

1 BRST Quantization of Bosonic String:

$$S = S^X + S^{bc}, \quad (1)$$

$$S^X = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \int d^2z \partial X^\mu \bar{\partial} X_\mu, \quad S^{bc} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^2z (b \bar{\partial} c + \tilde{b} \partial \tilde{c}) \quad (2)$$

This is the gauge fixed action. The corresponding BRST transformation is listed in *Polchinski*; for each of the subsystems, we have its energy-momentum:

$$T^X(z) = -\frac{1}{\alpha'} : \partial X^\mu \partial X_\mu :, \quad \tilde{T}^X(\bar{z}) = -\frac{1}{\alpha'} : \bar{\partial} X^\mu \bar{\partial} X_\mu :, \quad (3)$$

$$T^{bc}(z) = :(\partial b) c: - 2 \partial(:bc:), \quad \tilde{T}^{bc}(\bar{z}) = :(\bar{\partial} \tilde{b}) \tilde{c}: - 2 \bar{\partial}(:\tilde{b}\tilde{c}:), \quad (4)$$

(a) To get the energy-momentum of S , let's visit each of the subsystems respectively; first, BRST transformation of X is given by:

$$\delta X^\mu = i\epsilon (c\partial + \tilde{c}\bar{\partial}) X^\mu \quad (5)$$

Compared with the conformal transformation¹: $\delta X^\mu = -\epsilon (v\partial + \tilde{v}\bar{\partial}) X^\mu$, we see that they are in fact identical under the equivalence $-\epsilon v \sim i\epsilon c$, $-\epsilon \tilde{v} \sim i\epsilon \tilde{c}$, hence we can simply follow the derivation of conformal current and write down δS^X 's contribution to the conserved current:

$$j^X = c(z) T^X(z) \quad (6)$$

The transformation of b, c is less obvious; for holomorphic current, we need only focus on the holomorphic part of S^{bc} ; on-shell variation yields:

$$0 = \delta S^{bc} = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^2z (-\bar{\partial} c \delta b - \bar{\partial} b \delta c) \right)_{=0} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^2z \bar{\partial} (b \delta c) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^2z \bar{\partial} \epsilon (-ibc \partial c) \quad (7)$$

Here we've plugged in $\delta c = i\epsilon(z, \bar{z}) c \partial c$, and we have moved $\bar{\partial} \epsilon$ to the beginning of the expression, while respecting the anti-commuting nature of ϵ . With a conventional i coefficient (which agrees with the convention of j^X), we have bc 's contribution to the conserved current:

$$j^{bc} = i(-ibc \partial c) = bc \partial c \quad (8)$$

Note that j^{bc} is, in fact, related to the energy-momentum (at least classically):

$$\frac{1}{2} c T^{bc} = \frac{1}{2} c (\partial b) c - c \partial(bc) = -c \partial(bc) = -cb \partial c = bc \partial c = j^{bc} \quad (9)$$

Hence we have the classical BRST current:

$$j(z) = c(z) \left(T^X + \frac{1}{2} T^{bc} \right) \quad (10)$$

□

¹We follow the convention of *Polchinski* unless otherwise stated.

For a quantum version, redefine $j(z)$ with normal ordering², and we have:

$$T(z) j(0) \sim T^X(z) T^X(0) c(0) + T^{bc}(z) c T^X(0) + T^{bc}(z) :bc \partial c:_{(0)}, \quad (11)$$

$$\text{where } T^X(z) T^X(0) c(0) \sim \left(\frac{D}{2z^4} + \frac{2}{z^2} T^X(0) + \frac{1}{z} \partial T^X(0) \right) c(0), \quad (12)$$

Here we've used the fact that X and b, c is de-coupled in the gauge-fixed action, hence their OPE is trivial. Also, we've expanded the first term using TT OPE of the free boson. Additionally, note that $c(z)$ is primary with weight $(-1, 0)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} T^{bc}(z) c T^X(0) &\sim \{T^{bc}(z) c(0)\} T^X(0) \\ &\sim \left(\frac{-1}{z^2} c(0) + \frac{1}{z} \partial c(0) \right) T^X(0), \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

The last term in (11) can be brute-forced as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} T^{bc}(z) :bc \partial c:_{(0)} &= (:(\partial b) c: - 2 \partial(:bc:))_{(z)} :bc \partial c:_{(0)}, \quad (14) \\ :(\partial b) c:_{(z)} :bc \partial c:_{(0)} &\sim :(\overbrace{(\partial b) c_{(z)} bc \partial c_{(0)}}^{\text{}}): + :(\overbrace{(\partial b) c_{(z)} bc \partial c_{(0)}}^{\text{}}): + :(\overbrace{(\partial b) c_{(z)} bc \partial c_{(0)}}^{\text{}}): \\ &\quad + :(\overbrace{(\partial b) c_{(z)} bc \partial c_{(0)}}^{\text{}}): + :(\overbrace{(\partial b) c_{(z)} bc \partial c_{(0)}}^{\text{}}): \\ &\sim \frac{-1}{z^2} (+1) :c_{(z)} b \partial c_{(0)}: + \frac{-2}{z^3} (-1) :c_{(z)} bc_{(0)}: + \frac{1}{z} (+1) : \partial b_{(z)} c \partial c_{(0)}: \\ &\quad + \frac{-1}{z^2} \cdot \frac{1}{z} (+1) \partial c(0) + \frac{-2}{z^3} \cdot \frac{1}{z} (-1) c(0) \\ &\sim \frac{-1}{z^2} (-j^{bc}(0) + \mathcal{O}(z^2)) + \frac{2}{z^3} \left(z j^{bc}(0) + \frac{z^2}{2} :bc \partial^2 c:_{(0)} + \mathcal{O}(z^3) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{z} (:(\partial b) c \partial c:_{(0)} + \mathcal{O}(z)) + \frac{-1}{z^3} \partial c(0) + \frac{2}{z^4} c(0) \\ &\sim \frac{4}{2z^4} c(0) + \frac{-1}{z^3} \partial c(0) + \frac{3}{z^2} j^{bc}(0) + \frac{1}{z} : (bc \partial^2 c + (\partial b) c \partial c) :_{(0)}, \\ &\sim \frac{4}{2z^4} c(0) + \frac{-1}{z^3} \partial c(0) + \frac{3}{z^2} j^{bc}(0) + \frac{1}{z} \partial j^{bc}(0), \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} :bc:_{(z)} :bc \partial c:_{(0)} &\sim :(\overbrace{bc_{(z)} bc \partial c_{(0)}}^{\text{}}): + :(\overbrace{bc_{(z)} bc \partial c_{(0)}}^{\text{}}): + :(\overbrace{bc_{(z)} bc \partial c_{(0)}}^{\text{}}): \\ &\quad + :(\overbrace{bc_{(z)} bc \partial c_{(0)}}^{\text{}}): + :(\overbrace{bc_{(z)} bc \partial c_{(0)}}^{\text{}}): \\ &\sim \frac{1}{z} (+1) :c_{(z)} b \partial c_{(0)}: + \frac{1}{z^2} (-1) :c_{(z)} bc_{(0)}: + \frac{1}{z} (+1) :b_{(z)} c \partial c_{(0)}: \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{z} \cdot \frac{1}{z} (+1) \partial c(0) + \frac{1}{z^2} \cdot \frac{1}{z} (-1) c(0) \\ :bc:_{(z)} :bc \partial c:_{(0)} &\sim \frac{1}{z} (-j^{bc}(0)) + \frac{-1}{z^2} (z j^{bc}(0)) + \frac{1}{z} (j^{bc}(0)) + \frac{1}{z^2} \partial c(0) + \frac{-1}{z^3} c(0) \end{aligned}$$

²Normal ordering between ≥ 3 operators is in fact *not* associative; this directly leads to the ambiguity we are about to discover. See *Di Francesco et al* for more detailed discussions. Naively, $:bc \partial c:_{(0)}$ is *defined* as $b(0) c(z_1) \partial c(z_2)$ while $z_1, z_2 \rightarrow 0$, with singular terms subtracted; however, different ways of taking the limit might lead to different results. For example, we can first take $z_1 \rightarrow 0$ then $z_2 \rightarrow 0$, or we can first take $z_1 \rightarrow z_2$ then $z_2 \rightarrow 0$. This two procedures will differ by $\frac{3}{2} \partial^2 c(z)$, which is precisely the correction we are about to find out. *I suppose this is somehow related to topology, e.g. braid group?*

$$\sim \frac{-1}{z^3} c(0) + \frac{1}{z^2} \partial c(0) + \frac{-1}{z} j^{bc}(0), \quad (16)$$

$$\partial(:bc:)(z) : bc \partial c :_{(0)} \sim \frac{6}{2z^4} c(0) + \frac{-2}{z^3} \partial c(0) + \frac{1}{z^2} j^{bc}(0), \quad (17)$$

$$T^{bc}(z) : bc \partial c :_{(0)} \sim \frac{-8}{2z^4} c(0) + \frac{3}{z^3} \partial c(0) + \frac{1}{z^2} j^{bc}(0) + \frac{1}{z} \partial j^{bc}(0), \quad (18)$$

$$T(z) j(0) \sim ((12) + (13) + (18)) \sim \frac{D-8}{2z^4} c(0) + \frac{3}{z^3} \partial c(0) + \frac{1}{z^2} j(0) + \frac{1}{z} \partial j(0), \quad (19)$$

We see that $j(z)$ defined with naïve normal ordering is *almost* but *not quite* a primary. It differs from primary OPE at $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{z^4})$ and $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{z^3})$. However, it is possible to make it into a primary by adding extra terms that do not interfere with current conservation $\bar{\partial} j = 0$. To cancel the $\frac{3}{z^3} \partial c(0)$ term, notice that $b(z) \partial^2 c(0) \sim \frac{2}{z^3}$, therefore it may be helpful to look at:

$$\begin{aligned} T(z) \partial^2 c(0) &\sim T^{bc}(z) \partial^2 c(0) \sim \partial_w^2 (T^{bc}(z) c(w))_{w \rightarrow 0} \\ &\sim \partial_w^2 \left(\frac{-1}{(z-w)^2} c(w) + \frac{1}{z-w} \partial c(w) \right)_{w \rightarrow 0} \\ &\sim \frac{-12}{2z^4} c(0) + \frac{-2}{z^3} \partial c(0) + \frac{1}{z^2} \partial^2 c(0) + \frac{1}{z} \partial^3 c(0), \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Again we've used Tc OPE of the primary $c(w)$. We see that indeed, the $\frac{1}{z^3} \partial c(0)$ term can be canceled by shifting $j(z)$:

$$j(z) \mapsto j(z) + \frac{3}{2} \partial^2 c(z), \quad j(z) = cT^X + :bc \partial c: + \frac{3}{2} \partial^2 c, \quad (21)$$

$$T(z) j(0) \sim \frac{D-26}{2z^4} c(0) + \frac{1}{z^2} j(0) + \frac{1}{z} \partial j(0), \quad (22)$$

We see that $j(z)$ defined in this way is a primary of weight $(1, 0)$ in $D = 26$. This is the quantum BRST current. \square

(b) For jj OPE, we have:

$$j = cT^X + j', \quad j' \equiv j^{bc} + \frac{3}{2} \partial^2 c, \quad j^{bc} = \frac{1}{2} : cT^{bc} : = : bc \partial c : , \quad (23)$$

$$\begin{aligned} j_z j_0 &\sim : \{ T_z^X T_0^X \} c_z c_0 : + : \{ c_z j'_0 \} T_z^X : + : \{ j'_z c_0 \} T_0^X : + j'_z j'_0 \\ &\sim : \{ T_z^X T_0^X \} c_z c_0 : + : \{ c_z j_0^{bc} \} T_z^X : + : \{ j_z^{bc} c_0 \} T_0^X : + j'_z j'_0, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

From now on, for convenience and clarity, we will use subscripts to denote variable dependence: $c_z = c(z)$. Let's compute this term by term. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} : \{ T_z^X T_0^X \} c_z c_0 : &\sim : \left(\frac{D}{2z^4} + \frac{2}{z^2} T_0^X + \frac{1}{z} \partial T_0^X \right) \left(z \partial c_0 + \frac{z^2}{2} \partial^2 c_0 + \frac{z^3}{6} \partial^3 c_0 \right) c_0 : \\ &\sim - \left(\frac{D}{2z^3} c \partial c_0 + \frac{D}{4z^2} c \partial^2 c_0 + \frac{D}{12z} c \partial^3 c_0 + \frac{2}{z} : T^X c \partial c_0 : \right), \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

$$\begin{aligned} j_z^{bc} c_0 &\sim \frac{1}{2} : cT^{bc} :_z c_0 \sim \frac{1}{2} c_z \{ : T^{bc} :_z c_0 \} \sim \frac{1}{2} c_z \{ T_z c_0 \} \\ &\sim -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{-1}{z^2} c_0 + \frac{1}{z} \partial c_0 \right) (c_0 + z \partial c_0) \sim 0, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

$$j_0^{bc} c_z \sim 0, \quad (27)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
j'_z j'_0 &\sim j_z^{bc} j_0^{bc} + \frac{3}{2} j_z^{bc} \partial^2 c_0 + \frac{3}{2} \partial^2 c_z j_0^{bc} \\
&\sim \frac{1}{2} :cT^{bc}:_z j_0^{bc} + \frac{3}{2} (j_z^{bc} \partial^2 c_0 + \partial^2 c_z j_0^{bc}),
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

The task is now reduced to calculating terms in the above $j'j'$ OPE, which can be laboriously computed following a similar procedure as before. Note that there will be a $\frac{1}{z} :cT^{bc}: \partial c_0$ term which combines with the $\frac{2}{z} :cT^X: \partial c_0$ term in (25). In total, we obtain the final jj OPE:

$$j_z j_0 \sim -\frac{D-18}{2z^3} c \partial c_0 - \frac{D-18}{4z^2} c \partial^2 c_0 - \frac{D-26}{12z} c \partial^3 c_0 \tag{29}$$

(c) Following the convention of *Polchinski*, expand X^μ, b, c into modes α_n^μ, b_n, c_n , then a generic level 2 state of an open string can be created as³:

$$\begin{aligned}
|\psi\rangle &= (e_{\mu\nu} \alpha_{-1}^\mu \alpha_{-1}^\nu + \beta_\mu \alpha_{-1}^\mu b_{-1} + \gamma_\mu \alpha_{-1}^\mu c_{-1} \\
&\quad + \eta b_{-1} c_{-1} + e_\mu \alpha_{-2}^\mu + \beta b_{-2} + \gamma c_{-2}) |k; 0\rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Here $e_{\mu\nu}$ is chosen to be symmetric since $\alpha_{-1}^\mu \alpha_{-1}^\nu$ commutes. By acting on L_0 (expanded in modes), we find that $m^2 = -k^2 = \frac{1}{\alpha'} = l_s$: massive.

The BRST charge $Q = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint (dz j(z) - d\bar{z} \tilde{j}(z))$ can also be expanded in modes; note that:

$$Q^2 = \frac{1}{2} \{Q, Q\} \propto \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi i} \text{Res}_{z' \rightarrow z} j(z') j(z) + (\text{conjugate}) \tag{31}$$

Compared with the jj OPE, we see that Q is nilpotent iff. $D = 26$, i.e. the critical dimension of bosonic string theory. This condition is necessary for consistent BRST quantization.

The physical states are firstly, Q -closed; i.e.

$$Q_B |\psi\rangle = 0 \implies 4l_s k^\mu e_{\mu\nu} + l_s k_\nu \eta + e_\nu = 0, \quad 2\sqrt{2} l_s k^\mu + e_\nu^\nu e_\mu = 0, \quad \beta_\mu = \beta = 0, \tag{32}$$

This is also the negative-norm states.

On the other hand, Q -exact states generate gauge transformations; this gives:

$$\gamma_\nu \mapsto \gamma_\nu + \gamma'_\nu, \quad \gamma \mapsto \gamma + \gamma', \quad \eta \mapsto \eta + \eta', \quad e_{\mu\nu} \mapsto e_{\mu\nu} + l_s (\beta'_\mu k_\nu + \beta'_\nu k_\mu), \tag{33}$$

Here $\beta'_\mu, \gamma'_\nu, \gamma', \eta'$ are arbitrary gauge parameters. For closed string the result can be obtained by the doubling trick, i.e. by introducing anti-holomorphic modes $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{c}$ and imposing reality conditions. The result is similar. ■

2 Linear Dilaton CFT:

For $z \mapsto z + \epsilon(z)$, we have:

$$\delta X^\mu = -\epsilon \partial X^\mu - \bar{\epsilon} \bar{\partial} X^\mu - \frac{\alpha' V^\mu}{2} (\partial \epsilon + \bar{\partial} \bar{\epsilon}) \tag{34}$$

Note that the α' term has no dependence on X .

³Reference: Bram M. Wouters, *BRST quantization and string theory spectra*.

(a) For simplicity, assume for now $X = X(z)$: holomorphic. Note that the α' term comes from the transformation of “*internal*” degrees of freedom, associated with the conformal properties of X . We have:

$$X'(z') - X(z) = -\frac{\alpha'V}{2} \partial\epsilon + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) \quad (35)$$

This is a first order approximation of the finite transformation, where the transformation parameters are the modes ϵ_n of $\epsilon(z)$; namely, we have:

$$w(z) = z + \epsilon(z) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2), \quad \epsilon(z) = \sum_n \epsilon_n z^n \quad (36)$$

$$F[w(z)] = X'(z') - X(z) \xrightarrow{w \rightarrow 0} -\frac{\alpha'V}{2} \partial\epsilon + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) \quad (37)$$

What the above actually means is that:

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta\epsilon_n} F[w(z)]_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} = -\frac{\alpha'V}{2} \frac{\delta}{\delta\epsilon_n} \partial_z (w(z) - z) = -\frac{\alpha'V}{2} n z^{n-1} \quad (38)$$

Where $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ corresponds to $w \rightarrow z$, i.e. the transformation goes to the identity. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta F}{\delta\epsilon_n} &= \frac{\partial F}{\partial w} \frac{\delta w}{\delta\epsilon_n} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial(\partial w)} \frac{\delta(\partial w)}{\delta\epsilon_n} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial(\partial^2 w)} \frac{\delta(\partial^2 w)}{\delta\epsilon_n} + \dots \\ &= \frac{\partial F}{\partial w} z^n + \frac{\partial F}{\partial(\partial w)} n z^{n-1} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial(\partial^2 w)} n(n-1) z^{n-2} + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

By comparing the two above equations, and noting that $\frac{\partial F}{\partial(\partial^\bullet w)}$ should have no dependence on n , we obtain the following constraints on the form of $F[w(z)]$:

$$F|_{w \rightarrow z} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial w} \Big|_{w \rightarrow z} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial(\partial w)} \Big|_{w \rightarrow z} = -\frac{\alpha'V}{2}, \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial(\partial^k w)} \Big|_{w \rightarrow z} = 0, \quad k = 2, 3, \dots \quad (40)$$

We can think of this as the first order “Taylor” coefficients of $F[w]$ in the functional space, around the point $w(z) \rightarrow z$. Note that $\partial w|_{w \rightarrow z} = 1$, while $\partial^k w|_{w \rightarrow z} = 0$, it is thus natural to consider the following ansatz:

$$F = F[\partial w], \quad F[1] = 0, \quad \frac{\partial F[x]}{\partial x} \Big|_{x \rightarrow 1} = -\frac{\alpha'V}{2} \quad (41)$$

In the end we shall obtain that⁴:

$$X'(z', \bar{z}') - X(z, \bar{z}) = -\frac{\alpha'V}{2} \ln \left(\frac{dz'}{dz} \frac{d\bar{z}'}{d\bar{z}} \right) \quad (42)$$

A better recipe to find finite transformations is to consider its properties under composition, which will lead to some constraints that can be solved to obtain the result⁵.

⁴I would like to thank Lucy Smith for helpful discussions.

⁵See bryango.github.io/resources/archive/alpha/schwarzian.pdf for some detailed discussions.

(b) Perform the usual Noether's procedure on the free boson action, and we have:

$$\delta\mathcal{L} \propto \frac{1}{\alpha'} (\partial\delta X^\mu \bar{\partial}X_\mu + \partial X^\mu \bar{\partial}\delta X_\mu) \sim \bar{\partial}\epsilon \left(V^\mu \partial^2 X^\mu - \frac{1}{\alpha'} \partial X^\mu \bar{\partial}X_\mu \right) \quad (43)$$

Here we've plugged in the holomorphic part of δX^μ , used integration by parts to move $\bar{\partial}$ before ϵ , and collected the $\bar{\partial}\epsilon$ coefficients. This gives:

$$T(z) = -\frac{1}{\alpha'} : \partial X^\mu \bar{\partial}X_\mu : + V^\mu \partial^2 X^\mu \quad (44)$$

With $X_z^\mu X_0^\nu \sim -\frac{\alpha'}{2} \eta^{\mu\nu} \ln|z|^2$ unchanged, the TT OPE can be calculated following the usual procedure, as shown in great detail before. Here we can use the known result from free boson theory to speed up our calculation:

$$\begin{aligned} T_z T_0 &\sim (V_\mu \partial^2 X^\mu + T')_z (V_\mu \partial^2 X^\mu + T')_0 \\ &\sim V_\mu V_\nu \partial^2 X_z^\mu \partial^2 X_0^\nu + V_\mu \partial^2 X_z^\mu T'_0 + V_\mu T'_z \partial^2 X_0^\mu + T'_z T'_0 \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

Here T' is the usual free boson stress tensor. Combining all terms yields:

$$T_z T_0 \sim \frac{D + 6\alpha' V^2}{2z^4} + \frac{2}{z^2} T_0 + \frac{1}{z} \partial T_0, \quad c = D + 6\alpha' V^2 \quad (46)$$

■

3 Bosonic Strings on S^3 :

For bosonic strings moving on S^3 (radius R) with background dilaton $\Phi = \text{const.}$ and B -field:

$$B = R^2 \sin\theta (\psi - \sin\psi \cos\psi) d\theta \wedge d\phi \quad (47)$$

The corresponding β -functions and trace anomaly can be computed using the formulae given in *Polchinski*; here (ψ, θ, ϕ) is the usual spherical coordinates on S^3 .

In fact, field strength:

$$H = dB = 2R^2 \sin\theta \sin\psi d\psi \wedge d\theta \wedge d\phi \quad (48)$$

While the spacetime curvature for a maximally symmetric sphere⁶: $\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{2}{R^2} g_{\mu\nu}$, $\mathcal{R} = \frac{6}{R^2}$. Plug in these results, and we have:

$$\beta^G = \beta^B = 0, \quad T_a^a \simeq -\frac{1}{2} \beta^\Phi \mathcal{R} = -\frac{D - 26 - \alpha' \mathcal{R}}{12} \mathcal{R} \quad (49)$$

(a) Compared with the trace anomaly formula of a CFT: $T_a^a = -\frac{1}{12} c \mathcal{R}$, where \mathcal{R} is the world-sheet Ricci scalar, we see that our theory is indeed conformally invariant with Weyl anomaly. Its central charge is given by:

$$c \simeq D - 26 - \alpha' \mathcal{R} = 3 - 26 - \frac{6\alpha'}{R^2} \quad (50)$$

This includes ghost contribution (-26). If we do not gauge the conformal symmetry, then there will not be ghost contribution, and we will have $c \simeq 3 - \frac{6\alpha'}{R^2}$.

⁶I would like to thank 林般 for some very helpful hints.

(b) The background B field given above is not single-valued on the ψ circle. Note that we've encountered such difficulty in electromagnetism with a multi-valued $A^\mu(x)$. In fact, the resolution for this issue is very similar to Dirac's quantization of the magnetic monopole⁷: by allowing the action S to be invariant modulo 2π , since $e^{-(S+2\pi i)} = e^{-S}$.

More specifically, for $\psi \mapsto \psi + 2\pi$, we have:

$$2\pi i n = \Delta S = \frac{i}{2\pi\alpha'} \Delta \int_{\Sigma} X^* B = \frac{i}{2\pi\alpha'} \Delta \int_{X(\Sigma)} B = \frac{i}{2\pi\alpha'} \Delta \int_M H \quad (51)$$

B is a 2-form in S^3 , X^*B denotes its pullback to the worldsheet, and $X(\Sigma) \subset S^3$ denotes the embedding of Σ into S^3 . Note that H is proportional to the volume form in S^3 , hence we have:

$$\Delta \int_M H = 2R^2 \Delta \text{Vol}(M) = 2R^2 \mathbb{Z} \text{Vol}(S^3) = 2R^2 2\pi^2 \mathbb{Z} = 4\pi^2 R^2 \mathbb{Z} \quad (52)$$

This leads to the following quantization:

$$\frac{R^2}{\alpha'} = n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad R \geq \sqrt{\alpha'} \geq (\alpha'/\ell)^{1/3} \quad (53)$$

In particular, in string units: $\alpha' = 1$, we have $R \geq 1$. ■

4 Anomalous Currents:

(a) For a conserved current in flat worldsheet to be anomalous in curved worldsheet, then its deviation from conservation must be proportional to the Ricci scalar:

$$\nabla_a j^a = QR, \quad Q = \text{const}. \quad (54)$$

The logic here is similar to the Weyl anomaly⁸: $\nabla_a j^a$ is diff- and Poincaré-invariant with dimension 2, because we have preserved these symmetries, and it vanishes in the flat case; this leaves only one possibility — $\nabla_a j^a \propto R$: the Ricci scalar.

For conformal transformation $z \mapsto z + \epsilon(z)$, $\bar{z} \mapsto \bar{z} + \bar{\epsilon}(\bar{z})$, we have:

$$\delta_\epsilon j(0) = -\text{Res}_{z \rightarrow 0} \epsilon(z) T(z) j(0) - \text{Res}_{\bar{z} \rightarrow 0} \bar{\epsilon}(\bar{z}) \tilde{T}(\bar{z}) j(0) \quad (55)$$

Hence the z^{-3}, \bar{z}^{-3} coefficients of the OPE reflect the $\epsilon = z^2$, $\bar{\epsilon} = \bar{z}^2$ transformation of j . By comparing the Weyl transformations⁹, this yields a total coefficient of $4Q$.

(b) For bc CFT with $j = :cb:$, the anomaly can be explicitly calculated using our results in (a), i.e. by calculating Tj OPE. Following the standard procedure¹⁰, we obtain that:

$$T_z j_0 \sim \frac{1-2\lambda}{z^3} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z^2}\right) \quad (56)$$

Note that the anti-holomorphic part is zero, therefore, we have: $Q = \frac{1}{4}(1-2\lambda)$. ■

⁷Reference: J. J. Sakurai, *Modern Quantum Mechanics*.

⁸See *Polchinski* for reference.

⁹Note that $(\text{Conformal}) = (\text{Weyl}) + (\text{Translation})$.

¹⁰For more detailed discussions, see Blumenhagen et al, *Basic Concepts of String Theory*.