Bryan

Compiled @ 2022/10/11

## 1 Local Transformation

$$\delta A^a_\mu = \partial_\mu \lambda^a(x) + f^a_{\ bc} A^b_\mu \lambda^c(x), \tag{1}$$

Here  $f_{abc}$  is the totally anti-symmetric structure constant for a semi-simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , with generators  $\{T_a\}_a$  and normalized Killing form  $\delta_{ab}$ .

• The field strength is defined as follows:

$$F_{\mu\nu} \equiv F^{a}_{\mu\nu} T_{a} = [D_{\mu}, D_{\nu}] = \left[\partial_{\mu} + A_{\mu}, \partial_{\nu} + A_{\nu}\right]$$

$$= dA + A \wedge A$$

$$= \partial_{\mu} A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} A_{\mu} + f^{a}_{bc} A^{b}_{\mu} A^{c}_{\nu} T_{a}$$

$$(2)$$

Adjoint indices  $a, b, \cdots$  are sometimes suppressed by contracting with  $T_a$ 's. By exploiting the anti-symmetric property of  $f^a_{\ bc}$ , along with the Jacobi identity, we get the infinitesimal transformation:

$$\begin{split} \delta F^{a}_{\mu\nu} &= \partial_{\mu} \, \delta A^{a}_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} \, \delta A^{a}_{\mu} + f^{a}_{\ bc} \, \delta (A^{b}_{\mu} A^{c}_{\nu}) \\ &= f^{a}_{\ bc} \left( \lambda^{c} \left( \partial_{\mu} A^{b}_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} A^{b}_{\mu} \right) + \left( A^{b}_{\nu} \, \partial_{\mu} \lambda^{c} - A^{b}_{\mu} \, \partial_{\nu} \lambda^{c} \right) + \delta (A^{b}_{\mu} A^{c}_{\nu}) \right) \\ &= f^{a}_{\ bc} \left( \lambda^{c} \left( F^{b}_{\mu\nu} - f^{b}_{\ de} A^{d}_{\mu} A^{e}_{\nu} \right) + \left( A^{b}_{\nu} \left( \underline{\delta A^{c}_{\mu}} - f^{c}_{\ de} A^{d}_{\mu} \lambda^{e} \right) - A^{b}_{\mu} \left( \underline{\delta A^{c}_{\nu}} - f^{c}_{\ de} A^{d}_{\nu} \lambda^{e} \right) \right) + \underline{\delta (A^{b}_{\mu} A^{c}_{\nu})} \\ &= f^{a}_{\ bc} \left( \lambda^{c} \left( F^{b}_{\mu\nu} - f^{b}_{\ de} A^{d}_{\mu} A^{e}_{\nu} \right) - \left( f^{c}_{\ de} A^{b}_{\nu} A^{d}_{\mu} \lambda^{e} - f^{c}_{\ de} A^{b}_{\mu} A^{d}_{\nu} \lambda^{e} \right) \right) \\ &= \underline{f^{a}_{\ bc}} \left( \lambda^{c} \left( F^{b}_{\mu\nu} - \underline{f^{b}_{\ de}} A^{d}_{\mu} A^{e}_{\nu} \right) - \left( \underline{f^{c}_{\ de}} A^{b}_{\nu} A^{d}_{\mu} \lambda^{e} - \underline{f^{c}_{\ de}} A^{b}_{\mu} A^{d}_{\nu} \lambda^{e} \right) \right) \\ &= f^{a}_{\ bc} \left( \lambda^{c} F^{b}_{\mu\nu} - \underline{f^{b}_{\ de}} A^{d}_{\mu} A^{e}_{\nu} \right) - \left( \underline{f^{c}_{\ de}} A^{b}_{\nu} A^{d}_{\mu} \lambda^{e} - \underline{f^{c}_{\ de}} A^{b}_{\mu} A^{d}_{\nu} \lambda^{e} \right) \right) \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

When contracted with  $T_a$ , this yields:

$$\delta F_{\mu\nu} = \lambda^c F^b_{\mu\nu} f^a_{bc} T_a = \lambda^c F^b_{\mu\nu} [T_b, T_c] = F_{\mu\nu} \cdot \lambda - \lambda \cdot F_{\mu\nu}, \tag{4}$$

$$\lambda = \lambda^c(x) T_c, \quad F_{\mu\nu} = F^b_{\mu\nu} T_b, \tag{5}$$

$$F_{\mu\nu} \longmapsto e^{-\Lambda^a(x) T_a} F_{\mu\nu} e^{\Lambda^a(x) T_a} \tag{6}$$

The exponentiation is valid even for local  $\lambda = \lambda(x)$ , since it is produced by integrating along the fiber direction  $\lambda \to \Lambda$ , not the spacetime direction x. This is the finite transformation w.r.t.  $\Lambda(x)$ .

• For any matter field  $\psi$  furnishing a representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , we have:

$$T_a \psi = (T_a)^i{}_i \psi^j, \quad \delta \psi = -\lambda^a(x) T_a \psi,$$
 (7)

$$\psi \longmapsto e^{-\Lambda^a(x) T_a} \psi, \tag{8}$$

$$D_{\mu}\psi \longmapsto e^{-\Lambda^{a}(x)T_{a}}D_{\mu}\psi, \tag{9}$$

In fact, (1) is chosen to ensure that  $D_{\mu}\psi$  transforms gauge covariantly just like  $\psi$ . Therefore,

$$D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} + A_{\mu} \longmapsto e^{-\Lambda^{a}(x) T_{a}} \circ D_{\mu} \circ e^{\Lambda^{a}(x) T_{a}}$$

$$= e^{-\Lambda} \circ (\partial_{\mu} + A_{\mu}) \circ e^{\Lambda}$$

$$= e^{-\Lambda} \circ \partial_{\mu} \circ e^{\Lambda} + e^{-\Lambda} A_{\mu} e^{\Lambda}, \quad \Lambda = \Lambda^{a}(x) T_{a},$$

$$(10)$$

$$A_{\mu} \longmapsto e^{-\Lambda} \left( \partial_{\mu} e^{\Lambda} \right) + e^{-\Lambda} A_{\mu} e^{\Lambda} = T_{a} \partial_{\mu} \Lambda^{a}(x) + e^{-\Lambda} A_{\mu} e^{\Lambda} \tag{11}$$

•  $F^2 \equiv F \wedge F$ , we have:

$$F^{2} = (dA + A \wedge A) \wedge (dA + A \wedge A)$$
  
=  $dA \wedge dA + dA \wedge A \wedge A + A \wedge A \wedge dA + A \wedge A \wedge A \wedge A$  (12)

The last term is proportional to  $\epsilon_{abcd} T^a T^b T^c T^d$ , hence its trace will vanish; therefore,

$$\operatorname{tr} F^{2} = \operatorname{tr} \left( dA \wedge dA + dA \wedge A \wedge A + A \wedge A \wedge dA \right)$$

$$= \operatorname{tr} \left( d(dA \wedge A) + \frac{2}{3} d(A \wedge A \wedge A) \right)$$

$$= d \operatorname{tr} \left( dA \wedge A + \frac{2}{3} A \wedge A \wedge A \right) = d\omega,$$

$$\omega = \operatorname{tr} \left( dA \wedge A + \frac{2}{3} A \wedge A \wedge A \right)$$
(13)

## 2 Relativistic Particle

$$L = \frac{1}{2e} \left( \frac{1}{c} \frac{dX}{dt} \right)^2 - \frac{e}{2} m^2 c^4$$
 (15)

• For  $t \mapsto t' = t - \xi(t)$ , we have X'(t') = X(t), therefore:

$$\delta X^{\mu} = -\delta t \frac{\mathrm{d}X^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \xi(t) \,\dot{X}^{\mu},\tag{16}$$

Or more explicitly,  $X^{\mu}(t) \mapsto X^{\mu}(t) + \xi(t) \dot{X}^{\mu}$ .

• We have:

$$\delta L = \frac{1}{ec^2} \dot{X}_{\mu} \, \delta \dot{X}^{\mu} - \frac{\delta e}{2} \, \frac{1}{e^2 c^2} \dot{X}^2 - \frac{\delta e}{2} \, m^2 c^4$$

$$= \frac{1}{ec^2} \, \xi \dot{X}_{\mu} \ddot{X}^{\mu} + \frac{1}{ec^2} \, \dot{\xi} \dot{X}^2 - \frac{\delta e}{2} \, \frac{1}{e^2 c^2} \dot{X}^2 - \frac{\delta e}{2} \, m^2 c^4$$
(17)

For  $S = \int dt L$  to be invariant,  $\delta L$  should be reduced to a total derivative, which can then be reduced to some vanishing boundary terms.

Consider  $\delta e = \frac{d}{dt}(e\xi) = \dot{e}\xi + e\dot{\xi}$ , and we have:

$$\delta L = \frac{1}{ec^2} \xi \dot{X}_{\mu} \ddot{X}^{\mu} + \frac{1}{2ec^2} \dot{\xi} \dot{X}^2 - \frac{\dot{e}}{2e^2c^2} \xi \dot{X}^2 - \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left( \frac{1}{2} e \xi m^2 c^4 \right) 
= \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left\{ \left( \frac{1}{2ec^2} \dot{X}^2 - \frac{e}{2} m^2 c^4 \right) \xi \right\} = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} (\xi L)$$
(18)

Indeed we get a total derivative; therefore,

$$\delta e = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(e\xi), \quad \delta S = \int \delta L = \int \mathrm{d}(\xi L) = 0$$
 (19)

• e(t) can be seen as a gauge field coupled to X, which captures the t-reparametrization redundancy through the gauge transformation parameter  $\xi(t)$ . A natural gauge choice is fixing  $f = e(t) - 1 \equiv 0$ , which is equivalent to setting  $t = \tau$ : the proper time, or affine parametrization for the massless case.

The gauge invariant path integral is constructed as follows:

$$\mathcal{Z} = \frac{1}{\int \mathcal{D}\xi} \int \mathcal{D}X \mathcal{D}e \, e^{iS} 
= \frac{1}{\int \mathcal{D}\xi} \int \mathcal{D}X \mathcal{D}e \, e^{iS} \int \mathcal{D}f \, \delta[f] 
= \frac{1}{\int \mathcal{D}\xi} \int \mathcal{D}X \mathcal{D}e \, e^{iS} \int \mathcal{D}\xi \, \delta[f_{\xi}] \det \frac{\delta f_{\xi}}{\delta \xi} 
= \frac{1}{\int \mathcal{D}\xi} \int \mathcal{D}\xi \int \mathcal{D}X \mathcal{D}e \, e^{iS} \, \delta[f_{\xi}] \det \frac{\delta f_{\xi}}{\delta \xi} 
= \frac{1}{\int \mathcal{D}\xi} \int \mathcal{D}\xi \int \mathcal{D}X_{\xi} \mathcal{D}e_{\xi} \, e^{iS_{\xi}} \, \delta[f_{\xi}] \det \frac{\delta f_{\xi}}{\delta \xi} \Big|_{e_{\xi}} 
= \frac{1}{\int \mathcal{D}\xi} \int \mathcal{D}\xi \int \mathcal{D}X \mathcal{D}e \, e^{iS} \, \delta[f] \det \frac{\delta f_{\xi}}{\delta \xi} \Big|_{\xi=0} 
= \int \mathcal{D}X \mathcal{D}e \, e^{iS} \, \delta[f] \det \frac{\delta f_{\xi}}{\delta \xi} \Big|_{\xi=0}$$

Here the gauge-transformed quantities are marked with a  $\xi$  subscript. Note that in the final expression, f is not integrated out and can be any possible gauge-fixing function, i.e.  $\left(\delta[f]\det\frac{\delta f_{\xi}}{\delta\xi}\right)_{\xi=0}$  is in fact f-independent.

These are the first steps of the Faddeev–Popov (FP) procedure; it achieves several things at once: first it imposes a gauge-fixing f=0, and then it removes the gauge redundancy with the help of FP determinant  $\left(\det\frac{\delta f_{\xi}}{\delta \xi}\right)_{\xi=0}$ , while implicitly imposing the constraints resulted from the gauge-fixing process. The constraints implemented by  $\left(\det\frac{\delta f_{\xi}}{\delta \xi}\right)_{\xi=0}$  can be made explicit with the help of BRST formalism.

The gauge-fixing term  $\delta[f]$  can be replaced by a Gaussian packet with width parameter  $\zeta$ . More rigorously, up to an overall constant coefficient, we have the following equivalence:

$$\delta[f] \sim \delta[f - f_0] \sim \int \mathcal{D}f_0 \exp\left(-\frac{i}{2\zeta} \int dt \, f_0^2\right) \delta[f - f_0] = \exp\left(i \int dt \, L_{gf}\right), \tag{21}$$

$$L_{gf} = -\frac{1}{2\zeta}f^2 = -\frac{1}{2\zeta}(e-1)^2,$$
(22)

Here  $f_0$  is some gauge-invariant shift of f, namely  $(f_0)_{\xi} = f_0$ .  $f_0$  can be seen as a non-dynamical auxiliary field that enforce the gauge fixing, much similar to a Lagrange multiplier. On the other hand, the determinant can be evaluated using Faddeev-Popov (FP) ghosts b, c:

$$\det P \sim \int \mathcal{D}b \, \mathcal{D}c \, \exp\left(i \int dt \int dt' \, b(t) \cdot P(t, t') \cdot c(t')\right),\tag{23}$$

$$\frac{\delta f_{\xi}(t)}{\delta \xi(t')} \bigg|_{\xi=0} = \frac{\delta}{\delta \xi(t')} \bigg|_{\xi=0} \left( e + \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left( e\xi \right) - 1 \right)_{(t)} = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left( e(t) \, \delta(t-t') \right), \tag{24}$$

$$\det \frac{\delta f_{\xi}(t)}{\delta \xi(t')} \Big|_{\xi=0} \sim \int \mathcal{D}b \, \mathcal{D}c \, \exp\left(i \int dt \int dt' \, b(t) \left(e(t) \, \delta(t-t')\right) c(t')\right) \\ \sim \int \mathcal{D}b \, \mathcal{D}c \, \exp\left(-i \int dt \, e\dot{b}c\right), \tag{25}$$

$$L_{gh} = -e\dot{b}c\tag{26}$$

 $3 \quad 2D \ \sigma$ -MODEL 4

In summary, we have:

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int \mathcal{D}X \, \mathcal{D}e \, \mathcal{D}b \, \mathcal{D}c \, e^{iS_q}, \quad S_q = \int \mathrm{d}t \, L_q, \tag{27}$$

$$L_q = L + L_{gf} + L_{gh} = L - \frac{1}{2\zeta} (e - 1)^2 - e\dot{b}c,$$
 (28)

 $S_q$  is the quantum action under the gauge-fixing condition f = e(t) - 1 = 0.

## 3 2D $\sigma$ -Model

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} \,\partial_{\alpha} X^{\mu} \,\partial_{\beta} X_{\mu} \sqrt{-h} \,h^{\alpha\beta}, \quad X \colon \Sigma^{1,1} \to \mathbb{R}^{D-1,1}$$
(29)

• The action is diff-invariant; under  $\sigma^{\alpha} \mapsto \sigma^{\alpha} + \xi^{\alpha}$ , we have:

$$\delta X^{\alpha} = \mathcal{L}_{\xi} X^{\alpha}, \quad \delta h^{\alpha \beta} = \mathcal{L}_{\xi} h^{\alpha \beta} \tag{30}$$

 $\mathcal{L}_{\xi}$  is the Lie derivative along  $\xi^{\alpha}$ . Note that  $0 = \delta(h_{\alpha\beta}h^{\beta\gamma})$ , hence we have:

$$\delta h_{\alpha\beta} = -h_{\alpha\alpha'} h_{\beta\beta'} \, \delta h^{\alpha'\beta'} = \xi^{\gamma} \partial_{\gamma} h_{\alpha\beta} + (\partial_{\alpha} \xi^{\gamma}) \, h_{\gamma\beta} + (\partial_{\beta} \xi^{\gamma}) \, h_{\alpha\gamma} = \mathcal{L}_{\xi} h_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{31}$$

$$\delta\sqrt{-h} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-h}\,h^{\alpha\beta}\,\delta h_{\alpha\beta}\,,\tag{32}$$

Furthermore, we have  $\mathcal{L}_{\xi} dX = d(\mathcal{L}_{\xi}X)$ , i.e.  $\partial_{\alpha} \delta X = \partial_{\alpha} \mathcal{L}_{\xi}X = \partial_{\alpha} \left(\xi^{\gamma} \partial_{\gamma} X\right) = \mathcal{L}_{\xi} \left(\partial_{\alpha} X\right)$ . Note that due to the  $\sqrt{-h}$  factor,  $\mathcal{L}$  is not a scalar but a scalar density. For convenience, define  $\mathcal{L} = \widetilde{\mathcal{L}} \sqrt{-h}$ , then  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}} = -\frac{1}{2} h^{\alpha\beta} \partial_{\alpha} X^{\mu} \partial_{\beta} X_{\mu}$  is a scalar; using chain rule, we obtain:

$$\delta \mathcal{L} = \sqrt{-h} \, \delta \widetilde{\mathcal{L}} + \widetilde{\mathcal{L}} \, \delta \sqrt{-h} \\
= \sqrt{-h} \, \mathcal{L}_{\xi} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}} + \widetilde{\mathcal{L}} \, \delta \sqrt{-h} \\
= \sqrt{-h} \, \xi^{\gamma} \, \partial_{\gamma} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}} + \widetilde{\mathcal{L}} \, \delta \sqrt{-h} \\
= \partial_{\gamma} \left( \xi^{\gamma} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}} \sqrt{-h} \right) - \widetilde{\mathcal{L}} \left( \sqrt{-h} \left( \partial_{\gamma} \xi^{\gamma} \right) + \xi^{\gamma} \left( \partial_{\gamma} \sqrt{-h} \right) \right) + \widetilde{\mathcal{L}} \, \delta \sqrt{-h} \\
= \partial_{\gamma} \left( \xi^{\gamma} \mathcal{L} \right) - \widetilde{\mathcal{L}} \left( \sqrt{-h} \left( \partial_{\gamma} \xi^{\gamma} \right) + \xi^{\gamma} \left( \partial_{\gamma} \sqrt{-h} \right) - \delta \sqrt{-h} \right) \\
= \partial_{\gamma} \left( \xi^{\gamma} \mathcal{L} \right) - \widetilde{\mathcal{L}} \sqrt{-h} \left( \partial_{\gamma} \xi^{\gamma} + \frac{1}{2} \xi^{\gamma} h^{\alpha \beta} \partial_{\gamma} h_{\alpha \beta} - \frac{1}{2} h^{\alpha \beta} \delta h_{\alpha \beta} \right) \\
= \partial_{\gamma} \left( \xi^{\gamma} \mathcal{L} \right) - \widetilde{\mathcal{L}} \sqrt{-h} \left( \partial_{\gamma} \xi^{\gamma} - \frac{1}{2} h^{\alpha \beta} \left( \left( \partial_{\alpha} \xi^{\gamma} \right) h_{\gamma \beta} + \left( \partial_{\beta} \xi^{\gamma} \right) h_{\alpha \gamma} \right) \right) \\
= \partial_{\gamma} \left( \xi^{\gamma} \mathcal{L} \right) - \widetilde{\mathcal{L}} \sqrt{-h} \left( \partial_{\gamma} \xi^{\gamma} - \partial_{\gamma} \xi^{\gamma} \right) \\
= \partial_{\gamma} \left( \xi^{\gamma} \mathcal{L} \right) \right)$$

We see that  $\delta \mathcal{L}$  is a total derivative, hence  $\delta S = \int d^2 \sigma \, \delta \mathcal{L} = 0$ , i.e. the action is diff-invariant.

 $3 \quad 2D \sigma - MODEL$  5

• The action is Weyl invariant; with  $\delta h^{\alpha\beta} = -\lambda(\sigma) h^{\alpha\beta}$ , we have:

$$\delta\left(\sqrt{-h}\,h^{\alpha\beta}\right) = \sqrt{-h}\,\delta h^{\alpha\beta} + h^{\alpha\beta}\,\delta\sqrt{-h}$$

$$= \sqrt{-h}\,h^{\alpha\beta}\left(-\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\,h_{\alpha'\beta'}\,\delta h^{\alpha'\beta'}\right)$$

$$= \sqrt{-h}\,h^{\alpha\beta}\left(-\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\,\lambda\,h_{\alpha'\beta'}h^{\alpha'\beta'}\right)$$

$$= \sqrt{-h}\,h^{\alpha\beta}\left(-\lambda + \frac{2}{2}\,\lambda\right)$$

$$= 0$$
(34)

Here we've used the fact that  $h_{\alpha\beta}h^{\alpha\beta}=\delta^{\alpha}_{\alpha}=2$ . Therefore,  $\delta\mathcal{L}=-\frac{1}{2}\,\partial_{\alpha}X^{\mu}\,\partial_{\beta}X_{\mu}\delta\left(\sqrt{-h}\,h^{\alpha\beta}\right)=0$ , i.e. the action is Weyl invariant.

• FP quantization of this system follows the same recipe as the point particle case above:

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int \mathcal{D}X \, \mathcal{D}h \, \mathcal{D}b \, \mathcal{D}c \, e^{iS_q}, \quad S_q = \int d^2\sigma \, \mathcal{L}_q, \tag{35}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_q = \mathcal{L} + \mathcal{L}_{gf} + \mathcal{L}_{gh} \tag{36}$$

Given gauge fixing:  $f^{\alpha\beta} = h^{\alpha\beta} - h^{\alpha\beta}_{(0)}$ , we have:

$$\mathcal{L}_{gf} = -\frac{1}{2\zeta} f^{\alpha\beta} f_{\alpha\beta} \sqrt{-h} = -\frac{1}{2\zeta} \left( h^{\alpha\beta} - h^{\alpha\beta}_{(0)} \right) \left( h_{\alpha\beta} - h^{(0)}_{\alpha\beta} \right) \sqrt{-h}$$
 (37)

The FP ghost term  $\mathcal{L}_{gh}$  is given by functional determinant; we have:

$$\frac{\delta f_{\xi}^{\alpha\beta}(\sigma)}{\delta \xi^{\gamma}(\sigma')} \bigg|_{0} = \frac{\delta}{\delta \xi^{\gamma}(\sigma')} \bigg|_{0} \left( \mathcal{L}_{\xi} h^{\alpha\beta} - \lambda h^{\alpha\beta} \right)_{(\sigma)} \tag{38}$$

$$= \delta(\sigma - \sigma') \,\partial_{\gamma} h^{\alpha\beta} - \delta^{\alpha}_{\gamma} \,\partial^{\beta} \delta(\sigma - \sigma') - \delta^{\beta}_{\gamma} \,\partial^{\alpha} \delta(\sigma - \sigma') \tag{39}$$

$$= -\delta_{\gamma}^{\alpha} \nabla^{\beta} \delta(\sigma - \sigma') - \delta_{\gamma}^{\beta} \nabla^{\alpha} \delta(\sigma - \sigma'), \tag{40}$$

$$\frac{\delta f_{\xi}^{\alpha\beta}(\sigma)}{\delta\lambda(\sigma')}\bigg|_{0} = -\delta(\sigma - \sigma')h^{\alpha\beta},\tag{41}$$

Here we've replaced  $\partial$  with  $\nabla$  which commutes with the metric  $h_{\alpha\beta}$ . Define  $\Xi^{\Gamma} = (\xi^{\gamma}, \lambda)$  to combine all gauge parameters, and use fermionic FP ghosts:  $b_{\alpha\beta}$ ,  $c^{\Gamma} = (c^{\gamma}, c')$  to contract the indices; after some integration by parts, we have:

$$\det \frac{\delta f_{\xi}^{\alpha\beta}(\sigma)}{\delta \Xi^{\Gamma}(\sigma')} \bigg|_{0} \sim \int \mathcal{D}b_{\alpha\beta} \, \mathcal{D}c^{\gamma} \, \mathcal{D}c' \, \exp \left( i \int \mathrm{d}^{2}\sigma \, \sqrt{-h} \, b_{\alpha\beta} \left( -\nabla^{\beta}c^{\alpha} - \nabla^{\alpha}c^{\beta} - h^{\alpha\beta}c' \right) \right)$$
(42)

To simplify the action, it is common<sup>1</sup> to integrate c' out, which constrains  $b_{\alpha\beta}$  to be symmetric traceless:  $b_{\alpha\beta}h^{\alpha\beta}=b^{\alpha}_{\alpha}=0$ . The resulting  $b_{\alpha\beta}$  has 2 degrees of freedom, same as  $c^{\gamma}$ .

<sup>1</sup> References: Tong: http://damtp.cam.ac.uk/user/tong/string.html, and also Polchinski.

 $3 2D \sigma$ -MODEL 6

In the end, we have:

$$\det \frac{\delta f_{\xi}^{\alpha\beta}(\sigma)}{\delta \Xi^{\Gamma}(\sigma')} \bigg|_{0} \sim \int \mathcal{D}b_{\alpha\beta} \, \mathcal{D}c^{\gamma} \, \exp\left(-2i \int d^{2}\sigma \sqrt{-h} \, b_{\alpha\beta} \, \nabla^{\alpha}c^{\beta}\right) \tag{43}$$

Therefore, FP quantization with  $f^{\alpha\beta}=h^{\alpha\beta}-h^{\alpha\beta}_{(0)}$  yields:

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int \mathcal{D}X \, \mathcal{D}h^{\alpha\beta} \, \mathcal{D}b_{\alpha\beta} \, \mathcal{D}c^{\gamma} \, e^{iS_q}, \quad S_q = \int d^2\sigma \, \mathcal{L}_q, \quad \mathcal{L}_q = \mathcal{L} + \mathcal{L}_{gf} + \mathcal{L}_{gh}, \quad (44)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{gf} = -\frac{1}{2\zeta} f^{\alpha\beta} f_{\alpha\beta} \sqrt{-h} = -\frac{1}{2\zeta} \left( h^{\alpha\beta} - h^{\alpha\beta}_{(0)} \right) \left( h_{\alpha\beta} - h^{(0)}_{\alpha\beta} \right) \sqrt{-h}, \tag{45}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{gh} = -2b_{\alpha\beta} \, \nabla^{\alpha} c^{\beta} \sqrt{-h} \tag{46}$$