



Predicting Forest Cover Type

Group A

- ❖ Zhezheng Wang
- ❖ Mukhtadir Syed
- ❖ Jesus Mier
- ❖ Jose Ignacio Orlandi
- ❖ Felipe Echeverri



The Problem

- ❖ Prediction of Forest Cover Type
- ❖ Original number of variables/features- 12
- ❖ Training Data Set- 15120 examples, 56 columns (2 features one hot encoded which increased dimensionality)
- ❖ Test Data set- 565892 values to predict



Training Set Data Clean up

- ❖ Data was pretty clean with no null values and all numerical data which fits well into any machine learning model
- ❖ Of the 12 variables- 10 are numerical and 2 categorical (4 wilderness types and 40 different Soil Types) which were both one hot encoded and that increased the dimensions to 56
- ❖ A completely balanced training set with equal values of the 7 cover types
- ❖ Careful analysis of the variables which were not one hot encoded showed that outliers were trivial and to some extent were helping the model so we decided to keep them



Features Engineered

- ❖ New Columns created based on Hillshades
- ❖ Euclidean distance created for Horizontal_Distance_To_Hydrology and Vertical_Distance_To_Hydrology since they are highly correlated
- ❖ Merging of some of the Soil types since these were represented in more than 50% of the Cover Types
- ❖ Created new columns using elevation and distances
- ❖ Converted Aspect into a categorical variable and one hot encoded based on groups



Models

- ❖ We used 2 models with Randomised Search Cross Validation
- ❖ Training set was split into 2 with 15% being the test set
- ❖ Random Forest Classifier and Extra trees Classifier were used:
 - Accuracy Score for Randomforest- 0.88
 - Accuracy Score for Extra Trees- 0.89
- ❖ **Our chosen model - Extra Trees Classifier**



Conclusion & learnings

We managed to get a score of 78.8% on Kaggle with all the feature engineering done. Our model was not able to fully differentiate between Classes and 1 and 2 and also between 3 and 6. In future we would like to improve upon this drawback.

Also, it was challenging to predict half a million values by training on 15k.



Final Submission on Kaggle with a score of 78.8%

Submission and Description	Private Score	Public Score	Use for Final Score
result.csv just now by Zhizheng Wang add submission details	0.78735	0.78735	<input type="checkbox"/>



Thank You