

# FIRST-ORDER LOGIC

1

Does not have any variables

Cannot reason about a group of objects

Cannot handle a domain with infinite objects

We need

- Predicates
- Quantifiers

2

## Combining the best of formal and natural languages

- Objects
- Relations
- Functions
- "One plus two equals three."
  - Objects: one, two, three, one plus two;
  - Relation: equals;
  - Function: plus.
  - ("One plus two" is a name for the object that is obtained by applying the function "plus" to the objects "one" and "two." "Three" is another name for this object.)
- "Squares neighboring the wumpus are smelly."
  - Objects: wumpus, squares; Property: smelly;
  - Relation: neighboring
- "Evil King John ruled England in 1200."
  - Objects: John, England, 1200; Properties: evil, king
  - Relation: ruled;

# FOL

3

Sentence	→ AtomicSentence   ComplexSentence
AtomicSentence	→ Predicate   Predicate(Term,...)   Term = Term
ComplexSentence	→ ( Sentence )   ( Sentence )   ~Sentence Sentence ∧ Sentence Sentence ∨ Sentence Sentence ⇒ Sentence Sentence ⇔ Sentence Quantifier Variable,... Sentence
Term	→ Function(Term,...)   Constant   Variable
Quantifier	→ ∀   ∃
Constant	→ A   X1   John   ...
Variable	→ a   x   s   ...
Predicate	→ True   False   After   Loves   Raining   ...
Function	→ Mother   LeftLeg   ...

OPERATOR PRECEDENCE : ~,=,∧,∨,⇒,⇔

The syntax of first-order logic with equality, specified in Backus-Naur form (see page 1060 if you are not familiar with this notation). Operator precedences are specified, from highest to lowest. The precedence of quantifiers is such that a quantifier holds over everything to the right of it.

4

$P(x)$

- P is the name of predicate
- x is the variable and the argument of P
- With n variables the predicate p has arity n
- It has no truth values by itself
  - $P1(x) : 3+x = 8$
  - You can not associate any truth value with the above predicate without defining the domain of x

5

## UNIVERSAL QUANTIFIER

6

- All men are mortal
  - $\forall x \text{ man}(x) \Rightarrow \text{mortal}(x)$
- All cats are mammals
  - $\forall x \text{ cat}(x) \Rightarrow \text{mammal}(x)$
- Universal Quantifier is good for writing general rules with universal quantifiers.
- $\forall x (x+3=8)$  : This is not true by the rules of number theory if x is a member of the set of whole numbers
- $\forall x (x+1>x)$  : This is true for all x where x is an integer

6

**Terms**

•**Definition:** A term is a logical expression referring to an object. It can be a constant symbol or a function symbol like LeftLeg(John).

•**Examples:**

- John (constant symbol)
- LeftLeg(John) (function symbol referring to King John's left leg)

**Atomic Sentences**

•**Definition:** An atomic sentence is formed by a predicate symbol followed by a list of terms, stating facts about objects.

•**Examples:**

- Brother(Richard, John) states that Richard the Lionheart is King John's brother.
- Married(Father(Richard), Mother(John)) states Richard's father is married to John's mother.

7

## Complex Sentences

•**Definition:** Complex sentences are constructed using logical connectives, similar to propositional calculus.

•**Examples:**

- $\neg \text{Brother}(\text{LeftLeg}(\text{Richard}), \text{John})$ 
  - states that Richard's left leg is not John's brother.
- $\text{Brother}(\text{Richard}, \text{John}) \wedge \text{Brother}(\text{John}, \text{Richard})$ 
  - implies the brotherhood is mutual.

8

## Universal and Existential Quantifiers

•**Definition:** **Universal quantifiers** express that a property holds for all objects in a domain.

•**Examples:**

- $\forall x \text{ King}(x) \Rightarrow \text{Person}(x)$ 
  - states that if x is a king, then x is a person.
  - Applied to: Richard the Lionheart, King John, and others.

•**Definition:** **Existential quantifiers** assert that there is at least one object in the domain for which a property holds.

•**Examples:**

- $\exists x \text{ Crown}(x) \wedge \text{OnHead}(x, \text{John})$ 
  - states that there exists a crown on John's head.
  - The crown could be any object satisfying the conditions.

9

## EXISTENTIAL QUANTIFIER

Someone from Pakistan had won the Nobel prize

- $\exists x \text{ pakistani}(x) \wedge \text{wonNobelPrize}(x)$

Some of the students in the AI class got an A.

- $\exists x \text{ studentOfAI}(x) \wedge \text{gotAnA}(x)$

Existential quantifier is true if at least one element x from the specified domain satisfies the statement.

$\exists x (x+3=5)$  is true

$\exists x (x+1 < x)$  is false

10

## SEMANTICS

$\forall x p(x)$  is equivalent to  $p(a1) \wedge p(a2) \dots p(a_n)$

- true for all objects in the domain of discourse D

$\forall x p(x)$  is true iff  $p(x)$  is true for ALL x in D

$\exists x p(x)$  is equivalent to  $p(a1) \vee p(a2) \dots p(a_n)$

- Only a particular  $p(x)$  has to be true if the entire propositional statement is true

$\exists x p(x)$  is true iff  $p(x)$  is true for SOME x in D

11

## Relation BETWEEN $\exists$ & $\forall$

*Everyone dislikes Ahmad:*

- $\forall x \neg \text{Likes}(x, \text{Ahmad})$
- $\neg \exists x \text{ Likes}(x, \text{Ahmad})$

*Everyone likes Ahmad:*

- $\forall x \text{ Likes}(x, \text{Ahmad})$
- $\neg \exists x \neg \text{Likes}(x, \text{Ahmad})$

**Rules**

$\forall x \neg P(x) \equiv \neg \exists x P(x)$

$\neg \forall x P(x) \equiv \exists x \neg P(x)$

$\forall x P(x) \equiv \neg \exists x \neg P(x)$

$\exists x P(x) \equiv \neg \forall x \neg P(x)$

$\neg P \wedge \neg Q \equiv \neg (P \vee Q)$

$\neg (P \wedge Q) \equiv \neg P \vee \neg Q$

$(P \wedge Q) \equiv \neg (\neg P \vee \neg Q)$

$(P \vee Q) \equiv \neg (\neg P \wedge \neg Q)$

12

11

12

## KR IN FIRST ORDER LOGIC

You have to perform the following tasks for representing the knowledge by first order logic:

- Identify the objects
- Establish relationships among those identified objects
- Encode the knowledge about these objects using relations in the form of rules
  - $\text{Grandfather}(X,Y) \leftarrow \text{father}(X,Z) \wedge \text{father}(Z,Y)$

Inference engine then can use that knowledge to infer new facts and knowledge.

13

13

## KR IN FIRST ORDER LOGIC EXAMPLES

- Mother is a female parent:
  - $\forall x \exists y \text{ female}(y) \wedge \text{parent}(y,x) \leftrightarrow \text{mother}(y,x)$
- Husband is male spouse
  - $\forall w,h \text{ husband}(h,w) \leftrightarrow \text{Male}(h) \wedge \text{spouse}(h,w)$
- Disjoint categories e.g. male, female
  - $\forall x \text{ male}(x) \leftrightarrow \neg \text{Female}(x)$
- Grandparent relation
  - $\forall g,c \text{ grandparent}(g,c) \leftrightarrow \exists p \text{ Parent}(g,p) \wedge \text{Parent}(p,c)$
- Sibling relation
  - $\forall x,y \text{ Sibling}(x,y) \leftrightarrow x \neq y \wedge \exists p \text{ Parent}(p,x) \wedge \text{Parent}(p,y)$

14

14

## SKOLEMIZATION

- The process of removing existential quantifiers
- Example: someone likes Ahmad
  - $\exists x \text{ Likes}(x, \text{Ahmad})$
- Get rid of existential quantifier by replacing  $x$  with a constant (called skolem constant) that doesn't exist in the KB
  - $\exists x \text{ Likes}(\text{Const1}, \text{Ahmad})$   $\{x/\text{Const1}\}$
- For complex examples you may have to use Skolem function. Consider this
  - $\forall x [\exists y [\text{mother}(y, x)]]$
  - If just replace the existential quantification with a constant we get
    - $\forall x [\text{mother}(\text{M302}, x)]$
  - Which says M302 is everyone's mother. In this case we have to use a Skolem function rather than Skolem constant
    - $\forall x [\text{mother}(f1(x), x)]$

15

15

## Substitution

Substitutions are also known as bindings

A substitution is a list of variable-constant bindings of the form

- $\theta = \{ \text{var}_1/\text{val}_1, \text{var}_2/\text{val}_2, \dots \}$  where  $\text{var}_i$  are variables and  $\text{val}_i$  is the value substituted for  $\text{var}_i$
- If there is a clause  $C$  then  $C\theta$  is the atom we get when all occurrences of variables in  $\theta$  are replaced by their ground terms
- Example:  $C = \text{parent}(X,Y), \theta = \{X/\text{Ali}, Y/\text{Akram}\}, C\theta = \text{father}(\text{Ali}, \text{Akram})$

16

16

## UNIFICATION

It is the process or algorithm for determining substitutions so that we can make two predicates match

- Example:  $\text{unify}(\text{father}(X, \text{Akram}), \text{father}(\text{Ali}, \text{Akram})) = \{X/\text{Ali}\}$

### FORMAL DEFINITION

- $\text{Unify}(C,D) = \theta$  so that  $C\theta = D\theta$
- If no such  $\theta$  exists then fail

RULES:  $\theta$  must satisfy the following:

- No variable is bound to two different values  $\theta = \{X/(\text{Ann}, X/\text{Bill})\}$  is invalid
- No variable in  $\theta$  is bound to a term that contains the variable itself  $\theta = \{X/f(X)\}$  is invalid

In unification you can do the following:

- replace variable by constant
- replace variable by variable
- replace a variable by a function expression

17

17

## UNIFICATION EXAMPLES

$\text{Unify}(f(a,X), f(a,b))$	$\text{Unify}(f(a,X), f(X,b))$
$= \{X/b\}$	$= \text{fail} (X \text{ is bound to } a \ \& \ b)$
$\text{Unify}(f(a,X), f(Y,b))$	$\text{Unify}(f(a,b), f(a,c))$ $a,b,c$ are constants
$= \{Y/a, X/b\}$	$= \text{fail} (\text{no match was found})$
$\text{Unify}(f(a,b), f(a,b))$	$\text{Unify}(f(a,b), g(a,X))$
$= \{ \}$	$= \text{fail} (\text{different predicates})$
$\text{Unify}(X, Y)$	$\text{Unify}(f(a,X), f(a,g(X)))$
$= \{X/Y\}$	$= \text{fail} (X \text{ is bound to a term that contains itself})$
$\text{Unify}(f(a,X), f(a,g(Y)))$	
$= \{X/g(Y)\}$	

18

18

## Example

---

- $\text{hasWings}(X) \Rightarrow \text{flies}(X)$
- $\text{hasWings}(\text{Tweety})$
- $\theta = \text{Unify}(\text{has\_wings}(X), \text{has\_wings}(\text{Tweety})) = \{X/\text{Tweety}\}$
- So we can conclude that:
  - $\text{flies}(\text{Tweety})$

19