

```

1  # -*- coding: utf-8 -*-
2  """
3  Created on Wed Oct 23 15:34:41 2019
4
5  @author: Alexm
6  """
7
8  import numpy as np
9  import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
10
11
12  print ("Alexandra Mulholland 17336557")
13
14  #Aim is to fit a data set for measurements of radioactive decay
15
16  #time (in seconds) = [5,15,25,35,45,55,65,75,85,95,105,115]= y axis
17  #number of decays = [32,17,21,7,8,6,5,3,4,1,5,1]= x axis
18
19  #PART 1
20  #Plot the data set, i.e. time vs. number of decays, using a linear scale on
21  #on both axes
22
23  time = np.array([5,15,25,35,45,55,65,75,85,95,105,115])
24  Number_of_decays = np.array([32,17,21,7,8,6,5,3,4,1,5,1])
25
26
27
28  plt.figure(1)
29  plt.plot(time, Number_of_decays, 'g-o')
30  plt.ylabel('Number of decays')
31  plt.xlabel('Time /seconds')
32  plt.title('Plot of number of decays versus time')
33  plt.grid(True)
34  plt.show()
35
36  #PART 2
37
38  #Plot log-linear-- log y axis and linear x axis = straight line
39  #why? what equation?
40  #estimate offset and slope of line
41
42  plt.figure(2)
43  plt.plot(time, np.log(Number_of_decays), 'b-o')
44  plt.ylabel('ln(Number of decays)')
45  plt.xlabel('Time /seconds')
46  plt.title('Plot of natural log of the number of decays versus time')
47  plt.grid(True)
48  plt.show()
49
50  #The plot appears to be roughly a straight line, showing the number of decays
51  #decreases with time
52  #Because of the small sample size, plot has many anomalies
53  #therefore, I will plot line of best fit (not necessary but will use to compare later)
54  #y = mx + c
55  #want to find m and c
56  plt.figure(3)
57  plt.plot(np.unique(time), np.poly1d(np.polyfit(time, np.log(Number_of_decays), 1))(np.unique(time)), 'pink')
58  plt.plot(time, np.log(Number_of_decays), 'b-o')
59  plt.ylabel('ln(Number of decays)')
60  plt.xlabel('Time /seconds')
61  plt.title('Natural log of number of decays vs time with line of best fit')
62  plt.grid(True)
63  plt.show()
64  #By eye the intercept seems to be 3.5 (i.e. ln(32))
65  #the gradient, from the line of best fit, is rise/run=(3-0.7)/(10-100)=-0.0256
66  #Relevant equation  $N(t)=N(0)e^{-(\lambda \times t)}$ 
67  #plotting  $\ln(N(t))$  versus  $t$ 
68  #rearranging and solving for  $t$  gives  $y=mx+c$  ---  $\ln N(t)=\ln N(0)-(\lambda \times t)$ 
69
70
71  #PART 3
72

```

```

73 #Carrying out a linear regression/least square fit of the data
74 #Defining each term used, to find paramters a and b
75 #which in this case are ln(N(0)) and -lambda respectively
76 A = np.sum(time)
77 B = np.sum(np.square(time))
78 C = np.sum(np.log(Number_of_decays))
79 D= np.sum(np.multiply(np.log(Number_of_decays),time))
80 #defining number of data points N
81 N=12
82
83 #Solving the two equations by rearranging
84 #See working out
85
86 a= (D*A-(B*C))/((A*A)-(N*B))
87 b= (C-(a*N))/(A)
88
89 print('Paramater a:',a)
90 print('Parameter b:',b) #b1
91 print('estimated gradient=',(3-0.7)/(10-100))
92
93
94 #have our fit parameters, now must define the line
95 #which will be of the form y=mx+c ie f=a+bt where f is the decay
96 def function(time):
97     f = b*time + a
98     return f
99
100
101 #PART 4
102
103 #Plotting this linear regression
104 plt.figure(4)
105 plt.plot(time,function(time),'r', label='linear regression fit')
106 plt.plot(time,np.log(Number_of_decays),'b-o', label='ln(number of decays) vs time')
107 plt.xlabel('Time /seconds')
108 plt.ylabel('ln(Number of decays)')
109 plt.title('Natural log of number of decays vs time with linear regression line')
110 plt.grid(True)
111 plt.legend()
112 plt.show()
113
114
115
116 #We see that the intercept is close to predicted- value of 3.34089 (a)
117 #the gradient, which is b which equals -lambda, has a value of -0.03 roughly
118 #Which is fairly close to my expected value of -0.0256
119 #Value of the decay constant, with b=-lambda, is 0.0267033 s^-1
120
121 #PART 5
122 #Use the values for your two fit parameters to plot the corresponding
123 #decay function in your initial (linear scale) plot of the number of decays vs time.
124 #using N(t)=N(0)e^-(lambda x t)
125 #where b=-lambda and a=ln(N(0))=3.340898909967487
126 #therefore, will define a third parameter c in order to plot linearly
127 #and define it so it is just N(0)
128 #c=e^3.3408...
129 print(np.exp(3.340898909967487))
130
131 c = 28.244504564174118
132 def function2(time):
133     g= c*np.exp(b*time)
134     return g
135
136 plt.figure(5)
137 plt.plot(time,function2(time),'orange',label= 'Radioactive decay function')
138 plt.plot(time,Number_of_decays,'g-o',label='Number of decays versus time data')
139 plt.title('Plot of original data along with the decay function using fit parameters')
140 plt.xlabel('Time /seconds')
141 plt.ylabel('Number of decays')
142 plt.legend()
143 plt.grid(True)
144 plt.show()

```

```

145
146 #PART 6
147
148 #performing a non-linear least square fit of the data
149 import scipy.optimize as optimization
150
151 # Initial guess of fit parameters
152 c=0
153 b=0
154 guess=[c,b]
155 # define your nonlinear function (containing two fit parameter)
156 #Using c as this was the parameter used in the non linear fit
157 def function3(time,c,b):
158     h = c*np.exp(b*time)
159     return h
160
161 #Performing the fitting
162 fitparameter=optimization.curve_fit(function3,time, Number_of_decays, guess)[0]
163
164 #accessing the fit parameters
165 c=fitparameter[0]
166 b=fitparameter[1]
167
168 print('c=',fitparameter[0])
169 print('b=',fitparameter[1]) #b2
170
171 plt.figure(6)
172 plt.plot(time,Number_of_decays,'g-o',label='Radioactive decay data plot')
173 plt.plot(time,function3(time,c,b),'yellow',label='non-linear least square fit')
174 plt.title('Non-linear least square fit of data, along with original data')
175 plt.xlabel('Time /seconds')
176 plt.ylabel('Number of decays')
177 plt.grid(True)
178 plt.legend()
179 plt.show()
180
181 print('average decay constant value from 2 methods: (b1+b2)/2 is',(-0.026703363333904266+fitparameter[1])/2)
182
183 #c=35.365... meaning a=3.565740546
184 #b=-lambda=-0.032529...
185 #describes the data set effectively, similar parameter values

```