

## MSc ESDA Title Page

UCL Candidate Code: **XFJF7**

Module Code: **BENV0092**

Module Title: **Energy Analytics in the Built Environment**

Coursework Title: **The performance of EPS as an insulator in UK cavity walls**

Module Leader: **Dr. Despina Manouseli**

Date: **22 November 2022**

Word Count: **700**

**By submitting this document, you are agreeing to the Statement of Authorship:**

I/We certify that the attached coursework exercise has been completed by me/us and that each and every quotation, diagram or other piece of exposition which is copied from or based upon the work of other has its source clearly acknowledged in the text at the place where it appears.

I/We certify that all field work and/or laboratory work has been carried out by me/us with no more assistance from the members of the department than has been specified.

I/We certify that all additional assistance which I/we have received is indicated and referenced in the report.

*Please note that penalties will be applied to coursework which is submitted late, or which exceeds the maximum word count. Information about penalties can be found in your Course Handbook which is available on Moodle:*  
<https://moodle.ucl.ac.uk/course/view.php?id=29435&section=0>

- ***Penalties for late submission***
- ***Penalties for going over the word count***

In the case of coursework that is submitted late and is also over length, then the greater of the two penalties shall apply. This includes research projects, dissertations and final reports.

## **Background**

The UK has declared a net-zero emissions policy to mitigate climate change, a pillar of which is optimising heating in buildings as heating accounts for 30% of UK carbon emissions and is predominantly fossil fuel-sourced (86% natural gas, 9% other fossil fuels) (BEIS, 2021). The government intends to lead a 'fabric-first approach' by encouraging better insulation (BEIS, 2021).

UK houses are good candidates for a 'fabric-first' approach as 70% of them have cavity walls (Gregory, 2012) with significant capacity for insulation (Anupaju, 2018). Whilst cavity walls are better than solid walls at preventing dampness from indoor-outdoor temperature differences (Anupaju, 2018), they are nevertheless impacted by temperature and humidity fluctuations (Delghust et al., 2010), especially in the UK given a temperate oceanic climate with cool, wet winters and warm, wet summers (Beck et al., 2018). This essay will consider polystyrene's performance and socio-environmental suitability as an insulator in cavity walls.

## **Performance Suitability**

Expanded polystyrene ("**EPS**") is a cost-efficient, versatile material which can be used as part of structural integrated panels and standalone enhancements to existing insulation. There are four key performance benefits to EPS as an insulator in cavity walls.

First, EPS conducts heat from warmer to cooler environments efficiently – this 'insulating performance' is measured in 'U' values. UK building regulations prevent U values over  $0.55\text{W/m}^2\text{K}$  (HM Government, 2022) as they indicate inefficient insulation. Uninsulated cavity walls have U values of  $1.4\text{--}1.9\text{W/m}^2\text{K}$  (Hens et al., 2007), whilst EPS-insulated walls have shown  $0.30\text{--}0.40\text{W/m}^2\text{K}$  (Gori et al., 2021), and so are compliant with government regulations. In comparative examples, U values of EPS-insulated walls have shown 36% less heat loss than

uninsulated cavity walls in Jordan (Sobhy et al., 2017), and a 46% reduction in energy consumption in buildings in Turkey (Dombayci, 2007), suggesting a potential significant benefit to UK homes.

Secondly, EPS is durable. Whilst many insulation materials like vacuum insulation panels are easily influenced by external factors (climate and manufacturing conditions) and deteriorate with age (Baetens et al., 2010), EPS exhibits stable U values in diverse weather conditions and across its life-cycle (Vo et al., 2011). Similarly, EPS is shock-absorbing, enhancing structural integrity (Sulong et al., 2019).

Thirdly, EPS has a long life-cycle of approximately 50 years, which can extend by 70 years by increasing panel thickness by 100mm (Vo et al., 2011).

Fourthly, EPS is hydrophobic, which is particularly important given the UK's wet climate (Beck et al., 2018). Water immersion tests show the water content in EPS is around 1% in medium-density, and high-density polystyrene under high (2kPa) and normal (0.25-0.5kPa) pressure circumstances (Cai et al., 2017).

### **Socio-Environmental Suitability**

EPS is a petrochemical, relying on fossil fuels in its production, which is a serious concern given the net-zero context (Asdrubali et al., 2015). Moreover, despite its long lifecycle, EPS is difficult to recycle as compared to bio-composite insulators (Asdrubali et al., 2015) with a high decomposition range of 388-427.6°C (Lu et al., 2021). Nevertheless, several studies have considered mechanical, chemical, and thermal methods for recycling EPS which materially mitigate this concern (Maharana et al., 2007).

EPS is also highly flammable, melting at 100°C and burning at 200°C (Sulong et al., 2019). This is a significant concern in the UK given the Grenfell Tower fire starting due to flammable cladding (HSE, 2021). This can be overcome by using EPS as blending method (mixing EPS foam, flame retardant, and wood particle) (Lu et al., 2021) and by ensuring that there is no gap between insulation blocks (HSE, 2021), though there is also a public perception concern which needs to be managed.

## **Conclusion**

Insulating UK homes is clearly vital in a zero-emissions policy given the contribution of heating to emissions. In addition to being cost-efficient and versatile, EPS exhibits strong insulation, water-resistance, and durability performance, which could materially contribute to the government's aim of reducing emissions generated by indoor heating. Whilst there are environmental concerns with EPS (in particular its fossil-fuel reliance), robust recycling and reuse policies could mitigate these. Nevertheless, there is a possibility that using EPS to reduce emissions could be counter-intuitive given the source material – this relationship should be studied directly as it goes to the heart of the viability of EPS as a sustainable insulator.

## REFERENCES

- Anupoju, S. (2018) *What is a cavity wall? construction and advantages of cavity walls, The Constructor*. Available at: <https://theconstructor.org/structural-engg/cavity-walls-construction-advantages/14000/> (Accessed: October 30, 2022).
- Baetens, R., Jelle, B.P., Thue, J.V., Tenpierik, M.J., Grynning, S., Uvsløkk, S. and Gustavsen, A. (2010). Vacuum insulation panels for building applications: A review and beyond. *Energy and Buildings*, 42(2), pp.147–172. doi:10.1016/j.enbuild.2009.09.005.
- Beck, H.E., Zimmermann, N.E., McVicar, T.R., Vergopolan, N., Berg, A. and Wood, E.F. (2018). Present and Future Köppen-Geiger Climate Classification Maps at 1-km Resolution. *Scientific Data*, [online] 5(5), p.180214. doi:10.1038/sdata.2018.214.
- Cai, S., Zhang, B. and Cremaschi, L. (2017) “Review of moisture behavior and thermal performance of polystyrene insulation in building applications,” *Building and Environment*, 123, pp. 50–65. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2017.06.034>.
- Delghust, M., Janssens, A. and Rummens, J. (2010) “1st Central European symposium on Building Physics (CESBP 2010),” in *Research on building physics : proceedings of the 1st Central European symposium on building physics*. Lodz, Poland: Technical University of Lodz, pp. 297–304. Available at: <http://hdl.handle.net/1854/LU-1234083>.
- Dombaycı, Ö.A. (2007). The environmental impact of optimum insulation thickness for external walls of buildings. *Building and Environment*, 42(11), pp.3855–3859. doi:10.1016/j.buildenv.2006.10.054.
- Gori, V., Marincioni, V. and Altamirano-Medina, H. (2021). Characterising the effects of wind-driven rain on the thermophysical performance of cavity walls by means of a Bayesian framework. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 2069(1), p.012053. doi:10.1088/1742-6596/2069/1/012053.
- Great Britain (2021). *Fiscal Risks Report*. [online] The UK by HH Associates Ltd: HM Government. Available at: [www.gov.uk/official-documents](http://www.gov.uk/official-documents) [Accessed 22 Nov. 2022].
- Gregory, M. (2012). *Estimates of Home Insulation Levels in Great Britain: January 2012*. [online] *Department of Energy & Climate Change*. Energy Statistics Team. Available at: [https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\\_data/file/49408/4537-statistical-release-estimates-of-home-insulation-.pdf](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/49408/4537-statistical-release-estimates-of-home-insulation-.pdf).
- Health and Safety Executive (2017). *Managing Fire Risk during Cladding and Insulation Removal and Replacement on high-rise Buildings -guidance for Inspectors Open Government Status*. [online] Available at: <https://www.hse.gov.uk/foi/internalops/og/og-00106.pdf>.

Hens, H., Janssens, A., Depraetere, W., Carmeliet, J. and Lecompte, J. (2007). Brick Cavity Walls: A Performance Analysis Based on Measurements and Simulations. *Journal of Building Physics*, 31(2), pp.95–124. doi:10.1177/1744259107082685.

HM Government (2022) *Approved Document L: Conservation of fuel and power –Volume 1: Dwellings (2021 edition)*, GOV.UK. Department for Levelling Up, Housing & Communities. Available at: [https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\\_data/file/1099626/ADL1.pdf](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/1099626/ADL1.pdf) (Accessed: November 1, 2022).

Lu, J., Wang, D., Jiang, P., Zhang, S., Chen, Z., Bourbigot, S., Fontaine, G. and Wei, M. (2021). Design of fire resistant, sound-absorbing and thermal-insulated expandable polystyrene based lightweight particleboard composites. *Construction and Building Materials*, 305(October), p.124773. doi:10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2021.124773.

Maharana, T., Negi, Y.S. and Mohanty, B. (2007). Review Article: Recycling of Polystyrene. *Polymer-Plastics Technology and Engineering*, 46(7), pp.729–736. doi:10.1080/03602550701273963.

Ramli Sulong, N.H., Mustapa, S.A.S. and Abdul Rashid, M.K. (2019). Application of expanded polystyrene (EPS) in buildings and constructions: A review. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 136(20), p.47529. doi:10.1002/app.47529.

Sobhy, I., Brakez, A. and Benhamou, B. (2017) “Analysis for thermal behavior and energy savings of a semi-detached house with different insulation strategies in a hot semi-arid climate,” *Journal of Green Building*, 12(1), pp. 78–106. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.3992/1552-6100.12.1.78>.

Vo, C.V., Bunge, F., Duffy, J. and Hood, L. (2011). Advances in Thermal Insulation of Extruded Polystyrene Foams. *Cellular Polymers*, 30(3), pp.137–156. doi:10.1177/026248931103000303.