

CPSC-354 Report

Stephanie Munday
Chapman University

October 31, 2022

Abstract

Short summary of purpose and content.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Homework	1
2.1	Week 1	2
2.2	Week 2	2
2.3	Week 3	4
2.4	Week 4	6
2.5	Week 5	7
2.6	Week 6	11
2.7	Week 7	11
2.8	Week 8	16
2.9	Week 9	16
3	Project	17
3.1	Specification	17
3.2	Prototype	17
3.3	Documentation	17
3.4	Critical Appraisal	17
4	Conclusions	17

1 Introduction

This is the report for CPSC 354 Programming Languages. It will contain homework for each week, as well as project work and analysis.

2 Homework

This section will contain your solutions to homework.

2.1 Week 1

HW 1 - Greatest Common Divisor

```
def gcd(n, m):
    while n != m:
        if n > m:
            n = n-m
        else:
            m = m-n
    return n
```

The code above implements Euclid's algorithm to find the greatest common divisor in python. Below is an explanation given sample input `gcd(9,33)`.

While `n != m`, the code will compare whether or not `n` is greater than `m`. If `n > m`, `n` will become `n - m`. Otherwise if `n < m`, `m` will become `m - n`. When `n == m`, the greatest common divisor has been found.

Keeping this logic in mind, let `n = 9`, `m = 33`.

```
gcd(9,33) =
gcd(9,24) =
gcd(9,15) =
gcd(9,6) =
gcd(3,6) =
gcd(3,3) =
3
```

Since `n == m` and the value of both is 3, the greatest common divisor is 3 for this example.

2.2 Week 2

HW 2 - Recursion in Functional Programming

```
select_evens :: [a] -> [a]
select_evens [] = []
select_evens (x:(y:xs)) = y:select_evens(xs)

select_odds :: [a] -> [a]
select_odds [] = []
select_odds (x:(y:xs)) = x:select_odds(xs)

member :: (Eq a) => a -> [a] -> Bool
member a [] = False
member a (x:xs)
    | a == x = True
    | otherwise = a `member` xs

append :: (Ord a) => [a] -> [a] -> [a]
append [] [] = []
append [] ys = ys
append (x:xs) (ys) = x:append(xs) (ys)

revert :: [a] -> [a]
revert [] = []
revert (x:xs) = append (revert xs) [x]
```

```

less_equal :: (Ord a) => [a] -> [a] -> Bool
less_equal [] [] = True
less_equal (x:xs) (y:ys)
  | x > y    = False
  | otherwise = xs 'less_equal' ys

```

The code above implements `select_evens`, `select_odds`, `member`, `append`, `revert`, `less_equal` as recursive functions in Haskell. Below are explanations showing computations for given inputs.

Select Evens example:

Select Evens ["a","b","c","d"]

```

select_evens ["a","b","c","d"] =
  "b" : (select_evens ["c","d"]) =
  "b" : ("d" : (select_evens [])) =
  ["b","d"]

```

Select Odds example:

Select Odds ["a","b","c","d"]

```

select_odds ["a","b","c","d"] =
  "a" : (select_odds ["c","d"]) =
  "a" : ("c" : (select_odds [])) =
  ["a","c"]

```

Member example:

Member 2 [5,2,6]

```

member 2 [5,2,6] =
  member 2 [2,6] =
  True

```

Append example:

Append [1,2,3] [4,5]

```

append [1,2,3] [4,5] =
  1 : (append [2,3] [4,5]) =
  1 : (2 : (append [3] [4,5])) =
  1 : (2 : (3 : (append [] [4,5]))) =
  1 : (2 : (3 : [4,5])) =
  [1,2,3,4,5]

```

Revert example:

Revert [1,2,3]

```

revert [1,2,3] =
  append(revert [2,3], [1]) =
  append(append (revert [3]) [2]) [1] =
  append(append (append (revert []) [3]) [2]) [1] =

```

```

append(append (append [] [3]) : [2]) [1] =
append(append [3] [2]) [1] =
append 3 : (2) [1] =
append [3,2] [1] =
3 : (append [2] [1]) =
3 : (2 : (append [] [1])) =
3 : (2 : 1) =
[3,2,1]

```

Less Equal example:

Less Equal [1,2,3] [2,3,4]

```

less_equal [1,2,3] [2,3,4] =
  less_equal [2,3] [3,4] =
  less_equal [3] [4] =
  True

```

2.3 Week 3

HW 3 - Towers of Hanoi

```

hanoi 5 0 2
  hanoi 4 0 1
    hanoi 3 0 2
      hanoi 2 0 1
        hanoi 1 0 2 = move 0 2
        move 0 1
        hanoi 1 2 1 = move 2 1
      move 0 2
      hanoi 2 1 2
        hanoi 1 1 0 = move 1 0
        move 1 2
        hanoi 1 0 2 = move 0 2
      move 0 1
      hanoi 3 2 1
        hanoi 2 2 0
          hanoi 1 2 1 = move 2 1
          move 2 0
          hanoi 1 1 0 = move 1 0
        move 2 1
        hanoi 2 0 1
          hanoi 1 0 2 = move 0 2
          move 0 1
          hanoi 1 2 1 = move 2 1
      move 0 2
    hanoi 4 1 2
      hanoi 3 1 0
        hanoi 2 1 2
          hanoi 1 1 0 = move 1 0
          move 1 2
          hanoi 1 0 2 = move 0 2
        move 1 0
        hanoi 2 2 0
          hanoi 1 2 1 = move 2 1

```

```

        move 2 0
        hanoi 1 1 0 = move 1 0
move 1 2
hanoi 3 0 2
    hanoi 2 0 1
        hanoi 1 0 2 = move 0 2
        move 0 1
        hanoi 1 2 1 = move 2 1
    move 0 2
    hanoi 2 1 2
        hanoi 1 1 0 = move 1 0
        move 1 2
        hanoi 1 0 2 = move 0 2

```

In order to solve the puzzle, the moves are as follows:

```

move 0 2
move 0 1
move 2 1
move 0 2
move 1 0
move 1 2
move 0 2
move 0 1
move 2 1
move 2 0
move 1 0
move 2 1
move 0 2
move 0 1
move 2 1
move 0 2
move 1 0
move 1 2
move 0 2
move 1 0
move 2 1
move 2 0
move 1 0
move 1 2
move 0 2
move 0 1
move 2 1
move 0 2
move 1 0
move 1 2
move 0 2

```

The word "hanoi" appears in the computation 31 times.

This computation can be expressed as a formula that works for moving any number of disks n as:

```

hanoi(n+1) x y = hanoi n x(other x y)
move x y
hanoi n(other x y)y

```


```
hanoi 1 x y = move x y
```

```
hanoi (n+1) x y =  
  hanoi n x (other x y)  
  move x y  
  hanoi n (other x y) y
```


2.4 Week 4

HW 4 - Parsing and Context-Free Grammars


Abstract Syntax Tree: $2 + 1$
Plus (Num 2) (Num 1)




Abstract Syntax Tree: $1 + 2 * 3$
Plus (Num 1) (Times (Num 2) (Num 3))




Abstract Syntax Tree: $1 + (2 * 3)$
Plus (Num 1) (Times (Num 2) (Num 3))




Abstract Syntax Tree: $(1 + 2) * 3$
Times (Plus (Num 1) (Num 2)) (Num 3)




Abstract Syntax Tree: $1 + 2 * 3 + 4 * 5 + 6$
Plus (Plus (Plus (Num 1) (Times (Num 2) (Num 3))) (Times (Num 4) (Num 5))) (Num 6)




Abstract Syntax Tree: $1 + 2 + 3$
`Plus (Plus (Num 1) (Num 2)) (Num 3)`



Abstract Syntax Tree: $(1 + 2) + 3$
`Plus (Plus (Num 1) (Num 2)) (Num 3)`



Abstract Syntax Tree: $1 + (2 + 3)$
`Plus (Num 1) (Plus (Num 2) (Num 3))`




The abstract syntax tree of $1+2+3$ is identical to the one of $(1+2)+3$, but **not** the one of $1+(2+3)$.


2.5 Week 5

HW 5 - Syntax + Semantics of Lambda Calculus Syntax


`x = EVar (Id "x")`




`x x = EApp (EVar (Id "x") EVar (Id "x"))`




`x y = EApp (EVar (Id "x") EVar (Id "y"))`




`x y z = EApp (EVar (Id "x") EVar (Id "y")) EVar (Id "z")`




`\ x.x = Prog (EAbs(Id "x" EVar(Id "x")))`




`(\x.x) x = Prog(EApp(EAbs(Id "x" EVar(Id "x")) EVar(Id "x")))`



$(\lambda x . (\lambda y . x y)) (\lambda x.x) z = \text{Prog}(\text{EApp}(\text{EApp}(\text{EAbs}(\text{Id } \text{"x"}), \text{EAbs}(\text{Id } \text{"y"}), \text{EApp}(\text{EVar}(\text{Id } \text{"x"}), \text{EVar}(\text{Id } \text{"y"})))), \text{EAbs}(\text{Id } \text{"x"}), \text{EVar}(\text{Id } \text{"x"}))), \text{EVar}(\text{Id } \text{"z"})))$



$(\lambda x . \lambda y . x y z) a b c = \text{Prog}(\text{EApp}(\text{EApp}(\text{EApp}(\text{EAbs}(\text{Id } \text{"x"}), \text{EAbs}(\text{Id } \text{"y"}), \text{EApp}(\text{EApp}(\text{EVar}(\text{Id } \text{"x"}), \text{EVar}(\text{Id } \text{"y"}))), \text{EVar}(\text{Id } \text{"z"}))), \text{EVar}(\text{Id } \text{"a"})), \text{EVar}(\text{Id } \text{"b"})), \text{EVar}(\text{Id } \text{"c"})))$



Semantics

- Evaluate using pen-and-paper the following expressions:

$(\lambda x.x) a = a$

$\lambda x.x a = \lambda x.x a$

$(\lambda x.\lambda y.x) a b = (\lambda y.a) b = a$

$(\lambda x.\lambda y.y) a b = (\lambda y.y) b = b$

$(\lambda x.\lambda y.x) a b c = (\lambda y.a) b c = a c$

$(\lambda x.\lambda y.y) a b c = (\lambda y.y) b c = b c$

$(\lambda x.\lambda y.x) a (b c) = (\lambda y.a) (b c) = a$

$(\lambda x.\lambda y.y) a (b c) = (\lambda y.y) (b c) = b c$

$(\lambda x.\lambda y.x) (a b) c = (\lambda y.a b) c = a b$

$(\lambda x.\lambda y.y) (a b) c = (\lambda y.y) c = c$

$(\lambda x.\lambda y.x) (a b c) = \lambda y.a b c$

$(\lambda x.\lambda y.y) (a b c) = \lambda y.y$

- Evaluate $(\lambda x.x)(\lambda y.y)a$ by executing the function evalCBN

```
evalCBN(EApp (EAbs (Id "x") (EVar (Id "x"))) (EApp (EAbs (Id "y") (EVar (Id "y"))) (EVar (Id "a")))) = line 6
evalCBN (EApp (EAbs (Id "x") (EVar (Id "x"))) subst (Id "y") (EVar (Id "a")) (EVar (Id "y"))) =
  line 15
evalCBN (EApp (EAbs (Id "x") (EVar (Id "x"))) EVar (Id "a")) = line 6
evalCBN (subst (Id "x") (EVar (Id "a")) (EVar (Id "x"))) = line 15
evalCBN (EVar (Id "a")) = line 8
EVar (Id "a")
```

2.6 Week 6

Evaluate

```
(\exp . \two . \three . exp two three)
(\m.\n. m n)
(\f.\x. f (f x))
(\f.\x. f (f (f x)))
=
((\m.\n. m n) (\f.\x. f (f x)) (\f2.\x2. f2 (f2 (f2 x2))))
=
((.\n. (\f.\x. f (f x)) n) (\f2.\x2. f2 (f2 (f2 x2))))
=
((\f.\x. f (f x)) (\f2.\x2. f2 (f2 (f2 x2))))
=
((\x. (\f2.\x2. f2 (f2 (f2 x2))) ((\f3.\x3. f3 (f3 (f3 x3))) x)))
=
((\x. (\f2.\x2. f2 (f2 (f2 x2))) ((\x3. x (x (x x3)))))
=
(\x. (\x2. (\x3. x (x (x x3))) ((\x4. x5 (x5 (x5 x4))) ((\x6. x7 (x7 (x7 x6))) x2))))
=
(\x. (\x2. (\x3. x (x (x x3))) ((\x4. x5 (x5 (x5 x4))) (x7 (x7 (x7 x2))))))
=
(\x. (\x2. (x (x (x (x5 (x5 (x5 (x7 (x7 (x7 x2))))))))))
=
\x. (\x2. (x (x (x (x5 (x5 (x5 (x7 (x7 (x7 x2))))))))))
```

2.7 Week 7

Explain whether each variable is bound or free - if it is bound, say the binder and scope of the variable.

Lines 5-7

```
evalCBN (EApp e1 e2) = case (evalCBN e1) of
  (EAbs i e3) -> evalCBN (subst i e2 e3)
  e3 -> EApp e3 e2
```

e1 (line 5)

- bound on the left of =
- scope is the end of line 7

e2 (line 5)

- bound on the left of =
 - scope is the end of line 7
- i (line 6)
- bound on the left of -i
 - scope is the end of line 6
- e3 (line 6)
- bound on the left of -i
 - scope is the end of line 6
- e3 (line 7)
- bound on the left of -i
 - scope is the end of line 7
- x (line 8)
- bound on the left of =
 - scope is the end of line 8

Lines 18-22

```
subst id s (EAbs id1 e1) =
  -- to avoid variable capture, we first substitute id1 with a fresh name inside the body of the
  -- lambda-abstraction, obtaining e2. Only then do we proceed to apply substitution of the
  -- original s for id in the body e2.
  let f = fresh (EAbs id1 e1)
      e2 = subst id1 (EVar f) e1 in
  EAbs f (subst id s e2)
```

- id (line 18)
- bound on the left of =
 - scope is to the end of line 22
- s (line 18)
- bound on the left of =
 - scope is to the end of line 22
- id1 (line 18)
- bound on the left of =
 - scope is to the end of line 22
- e1 (line 18)
- bound on the left of =
 - scope is to the end of line 22

f (line 20)

- bound on the left of =
- scope is to the end of line 22

e2 (line 21)

- bound on the left of =
- scope is to the end of line 22

- Evaluate $(\lambda x.\lambda y.x) y z$ by executing the function evalCBN

```
evalCBN(EApp (EAbs (Id "x") (EAbs (Id "y") (EVar (Id "z")))) (EVar (Id "y"))) (EVar (Id "z"))) =  
  line 6  
evalCBN (subst (Id "x") (EVar (Id "y")) (EVar (Id "x"))) (EAbs (Id "y") (EVar (Id "x")))(EVar (Id  
  "z")))) = line 15  
evalCBN (EApp (EAbs (Id "y") (EVar (Id "y1"))) EVar (Id "z")) = line 6  
evalCBN (subst (Id "y") (EVar (Id "z")) (EVar (Id "y1"))) = line 16  
evalCBN (EVar (Id "y1")) = line 8  
EVar (Id "y1")
```

Rewriting Introduction

1. $A = \{\}$

```
-----  
|   |  
|   |  
-----
```

- terminates - yes
- confluent - yes
- unique normal forms - yes

2. $A = \{a\}$ and $R = \{\}$

```
-----  
|  a  |  
|     |  
-----
```


- terminates - yes
- confluent - yes
- unique normal forms - yes

3. $A = \{a\}$ and $R = \{(a,a)\}$

```
----->  
|   |  
a <-----
```


- terminates - no
- confluent - yes
- unique normal forms - no

4. $A = \{a, b, c\}$ and $R = \{(a, b), (a, c)\}$




- terminates - yes
- confluent - no
- unique normal forms - no

5. $A = \{a, b\}$ and $R = \{(a, a), (a, b)\}$




- terminates - no
- confluent - yes
- unique normal forms - yes

6. $A = \{a, b, c\}$ and $R = \{(a, b), (b, b), (a, c)\}$



- terminates - no
- confluent - no
- unique normal forms - no

7. $A = \{a, b, c\}$ and $R = \{(a, b), (b, b), (a, c), (c, c)\}$



- terminates - no
- confluent - no
- unique normal forms - no

Find an example of an ARS for each of the possible 8 combinations - draw pictures.

1. confluent, terminating, has unique normal forms

$A = \{a,b\}$ and $R = \{(a,b)\}$

```

a
|
b

```

2. confluent, terminating, doesn't have unique normal forms

- not possible

3. confluent, not terminating, has unique normal forms

$A = \{a,b\}$ and $R = \{(a,a),(a,b)\}$

```

----->
|      |
a <----
|
b

```

4. confluent, not terminating, doesn't have unique normal forms

$A = \{a,b,c\}$ and $R = \{(a,b),(a,c),(b,a),(c,a)\}$

```

--> a <--
| / \ |
| / \ |
b     c

```

5. not confluent, terminating, has unique normal forms

- not possible

6. not confluent, terminating, doesn't have unique normal forms

$A = \{a,b,c\}$ and $R = \{(a,b),(a,c)\}$

```

  a
 / \
/   \
b     c

```

7. not confluent, not terminating, has unique normal forms

- not possible

8. not confluent, not terminating, doesn't have unique normal forms

$A = \{a,b,c\}$ and $R = \{(a,b),(b,b),(a,c)\}$

```

  a
 / \
/   \
--> b  c
|      |
<-----

```

2.8 Week 8

Answer the questions about the rewrite system

```
aa -> a
bb -> b
ba -> ab
ab -> ba
```

Why does the ARS **not** terminate?

The ARS doesn't terminate because the two rules $ba \rightarrow ab$ **and** $ab \rightarrow ba$ are circular.

What are the normal forms?

The normal forms are a, b

Can you change the rules so that the new ARS has unique normal forms (but still has the same equivalence relation)?

```
aa -> a
bb -> b
ba -> ab
ab -> ba
b -> a
```

What **do** the normal forms mean? Describe the function implemented by the ARS.

The normal forms mean that at that point, nothing can be reduced further. The ARS takes a string consisting **of** a 's **and** b 's. If there are doubles (ie aa **or** bb), **then** the **length of** those doubles is reduced. In the **case of** ba **or** ab , **then** the letters are flipped.

2.9 Week 9

Project milestones

Milestone 1:

A website mockup depicting the layout **and** overall visual structure **of** the website. Begin taking notes on history/learning process **of** html/css.

Milestone 2:

A bare bones structure/base code for the website. Continue notes on learning html/css.

Milestone 3:

Implement stylistic choices for website. Synthesize notes on html/css learning process - organize **and take** note **of** issues/things I wish I had done that would've made the process easier.

Consider the ARS (A, \rightarrow) **where** A is the set **of words** over the alphabet $\{a, b, c\}$ **and** \rightarrow is defined via the following schema **of** rules.

```
ba -> ab
ab -> ba
ac -> ca
ca -> ac
bc -> cb
cb -> bc
```



```
aa -> b
ab -> c
ac ->
bb ->
cb -> a
cc -> b
```

The upper section of the ARS (involving ba, ab, ac, ca, bc, cb) is circular. There is a possibility that words could be arranged in a way to allow the lower section to come into play. If ba -> ab and to the right is another ba, then we would have abba. Then using bb -> , the resulting form could potentially be aa, then b. However, it is unknown if this would ever be the case, as another possibility is that abba becomes abab or baba. Additionally, ab reduces both to ba and also c, adding yet another possibility.

3 Project

This section details the project.

3.1 Specification

For this project, I plan to learn a combination of HTML, javascript, and css to build a portfolio website.

3.2 Prototype

3.3 Documentation

3.4 Critical Appraisal

...

4 Conclusions

(approx 400 words)

In the conclusion, I want a critical reflection on the content of the course. Step back from the technical details. How does the course fit into the wider world of programming languages and software engineering?

References

[PL] [Programming Languages 2022](#), Chapman University, 2022.

[P] [Punctuation](#), StackExchange, 2022.

[S] [Spacing](#), StackExchange, 2022.

[T] [Trees](#), Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 2022.