Take-home exercise on pseudo-values

XVII Summer School of the Master's degree in Statistics and Operations Research

Course: Multi-state models: Rates, risks, and pseudo-values

TO BE SUBMITTED NO LATER THAN 5 JULY 2024

Send to Henrik Ravn: hnrv@novonordisk.com

During the course you have gained experience with analysing pseudo-values (or pseudo-observations) using the packages pseudo and geepack. The package eventglm is able to calculate and analyse pseudo-vales in 'one go', i.e., to calculate the pseudo-values, add to the data set, and then do the regression analysis. Please, familiarize yourself with the eventglm package and use it to re-do some of the exercises from day 4 & 5 inserted below (i.e., using the PBC-3 data). Make a brief report of the results including code, output, and some interpretations.

First, consider the composite endpoint *time to death or transplantation*.

- 1. Estimate the risk difference between the two treatments at year 2, using pseudoobservations (POs) and the 'identity' (id) link function.
- 2. Repeat 1. adjusted for alb and log2(bili).
- 3. Repeat 2. using the log link function, i.e., targeting the risk ratio.
- 4. Repeat 2. using the cloglog link function, i.e., targeting the hazard ratio.
- 5. Fit a joint model based on POs at year 1, 2, 3, and 4 using the cloglog link function and tment as the only covariate.
- 6. Repeat 5. adjusted for alb and log2(bili).
- 7. Using the package eventglm for several time points and having a covariate with missing values is different than using pseudo and subsequent geepack (compare the estimate for tment to the one from exercise 7 on day 4). Can you think of an explanation for the difference?
- 8. Estimate the RMST difference at year 3 between the two treatments using POs and the 'identity' (id) link function.
- 9. Repeat 8. adjusted for alb and log2(bili).

Now, consider the competing risks situation with the two event types *transplantation* and *death without transplantation* and focus on transplantation only.

- 1. Estimate the risk difference between the two treatments at year 2, using POs for transplantation and the 'identity' (id) link function.
- 2. Repeat 1. adjusted for alb and log2(bili).
- 3. Repeat 2. using the cloglog link function.
- 4. Fit a joint model based on POs for transplantation at year 1, 2, 3, and 4 using the cloglog link function and tment as the only covariate.
- 5. Repeat 4. adjusted for alb and log2(bili).
- 6. Estimate the difference in expected years lost due to transplantation at year 3 between the two treatments using POs and the 'identity' (id) link function.
- 7. Repeat 6. adjusted for alb and log2(bili).