# IMTECO Ltd: Modelling the Hydrological Impact of Infrastructure Near Bog Pool Systems

Partner: Irene Tierney - Principal Ecologist, IMTECO Ltd

Email: irenetierney@imtecoltd.com

Context & Motivation Peatlands with dense bog pool systems are highly sensitive ecosystems. Infrastructure (e.g. wind farms, access tracks, buried cables) is usually prohibited in these zones — but even construction near the pools can affect their delicate hydrology. Impacts include drainage "shadows", altered connectivity between pools, or changes in hydroperiod (pool water duration and levels).

Currently, there is **no standard tool** for ecologists or regulators to quantify these risks or to define *evidence-based buffer zones*, as is done for other sensitive habitats like GWDTEs (Groundwater-Dependent Terrestrial Ecosystems).

The Challenge How can we develop or adapt a hydrological modelling tool that allows users (especially non-modellers like ecologists) to assess:

- How infrastructure might influence nearby bog pool dynamics.
- What buffer zones are necessary to minimise hydrological disruption.
- How to communicate these risks clearly to regulators and developers.

The tool should be:

- Scientifically credible.
- Flexible enough to incorporate field data.
- Simple enough to be used in practical consultancy settings.

Realistic Outcome for the Workshop This is a broad and ambitious challenge. A fully working model cannot be built in two days — but this group aims to:

- Identify key physical processes and modelling options
- Review what tools already exist (and their limitations)
- Discuss the data requirements and simplifications needed for consultants
- Propose a **roadmap** for developing a prototype tool, e.g. in Python/R or an interface for an existing model (like DigiBog or HEC-RAS)

The result would be a shared vision and technical outline that could seed a collaborative research or innovation project.

1

Modelling Approaches (for discussion) Below is a categorisation of possible modelling approaches, adapted from current research and industry practice. These should be discussed in terms of feasibility, input requirements, and applicability to bog pool systems.

1. Physically-Based Distributed Flow Models (2D/3D) MIKE SHE, HydroGeoSphere, MODFLOW (with Examples: UZF/SFR/LAK), COMSOL

- Simulate: water-table response to tracks/foundations, pool water levels, GW-SW (groundwater-surface water) exchange, culvert placement
- Inputs:
  - High-resolution LiDAR digital elevation model (DEM)
  - Peat layer stratigraphy and hydraulic properties (K, van Genuchten curves)
  - Drainage layout, rainfall/evaporation data
  - Logger data for calibration

2. Surface Flow & Barrier Routing Models Examples: HEC-RAS 2D, TELEMAC-2D

- Simulate: how roads, tracks, or berms act as barriers, causing ponding or fragmentation between pools
- Inputs:
  - Sub-metre DEM
  - Roughness maps (e.g. open water vs. Sphagnum mats)
  - Rainfall hyetographs
  - Water-level data from pool loggers

3. Catchment-Scale Conceptual Screening Models

Examples: TOPMODEL, HBV, GR4J (with peat-specific parameters)

- Simulate: broader seasonal drawdown risks, "what-if" scenarios of cumulative impact
- Inputs:
  - Rainfall and evapotranspiration time series
  - Simplified soil and land cover types

- Outflow measurements or dipwell data

## 4. Peatland-Specific Eco-Hydrological Models

Example: **DigiBog** 

- Simulate: long-term interaction between **peat growth** and **hydrology**, particularly pool-ridge feedback
- Inputs:
  - Microtopography
  - Peat decomposition and productivity parameters
  - Historic water-table records
- Notes: DigiBog also includes a standalone hydrology module (Digi- $Bog\_Hydro$ )

More info: https://www.peatmothership.org/digibog

### 5. Graph-Based & Cellular Automata Models (from LiDAR)

- Simulate: how microtopography and barriers affect connectivity between pools
- Can model thresholds for hydrological fragmentation and loss of function
- Inputs:
  - High-resolution DEM
  - Seasonal pool extents
  - Mapped pool network

#### 6. Water Quality & Export Models

Examples: **SWAT+**, **HYPE** (peat-parameterised)

- Simulate: how construction corridors affect DOC (dissolved organic carbon) or sediment exports
- Inputs:
  - Soil carbon maps, surface runoff patterns
  - Event-based water sampling of DOC and turbidity

# **Explanation of Key Terms**

- van Genuchten curves: Describe how water is retained in soil/peat at different tensions needed for accurate modelling of flow through porous media
- **GW–SW exchange**: The bidirectional flow between groundwater and surface water (like pools)
- Hyetograph: Graph of rainfall intensity over time
- Hydroperiod: Duration and frequency of pool inundation
- Acrotelm/Catotelm: The upper active and lower anoxic peat layers in bogs

**Final Aim** The aim of this group is not to develop a working model immediately, but to outline:

- A recommendation for model type and structure
- A minimum data standard for ecological assessments
- Possible avenues for future development (student projects, grant proposals, open-source collaboration)