1 Rules

1.
$$\frac{\Gamma(x) = T}{\Gamma \vdash x : T} \text{ var}$$

2.
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_1 \to T_2 \qquad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_1}{\Gamma \vdash (t_1 t_2)[T_1] : T_2} \operatorname{app}$$

3.
$$\frac{\Gamma, x: T_1 \vdash t: T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.t: T_1 \to T_2} \lambda$$

4.
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : T_1 \qquad T_1 <: T_2}{\Gamma \vdash t : T_2}$$
 subsumption

5.
$$T < T$$
 reflexive

6.
$$\frac{SubBase(b_1, b_2)}{b_1 <: b_2}$$
 subBase

7.
$$\frac{T_1' <: T_1 \qquad T_2 <: T_2'}{T_1 \to T_2 <: T_2_1 \to T_2'}$$
 arrow

8.
$$\frac{T_1 <: T_2 \qquad T_2 <: T_3}{T_1 <: T_3}$$
 transitive

2 Variable terms

2.1 Valid

$$x: T \vdash x: T$$
 var

2.2 Invalid

$$\overline{\cdot \vdash x : T}$$
 invalid var

3 Lambda & application terms

$$\frac{x:(T1 \rightarrow T2),y:T1 \vdash x:(T1 \rightarrow T2)}{x:(T1 \rightarrow T2),y:T1 \vdash (xy)[T1]:T2} \gamma \text{app}$$

$$\frac{x:(T1 \rightarrow T2),y:T1 \vdash (xy)[T1]:T2}{x:(T1 \rightarrow T2) \vdash \lambda y.(xy)[T1]:(T1 \rightarrow T2)} \lambda$$

$$\frac{x:(T1 \rightarrow T2) \vdash \lambda y.(xy)[T1]:(T1 \rightarrow T2)}{\gamma \vdash \lambda x.\lambda y.(xy)[T1]:((T1 \rightarrow T2) \rightarrow (T1 \rightarrow T2))} \lambda$$

4 Direct Subtyping

4.1 Valid

$$\frac{x:bool \vdash x:bool}{x:bool} \overset{\text{Var}}{\underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \text{SubBase}(bool,int) \\ bool <: int \\ x:bool \vdash x: int \end{array}}} \overset{\text{subBase}}{\text{subsumption}}$$

1

4.2 Invalid

$$\frac{x : int \vdash x : int}{x : int \vdash x : bool} \text{var} \quad \frac{\bot}{int <: bool} \text{invalid}$$
subsumption

5 Transitive Subtyping

$$\frac{|SubBase(bool,int)|}{bool <: int} \quad \text{subBase} \quad \frac{|SubBase(int,double)|}{int <: double} \quad \text{subBase} \quad \text{transitive} \quad \text{transitive} \quad \text{transitive} \quad \text{subBase} \quad \text{transitive} \quad \text{subBase} \quad \text{$$

6 Arrow Types

6.1 Valid

$$\frac{x:(int \to bool) \vdash x:(int \to bool)}{x:(int \to bool)} \text{ var } \frac{\frac{\text{SubBase}(bool,int)}{bool <: int} \text{ subBase}}{(int \to bool) <: (bool \to int)} \frac{\text{SubBase}(bool,int)}{\text{subSumption}}$$

$$x:(int \to bool) \vdash x:(bool \to int)$$

6.1.1 Reflexive

$$\frac{x:(int \to bool) \vdash x:(int \to bool)}{x:(int \to bool)} \text{ var } \frac{\frac{\text{SubBase}(bool,int)}{bool <: int} \text{ subBase}}{(int \to bool) <: (int \to int)} \text{ subsumption}}{x:(int \to bool) \vdash x:(int \to int)}$$

6.1.2 Nested

$$\frac{SubBase(bool,int)}{bool <: int} \text{ subBase} \frac{1}{T <: T} \text{ reflexive} \\ \frac{bool <: int}{(int \to T), y : bool \vdash x : (int \to T)} \text{ var} \frac{bool <: int}{(int \to T) <: (bool \to T)} \text{ subsumption} \frac{x : (int \to T), y : bool \vdash x : (bool \to T)}{x : (int \to T), y : bool \vdash (x y)[bool] : T} \\ \frac{x : (int \to T) \vdash \lambda y . (x y)[bool] : (bool \to T)}{\cdot \vdash \lambda x . \lambda y . (x y)[bool] : ((int \to T) \to (bool \to T))} \lambda$$

6.2 Invalid

$$\frac{\bot}{int <: bool} \text{ invalid } \frac{\text{SubBase}(bool, int)}{bool <: int} \text{ subBase}$$

$$\frac{x : (bool \to bool) \vdash x : (bool \to bool)}{(bool \to bool)} \lor \text{ invalid } \frac{(bool \to bool)}{(bool \to bool)} <: (int \to int) \text{ subsumption}}$$

$$x : (bool \to bool) \vdash x : (int \to int)$$

$$\frac{\bot}{int <: bool} \text{ invalid } \frac{\bot}{T <: T} \text{ reflexive arrow} \text{ arrow } \frac{\bot}{(bool \to T), y : bool \vdash x : (int \to T)} \text{ subsumption}}$$

$$\frac{x : (bool \to T), y : bool \vdash x : (int \to T)}{x : (bool \to T), y : bool \vdash x : (int \to T)} \land \text{ app}$$

$$\frac{x : (bool \to T), y : bool \vdash (x y)[int] : T}{x : (bool \to T) \vdash \lambda y . (x y)[int] : (bool \to T)} \land \text{ app}$$

6.3 Delaying applying the subsumption rule

The sumbumption rule can be delayed until the term in the judgment is a just a variable. This simplifies the code since there is only one rule to be applied for application and λ abstraction terms. Here is the correctness proof.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : T \qquad T <: T'}{\Gamma \vdash t : T'} \text{ subsumption}$$

Proof:

By induction hypothesis on the structure of the term.

1. Case t = x: trivial since t is a variable.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash x : T \qquad T <: T'}{\Gamma \vdash x : T'} \text{ subsumption}$$

2. Case $t = t_1 t_2$: assume $T_2 <: T'_2$ and the following derivation:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_1 \to T_2 \qquad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_1}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 t_2[T_1] : T_2} \xrightarrow{\text{app}} T_2 <: T_2' \text{subsumption}$$

$$\Gamma \vdash t_1 t_2[T_1] : T_2'$$

We can get a different derivation tree where the sumbsumption rule is delayed:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \hline T_1 <: T_1 & T_2 <: T_2' \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash t_1 t_2[T_1] : T_2 & T_1 \rightarrow T_2 <: T_1 \rightarrow T_2' \\ \hline \hline \Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_1 \rightarrow T_2' & \text{subsumption} \\ \hline \hline \Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_1 \rightarrow T_2' & \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_1 \\ \hline \hline \Gamma \vdash t_1 t_2[T_1] : T_2' & \end{array}$$

By the induction hypothesis, the subsumption rule can be delayed until variable terms are generated in the derivation of $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_1 \to T_2'$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_1$.

3. Case $t = \lambda x.t'$: assume $T_1 \to T_2 <: T'_1 \to T'_2$ and the following derivation:

$$\frac{\Gamma, x: T_1 \vdash t': T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. t': T_1 \rightarrow T_2} \stackrel{\lambda}{\sim} \frac{T_1' <: T_1 \qquad T_2 <: T_2'}{T_1 \rightarrow T_2 <: T_1' \rightarrow T_2'} \underset{\text{subsumption}}{\operatorname{arrow}}$$

Alternatively we can derive:

$$\frac{\Gamma, x: T_1' \vdash t': T_2 \qquad T_2 <: T_2'}{\frac{\Gamma, x: T_1' \vdash t': T_2'}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. t': T_1' \rightarrow T_2'}} \text{ subsumption}$$

Since $T'_1 <: T_1$ then by the subsumption rule:

$$\frac{\overline{\Gamma, x : T_1' \vdash x : T_1'} \quad \text{var}}{\Gamma, x : T_1' \vdash x : T_1} \quad T_1' <: T_1 \text{ subsumption}$$

Therefore if $\Gamma, x: T_1 \vdash t': T_2$ then $\Gamma, x: T_1' \vdash t': T_2$ which concludes the proof.

Note:

If $\Gamma, x: T_1' \vdash t': T_2$, it is not always true that $\Gamma, x: T_1 \vdash t': T_2$ where $T_1' <: T_1$. A counter example would be

$$x:bool \vdash x:int \Rightarrow x:double \vdash x:int, bool <: int <: double$$

However

$$x: int \vdash x: double \Rightarrow x: bool \vdash x: double, bool <: int <: double$$

Therefore in the following example, using the subsumption rule first would fail and slow the type checker because it needs to backtrack and check the λ rule. However using the subsumption rule would prove the type checking and is faster.

$$\frac{x: double \vdash x: int}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. x: double \rightarrow int} \lambda \frac{SubBase(bool, double)}{bool <: double} \text{ subBase } \frac{\text{int} <: int}{int <: int} \text{ reflexive arrow } \frac{bool <: double \rightarrow int <: bool \rightarrow int}{double \rightarrow int} \text{ subsumption } \frac{F \vdash \lambda x. x: bool \rightarrow int}{f} \text{ subsumption } \frac{f(x) \vdash \lambda x. x: bool \rightarrow int}{f} = \frac{f(x)$$

$$\frac{ \frac{ SubBase(bool,int)}{bool <: int} \text{ subsumption} }{\frac{ \Gamma, x:bool \vdash x:bool \vdash x:int}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.x:bool \rightarrow int}} \text{ subsumption}$$

7 System F

7.1 Variables

7.1.1 Valid

$$\overline{x : \forall X.X \vdash x : \forall X.X} \text{ var}$$

$$\overline{x : \forall X.X \vdash x : \forall Y.Y} \text{ var}$$

$$x : \forall X.(X \to X) \vdash x : \forall Y.(Y \to Y) \text{ var}$$

Y is free in the context and the type:

$$\overline{x: \forall X.(X \to Y) \vdash x: \forall Z.(Z \to Y)} \text{ var}$$

$$\overline{x: \forall X.X \vdash x: \forall X1.X1} \text{ elimination}$$

$$\overline{x: \forall X.X \vdash x: \forall X1.X1} \text{ var}$$

$$\overline{x: \forall X.X \vdash x: \forall X1.X1} \text{ var}$$

$$\overline{x: \forall X.X \vdash x[(Y \to Y)]: (Y \to Y)} \text{ elimination}$$

$$\overline{x: \forall X.X \vdash x[(\forall X.X \to \forall X.X)]} \text{ var}$$

$$\overline{x: \forall X.X \vdash x[(\forall X.X \to \forall X.X)]: (\forall X.X \to \forall X.X)} \text{ elimination}$$

$$\overline{x: \forall X.X \vdash x[((\forall X.X \to \forall X.X)]: (\forall X.X \to \forall X.X)]} \text{ var}$$

$$x: \forall X.X \vdash (x[((\forall X.X \to \forall X.X)]: (\forall X.X): \forall X.X)]$$

7.1.2 Invalid

Y is free in the context:

$$x: \forall X.(X \to Y) \vdash x: \forall Y.(Y \to Y)$$
 invalid var

Y is free in the context, and Z is free in the type:

$$x: \forall X.(X \to Y) \vdash x: \forall Y.(Y \to Z)$$
 invalid var

$$\frac{x : \forall X.X \vdash x : \forall X1.X1}{x : \forall X.X \vdash x[Y] : Y} \stackrel{\text{var}}{=} \text{elimination}$$

7.2 Numbers

Zero

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{z:X,s:(X\to X)\vdash z:X}}{s:(X\to X)\vdash(\lambda z.z):(X\to X)}\lambda}{\frac{\cdot\vdash(\lambda s.(\lambda z.z)):((X\to X)\to(X\to X))}{\cdot\vdash(\lambda s.(\lambda z.z)):\forall X.((X\to X)\to(X\to X))}} \overset{\text{var}}{}$$

Zero with free variable X

$$\frac{\frac{y:X,z:X2,s:(X2\to X2)\vdash z:X2}{y:X,s:(X2\to X2)\vdash(\lambda z.z):(X2\to X2)}\lambda}{y:X\vdash(\lambda s.(\lambda z.z)):((X2\to X2)\to(X2\to X2))}\lambda}{y:X\vdash(\lambda s.(\lambda z.z)):\forall X2.((X2\to X2)\to(X2\to X2))} \xrightarrow{\text{introduction}} y:X\vdash(\lambda s.(\lambda z.z)):\forall X.((X\to X)\to(X\to X))}$$

Successor

	$\operatorname{LAM}_{(X \to X)} - \operatorname{Val}_{(X \to X)} = \operatorname{Val}_{(X \to X)} + \operatorname{LAM}_{(X $					
$\frac{n_1 \vee x_1((x+x) + (x+x))_z : x_z : (x+x) + n_1 \vee x_1((x+x) + (x_1+x_1))}{n_1 \vee x_1(x+x) + (x+x) + (x+x) + n_1 X X ((x+x) + (x+x))} e \lim_{n_1 \vee x_2((x+x) + (x+x) + (x+x)) : z : x_z : (x+x) + z : (x+x)} \frac{var}{n_1 \vee x_2((x+x) + (x+x) + z : (x+x) + z : (x+x))} e^{-\frac{var}{n_1 \vee x_2((x+x) + (x+x) + z : (x$	$u\mapsto vx\cdot ((x+x)+(x+x))=(x+x)+(v\ x\ s) (x+x) \cdot (x+x)$	$n: \forall X : (X(X \rightarrow X)) : \exists : X, z: (X \rightarrow X) : \exists : (x \vdash X) : z: (X \rightarrow X) : ((x[X] \ni x)[x] : X \Rightarrow (x \vdash X) : (x \vdash$	$n: \forall X.((X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow X)), z: X, s: (X \rightarrow X) \vdash (s: (si[Xi] s)[(X \rightarrow X)] z)[X][X] : X$	$\frac{\lambda}{n}: \forall X. ((X \to X)) \leftrightarrow (X \to X); (X \to X) \vdash (\lambda x. (x \in [X][X][X]) \ni [(X \to X)] \Rightarrow 1[X][X]) : (X \to X)$	$n: \forall X((X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow X)) \vdash (\lambda x.(\lambda x.(x (\langle x [X] x)[(X \rightarrow X])[X])): ((X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow X))$	$n: \forall X. ((X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow X)) + (\lambda_{\theta}.(\lambda_{\theta}.(a \cdot [X] \mid a)[(X \rightarrow X)] \pm [X])[X])): \forall X. ((X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow X)) \text{IIIUOUUCUOII}$
	4 621	$n: \forall X. ((X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow X)), z: X, s: (X \rightarrow X) \vdash s: (X \rightarrow X)$				