

KERNEL RECONSTRUCTION OF DPPs

J. Müller

University of Warwick

September 13, 2018

Table of Contents

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Introduction and basic notions
- 3 Kernel reconstruction from the empirical measures
 - Graph theoretical preliminaries
 - Solution of the principal minor assignment problem
 - Definition of the estimator and consistency

Table of Contents

1 Motivation

2 Introduction and basic notions

3 Kernel reconstruction from the empirical measures

- Graph theoretical preliminaries
- Solution of the principal minor assignment problem
- Definition of the estimator and consistency

Table of Contents

1 Motivation

2 Introduction and basic notions

3 Kernel reconstruction from the empirical measures

- Graph theoretical preliminaries
- Solution of the principal minor assignment problem
- Definition of the estimator and consistency

Setting and basic definitions

Setting

Let \mathcal{Y} be a finite set, which we call the *ground set* and $N := |\mathcal{Y}|$ its cardinality. We call the elements of \mathcal{Y} *items* and assume for the sake of easy notation $\mathcal{Y} = \{1, \dots, N\}$ unless specified differently.

Setting and basic definitions

Setting

Let \mathcal{Y} be a finite set, which we call the *ground set* and $N := |\mathcal{Y}|$ its cardinality. We call the elements of \mathcal{Y} *items* and assume for the sake of easy notation $\mathcal{Y} = \{1, \dots, N\}$ unless specified differently.

Point process

A *point process* on \mathcal{Y} is a random subset of \mathcal{Y} , i.e. a random variable with values in the powerset $2^{\mathcal{Y}}$. We identify this random variable with its law \mathbb{P} and thus refer to probability measures \mathbb{P} on $2^{\mathcal{Y}}$ as point processes. Further, \mathbf{Y} will always denote a random subset distributed according to \mathbb{P} .

Definition of DPP and repulsive structure

Determinantal point process

We call \mathbb{P} a *determinantal point process*, or in short a *DPP*, if we have

$$\mathbb{P}(A \subseteq \mathbf{Y}) = \det(K_A) \quad \text{for all } A \subseteq \mathcal{Y} \quad (1)$$

where K is a symmetric matrix indexed by the elements in \mathcal{Y} and K_A denotes the submatrix $(K_{ij})_{i,j \in A}$ of K indexed by the elements of A . We call K the *marginal kernel* of the DPP. If the marginal kernel K is diagonal, we call \mathbb{P} a *Poisson point process*.

Definition of DPP and repulsive structure

Determinantal point process

We call \mathbb{P} a *determinantal point process*, or in short a *DPP*, if we have

$$\mathbb{P}(A \subseteq \mathbf{Y}) = \det(K_A) \quad \text{for all } A \subseteq \mathcal{Y} \quad (1)$$

where K is a symmetric matrix indexed by the elements in \mathcal{Y} and K_A denotes the submatrix $(K_{ij})_{i,j \in A}$ of K indexed by the elements of A . We call K the *marginal kernel* of the DPP. If the marginal kernel K is diagonal, we call \mathbb{P} a *Poisson point process*.

Choosing $A = \{i\}$ and $A = \{i, j\}$ for $i, j \in \mathcal{Y}$ in (1) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(i \in \mathbf{Y}) &= K_{ii} \quad \text{and} \\ \mathbb{P}(i, j \in \mathbf{Y}) &= K_{ii} K_{jj} - K_{ij}^2 = \mathbb{P}(i \in \mathbf{Y}) \cdot \mathbb{P}(j \in \mathbf{Y}) - K_{ij}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Properties of the marginal kernel and existence

Positivity

The marginal kernel is always positive semi-definite. Further the complement of a DPP is also a DPP with marginal kernel $I - K$ and hence $0 \leq K \leq I$.

Properties of the marginal kernel and existence

Positivity

The marginal kernel is always positive semi-definite. Further the complement of a DPP is also a DPP with marginal kernel $I - K$ and hence $0 \leq K \leq I$.

Theorem (Existence of DPPs)

Let K be a symmetric $N \times N$ matrix. Then K is the marginal kernel of a DPP if and only if $0 \leq K \leq I$.

Table of Contents

1 Motivation

2 Introduction and basic notions

3 Kernel reconstruction from the empirical measures

- Graph theoretical preliminaries
- Solution of the principal minor assignment problem
- Definition of the estimator and consistency

Main idea

Setting

Let \mathcal{Y} be a finite set of cardinality N and let $K \in \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{N \times N}$ satisfy $0 \leq K \leq I$. Let further $\mathbf{Y}_1, \mathbf{Y}_2, \dots$ be independent and distributed according to a DPP with marginal kernel K . The goal is to estimate the kernel K based on the observations $(\mathbf{Y}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Main idea

Setting

Let \mathcal{Y} be a finite set of cardinality N and let $K \in \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{N \times N}$ satisfy $0 \leq K \leq I$. Let further $\mathbf{Y}_1, \mathbf{Y}_2, \dots$ be independent and distributed according to a DPP with marginal kernel K . The goal is to estimate the kernel K based on the observations $(\mathbf{Y}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Consider now the empirical measures

$$\hat{\mathbb{P}}_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{\mathbf{Y}_i}$$

and assume that they are determinantal with marginal kernel \hat{K}_n . Then \hat{K}_n would be a natural estimate for K since by the SLLN we have

$$\hat{\mathbb{P}}_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}.$$

The principal minor assignment problem

The principal minor assignment problem (PMAP)

Let $K \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ be a symmetric matrix. We want to investigate whether K is uniquely specified by its principal minors

$$\Delta_S := \det(K_S) \quad \text{where } S \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}$$

and if so how it can be reconstructed from those.

The principal minor assignment problem

The principal minor assignment problem (PMAP)

Let $K \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ be a symmetric matrix. We want to investigate whether K is uniquely specified by its principal minors

$$\Delta_S := \det(K_S) \quad \text{where } S \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}$$

and if so how it can be reconstructed from those.

Determinantal equivalence

Two symmetric matrices $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ are called *determinantally equivalent* if they have the same principal minors and we write $A \sim B$.

Reconstruction for 3×3 matrix

The diagonal and absolute values of the off diagonal can be obtained by

$$K_{ii} = \Delta_{\{i\}} \quad \text{and} \\ K_{ij}^2 = K_{ii} K_{jj} - \Delta_{\{i,j\}}.$$

Reconstruction for 3×3 matrix

The diagonal and absolute values of the off diagonal can be obtained by

$$K_{ii} = \Delta_{\{i\}} \quad \text{and} \\ K_{ij}^2 = K_{ii} K_{jj} - \Delta_{\{i,j\}}.$$

In order to reconstruct the signs we need to consider the determinant

$$\Delta_{\{1,2,3\}} = K_{11} K_{22} K_{33} + 2K_{12} K_{13} K_{23} - K_{11} K_{23}^2 - K_{22} K_{13}^2 - K_{33} K_{12}^2.$$

Reconstruction for 3×3 matrix

The diagonal and absolute values of the off diagonal can be obtained by

$$K_{ii} = \Delta_{\{i\}} \quad \text{and} \\ K_{ij}^2 = K_{ii} K_{jj} - \Delta_{\{i,j\}}.$$

In order to reconstruct the signs we need to consider the determinant

$$\Delta_{\{1,2,3\}} = K_{11} K_{22} K_{33} + 2K_{12} K_{13} K_{23} - K_{11} K_{23}^2 - K_{22} K_{13}^2 - K_{33} K_{12}^2.$$

Any assignment of the signs that satisfies this, i.e. such that

$$K_{12} K_{13} K_{23} = \frac{1}{2} (\Delta_{\{1,2,3\}} + K_{11} K_{23}^2 + K_{22} K_{13}^2 + K_{33} K_{12}^2 - K_{11} K_{22} K_{33})$$

yields a matrix K with the prediscrbed principles minors.

Table of Contents

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Introduction and basic notions
- 3 Kernel reconstruction from the empirical measures
 - Graph theoretical preliminaries
 - Solution of the principal minor assignment problem
 - Definition of the estimator and consistency

Graph theoretical preliminaries

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a finite graph. We will need the following notions:

(i) *Degree*: Number of edges that contains $v \in V$.

Graph theoretical preliminaries

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a finite graph. We will need the following notions:

- (i) *Degree*: Number of edges that contains $v \in V$.
- (ii) *Subgraph*: A graph $\tilde{G} = (\tilde{V}, \tilde{E}) \subseteq (V, E)$.

Graph theoretical preliminaries

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a finite graph. We will need the following notions:

- (i) *Degree*: Number of edges that contains $v \in V$.
- (ii) *Subgraph*: A graph $\tilde{G} = (\tilde{V}, \tilde{E}) \subseteq (V, E)$.
- (iii) *Induced graph*: For $S \subseteq V$ the *induced graph* $G(S) = (S, E(S))$ is formed of all edges $e \in E$ of G that are subsets of S .

Graph theoretical preliminaries

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a finite graph. We will need the following notions:

- (i) *Degree*: Number of edges that contains $v \in V$.
- (ii) *Subgraph*: A graph $\tilde{G} = (\tilde{V}, \tilde{E}) \subseteq (V, E)$.
- (iii) *Induced graph*: For $S \subseteq V$ the *induced graph* $G(S) = (S, E(S))$ is formed of all edges $e \in E$ of G that are subsets of S .
- (iv) *Path*: A sequence $v_0 v_1 \cdots v_k$ of vertices such that $\{v_{i-1}, v_i\} \in E$.

Graph theoretical preliminaries

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a finite graph. We will need the following notions:

- (i) *Degree*: Number of edges that contains $v \in V$.
- (ii) *Subgraph*: A graph $\tilde{G} = (\tilde{V}, \tilde{E}) \subseteq (V, E)$.
- (iii) *Induced graph*: For $S \subseteq V$ the *induced graph* $G(S) = (S, E(S))$ is formed of all edges $e \in E$ of G that are subsets of S .
- (iv) *Path*: A sequence $v_0 v_1 \cdots v_k$ of vertices such that $\{v_{i-1}, v_i\} \in E$.
- (v) *Connected graph*: A graph where every two vertices $v, w \in V$ there is a path from v to w .

Graph theoretical preliminaries

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a finite graph. We will need the following notions:

- (i) *Degree*: Number of edges that contains $v \in V$.
- (ii) *Subgraph*: A graph $\tilde{G} = (\tilde{V}, \tilde{E}) \subseteq (V, E)$.
- (iii) *Induced graph*: For $S \subseteq V$ the *induced graph* $G(S) = (S, E(S))$ is formed of all edges $e \in E$ of G that are subsets of S .
- (iv) *Path*: A sequence $v_0 v_1 \cdots v_k$ of vertices such that $\{v_{i-1}, v_i\} \in E$.
- (v) *Connected graph*: A graph where every two vertices $v, w \in V$ there is a path from v to w .
- (vi) *Cycle*: A *cycle* C is a connected subgraph such that every vertex has even degree in C .

Graph theoretical preliminaries II

(vii) *Cycle space*: Identify a cycle C with $x = x(C) \in \mathbb{F}_2^E$ such that

$$x_e := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e \in C \\ 0 & \text{if } e \notin C. \end{cases}$$

The *cycle space* \mathcal{C} is the span of $\{x(C) \mid C \text{ is a cycle}\}$ in \mathbb{F}_2^E .

Graph theoretical preliminaries II

(vii) *Cycle space*: Identify a cycle C with $x = x(C) \in \mathbb{F}_2^E$ such that

$$x_e := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e \in C \\ 0 & \text{if } e \notin C. \end{cases}$$

The *cycle space* \mathcal{C} is the span of $\{x(C) \mid C \text{ is a cycle}\}$ in \mathbb{F}_2^E .

(viii) *Simple cycle*: A cycle C such that every vertex has degree 2 in C .

Graph theoretical preliminaries II

(vii) *Cycle space*: Identify a cycle C with $x = x(C) \in \mathbb{F}_2^E$ such that

$$x_e := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e \in C \\ 0 & \text{if } e \notin C. \end{cases}$$

The *cycle space* \mathcal{C} is the span of $\{x(C) \mid C \text{ is a cycle}\}$ in \mathbb{F}_2^E .

(viii) *Simple cycle*: A cycle C such that every vertex has degree 2 in C .

(ix) *Chordless cycle*: A cycle C such that two vertices $v, w \in C$ form an edge in G if and only they form an edge in C .

Graph theoretical preliminaries II

(vii) *Cycle space*: Identify a cycle C with $x = x(C) \in \mathbb{F}_2^E$ such that

$$x_e := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e \in C \\ 0 & \text{if } e \notin C. \end{cases}$$

The *cycle space* \mathcal{C} is the span of $\{x(C) \mid C \text{ is a cycle}\}$ in \mathbb{F}_2^E .

(viii) *Simple cycle*: A cycle C such that every vertex has degree 2 in C .

(ix) *Chordless cycle*: A cycle C such that two vertices $v, w \in C$ form an edge in G if and only they form an edge in C .

(x) *Cycle sparsity*: The minimal number l such that a basis of the cycle space consisting of chordless simple cycles of length at most l exists which we call *shortest maximal cycle basis* or short *SMCB*.

Graph theoretical preliminaries II

(vii) *Cycle space*: Identify a cycle C with $x = x(C) \in \mathbb{F}_2^E$ such that

$$x_e := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e \in C \\ 0 & \text{if } e \notin C. \end{cases}$$

The *cycle space* \mathcal{C} is the span of $\{x(C) \mid C \text{ is a cycle}\}$ in \mathbb{F}_2^E .

(viii) *Simple cycle*: A cycle C such that every vertex has degree 2 in C .

(ix) *Chordless cycle*: A cycle C such that two vertices $v, w \in C$ form an edge in G if and only they form an edge in C .

(x) *Cycle sparsity*: The minimal number l such that a basis of the cycle space consisting of chordless simple cycles of length at most l exists which we call *shortest maximal cycle basis* or short *SMCB*.

(xi) *Pairings*: A *pairing* P of $S \subseteq V$ is a subset of edges of $G(S)$ such that two different edges of P are disjoint. Vertices contained in the edges of P are denoted by $V(P)$, the set of all pairings by $\mathcal{P}(S)$.

Graph theoretical preliminaries III

Proposition (Existence of SMCBs)

There always exists a basis $\{x(C_1), \dots, x(C_k)\}$ of the cycle space where C_1, \dots, C_k are chordless simple cycles.

Graph theoretical preliminaries III

Proposition (Existence of SMCBs)

There always exists a basis $\{x(C_1), \dots, x(C_k)\}$ of the cycle space where C_1, \dots, C_k are chordless simple cycles.

Proof.

We proceed in the two following steps:

Graph theoretical preliminaries III

Proposition (Existence of SMCBs)

There always exists a basis $\{x(C_1), \dots, x(C_k)\}$ of the cycle space where C_1, \dots, C_k are chordless simple cycles.

Proof.

We proceed in the two following steps:

- (i) Decompose a cycle into disjoint simple cycles.

Graph theoretical preliminaries III

Proposition (Existence of SMCBs)

There always exists a basis $\{x(C_1), \dots, x(C_k)\}$ of the cycle space where C_1, \dots, C_k are chordless simple cycles.

Proof.

We proceed in the two following steps:

- (i) Decompose a cycle into disjoint simple cycles.
- (ii) Write a simple cycle as the symmetric difference of simple chordless cycles.

Graph theoretical preliminaries III

Proposition (Existence of SMCBs)

There always exists a basis $\{x(C_1), \dots, x(C_k)\}$ of the cycle space where C_1, \dots, C_k are chordless simple cycles.

Proof.

We proceed in the two following steps:

- (i) Decompose a cycle into disjoint simple cycles.
- (ii) Write a simple cycle as the symmetric difference of simple chordless cycles.

Those two steps show that the set of simple chordless cycles generates the cycle space. □

Table of Contents

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Introduction and basic notions
- 3 Kernel reconstruction from the empirical measures
 - Graph theoretical preliminaries
 - Solution of the principal minor assignment problem
 - Definition of the estimator and consistency

The adjacency graph and sign function

The adjacency graph

The adjacency graph $G_K = (V_K, E_K)$ associated with K consists of the vertex set $\{1, \dots, N\}$ and $\{i, j\}$ form an edge if and only if $K_{ij} \neq 0$. Further, we introduce the *weights*

$$w_{ij} := w(\{i, j\}) := \text{sgn}(K_{ij})$$

The adjacency graph and sign function

The adjacency graph

The adjacency graph $G_K = (V_K, E_K)$ associated with K consists of the vertex set $\{1, \dots, N\}$ and $\{i, j\}$ form an edge if and only if $K_{ij} \neq 0$. Further, we introduce the *weights*

$$w_{ij} := w(\{i, j\}) := \text{sgn}(K_{ij})$$

The sign function

We define the *sign* $\text{sgn}(C)$ of a cycle $C = (S, E)$ to be

$$\text{sgn}(C) := \prod_{e \in E} w_e \quad \text{or equivalently} \quad \text{sgn}(x(C)) := \prod_{e \in E} w_e^{x(C)_e}.$$

Note that this is a group homomorphism from the cycle space \mathcal{C} to $\{\pm 1\}$ and therefore it is uniquely determined by its values on a generator, for example on a shortest maximal cycle basis.

Principal minors of simple chordless cycles

Proposition (Principal minors of simple chordless cycles)

Let $C = (S, E(S))$ be a simple and chordless cycle. Then the principal minor of K with respect to S is given by

$$\Delta_S = \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}(S)} (-1)^{|P|} \cdot \prod_{\{i,j\} \in P} K_{ij}^2 \cdot \prod_{i \notin V(P)} K_{ii} + 2 \cdot (-1)^{|S|+1} \cdot \prod_{\{i,j\} \in E(S)} K_{ij}. \quad (3)$$

Principal minors of simple chordless cycles

Proposition (Principal minors of simple chordless cycles)

Let $C = (S, E(S))$ be a simple and chordless cycle. Then the principal minor of K with respect to S is given by

$$\Delta_S = \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}(S)} (-1)^{|P|} \cdot \prod_{\{i,j\} \in P} K_{ij}^2 \cdot \prod_{i \notin V(P)} K_{ii} + 2 \cdot (-1)^{|S|+1} \cdot \prod_{\{i,j\} \in E(S)} K_{ij}. \quad (3)$$

Proof.

The idea is to express the determinant in terms of permutations and to note that all contributions vanish apart from the pairings and the two shifts along the cycle in either direction. \square

An equivalent formulation of this is

$$\operatorname{sgn}(E(S)) = \operatorname{sgn} \left(\Delta_S - \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}(S)} (-1)^{|P|} \cdot \prod_{\{i,j\} \in P} K_{ij}^2 \cdot \prod_{i \notin V(P)} K_{ii} \right) \cdot (-1)^{|S|+1}.$$

Sign determines principal minors

Proposition (Sign determines principal minors)

The knowledge of all principal minors up to size two and the sign function

$$\text{sgn}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$$

completely determines all principal minors of K . In particular, it suffices to reconstruct the sign function.

Sign determines principal minors

Proposition (Sign determines principal minors)

The knowledge of all principal minors up to size two and the sign function

$$\text{sgn}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$$

completely determines all principal minors of K . In particular, it suffices to reconstruct the sign function.

Proof.

Write the determinant using permutations

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \prod_{i \in S} \text{sgn}(K_{i\sigma(i)}).$$

Express the permutation as the product of disjoint cycles which can be associated with a cycle for which the sign is known. □

Solution of the Principal minor assignment problem

Theorem (Solution of the PMAP)

Let $K \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ be a symmetric matrix and l be the sparsity of its adjacency graph. Then the principal minors up to size l uniquely determine all principal minors of K and therefore the matrix K up to determinantal equivalence.

Solution of the Principal minor assignment problem

Theorem (Solution of the PMAP)

Let $K \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ be a symmetric matrix and l be the sparsity of its adjacency graph. Then the principal minors up to size l uniquely determine all principal minors of K and therefore the matrix K up to determinantal equivalence.

Proof.

- (i) Principle minors of simple chordless cycles determine the sign of a this cycle.
- (ii) Since there is a SMCB, the principal minors determine the signs of those cycles which determines the sign function on the cycle space.



Reconstruction of the matrix

(i) In familiar fashion we set

$$K_{ii} := \Delta_{\{i\}} \quad \text{and} \quad K_{ij}^2 := K_{ii} K_{jj} - \Delta_{\{i,j\}}.$$

Reconstruction of the matrix

(i) In familiar fashion we set

$$K_{ii} := \Delta_{\{i\}} \quad \text{and} \quad K_{ij}^2 := K_{ii} K_{jj} - \Delta_{\{i,j\}}.$$

(ii) We saw that the sign function is uniquely specified by its values on a SMCB. Hence it remains to choose the signs w_{ij} such that

$$(-1)^{|S_k|+1} \cdot \text{sgn}(H_k) = \text{sgn}(C_k) = \prod_{e \in E} w_e^{x(e)}$$

where $C_k = (S_k, E(S_k))$ is a SMCB.

Reconstruction of the matrix II

(iii) Transform this into a linear equation via $\{\pm 1\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ to get

$$(Ax)_k = b_k$$

where the rows of A consist of $x(C_k)^T$.

Reconstruction of the matrix II

(iii) Transform this into a linear equation via $\{\pm 1\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ to get

$$(Ax)_k = b_k$$

where the rows of A consist of $x(C_k)^T$.

- (iv) Any solution of this linear equation (and at least one exists!) satisfies the desired properties for the signs.
- (v) This solution can in practice be obtained by Gauss elimination.

Table of Contents

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Introduction and basic notions
- 3 Kernel reconstruction from the empirical measures
 - Graph theoretical preliminaries
 - Solution of the principal minor assignment problem
 - Definition of the estimator and consistency

Definition of the estimator

Assumption

Fix $\alpha > 0$ and assume from now on that

$$\min \left\{ |K_{ij}| \mid K_{ij} \neq 0 \right\} \geq \alpha.$$

Definition of the estimator

Assumption

Fix $\alpha > 0$ and assume from now on that

$$\min \left\{ |K_{ij}| \mid K_{ij} \neq 0 \right\} \geq \alpha.$$

- (i) The straight forward estimators for the diagonal elements and the squares of the off diagonals are

$$\hat{K}_{ii} := \hat{\mathbb{P}}_n(i \in \mathbf{Y}) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{B}_{ij} := \hat{K}_{ii} \hat{K}_{jj} - \hat{\mathbb{P}}_n(i, j \in \mathbf{Y}).$$

Definition of the estimator

Assumption

Fix $\alpha > 0$ and assume from now on that

$$\min \left\{ |K_{ij}| \mid K_{ij} \neq 0 \right\} \geq \alpha.$$

- (i) The straight forward estimators for the diagonal elements and the squares of the off diagonals are

$$\hat{K}_{ii} := \hat{\mathbb{P}}_n(i \in \mathbf{Y}) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{B}_{ij} := \hat{K}_{ii} \hat{K}_{jj} - \hat{\mathbb{P}}_n(i, j \in \mathbf{Y}).$$

- (ii) Estimate the adjacency graph $\hat{G} = (\hat{E}, \hat{V})$ such that \hat{E} consists of all sets $\{i, j\}$ such that $\hat{B}_{ij} \geq \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2$.

Definition of the estimator

Assumption

Fix $\alpha > 0$ and assume from now on that

$$\min \left\{ |K_{ij}| \mid K_{ij} \neq 0 \right\} \geq \alpha.$$

- (i) The straight forward estimators for the diagonal elements and the squares of the off diagonals are

$$\hat{K}_{ii} := \hat{\mathbb{P}}_n(i \in \mathbf{Y}) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{B}_{ij} := \hat{K}_{ii} \hat{K}_{jj} - \hat{\mathbb{P}}_n(i, j \in \mathbf{Y}).$$

- (ii) Estimate the adjacency graph $\hat{G} = (\hat{E}, \hat{V})$ such that \hat{E} consists of all sets $\{i, j\}$ such that $\hat{B}_{ij} \geq \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2$.
- (iii) Now fix a SMCB $\hat{C}_k = (\hat{S}_k, E(\hat{S}_k))$ and define \hat{b} and \hat{A} like earlier.

Definition of the estimator

Assumption

Fix $\alpha > 0$ and assume from now on that

$$\min \left\{ |K_{ij}| \mid K_{ij} \neq 0 \right\} \geq \alpha.$$

- (i) The straight forward estimators for the diagonal elements and the squares of the off diagonals are

$$\hat{K}_{ii} := \hat{\mathbb{P}}_n(i \in \mathbf{Y}) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{B}_{ij} := \hat{K}_{ii} \hat{K}_{jj} - \hat{\mathbb{P}}_n(i, j \in \mathbf{Y}).$$

- (ii) Estimate the adjacency graph $\hat{G} = (\hat{E}, \hat{V})$ such that \hat{E} consists of all sets $\{i, j\}$ such that $\hat{B}_{ij} \geq \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2$.
- (iii) Now fix a SMCB $\hat{C}_k = (\hat{S}_k, E(\hat{S}_k))$ and define \hat{b} and \hat{A} like earlier.
- (iv) If $\hat{A}\hat{x} = \hat{b}$ possesses a solution, then set \hat{w}_{ij} to be the preimage under the isomorphism of \hat{x}_{ij} , otherwise set \hat{w}_{ij} arbitrary.

Pseudometric and consistency

Pseudometric on the marginal kernels

Define the distance between two marginal kernels $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ through

$$d(A, B) := \inf_{C \sim A} \|B - C\|_{\infty}.$$

Pseudometric and consistency

Pseudometric on the marginal kernels

Define the distance between two marginal kernels $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ through

$$d(A, B) := \inf_{C \sim A} \|B - C\|_{\infty}.$$

Theorem (Consistency)

Let K be the marginal kernel of a DPP that satisfies the previous assumption. Then we have for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}\left(d(\hat{K}, K) \leq \varepsilon\right) \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{for } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof of the consistency result

Proof.

(i) Using the SLLN we get

$$\hat{K}_{ii} \rightarrow K_{ii} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{K}_{ij}^2 \rightarrow K_{ij}^2 \quad \text{almost surely.}$$

Proof of the consistency result

Proof.

(i) Using the SLLN we get

$$\hat{K}_{ii} \rightarrow K_{ii} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{K}_{ij}^2 \rightarrow K_{ij}^2 \quad \text{almost surely.}$$

(ii) Thus we have $\hat{G} = G_K$ with probability tending to one. In this case the SMCBs can be chosen equally and we have $\hat{A} = A$.

Proof of the consistency result

Proof.

(i) Using the SLLN we get

$$\hat{K}_{ii} \rightarrow K_{ii} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{K}_{ij}^2 \rightarrow K_{ij}^2 \quad \text{almost surely.}$$

(ii) Thus we have $\hat{G} = G_K$ with probability tending to one. In this case the SMCBS can be chosen equally and we have $\hat{A} = A$.

(iii) Additionally we have $\hat{b} = b$ with probability tending to one. In this case $\hat{A}\hat{x} = \hat{b}$ has a solution which we identify with \hat{w} .

Proof of the consistency result

Proof.

(i) Using the SLLN we get

$$\hat{K}_{ii} \rightarrow K_{ii} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{K}_{ij}^2 \rightarrow K_{ij}^2 \quad \text{almost surely.}$$

(ii) Thus we have $\hat{G} = G_K$ with probability tending to one. In this case the SMCBs can be chosen equally and we have $\hat{A} = A$.

(iii) Additionally we have $\hat{b} = b$ with probability tending to one. In this case $\hat{A}\hat{x} = \hat{b}$ has a solution which we identify with \hat{w} .

(iv) Obviously $\tilde{K}_{ij} := \hat{w}_{ij} |K_{ij}|$ is determinantly equivalent to K . Thus we have

$$d(\hat{K}, K) \leq \|\hat{K} - \tilde{K}\| < \varepsilon$$

with probability tending to one.

