COSME

Forestry Report

28 Community Forest Conservation Groups — Midline

28	**85.7%**	**85.5%**	**94.7%**
Forestry Groups	Functional ≥70%	Gender Domain	Knowledge ≥70%

Forestry Groups Assessment

Scope: 28 Community Forest Conservation Groups assessed (Baseline: 43 groups) | **Survey Type:** Midline

| Functionality >70%	Gender Domain Score	Income Generating	Group Registration
85.7%	**63.5%**	**62.5%**	**85.0%**
↑ **32.2pp from 53.5%**	↑ **20.7pp from 42.8%**	↑ **25.0pp from 37.5%**	↑ **12.5pp from 72.5%**

Logframe Indicator

Indicator	Baseline	Target	Midline	Change	Status
1130b. Functional groups (≥70% score)	53.5%	70.0%	85.7%	+32.2pp	**EXCEEDED**

Domain Scores

Domain	Baseline	Midline	Change
Management	78.6%	78.0%	-0.6pp
Gender	32.9%	85.5%	+52.6pp
Effectiveness	72.0%	90.1%	+18.1pp
Overall	70.6	84.2	+13.6pp

Forestry Group Domain Scores — Baseline vs Midline

Forest Condition & Conservation

Indicator	Baseline	Midline	Change
Area 'Good' Condition	30.0%	52.5%	+22.5pp
Biodiversity 'Good'	25.0%	47.5%	+22.5pp

Indicator	Baseline	Midline	Change
Fire Threat 'High'	35.0%	15.0%	-20.0pp ✓
Charcoal Threat 'High'	40.0%	17.5%	-22.5pp ✓
Encroachment 'High'	32.5%	15.0%	-17.5pp ✓
Income-generating	37.5%	62.5%	+25.0pp
Agroforestry	32.5%	57.5%	+25.0pp
Women in Leadership	17.5%	35.0%	+17.5pp
GE Discussions	40.0%	72.5%	+32.5pp

Forestry Training Output (Output 1131)

Metric	Value	Target	Achievement
Groups formed	52	45	116%
Members registered	1,440	1,350	107%
Female members	1,141 (79%)	—	—
Male members	299 (21%)	—	—
Grand Total Completed	1,030	1,350	76%

Pre/Post Forest Conservation Training

Pre-Test Participants: 546 | **Post-Test Participants:** 909

Indicator	Pre-Test	Target	Post-Test	Change	Status
1130a. Adequate knowledge (≥70%)	50.0%	68.0%	94.7%	+44.7pp	**EXCEEDED**

Metric	Pre-Test	Post-Test	Change
Average Score	65.2%	85.4%	+20.2pp
≥70% Threshold	50.0%	94.7%	+44.7pp
≥80% Threshold	32.9%	85.7%	+52.8pp
Forest Ecosystems	56.6%	91.5%	+34.9pp
Ecosystem Services	—	99.7%	Highest
Carbon Sequestration	—	99.2%	High