

Objectivity and the rule of law

Cambridge University Press - Objectivity and the Rule of Law



Description: -

-

Rule of law -- Moral and ethical aspects.

Objectivity.

Law and ethics. Objectivity and the rule of law

-Objectivity and the rule of law

Notes: Includes bibliographical references and index.

This edition was published in 2007



Filesize: 7.710 MB

Tags: #Objectivity #and #the #Rule #of #Law #: #Matthew #Kramer #: #9780521670104

mikhmon.us.to: Objectivity and the Rule of Law (Cambridge Introductions to Philosophy and Law) (9780521854160): Kramer, Matthew: Books

We know, however, that Simmonds' view about universals correspond to a Platonist view in the philosophy of mathematics. However, for Simmonds, identity is defined in terms of participation in the ideal form. It is possible that because lawyer training purports to render people more objective, that it does the opposite and makes bias worse: Because lawyers are trained to be objective, told that objectivity is a professional value, and praised for their objectivity, this training may have the counterintuitive effect of making lawyers less objective and less able to engage in an accurate assessment of new information.

Objectivity and the Rule of Law: Kramer, Matthew: 9780521670104: Books

.

Objectivity and the rule of law

Thus, the determinacy of legal norms through language can be dependent on the legal participants.

Tea at Trianon: Objectivity and the Rule of Law

They also appear to overestimate the legal merits of the side they are randomly assigned to represent even once the moot is over presumably thinking the judge was biased not them. Ethics above all else—but sound business judgment shortly thereafter.

Matthew Kramer, Objectivity and the Rule of Law

The argument is that professional obligations of neutrality were not sufficient to extricate the accountants from natural partisanship. . Kramer in this lucid and wide-ranging study that introduces readers to vital areas of philosophical enquiry.

Objectivity and the Legal Mind

He discusses the eight precepts that make law possible as advanced by Lon Fuller in *The Morality of Law* Yale UP, 1969 and subsequently goes on to provide reasons that support the view that these eight precepts are morally desirable. Kramer argues that Fuller's eight precepts cannot be an archetype as Simmonds suggests because if that were the case, the precepts would be specifying conditions that are different in kind from those that obtain when any actual legal system exists 106. Professional obligations oblige lawyers within limits to make the best case for their clients.

OBJECTIVITY AND THE RULE OF LAW. (edition)

Slandorous comments will not be published.

Related Books

- [Fundamentals of solidification](#)
- [État démocratique et ses dilemmes - le cas des universités](#)
- [Informations obsepechenie OASU](#)
- [Product recall planning guide](#)
- [Problem book in mathematical analysis](#)