

# **Fake News Detection Model Report**

**By**

**Muhammad Ahmed Zaheer  
Brainwave Matrix Solutions  
AI/ML Intern**

**August, 2024.**

# Table of Contents

<b>Abstract .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1 Introduction .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2 Methodology .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2.1 Dataset.....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2.2 Data Preprocessing .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2.3 Ensemble Method.....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2.3.1 Logistic Regression .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2.3.1 Decision Tree Classifier .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>2.3.1 Gradient Boosting Classifier .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>2.3.1 Random Forest Classifier .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>2.4 LSTM Approach .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>2.5 Evaluation Metrics.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>2.6 Manual Testing.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>3 Results.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>4 Conclusion .....</b>	<b>6</b>

# List of Figures

Figure 1: Decision Tree Classifier ..... 5

Figure 2: Logistic Regression ..... 5

Figure 3: Random Forest Classifier ..... 5

Figure 4: Gradient Boosting Classifier ..... 5

Figure 5: LSTM ..... 6

## Abstract

This project explores two distinct approaches to fake news detection using machine learning and deep learning techniques. The first approach employs an ensemble voting method, combining four classifiers—Logistic Regression, Decision Tree, Gradient Boosting, and Random Forest—to achieve high accuracy in predicting fake and real news. The second approach utilizes a Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) neural network, which, although slightly less accurate, effectively captures the sequential nature of text data. Despite the ensemble model producing superior metrics, the LSTM model is considered more suitable for text analysis due to its ability to understand context and word order. Constraints related to time and hardware prevented the combination of LSTM with ensemble voting, as well as the implementation of transformers, which are recognized for their state-of-the-art performance in natural language processing tasks. While the ensemble method was inspired by existing work, the addition of the LSTM model represents a novel contribution to the project. The results underscore the trade-offs between traditional machine learning methods and deep learning in the context of fake news detection.

# 1 Introduction

Fake news has become a pervasive issue in today's digital age, influencing public opinion and causing widespread misinformation. The detection of fake news is critical for maintaining the integrity of information shared across various platforms. This project aims to address this problem by developing a robust model that can accurately classify news articles as fake or real. Two different approaches were implemented: an Ensemble method leveraging the combined strengths of multiple classifiers, and a Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) neural network model designed to capture the sequential nature of text data.

Both methods offer unique advantages: the Ensemble method combines the predictive power of multiple models to improve accuracy, while the LSTM model leverages deep learning to analyze the context and semantics of the text. The ensemble approach was inspired by an existing GitHub project, with improvements and adjustments made to suit this task, whereas the LSTM model was independently developed, offering a novel approach to fake news detection using recurrent neural networks.

## 2 Methodology

This section outlines the steps taken to develop the fake news detection models, detailing the dataset, preprocessing methods, and the two primary approaches used: an ensemble method combining multiple classifiers and a deep learning approach using an LSTM model. The effectiveness of these models is then evaluated using standard metrics, followed by manual testing.

### 2.1 Dataset

The dataset used in this project consists of three CSV files: Fake.csv, True.csv, and manual\_testing.csv. The Fake.csv file contains news articles that have been classified as fake, while the True.csv file contains legitimate news articles. Both files share four common columns: title, text, subject, and date. These columns represent the headline, full

article content, category, and publication date, respectively. The `manual_testing.csv` file includes additional news articles intended for manual testing, with an extra class column that holds binary values indicating whether a news article is fake (0) or real (1). This dataset provides a comprehensive base for training, validating, and testing the models.

## 2.2 Data Preprocessing

Data preprocessing is a crucial step in preparing the dataset for model training. The dataset was first cleaned to remove unnecessary information such as punctuation, URLs, and special characters. The textual data was then converted to lowercase to ensure uniformity. Finally, the dataset was split into training and testing sets using the `train_test_split` function from Scikit-learn. For the ensemble models, the text data was transformed into numerical features using the Term Frequency-Inverse Document Frequency (TF-IDF) vectorizer, which is commonly used for converting text data into meaningful features for machine learning algorithms.

## 2.3 Ensemble Method

The ensemble method employed in this project combines the strengths of four different machine learning classifiers to achieve a more robust and accurate prediction model. The idea behind using an ensemble is that by combining multiple models, each with its strengths and weaknesses, we can create a more balanced and accurate prediction system. The final prediction is determined by taking a majority vote from the predictions of the individual classifiers. The classifiers used in the ensemble are Logistic Regression, Decision Tree, Gradient Boosting, and Random Forest.

### 2.3.1 Logistic Regression

Logistic Regression is a linear model commonly used for binary classification tasks. It calculates the probability that a given input belongs to a particular class and outputs the class with the highest probability. Despite its simplicity, Logistic Regression is highly effective for text classification tasks, making it a valuable component of the ensemble.

### **2.3.1 Decision Tree Classifier**

A Decision Tree Classifier is a non-parametric model that splits the data into subsets based on the most significant features. The decision tree is structured as a series of binary decisions that lead to a final classification. This method is powerful for capturing complex relationships in data but can be prone to overfitting. By combining it with other classifiers in the ensemble, we can mitigate this risk.

### **2.3.1 Gradient Boosting Classifier**

Gradient Boosting is an ensemble technique that builds a series of weak learners, typically decision trees, and combines them into a strong learner. Each new tree corrects the errors made by the previous trees, gradually improving the model's performance. This method is particularly effective in reducing bias and improving accuracy, making it a critical part of the ensemble.

### **2.3.1 Random Forest Classifier**

The Random Forest Classifier is another ensemble learning method that constructs multiple decision trees during training and outputs the mode of the classes for classification tasks. It is highly robust against overfitting due to the averaging of multiple decision trees, which reduces variance and improves generalization.

## **2.4 LSTM Approach**

The Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) network is a type of recurrent neural network (RNN) designed to learn from sequences of data, such as sentences in text. Unlike traditional RNNs, LSTMs can remember long-term dependencies, making them particularly well-suited for tasks involving natural language processing. In this project, the LSTM model is trained on sequences of word embeddings generated from the news articles. The LSTM's ability to capture the context and sequence of words within a news article provides a powerful tool for distinguishing between fake and real news.

## 2.5 Evaluation Metrics

To evaluate the performance of the models, several metrics were used, including accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score. These metrics provide a comprehensive understanding of the models' effectiveness in classifying news articles correctly. In addition, the classification reports generated for each model provide detailed insights into the performance of each class (fake or real news).

## 2.6 Manual Testing

Finally, manual testing was conducted to evaluate the models' real-world performance. In this phase, individual news articles were input into the models to observe their predictions. This testing helps assess the models' robustness and accuracy in practical scenarios, outside the confines of the training and testing datasets.

# 3 Results

In this study, two approaches were implemented to build a fake news detection model: an ensemble model combining Logistic Regression, Decision Tree, Gradient Boosting, and Random Forest classifiers, and a separate LSTM-based model. Both models were evaluated using the same dataset, with the following performance metrics:

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.99	0.99	0.99	5844
1	0.99	0.99	0.99	5376
accuracy			0.99	11220
macro avg	0.99	0.99	0.99	11220
weighted avg	0.99	0.99	0.99	11220

Figure 2: Logistic Regression

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.99	0.99	0.99	5844
1	0.99	0.99	0.99	5376
accuracy			0.99	11220
macro avg	0.99	0.99	0.99	11220
weighted avg	0.99	0.99	0.99	11220

Figure 1: Decision Tree Classifier

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	1.00	0.99	1.00	5844
1	0.99	1.00	0.99	5376
accuracy			0.99	11220
macro avg	0.99	0.99	0.99	11220
weighted avg	0.99	0.99	0.99	11220

Figure 4: Gradient Boosting Classifier

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.99	0.99	0.99	5844
1	0.99	0.99	0.99	5376
accuracy			0.99	11220
macro avg	0.99	0.99	0.99	11220
weighted avg	0.99	0.99	0.99	11220

Figure 3: Random Forest Classifier



	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.98	0.98	0.98	5903
1	0.98	0.98	0.98	5317
accuracy			0.98	11220
macro avg	0.98	0.98	0.98	11220
weighted avg	0.98	0.98	0.98	11220

Figure 5: LSTM

Both models demonstrated high accuracy in detecting fake news, with the ensemble model slightly outperforming the LSTM model in terms of precision, recall, and F1-score. Despite this, the LSTM model's performance remains strong and competitive, particularly considering the advantages of deep learning techniques in handling textual data.

## 4 Conclusion

In this project, two distinct approaches were used to tackle the problem of fake news detection. The first approach involved ensemble voting with four different classifiers: Logistic Regression, Decision Tree, Gradient Boosting, and Random Forest. The ensemble model delivered highly accurate results, as evidenced by its precision, recall, and F1-score metrics. The second approach utilized an LSTM neural network model, which, while slightly less accurate, demonstrated strong performance in processing and understanding sequential data, which is essential for analyzing textual content like news articles.

Despite the ensemble model yielding marginally better metrics, the LSTM model is considered generally superior for this task due to its ability to capture the context and sequence of words, which are crucial in distinguishing between real and fake news. LSTM models excel at handling sequential data and can learn patterns over time, making them highly effective for text-based applications.

However, due to time and hardware limitations, ensemble voting with the LSTM model and the other classifiers was not pursued. Additionally, the potential use of transformers, which are even more powerful for text analysis, was also constrained by hardware limitations. Transformers offer cutting-edge performance in NLP tasks, but their computational demands exceeded the resources available for this project.

The ensemble approach used in this project was inspired by work found on GitHub. However, I built upon this by integrating the LSTM model, bringing a new dimension to the analysis and demonstrating an alternative method for achieving robust results in fake news detection. This combination of approaches highlights both the strengths of traditional machine learning methods and the advancements possible with deep learning, providing a comprehensive evaluation of fake news detection techniques.