ABSOLUTE C++

SIXTH EDITION



Chapter 15

Polymorphism and Virtual Functions

Walter Savitch



Learning Objectives

- Virtual Function Basics
 - Late binding
 - Implementing virtual functions
 - When to use a virtual function
 - Abstract classes and pure virtual functions
- Pointers and Virtual Functions
 - Extended type compatibility
 - Downcasting and upcasting
 - C++ "under the hood" with virtual functions

Virtual Function Basics

- Polymorphism
 - Associating many meanings to one function
 - Virtual functions provide this capability
 - Fundamental principle of object-oriented programming!
- Virtual
 - Existing in "essence" though not in fact
- Virtual Function
 - Can be "used" before it's "defined"

Figures Example

- Best explained by example:
- Classes for several kinds of figures
 - Rectangles, circles, ovals, etc.
 - Each figure an object of different class
 - Rectangle data: height, width, center point
 - Circle data: center point, radius
- All derive from one parent-class: Figure
- Require function: draw()
 - Different instructions for each figure

Figures Example 2

- Each class needs different draw function
- Can be called "draw" in each class, so:
 Rectangle r;
 Circle c;
 r.draw(); //Calls Rectangle class's draw
 c.draw(); //Calls Circle class's draw
- Nothing new here yet...

Figures Example: center()

- Parent class Figure contains functions that apply to "all" figures; consider: center(): moves a figure to center of screen
 - Erases 1st, then re-draws
 - So Figure::center() would use function draw()
 to re-draw
 - Complications!
 - Which draw() function?
 - From which class?

Figures Example: New Figure

- Consider new kind of figure comes along: Triangle class derived from Figure class
- Function center() inherited from Figure
 - Will it work for triangles?
 - It uses draw(), which is different for each figure!
 - It will use Figure::draw() → won't work for triangles
- Want inherited function center() to use function Triangle::draw() NOT function Figure::draw()
 - But class Triangle wasn't even WRITTEN when
 Figure::center() was! Doesn't know "triangles"!

Figures Example: Virtual!

- Virtual functions are the answer
- Tells compiler:
 - "Don't know how function is implemented"
 - "Wait until used in program"
 - "Then get implementation from object instance"
- Called late binding or dynamic binding
 - Virtual functions implement late binding

Virtual Functions: Another Example

- Bigger example best to demonstrate
- Record-keeping program for automotive parts store
 - Track sales
 - Don't know all sales yet
 - 1st only regular retail sales
 - Later: Discount sales, mail-order, etc.
 - Depend on other factors besides just price, tax

Virtual Functions: Auto Parts

- Program must:
 - Compute daily gross sales
 - Calculate largest/smallest sales of day
 - Perhaps average sale for day
- All come from individual bills
 - But many functions for computing bills will be added "later"!
 - When different types of sales added!
- So function for "computing a bill" will be virtual!

Class Sale Definition

```
    class Sale

  public:
      Sale();
      Sale(double thePrice);
      double getPrice() const;
      virtual double bill() const;
      double savings(const Sale& other) const;
  private:
      double price;
  };
```

Member Functions savings and operator <

```
    double Sale::savings(const Sale& other) const

       return (bill() – other.bill());
  bool operator < (
                           const Sale& first,
                            const Sale& second)
       return (first.bill() < second.bill());
```

Notice BOTH use member function bill()!

Class Sale

- Represents sales of single item with no added discounts or charges.
- Notice reserved word "virtual" in declaration of member function bill
 - Impact: Later, derived classes of Sale can define THEIR versions of function bill
 - Other member functions of Sale will use version based on object of derived class!
 - They won't automatically use Sale's version!

Derived Class DiscountSale Defined

```
    class DiscountSale : public Sale

  public:
       DiscountSale();
       DiscountSale( double the Price,
                              double the Discount);
       double getDiscount() const;
       void setDiscount(double newDiscount);
       double bill() const;
  private:
       double discount;
  };
```

DiscountSale's Implementation of bill()

```
    double DiscountSale::bill() const
        {
             double fraction = discount/100;
             return (1 – fraction)*getPrice();
        }
```

- Qualifier "virtual" does not go in actual function definition
 - "Automatically" virtual in derived class
 - Declaration (in interface) not required to have "virtual" keyword either (but usually does)

DiscountSale's Implementation of bill()

- Virtual function in base class:
 - "Automatically" virtual in derived class
- Derived class declaration (in interface)
 - Not required to have "virtual" keyword
 - But typically included anyway, for readability

Derived Class DiscountSale

- DiscountSale's member function bill() implemented differently than Sale's
 - Particular to "discounts"
- Member functions savings and "<"
 - Will use this definition of bill() for all objects of DiscountSale class!
 - Instead of "defaulting" to version defined in Sales class!

Virtual: Wow!

- Recall class Sale written long before derived class DiscountSale
 - Members savings and "<" compiled before even had ideas of a DiscountSale class
- Yet in a call like: DiscountSale d1, d2; d1.savings(d2);
 - Call in savings() to function bill() knows to use definition of bill() from DiscountSale class
- Powerful!

Virtual: How?

- To write C++ programs:
 - Assume it happens by "magic"!
- But explanation involves late binding
 - Virtual functions implement late binding
 - Tells compiler to "wait" until function is used in program
 - Decide which definition to use based on calling object
- Very important OOP principle!

Overriding

- Virtual function definition changed in a derived class
 - We say it's been "overidden"
- Similar to redefined
 - Recall: for standard functions
- So:
 - Virtual functions changed: overridden
 - Non-virtual functions changed: redefined

C++11 **override** keyword

 C++11 includes the override keyword to make it clear if a function is overridden or redefined

C++11 final keyword

 C++11 includes the **final** keyword to prevent a function from being overridden. Useful if a function is overridden but don't want a derived classes to override it again.

Virtual Functions: Why Not All?

- Clear advantages to virtual functions as we've seen
- One major disadvantage: overhead!
 - Uses more storage
 - Late binding is "on the fly", so programs run slower
- So if virtual functions not needed, should not be used

Pure Virtual Functions

- Base class might not have "meaningful" definition for some of it's members!
 - It's purpose solely for others to derive from
- Recall class Figure
 - All figures are objects of derived classes
 - Rectangles, circles, triangles, etc.
 - Class Figure has no idea how to draw!
- Make it a pure virtual function: virtual void draw() = 0;

Abstract Base Classes

- Pure virtual functions require no definition
 - Forces all derived classes to define "their own" version
- Class with one or more pure virtual functions is: abstract base class
 - Can only be used as base class
 - No objects can ever be created from it
 - Since it doesn't have complete "definitions" of all it's members!
- If derived class fails to define all pure's:
 - It's an abstract base class too

Extended Type Compatibility

- Given:
 - Derived is derived class of Base
 - Derived objects can be assigned to objects of type Base
 - But NOT the other way!
- Consider previous example:
 - A DiscountSale "is a" Sale, but reverse not true

Extended Type Compatibility Example

```
class Pet
public:
     string name;
     virtual void print() const;
class Dog: public Pet
public:
     string breed;
     virtual void print() const;
};
```

Classes Pet and Dog

Now given declarations:

Dog vdog;

Pet vpet;

- Notice member variables name and breed are public!
 - For example purposes only! Not typical!

Using Classes Pet and Dog

Anything that "is a" dog "is a" pet:

```
- vdog.name = "Tiny";
vdog.breed = "Great Dane";
vpet = vdog;
```

- These are allowable
- Can assign values to parent-types, but not reverse
 - A pet "is not a" dog (not necessarily)

Slicing Problem

- Notice value assigned to vpet "loses" it's breed field!
 - cout << vpet.breed;</pre>
 - Produces ERROR msg!
 - Called slicing problem
- Might seem appropriate
 - Dog was moved to Pet variable, so it should be treated like a Pet
 - And therefore not have "dog" properties
 - Makes for interesting philosphical debate

Slicing Problem Fix

- In C++, slicing problem is nuisance
 - It still "is a" Great Dane named Tiny
 - We'd like to refer to it's breed even if it's been treated as a Pet
- Can do so with pointers to dynamic variables

Slicing Problem Example

```
    Pet *ppet;
        Dog *pdog;
        pdog = new Dog;
        pdog->name = "Tiny";
        pdog->breed = "Great Dane";
        ppet = pdog;
```

 Cannot access breed field of object pointed to by ppet: cout << ppet->breed; //ILLEGAL!

Slicing Problem Example

- Must use virtual member function: ppet->print();
 - Calls print member function in Dog class!
 - Because it's virtual
 - C++ "waits" to see what object pointer ppet is actually pointing to before "binding" call

Virtual Destructors

- Recall: destructors needed to de-allocate dynamically allocated data
- Consider:
 Base *pBase = new Derived;
 ...
 delete pBase;
 - Would call base class destructor even though pointing to Derived class object!
 - Making destructor virtual fixes this!
- Good policy for all destructors to be virtual

Casting

Consider:
Pet vpet;
Dog vdog;
...
vdog = static_cast<Dog>(vpet); //ILLEGAL!
Can't cast a pet to be a dog, but:
vpet = vdog; // Legal!
vpet = static_cast<Pet>(vdog); //Also legal!
Upcasting is OK

From descendant type to ancestor type

Downcasting

- Downcasting dangerous!
 - Casting from ancestor type to descended type
 - Assumes information is "added"
 - Can be done with dynamic_cast:
 Pet *ppet;
 ppet = new Dog;
 Dog *pdog = dynamic_cast<Dog*>(ppet);
 - Legal, but dangerous!
- Downcasting rarely done due to pitfalls
 - Must track all information to be added
 - All member functions must be virtual

Inner Workings of Virtual Functions

- Don't need to know how to use it!
 - Principle of information hiding
- Virtual function table
 - Compiler creates it
 - Has pointers for each virtual member function
 - Points to location of correct code for that function
- Objects of such classes also have pointer
 - Points to virtual function table

Summary 1

- Late binding delays decision of which member function is called until runtime
 - In C++, virtual functions use late binding
- Pure virtual functions have no definition
 - Classes with at least one are abstract
 - No objects can be created from abstract class
 - Used strictly as base for others to derive

Summary 2

- Derived class objects can be assigned to base class objects
 - Base class members are lost; slicing problem
- Pointer assignments and dynamic objects
 - Allow "fix" to slicing problem
- Make all destructors virtual
 - Good programming practice
 - Ensures memory correctly de-allocated