

Delimitation of the continental shelf - arbitration agreement of 10 July 1975 : decision of 30 June 1977

s.n. - UNITED KINGDOM



Description: -

-

Biography / Autobiography

Biography & Autobiography / General

General

Continental shelf -- France.

Continental shelf -- Northern Ireland.

Continental shelf -- Great Britain. Delimitation of the continental shelf - arbitration agreement of 10 July 1975 : decision of 30 June 1977

- Delimitation of the continental shelf - arbitration agreement of 10 July 1975 : decision of 30 June 1977

Notes: Photocopy of typescript.

This edition was published in 1977



Filesize: 8.68 MB

Tags: #Volume #XVIII #— #Reports #of #International #Arbitral #Awards #— #Codification #Division #Publications

Volume XVIII — Reports of International Arbitral Awards — Codification Division Publications

These questions were answered at the hearings of 30 and 31 January 1978. Certainly, and there is no disagreement between the Parties on the point, Articles 2, 9, paragraph 1, and 10, paragraph 1.

Volume XVIII — Reports of International Arbitral Awards — Codification Division Publications

To recognise that the dispositive of the Award has this characteristic is an obligation incumbent upon both Parties by virtue of Articles 2, 9 and 10 of the Arbitration Agreement. In conclusion, it argues that, in deciding the course of the boundary on the basis of the applicable rules of international law and in using the means placed at its disposal, the Court has carried out the Agreement with exactitude; and that these means led, on the technical plane, to a simple solution which, in its view, conforms to the general spirit of the decision. Helena Islands Maritime boundary delimitation agreements and other material with , 29 May 1991 entry into force: 14 May 1993 ; registration : 31712; registration date: 21 April 1995; Exchange of Notes between the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the Government of the Kingdom of Belgium amending the Agreement of 29 May 1991 relating to the Delimitation of the Continental Shelf under the North Sea between the Two Countries, Brussels, 21 March 2005 and 7 June 2005 see No.

Affaire de la delimitation du plateau continental entre Royaume

The United Kingdom insists that, although it is not easy to observe visually the difference between a loxodrome and a geodesic over relatively short distances because of the scale of the charts, it can quite easily be seen even on those charts that the composite line GB 1 differs noticeably from a loxodrome drawn from the Scillies-Ushant line out to the 1,000-metre isobath.

Affaire de la delimitation du plateau continental entre Royaume

These constructions, it points out, can be made on any chart of whatever projection by applying in each case the cartographic methods corresponding to the objective and to the precision desired; and the precision attained is limited only by cartographical error, that is in practice by the scale of the chart. The acceptance given by the French experts in 1970-1971 to corrections on Mercator charts related, according to the

French Government, only to errors of scale.

UNITED KINGDOM

The Chorzów Factory case, in its view, also differs from the present one in that the question in that case was whether binding force, the attachment of which to the dispositif was not contested, attached also to the reasoning; in the present case, on the other hand, the United Kingdom appears to it to be asking that certain parts of the reasoning should be made to prevail over the dispositif.

Related Books

- [Correctional management.](#)
- [Shin, tokushu kyōiku gairon](#)
- [Where the tide comes in](#)
- [Catalogue of electrical supplies, apparatus and appliances.](#)
- [Atlas of visualization](#)