

## 1 Gravity

$$ds^2 = -f(r) dt^2 + \frac{1}{f(r)} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2 \quad (1.1)$$

$$f(r) = 1 - \frac{GM}{r} + \frac{Q^2}{r^2} = \frac{(r - r_+)(r - r_-)}{r^2} \quad (1.2)$$

1. Event horizon(s):  $f(r) = 0$ , we have:

- (a)  $M > |Q|$ ,  $r_{\pm} = M \pm \sqrt{M^2 - Q^2}$ , 2 event horizons;
- (b)  $M = |Q|$ ,  $r_{\pm} = M$ , 1 event horizon;
- (c)  $M < |Q|$ , no event horizon! “Naked” singularity.

2. New coordinate:  $v = t + r^*$ ,

$$r^* = r + \frac{1}{2k_+} \ln \frac{|r - r_+|}{r_+} + \frac{1}{2k_-} \ln \frac{|r - r_-|}{r_-}, \quad k_{\pm} = \frac{r_{\pm} - r_{\mp}}{2r_{\pm}^2} \quad (1.3)$$

We have:

$$dt = dv - dr^* = dv - \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2k_+} \frac{1}{r - r_+} + \frac{1}{2k_-} \frac{1}{r - r_-} \right) dr \quad (1.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= dv - \left( 1 + \frac{1}{r^2 f(r)} \frac{r_+^2(r - r_-) - r_-^2(r - r_+)}{r_+ - r_-} \right) dr \\ &= dv - \left( 1 + \frac{1}{r^2 f(r)} \left( (r_+ + r_-)r - r_+ r_- \right) \right) dr \\ &= dv - \frac{1}{f(r)} dr \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

Therefore,

(a) The new metric:

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= -f(r) \left( dv - \frac{1}{f(r)} dr \right)^2 + \frac{1}{f(r)} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2 \\ &= -f(r) dv^2 + 2 dv dr + r^2 d\Omega^2 \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

It is only singular at  $r = 0$ .

**Note:** during the exam I panicked when I saw (1.3), and I made a very stupid mistake in step (1.4). However, I knew what this new coordinate is trying to achieve — it’s aiming to eliminate the coordinate singularities in  $\frac{1}{f} dr^2$  by absorbing it into  $dv^2$ , so I guessed the result (1.5) correctly and carried on. I hope they gave me some points for getting the right answer, despite with some wrong process ( $>_<$ ).

(b)  $\frac{\partial}{\partial v}$  is a Killing vector field, for the metric components are all  $v$ -independent. More precisely, since  $\frac{\partial}{\partial v}$  itself is a coordinate basis, we have the Lie derivative:


$$\mathcal{L}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial v}} g_{\mu\nu} = \partial_v g_{\mu\nu} = 0 \quad (1.7)$$

(c)  $\left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \right\|^2 = g_{\mu\nu} \delta_v^\mu \delta_v^\nu = g_{vv} = -f(r)$ , therefore, for  $M > |Q|$  we have:

- $\frac{\partial}{\partial v}$  timelike:  $r > r_+$  and  $r < r_-$
- spacelike:  $r_- < r < r_+$
- null:  $r = r_+$  and  $r = r_-$

## 2 QFT

We shall restore the reasonable convention:  $\eta_{\mu\nu} \sim (-, +, +, +)$ .

- 1PI: diagrammatic correction to the (1-particle) propagator that cannot be split into 2 disconnected parts by cutting one line; e.g. 
- Consider the following Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2}Z(\partial\phi_r)^2 - \frac{1}{2}m^2Z\phi_r^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4!}\phi_r^4 - \frac{1}{2}\delta_Z(\partial\phi_r)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\delta_m\phi_r^2 - \frac{\delta_\lambda}{4!}\phi_r^4 \quad (2.1)$$

The convention here is rather bizarre; normally we write down the UV Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{UV}}$  and split it into 2 parts, one is the effective IR Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{IR}}$  and the other one is the counterterm:


$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{UV}} &= -\frac{1}{2}Z(\partial\phi_r)^2 - \frac{1}{2}m^2Z\phi_r^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4!}\phi_r^4 \\ &= \left( -\frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi_r)^2 - \frac{1}{2}m_p^2\phi_r^2 - \frac{\lambda_p}{4!}\phi_r^4 \right) - \left( -\frac{1}{2}\delta_Z(\partial\phi_r)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\delta_m\phi_r^2 - \frac{\delta_\lambda}{4!}\phi_r^4 \right) \\ &= \mathcal{L}_{\text{IR}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{ct}} \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Normally, we use  $\mathcal{L}$  to denote the UV Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{UV}}$ ; this is the convention adopted by numerous standard textbooks, incl. *Peskin & Schroeder* [1], *Weinberg*, and also *Srednicki*. However, the Lagrangian in (2.1) seems to be  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{IR}}$  instead of  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{UV}}$ . Anyway, we have:

$$Z + \delta_Z = 1, \quad m^2Z + \delta_m = m_p^2, \quad \lambda + \delta_\lambda = \lambda_p \quad (2.3)$$

Where  $m_p, \lambda_p$  is the physical IR couplings, fixed by the renormalization scheme. The convention here is really confusing and somewhat inconsistent; e.g. if we choose to write the UV mass term as  $-\frac{1}{2}m^2Z\phi_r^2$ , then the corresponding UV interaction term should look like  $-\frac{\lambda}{4!}Z^2\phi_r^4$ , but here we do not have the  $Z^2$  factor. Also, we usually use  $m_0, \lambda_0$  to denote bare couplings, but here it seems that they are denoted by  $m, \lambda$ .

We can write down the renormalized Feynman rules nonetheless, despite some sign issues due to the conventions; to avoid further confusion, we will adopt the usual notation:  $m_0, \lambda_0$  for bare couplings, and  $m = m_p, \lambda = \lambda_p$  for physical couplings. We have:

- Renormalized propagator:  $\frac{-i}{p^2 + m^2 - i\epsilon}$
- Renormalized vertex:  $-i\lambda$
- Counterterm  $\phi^2$  vertex:  $+i(\delta_Z(-p^2) + \delta_m)$ , 
- Counterterm  $\phi^4$  vertex:  $+i\delta_\lambda$

3. The sum of all two point 1PI diagrams (no propagator on external legs) is given by:

$$-iM(p^2) = \text{diagram with a shaded circle} \quad (2.4)$$

The full propagator is thus:

$$\begin{aligned} G(p^2) &= \text{diagram with a horizontal line} + \text{diagram with a shaded circle on a horizontal line} + \text{diagram with two shaded circles on a horizontal line} + \dots \\ &= \frac{-i}{p^2+m^2} + \frac{-i}{p^2+m^2}(-iM)\frac{-i}{p^2+m^2} + \frac{-i}{p^2+m^2}(-iM)\frac{-i}{p^2+m^2}(-iM)\frac{-i}{p^2+m^2} + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

With  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n = \frac{1}{1-q}$ , we get:

$$G(p^2) = \frac{-i}{p^2+m^2} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - (-iM)\frac{-i}{p^2+m^2}} = \frac{-i}{p^2+m^2+M(p^2)} \quad (2.6)$$

Here we've suppressed the  $(-i\epsilon)$  prescription in the above expressions, but it's presence is always implied.

4. On-shell renormalization scheme — the full propagator:

$$G(p^2) = \frac{-i}{p^2+m^2+M(p^2)-i\epsilon} \xrightarrow{p^2 \rightarrow -m^2} \frac{-i}{p^2+m^2-i\epsilon} \quad (2.7)$$

This means that  $M(p^2 = -m^2) = 0$ . Furthermore,  $M(p^2) \sim \#(p^2+m^2) + \mathcal{O}(p^4)$ , to ensure that the residue is 1 at the pole, we should have  $\# \sim 0$ , i.e.

$$M(p^2)|_{p^2=-m^2} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial(p^2)}M(p^2)|_{p^2=-m^2} = 0 \quad (2.8)$$

5. At 1-loop  $\mathcal{O}(\lambda)$ , if we do not include counterterm contributions, then there is only one diagram contributing to  $M(p^2)$ :

$$\text{diagram with a loop on a horizontal line} = (-i\lambda) \cdot \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{-i}{k^2+m^2-i\epsilon} \quad (2.9)$$

Here  $\frac{1}{2}$  is the symmetry factor of the diagram; alternative, we can count the distinct ways of connecting the 4 legs of the  $\phi^4$  vertex and divide it by  $4!$ , which is indeed  $\frac{4 \times 3}{4!} = \frac{1}{2}$ .

The  $p^0$  integral has poles at  $p_0^2 = \mathbf{p}^2 + m^2 - i\epsilon$ , i.e.  $p^0 = \pm\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m^2} \mp i\epsilon$ , and it's regular everywhere else; we can thus compute the  $p^0$  integral on the  $\mathbb{C}$  plane using a right-tilted 8-shaped contour, which does not enclose the poles. Effectively, we've performed a Wick rotation  $p^0 \mapsto ip^0$  so that the integral happens in Euclidean  $p$  space:

$$\frac{-i\lambda}{2} \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{k^2+m^2} = \frac{-i\lambda}{2} \frac{A(S^d)}{(2\pi)^D} \int \frac{k^d dk}{k^2+m^2} \quad (2.10)$$

Here  $D = d + 1$ ,  $d$  is the spatial dimension. There are many ways to regularize this integral; if we continue to work in general  $D = d + 1$  dimensions, then dimensional regularization is automatically implied. We have:

$$A(S^d) = \frac{2\pi^{D/2}}{\Gamma(D/2)}, \quad \int \frac{k^d dk}{k^2+m^2} = \frac{m^D}{m^2} \int \frac{t^d dt}{1+t^2} \quad (2.11)$$

