, so $u(\cdot, t) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by Sobolev embedding (see below). This argument can also be used to show that $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times (0, \infty))$ (exercise)

Theorem 6.6 (Sobolev embedding) If $m > \frac{d}{2}$, then $H^m(\mathbb{R}^d) \subseteq (C(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$.

Proof. We write for all $u \in H^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$:

$$\hat{u}(k) = \underbrace{\hat{u}(k)(1 + |2\pi k|^m)}_{\in L^2 \text{ as } u \in H^m} \underbrace{\frac{1}{1 + |2\pi k|^m}}_{\in L^2 \text{ as } m > \frac{d}{2}}$$

This implies $\hat{u}(k) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and finally $u = (\hat{u})^\vee \in (C(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$. ■