1 Rules

1.
$$\frac{\Gamma(x) = T}{\Gamma \vdash x : T} \text{ var}$$

2.
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_1 \to T_2 \qquad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_1}{\Gamma \vdash (t_1 t_2)[T_1] : T_2} \operatorname{app}$$

3.
$$\frac{\Gamma, x: T_1 \vdash t: T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.t: T_1 \to T_2} \lambda$$

4.
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : T_1 \qquad T_1 <: T_2}{\Gamma \vdash t : T_2}$$
 subsumption

5.
$$T < T$$
 reflexive

6.
$$\frac{SubBase(b_1, b_2)}{b_1 <: b_2}$$
 subBase

7.
$$\frac{T_1' <: T_1 \qquad T_2 <: T_2'}{T_1 \to T_2 <: T_2_1 \to T_2'}$$
 arrow

8.
$$\frac{T_1 <: T_2 \qquad T_2 <: T_3}{T_1 <: T_3}$$
 transitive

2 Variable terms

2.1 Valid

$$x: T \vdash x: T$$
 var

2.2 Invalid

$$\overline{\cdot \vdash x : T}$$
 invalid var

3 Lambda & application terms

$$\frac{x:(T1 \rightarrow T2),y:T1 \vdash x:(T1 \rightarrow T2)}{x:(T1 \rightarrow T2),y:T1 \vdash (xy)[T1]:T2} \gamma \text{app}$$

$$\frac{x:(T1 \rightarrow T2),y:T1 \vdash (xy)[T1]:T2}{x:(T1 \rightarrow T2) \vdash \lambda y.(xy)[T1]:(T1 \rightarrow T2)} \lambda$$

$$\frac{x:(T1 \rightarrow T2) \vdash \lambda y.(xy)[T1]:(T1 \rightarrow T2)}{\gamma \vdash \lambda x.\lambda y.(xy)[T1]:((T1 \rightarrow T2) \rightarrow (T1 \rightarrow T2))} \lambda$$

4 Direct Subtyping

4.1 Valid

$$\frac{x:bool \vdash x:bool}{x:bool} \overset{\text{Var}}{\underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \text{SubBase}(bool,int) \\ bool <: int \\ x:bool \vdash x: int \end{array}}} \overset{\text{subBase}}{\text{subsumption}}$$

1

4.2 Invalid

$$\frac{1}{x:int \vdash x:int} \text{ var } \frac{\bot}{int <: bool} \text{ invalid subsumption }$$

5 Transitive Subtyping

$$\frac{|SubBase(bool,int)|}{bool <: int} \quad \text{subBase} \quad \frac{|SubBase(int,double)|}{int <: double} \quad \text{subBase} \quad \text{transitive} \quad \text{transitive} \quad \text{subBase} \quad \text{subBa$$

$x:bool \vdash x:double$

6 Arrow Types

6.1 Valid

$$\frac{x:(int \rightarrow bool) \vdash x:(int \rightarrow bool)}{x:(int \rightarrow bool)} \text{ var } \frac{\frac{\text{SubBase}(bool,int)}{bool <: int} \text{ subBase}}{\frac{bool <: int}{bool} >: (bool \rightarrow int)} \text{ subBase}}{(int \rightarrow bool) <: (bool \rightarrow int)} \text{ subsumption}}$$

$$x:(int \rightarrow bool) \vdash x:(bool \rightarrow int)$$

6.1.1 Reflexive

$$\frac{x:(int \rightarrow bool) \vdash x:(int \rightarrow bool)}{x:(int \rightarrow bool) \vdash x:(int \rightarrow bool) \vdash x:(int \rightarrow bool) \vdash x:(int \rightarrow bool) \vdash x:(int \rightarrow int)}{(int \rightarrow bool) <:(int \rightarrow int)} \text{ subsumption}$$

6.1.2 Nested

$$\frac{\text{SubBase}(bool,int)}{bool <: int} \text{ subBase} \frac{T <: T}{T} \text{ reflexive arrow}$$

$$\frac{x: (int \to T), y: bool \vdash x: (int \to T)}{x: (int \to T), y: bool \vdash x: (bool \to T)} \text{ subsumption} \qquad \frac{x: (int \to T), y: bool \vdash y: bool}{x: (int \to T), y: bool \vdash (x y)[bool] : T} \text{ apperator } \frac{x: (int \to T), y: bool \vdash (x y)[bool] : T}{x: (int \to T) \vdash \lambda y. (x y)[bool] : (bool \to T)} \lambda$$

6.2 Invalid

$$\frac{x:(bool \to bool) \vdash x:(bool \to bool)}{x:(bool \to bool)} \text{ var } \frac{\frac{\bot}{int <: bool}}{(bool \to bool)} \text{ invalid} \frac{\text{SubBase}(bool, int)}{bool <: int} \text{ subBase} \\ \frac{x:(bool \to bool) \vdash x:(bool \to bool)}{(bool \to bool)} \vdash x:(int \to int)} \text{ subsumption}$$

$$\frac{\bot}{x:(bool \to T), y: bool \vdash x:(bool \to T)} \text{ var } \frac{\bot}{(bool \to T) <: (int \to T)} \text{ subsumption} \\ \frac{\bot}{x:(bool \to T), y: bool \vdash x:(int \to T)} \text{ subsumption} \\ \frac{x:(bool \to T), y: bool \vdash x:(int \to T)}{x:(bool \to T), y: bool \vdash x:(int \to T)} \text{ subsumption}$$

 $\frac{x:(bool \to T), y:bool \vdash (x \ y)[int]: T}{x:(bool \to T) \vdash \lambda y.(x \ y)[int]:(bool \to T)} \ \lambda$ $\frac{}{\cdot \vdash \lambda x.\lambda y.(x \ y)[int]:((bool \to T) \to (bool \to T))}$

6.3 Delaying applying the subsumption rule

The sumbumption rule can be delayed until the term in the judgment is a just a variable. This simplifies the code since there is only one rule to be applied for application and λ abstraction terms. Here is the correctness proof.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : T \qquad T <: T'}{\Gamma \vdash t : T'} \text{ subsumption}$$

Proof:

By induction hypothesis on the structure of the term.

1. Case t = x: trivial since t is a variable.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash x : T \qquad T <: T'}{\Gamma \vdash x : T'} \text{ subsumption}$$

2. Case $t = t_1 t_2$: assume $T_2 <: T'_2$ and the following derivation:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_1 \to T_2 \qquad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_1}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 t_2[T_1] : T_2} \xrightarrow{\text{app}} T_2 <: T_2' \text{subsumption}$$

$$\Gamma \vdash t_1 t_2[T_1] : T_2'$$

We can get a different derivation tree where the sumbsumption rule is delayed:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \hline T_1 <: T_1 & T_2 <: T_2' \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash t_1 t_2[T_1] : T_2 & T_1 \rightarrow T_2 <: T_1 \rightarrow T_2' \\ \hline \hline \Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_1 \rightarrow T_2' & \text{subsumption} \\ \hline \hline \Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_1 \rightarrow T_2' & \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_1 \\ \hline \hline \Gamma \vdash t_1 t_2[T_1] : T_2' & \end{array}$$

By the induction hypothesis, the subsumption rule can be delayed until variable terms are generated in the derivation of $\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_1 \to T_2'$ and $\Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_1$.

3. Case $t = \lambda x.t'$: assume $T_1 \to T_2 <: T'_1 \to T'_2$ and the following derivation:

$$\frac{\Gamma, x: T_1 \vdash t': T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. t': T_1 \rightarrow T_2} \stackrel{\lambda}{\sim} \frac{T_1' <: T_1 \qquad T_2 <: T_2'}{T_1 \rightarrow T_2 <: T_1' \rightarrow T_2'} \underset{\text{subsumption}}{\operatorname{arrow}}$$

Alternatively we can derive:

$$\frac{\Gamma, x: T_1' \vdash t': T_2 \qquad T_2 <: T_2'}{\frac{\Gamma, x: T_1' \vdash t': T_2'}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. t': T_1' \rightarrow T_2'}} \text{ subsumption}$$

Since $T'_1 <: T_1$ then by the subsumption rule:

$$\frac{\overline{\Gamma, x : T_1' \vdash x : T_1'} \quad \text{var}}{\Gamma, x : T_1' \vdash x : T_1} \quad T_1' <: T_1 \text{ subsumption}$$

Therefore if $\Gamma, x: T_1 \vdash t': T_2$ then $\Gamma, x: T_1' \vdash t': T_2$ which concludes the proof.

Note:

If $\Gamma, x: T_1' \vdash t': T_2$, it is not always true that $\Gamma, x: T_1 \vdash t': T_2$ where $T_1' <: T_1$. A counter example would be

$$x:bool \vdash x:int \Rightarrow x:double \vdash x:int, bool <: int <: double$$

However

$$x: int \vdash x: double \Rightarrow x: bool \vdash x: double, bool <: int <: double$$

Therefore in the following example, using the subsumption rule first would fail and slow the type checker because it needs to backtrack and check the λ rule. However using the subsumption rule would prove the type checking and is faster.

$$\frac{x: double \vdash x: int}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. x: double \rightarrow int} \lambda \frac{SubBase(bool, double)}{bool <: double} \text{ subBase } \frac{\text{int} <: int}{int <: int} \text{ reflexive arrow } \frac{bool <: double \rightarrow int <: bool \rightarrow int}{double \rightarrow int} \text{ subsumption } \frac{F \vdash \lambda x. x: bool \rightarrow int}{f} \text{ subsumption } \frac{f(x) \vdash \lambda x. x: bool \rightarrow int}{f} = \frac{f(x)$$

$$\frac{ \frac{ SubBase(bool,int)}{bool <: int} \text{ subsumption} }{\frac{ \Gamma, x:bool \vdash x:bool \vdash x:int}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.x:bool \rightarrow int}} \text{ subsumption}$$

7 System F

7.1 Variables

7.1.1 Valid

$$\overline{x : \forall X.X \vdash x : \forall X.X} \text{ var}$$

$$\overline{x : \forall X.X \vdash x : \forall Y.Y} \text{ var}$$

$$x : \forall X.(X \to X) \vdash x : \forall Y.(Y \to Y) \text{ var}$$

Y is free in the context and the type:

$$\overline{x: \forall X.(X \to Y) \vdash x: \forall Z.(Z \to Y)} \text{ var}$$

$$\overline{x: \forall X.X \vdash x: \forall X1.X1} \text{ elimination}$$

$$\overline{x: \forall X.X \vdash x: \forall X1.X1} \text{ var}$$

$$\overline{x: \forall X.X \vdash x: \forall X1.X1} \text{ var}$$

$$\overline{x: \forall X.X \vdash x[(Y \to Y)]: (Y \to Y)} \text{ elimination}$$

$$\overline{x: \forall X.X \vdash x[(\forall X.X \to \forall X.X)]} \text{ var}$$

$$\overline{x: \forall X.X \vdash x[(\forall X.X \to \forall X.X)]: (\forall X.X \to \forall X.X)} \text{ elimination}$$

$$\overline{x: \forall X.X \vdash x[((\forall X.X \to \forall X.X)]: (\forall X.X \to \forall X.X)]} \text{ var}$$

$$x: \forall X.X \vdash (x[((\forall X.X \to \forall X.X)]: (\forall X.X): \forall X.X)]$$

7.1.2 Invalid

Y is free in the context:

$$x: \forall X.(X \to Y) \vdash x: \forall Y.(Y \to Y)$$
 invalid var

Y is free in the context, and Z is free in the type:

$$x: \forall X.(X \to Y) \vdash x: \forall Y.(Y \to Z)$$
 invalid var

$$\frac{x : \forall X.X \vdash x : \forall X1.X1}{x : \forall X.X \vdash x[Y] : Y} \stackrel{\text{var}}{=} \text{elimination}$$

7.2 Numbers

Zero

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{z:X,s:(X\to X)\vdash z:X}}{s:(X\to X)\vdash(\lambda z.z):(X\to X)}\lambda}{\frac{\cdot\vdash(\lambda s.(\lambda z.z)):((X\to X)\to(X\to X))}{\cdot\vdash(\lambda s.(\lambda z.z)):\forall X.((X\to X)\to(X\to X))}} \overset{\text{var}}{}$$

Zero with free variable X

$$\frac{\frac{y:X,z:X_2,s:(X_2\to X_2)\vdash z:X_2}{y:X,s:(X_2\to X_2)\vdash (\lambda z.z):(X_2\to X_2)}\lambda}{y:X\vdash (\lambda s.(\lambda z.z)):((X_2\to X_2)\to (X_2\to X_2))}\lambda}{\frac{y:X\vdash (\lambda s.(\lambda z.z)):\forall X_2.((X_2\to X_2)\to (X_2\to X_2))}{y:X\vdash (\lambda s.(\lambda z.z)):\forall X.((X\to X_2)\to (X\to X_2))}}_{\text{renaming}} \text{ introduction}$$

Successor missing annotation

a vit	$n: \forall X.((X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow X)), z: X, s: (X \rightarrow X) + z: X$	dde	445				
$n : \forall X ((X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow X)), z : X, s : (X \rightarrow X) \vdash n : ((X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow X))$ $n : \forall X ((X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow X)), z : X, s : (X \rightarrow X) \vdash s : (X \rightarrow X)$ ann	AAv = (x + x) + (x + x)(x + x) + (x + x)(x + x) + (x + x)(x + x) + (x + x)	$n: \forall X, ((X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow X)), z: X, s: (X \rightarrow X) \vdash ((n \circ s)(X \rightarrow X)] z: X$	$n: \forall X. ((X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow X)), z: X, z: (X \rightarrow X) + (s \cdot ((n \cdot a)[(X \rightarrow X)] z)[X][X] : X$	$n: \forall X.((X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow X)): (X \rightarrow X) \vdash (\lambda z.(s.(s.(s.((x.s)[(X \rightarrow X)]z)[X])[X]): (X \rightarrow X)$	$n: \forall X. ((X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow X)) \vdash (\lambda x. (x + ($	$n: \forall X. ((X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow X)) \vdash (\lambda x. (\lambda x. (x + X) = x)) \vdash (\lambda x. (x + X) = x)) \vdash (\lambda x. (x + X) = x) \vdash (x + X) \rightarrow (x + X) \vdash (x + X) \rightarrow (x + X) \vdash (x $	$\cdot \vdash (\operatorname{Au}_{*}(\operatorname{Az}_{*}(\operatorname{Az}_{*}(a(a)[(X \to X)] z)[X])(X)))) : (\operatorname{VX}_{*}((X \to X) \to (X \to X)) \to (X \to X)) \to (X \to X))) \xrightarrow{\lambda}$
	a Cal	$n: \forall X. ((X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow X)), z: X, s: (X \rightarrow X) \vdash s: (X \rightarrow X)$					

Successor well annotated

		י ימנו	dda.						
		$n: \forall X. ((X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow X)), z: X, s: (X \rightarrow X) \vdash z: X$	dde	443					
a Cork	$\operatorname{Var}_{n : \forall X, ((X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow X)), z : X, z : (X \rightarrow X) \vdash z : (X \rightarrow X)} \underbrace{Var_{n}}_{a \text{ or } n}$		$n: \forall X. ((X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow X)), z: X, s: (X \rightarrow X) \vdash ((n[[X]] \ s)[(X \rightarrow X)] \ z)[X]: X$	$\frac{-\lambda}{(x_1)} \frac{\lambda}{x_2} = \frac{\lambda}{(x_2)^2 + \lambda}$ introduction					
${\bf L}_{1}^{\rm AG} = {\bf L}_{1}^{\rm AG} + {\bf L}_{1}^{\rm AG} + {\bf L}_{1}^{\rm AG} + {\bf L}_{2}^{\rm AG} + {\bf L}_{3}^{\rm AG} + {\bf L}_{3}^{$	$n: \forall X. ((X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow X)), z: X, s: (X \rightarrow X) \vdash n[[X]][X]: ((X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow X))$ elimination	$n: \forall X. ((X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow X)), z: X, s: (X \rightarrow X) \vdash (n[[X]] \ s)[(X \rightarrow X)]: (X \rightarrow X)$		$n: \forall X.((X \to X) \to (X \to X)), z: X, s: (X \to X) + (s((n[[X]] s)[(X \to X)] z)[X])[X]: X$	$n: \forall X. ((X \to X) \to (X \to X)), s: (X \to X) + (\lambda z. (s ((n[[X]] s)[(X \to X)] z)[X])[X]): (X \to X)$	$n: \forall X. ((X \to X) \to (X \to X)) + (\lambda s. (\lambda z. (s. ([X]] s)[(X \to X)] z)[X])[X])) : ((X \to X) \to (X \to X))$	$n: \forall X. ((X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow X)) \vdash (\lambda s. (\lambda z. (s ([X][s][X][s](X \rightarrow X)] z)[X])[X])) : \forall X. ((X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow X))$	$+ (\lambda n.(\lambda s.(\lambda s.(\lambda s.(x ((n[[X]] s)[(X \rightarrow X)] s)[X]))) : (\forall X.((X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow X)) \rightarrow \forall X.((X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow X))))$	
		a Car	$n: \forall X.((X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow X)), z: X, s: (X \rightarrow X) \vdash s: (X \rightarrow X)$						