

Papers from the January 1984 MIT Workshop in Morphology

Dept. of Linguistics and Philosophy, Massachusetts Institute of Technology - MIT Working Papers in Linguistics



Description: -

Grammar, Comparative and general -- Morphology -- Congresses
Papers from the January 1984 MIT Workshop in Morphology

MIT working papers in linguistics -- v. 7
Papers from the January 1984 MIT Workshop in Morphology

Notes: Includes bibliographical references.

This edition was published in 1984



Filesize: 15.310 MB

Tags: #In #Support #of #the #PHAVE #Analysis #of #the #Double #Object #Construction

Causative nominalizations : Implications for the structure of psych verbs

While they are ruled out in English Grimshaw 1990; Iwata 1995; Pesetsky 1995 and have been suggested to be cross-linguistically banned Landau 2010 , we show that Romanian and Greek derive CPNs from the subject experiencer SE form of alternating OE verbs. If depictive secondary predicates can target the subject of regular small clauses, they should be able to target the subject of the small clause headed by P HAVE.

Papers from the January 1984 MIT Workshop in Phonology

Consequently, I treat denominal adjectives as underlying noun phrases, as in , that are embedded inside adjectives, as in , in the course of the derivation see and for similar approaches to Modern Greek and Russian, respectively.

Locality Constraints on the Interpretation of Roots: The Case of Hebrew Denominal VERBS

Tenny 31, 1989 LPWP24: 24, 1988 ed. Wagner MWPL41: 41, 2002 ed. A major result is that the mapping between syntax and morphology must be able to translate a syntactic specifier-head relation between X and Y and a concomitant argument-licensing relation into head adjunction of X and Y within a single morphological word.

MIT Working Papers in Linguistics

Notes 1 This treatment of inflectional affixes is predicated on the assumption—common within the Principles-and-Parameters framework and the Minimalist Program,—that they are syntactically independent despite their morphological dependence , et seq.

Cognitive Systems Laboratory

This paper argues for a distinction between word formation from roots and word formation from existing words.

Word Formation at the Syntax

MIT Working Papers in Linguistics Click on the item name for more detailed information. Mahajan MWPL09: 9, 1987 ed. Or is it the Soda? Perhaps the most extended treatment of this problem is provided by , also invoking intensionality in certain double object structures.

Related Books

- [Interactions between soil particles and microorganisms - impact on the terrestrial ecosystem](#)
- [Planning a theme-based curriculum - goals, themes, activities, and planning guides for 4s and 5s](#)
- [Exhaustion of remedies under the IDEA - making sense of the rule](#)
- [Throw Stone of the Arctic - 25,000 years ago](#)
- [Homoeopathy for physicians - a practical introduction to prescribing](#)