

## *Risk management Activity*

### *Individual analysis*

Afan Qaiser Farooqi's analysis:

A	B	C	D	E	F
S No.	Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Risk score (ll*imp)	
1	Personal Shortfalls	5	7	35	
2	Unrealistic schedule and budgeting	4	5	20	
3	Developing wrong functions and properties	1	2	2	
4	Developing wrong user interface	1	2	2	
5	Gold plating	0	1	0	
6	Continuing stream of requirements changes	3	4	12	
7	Shortfalls in externally furnished components	3	4	12	
8	Shortfalls in externally performed tasks	0	5	0	
9	Real time performance shortfalls	2	2	4	
10	Straining computer science capabilities	0	5	0	

Amir Aslam analysis

Table Styles

RISK	LIKELIHOOD	IMPACT	LIKELIHOOD * IMPACT
Personal Shortfalls	7	4	28
Unrealistic schedules and budgeting	7	7	49
Developing wrong Functions and properties	6	6	36
Developing wrong interface	4	5	20
Gold Plating	2	4	8
Continuing stream of requirement changes	4	8	32
Shortfalls in externally furnished components	5	6	30
Shortfalls in externally performed tasks	4	4	16
Real time performance shortfalls	4	4	16
Straining computer science capabilities	3	4	12

### Bilal Atif Usmani's analysis:

S.No.	Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Score (Likelihood x Impact)
1	Personnel shortfalls	5	7	35
2	Unrealistic schedules & budgeting	5	6	30
3	Developing wrong functions & properties	2	3	6
4	Developing wrong user interface	1	2	2
5	Gold plating	0	2	0
6	Continuing stream of requirements changes	2	3	6
7	Shortfalls in externally furnished components	1	3	3
8	Shortfalls in externally performed tasks	0	4	0
9	Real time performance shortfalls	1	1	1
10	Straining computer science capabilities	0	4	0

### Abdullah Mufeez's analysis:

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
1	<b>RISK</b>	<b>Likelihood (1-10)</b>	<b>Impact (1-10)</b>	<b>Risk Score (LL * Impact)</b>			
2	Personnel Shortfalls	5	7	35			
3	Unrealistic schedules and budgets	3	3	9			
4	Developing wrong functions and properties	3	2	6			
5	Developing wrong user interface	2	3	6			
6	Gold-plating	4	4	16			
7	Continuing stream of requirements changes	0	0	0			
8	Shortfalls in externally furnished components	5	7	35			
9	Shortfalls in externally performed tasks	0	0	0			
10	Real-time performance shortfalls	2	2	4			
11	Straining computer-science capabilities	3	4	12			
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							

### Muhammad Abdullah khan's analysis:

Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Score(Likelihood x Impact)
Personnel shortfalls	7	8	56
2. Unrealistic schedules & budgeting	8	9	72
3. Developing wrong functions & properties	5	8	40
4. Developing wrong user interface	4	6	24
5. Gold plating	5	4	20
6. Continuing stream of requirements changes	8	7	56
7. Shortfalls in externally furnished components	6	8	48
8. Shortfalls in externally performed tasks	5	7	35
9. Real time performance shortfalls	3	9	27
10. Straining computer science capabilities	4	6	24

Final Average analysis of group By Afan Qaiser Farooqi:

A	B	C	I
Average of the risk			
S No.	Risk	Risk score (II*imp	
1	Personal Shortfalls	37.8	
2	Unrealistic schedule and budgeting	31.2	
3	Developing wrong functions and properties	18	
4	Developing wrong user interface	10.4	
5	Gold plating	9	
6	Continuing stream of requirements changes	19.2	
7	Shortfalls in externally furnished components	25.8	
8	Shortfalls in externally performed tasks	10.2	
9	Real time performance shortfalls	10.4	
10	Straining computer science capabilities	9.6	

-Written and compiled by Afan Qaiser Farooqi