

Spiritualism a delusion - its fallacies exposed : a criticism from the standpoint of science and impartial observation

Freethought Pub. Co. - The Limits To Skepticism

Description: -

Violations of Rule 1 (Unexpressed Premise Rule) by the protagonist or the antagonist at the argumentation stage
<ul style="list-style-type: none">1 Making an unexpressed premise that goes beyond what is warranted (= fallacy of distorting an unexpressed premise)2 Refusing to accept an unexpressed premise implied by one's defense (= fallacy of denying an unexpressed premise)
Violations of Rule 2 (Expressed Premise Rule) by the protagonist or the antagonist at the argumentation stage
<ul style="list-style-type: none">1 Making an unexpressed premise that goes beyond what is warranted (= starting point fallacy) denying that something is an accepted starting point2 Making with the starting point by falsely presenting something as an accepted starting point (= fallacy of making unfair use of presuppositions in making assertions)3 Making with the starting point by falsely presenting in asking questions (= many questions fallacy)4 Making with an argument that goes beyond what is warranted (= fallacy of exceeding the bounds of the question)5 Making with an argument that goes beyond what is warranted (= fallacy of exceeding the bounds of the question)
Violations of Rule 3 (Qualifying Rule) by the protagonist or the antagonist at the argumentation stage
<ul style="list-style-type: none">1 Reasoning in which a sufficient condition is treated as a necessary condition (= fallacy of overgeneralization)2 Reasoning in which a necessary condition is treated as a sufficient condition (= fallacy of affirming the consequent)3 Refusing to accept an argument that parts and wholes are confused (= fallacy of division)
Violations of Rule 4 (Argument Scheme Rule) by the protagonist or the antagonist at the argumentation stage
<ul style="list-style-type: none">1 Using an inappropriate argument scheme (= fallacy of using an inappropriate argument scheme)2 Using an appropriate argument scheme (= fallacy of conflating fact with value judgments (causal relation))
Violations of Rule 5 (Inversely Applying an Argument Scheme Rule) by the protagonist or the antagonist at the argumentation stage
<ul style="list-style-type: none">1 Inversely applying an argument scheme (= fallacy of using an appropriate argument scheme)2 Inversely applying an argument scheme (= fallacy of hasty generalization (symptomatic arguments)) (= <i>accusatio quid</i>)3 Inversely applying an argument scheme (= fallacy of false analogy (comparison argumentation))
Violations of Rule 6 (Conclusion Rule) by the protagonist or the antagonist at the concluding stage
<ul style="list-style-type: none">1 Making a conclusion that has not been successfully defended (= fallacy of refusing to retreat a standpoint that has not been successfully defended)2 Making a conclusion that a standpoint is true because it has been defended (= fallacy of confirming that a standpoint is true because it has been successfully defended)3 Making a conclusion that a standpoint is true because the opposite has not been successfully defended (= fallacy of concluding that a standpoint is true because the opposite is not successfully defended) (= <i>argumentum ad nonconveniens</i>)
Violations of Rule 7 (Validity Rule) by the protagonist or the antagonist at the concluding stage
<ul style="list-style-type: none">1 Making a conclusion that a standpoint is true because it has not been successfully defended (= fallacy of refusing to retreat a standpoint that has not been successfully defended)2 Making a conclusion that a standpoint is true because the opposite has not been successfully defended (= fallacy of confirming that a standpoint is true because the opposite is not successfully defended)
Violations of Rule 8 (Reasoning Use Rule) by the protagonist or the antagonist at the discussion stage
<ul style="list-style-type: none">1 Making a conclusion that a standpoint is true because it has not been successfully defended (= fallacy of refusing to retreat a standpoint that has not been successfully defended)2 Making a conclusion that a standpoint is true because the opposite has not been successfully defended (= fallacy of confirming that a standpoint is true because the opposite is not successfully defended)

Proverbs, Turkish

Sermons, English.

Prayer -- Sermons.

Church of England -- Sermons.

Pharmacologie -- Guides, manuels, etc.

Henry -- VI, -- Holy Roman Emperor, -- 1165-1197 -- Drama

William -- II, -- King of England, -- 1056?-1100 -- Drama

Robert -- III, -- King of Scotland, -- 1340?-1406 -- Drama

United States -- Claims

Bills, Private -- United States

United States. -- Congress -- Private bills

Spiritualism Spiritualism a delusion - its fallacies exposed : a criticism from the standpoint of science and impartial observation

-Spiritualism a delusion - its fallacies exposed : a criticism from the standpoint of science and impartial observation

Notes: RBSC copy: Binders ticket: Butler & Hollington, bookbinders, Eastbourne. Bound with 28 others. With list of contents and index in MS. ([9] p.) at front.

This edition was published in 1900



Filesize: 12.72 MB

Tags: #Debunking #Christianity: #A #Great

#List #of #Biblical #Fallacies

Skepticism Properly Applied

Even when the men of science held views which were condemned, they generally did their best to avoid conflict.

International Association for the Preservation of Spiritualist and Occult Periodicals: The Standard Spiritualist and Occult Corpus (SSOC)

HOWEVER, that does not mean that some people that argue for AGW do not fit into the same shoes. The gist is that different dialects and cultural groups use different rules for language, and people who move in and out of different communities get used to speaking in different ways to different groups.

Religious and Spiritual Delusions in Schizophrenia

In the course of this report, I shall clarify that approach to bringing about a general economic recovery.

Debunking Christianity: A Great List of Biblical Fallacies

Or a useful kind of chemistry. He had reached the ceiling, upon which he made a slight mark, and soon afterwards descended and resumed his place at the table. As anonymous reviewers of choice for certain journals, Mr.

A view of science worth reflecting upon

If you need more justification, please tell me what kind. However, the relief of the symptoms is usually only a part of the recovery process. Witness

NASA having to put together a press release reassuring the public after the movie 2012.

Related Books

- [Bonheur d'être Suisse](#)
- [Sō Kō-jōng munhak ū chonghapchōk kōm'o](#)
- [In praise of Benedict, 480-1980 A.D.](#)
- [Mystère de Séraphin Monge](#)
- [Monitoring equipment for hydrocarbon ozone precursors and for hazardous volatile organic compounds -](#)