

68  
Performance

79  
Accessibility

100  
Best Practices


100  
SEO

68

Performance

Values are estimated and may vary. The [performance score is calculated](#) directly from these metrics. [See calculator](#).

▲ 0–49    ■ 50–89    ● 90–100



METRICS

Expand view

● First Contentful Paint  
0.3 s


▲ Largest Contentful Paint  
3.5 s

● Total Blocking Time  
60 ms

■ Cumulative Layout Shift  
0.242

● Speed Index  
1.1 s

View Treemap



Show audits relevant to: [All](#) [FCP](#) [LCP](#) [TBT](#) [CLS](#)

DIAGNOSTICS

▲ Avoid large layout shifts — 2 layout shifts found

▲ Largest Contentful Paint element — 3,490 ms

▲ Reduce unused JavaScript — Potential savings of 2,095 KiB

▲ Largest Contentful Paint image was lazily loaded

▲ Minify JavaScript — Potential savings of 395 KiB

▲ Preconnect to required origins — Potential savings of 140 ms

▲ Properly size images — Potential savings of 153 KiB

▲ Eliminate render-blocking resources — Potential savings of 60 ms

▲ Page prevented back/forward cache restoration — 3 failure reasons

■ Avoid serving legacy JavaScript to modern browsers — Potential savings of 0 KiB

■ Avoid enormous network payloads — Total size was 2,973 KiB

○ Initial server response time was short — Root document took 430 ms

○ JavaScript execution time — 0.4 s

○ Minimizes main-thread work — 0.8 s

○ Avoid long main-thread tasks — 2 long tasks found

○ Avoids an excessive DOM size — 424 elements

○ Avoid chaining critical requests — 1 chain found

○ Minimize third-party usage — Third-party code blocked the main thread for 0 ms

More information about the performance of your application. These numbers don't [directly affect](#) the Performance score.

PASSED AUDITS (20)

Show

79

Accessibility

These checks highlight opportunities to [improve the accessibility of your web app](#). Automatic detection can only detect a subset of issues and does not guarantee the accessibility of your web app, so [manual testing](#) is also encouraged.

NAMES AND LABELS

▲ Buttons do not have an accessible name

▲ Links do not have a discernible name

These are opportunities to improve the semantics of the controls in your application. This may enhance the experience for users of assistive technology, like a screen reader.

CONTRAST

▲ Background and foreground colors do not have a sufficient contrast ratio.

These are opportunities to improve the legibility of your content.

BEST PRACTICES

▲ Touch targets do not have sufficient size or spacing.

These items highlight common accessibility best practices.

NAVIGATION

▲ Heading elements are not in a sequentially-descending order

These are opportunities to improve keyboard navigation in your application.

ADDITIONAL ITEMS TO MANUALLY CHECK (10)

Show

These items address areas which an automated testing tool cannot cover. Learn more in our guide on [conducting an accessibility review](#).

PASSED AUDITS (18)

Show

NOT APPLICABLE (34)

Show

100

Best Practices

TRUST AND SAFETY

○ Ensure CSP is effective against XSS attacks

GENERAL

▲ Missing source maps for large first-party JavaScript

PASSED AUDITS (13)

Show

NOT APPLICABLE (3)

Show

100

SEO

These checks ensure that your page is following basic search engine optimization advice. There are many additional factors Lighthouse does not score here that may affect your search ranking, including performance on [Core Web Vitals](#). [Learn more about Google Search Essentials](#).

ADDITIONAL ITEMS TO MANUALLY CHECK (1)

Hide

○ Structured data is valid

Run these additional validators on your site to check additional SEO best practices.

PASSED AUDITS (8)

Show

NOT APPLICABLE (2)

Show