



Basic probability: axioms, conditional probability, random variables, distributions

Application: Verifying Polynomial Identities

- ◆ Computers can make mistakes:

- Incorrect programming
- Hardware failures

➔ sometimes, use randomness to check output

- ◆ Example: we want to check a program that multiplies together monomials

E.g: $(x+1)(x-2)(x+3)(x-4)(x+5)(x-6) \stackrel{?}{=} x^6 - 7x^3 + 25$

- In general check if $F(x) = G(X)$?

- ◆ One way is:

- Write another program to re-compute the coefficients
- That's not good: may go same path and produces the same bug as in the first

How to use randomness

- ◆ Assume the max degree of F & G is d . Use this algorithm:
 - Pick a uniform random number from:
 $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, 100d\}$
 - Check if $F(r) = G(r)$ then output “equivalent”, otherwise “non-equivalent”
- ◆ Note: this is much faster than the previous way – $O(d)$ vs. $O(d^2)$
- ◆ One-sided error:
 - “non-equivalent” always true
 - “equivalent” can be wrong
- ◆ How it can be wrong:
 - If accidentally picked up a root of $F(x) - G(x) = 0$
 - This can occur with probability at most $1/100$

Axioms of probability

- ◆ We need a formal mathematical setting for analyzing the randomized space
 - Any probabilistic statement must refer to the underlying probability space
- ◆ Definition 1: A probability space has three components:
 - A sample space Ω , which is the set of all possible outcomes of the random process modeled by the probability space
 - A family of sets Φ representing the allowable events, where each set in Φ is a subset of the sample space and
 - A probability function $\text{Pr}: \Phi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying definition 2 belowAn element of Ω is called a *simple* or *elementary* event
- ◆ In the randomized algo for verifying polynomial identities, the sample space is the set of integers $\{1, \dots, 100d\}$.
 - Each choice of an integer r in this range is a simple event

Axioms

◆ Def2: A probability function is any function $\text{Pr}: \Phi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that satisfies the following conditions:

1. For any event E , $0 \leq \text{Pr}(E) \leq 1$;
2. $\text{Pr}(\Omega) = 1$; and
3. For any sequence of pairwise mutually disjoint events E_1, E_2, E_3, \dots ,
$$\text{Pr}(\cup_{i \geq 1} E_i) = \sum_{i \geq 1} \text{Pr}(E_i)$$

■ events are sets \rightarrow use set notation to express event combination

◆ In the considered randomized algo:

- Each choice of an integer r is a simple event.
- All the simple events have equal probability
- The sample space has $100d$ simple events, and the sum of the probabilities of all simple events must be 1 \rightarrow each simple event has probability $1/100d$

Lemmas

- ◆ Lem1: For any two events E_1, E_2 :
$$\Pr(E_1 \cup E_2) = \Pr(E_1) + \Pr(E_2) - \Pr(E_1 \cap E_2)$$
- ◆ Lem2(Union bound): For any finite or countably infinite sequence of events E_1, E_2, E_3, \dots ,
$$\Pr(\cup_{i \geq 1} E_i) \leq \sum_{i \geq 1} \Pr(E_i)$$
- ◆ Lem3(inclusion-exclusion principle) Let E_1, E_2, E_3, \dots be any n events. Then
$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(\cup_{i=1, n} E_i) = & \sum_{i=1, n} \Pr(E_i) - \sum_{i < j} \Pr(E_i \cap E_j) + \\ & \sum_{i < j < k} \Pr(E_i \cap E_j \cap E_k) - \dots \\ & + (-1)^{l+1} \sum_{i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_l} \Pr(\cap_{r=1, l} E_{i_r}) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Analysis of the considered algorithm

- ◆ The algo gives an incorrect answer if the random number it chooses is a root of polynomial $F-G$
- ◆ Let E represent the event that the algo failed to give the correct answer
 - The elements of the set corresponding to E are the roots of the polynomial $F-G$ that are in the set of integer $\{1, \dots, 100d\}$
 - Since $F-G$ has degree at most d then has no more than d roots $\rightarrow E$ has at most d simple events
- ◆ Thus, $\Pr(\text{algorithm fails}) = \Pr(E) \leq d/(100d) = 1/100$

How to improve the algo for smaller failure probability?

- ◆ Can increase the sample space
 - E.g. $\{1, \dots, 1000d\}$
- ◆ Repeat the algo multiple times, using different random values to test
 - If $F(r) = G(r)$ for just one of these many rounds then output “non-equivalent”
- ◆ Can sample from $\{1, \dots, 100d\}$ many times with or without replacements

Notion of independence

- ◆ Def3: Two events E and F are independent iff (if and only if)

$$\Pr(E \cap F) = \Pr(E) \cdot \Pr(F)$$

More generally, events E_1, E_2, \dots, E_k are mutually independent iff for any subset $I \subseteq [1, k]$: $\Pr(\cap_{i \in I} E_i) = \prod_{i \in I} \Pr(E_i)$

- ◆ Now for our algorithm samples with replacements

- The choice in one iteration is independent from the choices in previous iterations
- Let E_i be the event that the i th run of algo picks a root r_i s.t. $F(r_i) - G(r_i) = 0$

- The probability that the algo returns wrong answer is

$$\Pr(E_1 \cap E_2 \cap \dots \cap E_k) = \prod_{i=1, k} \Pr(E_i) \leq \prod_{i=1, k} (d/100d) = (1/100)^k$$

- ◆ Sampling without replacement:

- The probability of choosing a given number *is conditioned on* the events of the previous iterations

Notion of conditional probability

- ◆ Def 4: The conditional probability that event E occurs given that event F occurs is

$$\Pr(E|F) = \Pr(E \cap F) / \Pr(F)$$

- Note this con. pro. only defined if $\Pr(F) > 0$
- When E and F are independent and $\Pr(F) > 0$ then $\Pr(E|F) = \Pr(E \cap F) / \Pr(F) = \Pr(E) \cdot \Pr(F) / \Pr(F) = \Pr(E)$
- Intuitively, if two events are independent then information about one event should not affect the probability of the other event.

Sampling without replacement

◆ Again assume $F \neq G$

- We repeat the algorithm k times: perform k iterations of random sampling from $[1, \dots, 100d]$
- What is the prob that all k iterations yield roots of $F-G$, resulting in a wrong output by our algo?
- Need to bound $\Pr(E_1 \cap E_2 \cap \dots \cap E_k)$

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr(E_1 \cap E_2 \cap \dots \cap E_k) &= \Pr(E_k | E_1 \cap \dots \cap E_{k-1}) \cdot \Pr(E_1 \cap E_2 \cap \dots \cap E_{k-1}) \\ &= \Pr(E_1) \cdot \Pr(E_2 | E_1) \cdot \Pr(E_3 | E_1 \cap E_2) \cdot \dots \cdot \Pr(E_k | E_1 \cap \dots \cap E_{k-1})\end{aligned}$$

◆ Need to bound $\Pr(E_j | E_1 \cap \dots \cap E_{j-1})$: $\leq d^{-(j-1)} / 100d^{-(j-1)}$

So $\Pr(E_1 \cap E_2 \cap \dots \cap E_k) \leq \prod_{j=1, k} d^{-(j-1)} / 100d^{-(j-1)} \leq (1/100)^k$, slightly better

◆ Use $d+1$ iterations: always give correct answer. Why? Efficient?

Random variables

◆ Def 5: A random variable X on a sample space Ω is a real-valued function on Ω ; that is $X: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. A discrete random variable is a random variable that takes on only finite or countably infinite number of values

- So, " $X=a$ " represents the set $\{s \in \Omega \mid X(s)=a\}$
- $\Pr(X=a) = \sum_{X(s)=a} \Pr(s)$

Eg. Let X is the random variable representing the sum of the two dice. What is the prob of $X=4$?

Random variables

- ◆ Def6: Two random variables X and Y are independent iff for all values x and y :
$$\Pr((X=x) \cap (Y=y)) = \Pr(X=x) \cdot \Pr(Y=y)$$

Expectation

- ◆ Def 7: The expectation of a discrete random variable X , denoted by $E[X]$ is given by $E[X] = \sum_i i \Pr(X=i)$
 - where the summation is over all values in range of X
 - E.g Compute the expectation of the random variable X representing the sum of two dice

Linearity of expectation

◆ Theorem:

- $E[\sum_{i=1,n} X_i] = \sum_{i=1,n} E[X_i]$
- $E[c X] = c E[X]$ for all constant c

Bernoulli and Binomial random variables

- ◆ Consider experiments that succeeds with probability p and fails with probability $1-p$
 - Let Y be a random variable takes 1 if the experiment succeeds and 0 if otherwise. Called a Bernoulli or an indicator random variable
 - $E[Y] = p$
 - Now we want to count X , the number of success in n tries
- ◆ A binomial random variable X with parameters n and p , denoted by $B(n,p)$, is defined by the following probability distribution on $j=0,1,2,\dots, n$:
 - $\Pr(X=j) = \binom{n}{j} p^j (1-p)^{n-j}$
 - E.g. used a lot in sampling (book: Mit-Upfal)

The hiring problem

HIRE-ASSISTANT(n)

1 $best \leftarrow 0$

candidate 0 is a least-qualified dummy candidate

2 for $i \leftarrow 1$ to n

3 do interview candidate i

4 if candidate i is better than candidate $best$

5 then $best \leftarrow i$

6 hire candidate i

Cost Analysis

- ◆ We are not concerned with the running time of HIRE-ASSISTANT, but instead with the cost incurred by interviewing and hiring.
- ◆ Interviewing has low cost, say c_i , whereas hiring is expensive, costing c_h . Let m be the number of people hired. Then the cost associated with this algorithm is $O(nc_i + mc_h)$. No matter how many people we hire, we always interview n candidates and thus always incur the cost nc_i associated with interviewing.

Worst-case analysis

- ◆ In the worst case, we actually hire every candidate that we interview. This situation occurs if the candidates come in increasing order of quality, in which case we hire n times, for a total hiring cost of $O(nc_h)$.

Probabilistic analysis

- ◆ *Probabilistic analysis* is the use of probability in the analysis of problems. In order to perform a probabilistic analysis, we must use knowledge of the distribution of the inputs.
- ◆ For the hiring problem, we can assume that the applicants come in a random order.

Randomized algorithm

◆ We call an algorithm *randomized* if its behavior is determined not only by its input but also by values produced by a *random-number generator*.

Indicator random variables

The indicator random variable $I[A]$ associated with event A is defined as

$$I[A] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } A \text{ occurs} \\ 0 & \text{if } A \text{ does not occur} \end{cases}$$

- Lemma: Given a sample space Ω and an event A in the sample space Ω , let $X_A = I\{A\}$. Then $E[X_A] = \Pr(A)$.

Analysis of the hiring problem using indicator random variables

◆ Let X be the random variable whose value equals the number of times we hire a new office assistant and X_i be the indicator random variable associated with the event in which the i th candidate is hired. Thus,

$$X = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n$$

By the lemma above, we have

$E[X_i] = \Pr\{\text{candidate } i \text{ is hired}\} = 1/i$. Thus,

$$E[X] = 1 + 1/2 + 1/3 + \dots + 1/n = \ln n + O(1)$$

Randomized algorithms

RANDOMIZED-HIRE-ASSISTANT(n)

```
1 randomly permute the list of candidate
2  $best \leftarrow 0$ 
3 for  $i \leftarrow 1$  to  $n$ 
4   do interview candidate  $i$ 
5   if candidate  $i$  is better than candidate
      $best$ 
6   then  $best \leftarrow i$ 
7   hire candidate  $i$ 
```


PERMUTE-BY-SORTING(A)

```
1  $n \leftarrow \text{length}[A]$ 
2 for  $i \leftarrow 1$  to  $n$ 
3   do  $P[i] \leftarrow \text{RANDOM}(1, n^3)$ 
4 sort  $A$ , using  $P$  as sort keys
5 return  $A$ 
```

⑩ *Lemma:* Procedure PERMUTE-BY-SORTING produces a uniform random permutation of input, assuming that all priorities are distinct.

RANDOMIZE-IN-PLACE(A)

```
1  $n \leftarrow \text{length}[A]$   
2 for  $i \leftarrow 1$  to  $n$   
3   do swap  $A[i] \leftrightarrow A[\text{RANDOM}(i, n)]$ 
```

10 Lemma: Procedure RANDOMIZE-IN-PLACE computes a uniform random permutation.