Ch. Numerical Integration or numerical quadrature to the process or technique of integrating afunction between two specified limits. The function to be integrated may be given explicitly or as a set of Note. (1) It Note: (1) It is necessary to point out that when the function is known explicitly, the analytical integration may either be complex or not feasible. represents the area bounded by the value of I geometrically the x-axis and the ordinates of and the ordinates of the area several methods available to and x=6 commonly used methods be classified for fair fine for from two groups. (i) Newton - Cotes Quadrature Rules x=a x=b x (i) Newton-Coles Quadrature Rules These formulae are used for evaluating definite interest of the sex formulae are used for evaluating definite interest of the sex sex of points.

Let the function be known through its statues as shown in the table, where z - z = h for i = 1 (1) n for i=1(1)n. $x: d=x_0$ x_1 x_2 x_2 x_1 x_2 x_2 x_2 x_2 x_2 x_1 x_2 $f: f_0 f_1 f_2 \cdots f_n$ Let $u = \frac{x - x_0}{h}$ $\Rightarrow x = x_0 + hu - 0$ We notice that when x = a, u = 0 and x = a, x = b, x = a, x = $\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx = \int_{a}^{x_{0}+nh} f(x) dx = \int_{a}^{n} f(x) dx$ $= h \int_{0}^{\infty} f(z_{0} + hz_{0}) du = h \int_{0}^{\infty} E^{2} f(z_{0}) du$ = h \((1 + 1) \(\far{\alpha_0} \) du, [:: E = 1 + 1] = h \[\begin{align*} & \begin{align*} & \lambda & \lamb = h [f, + u D f, + 1/2, u(u-1) D f, + 1/3, u(u-1)(u-2) A f, + ...] du - (3)

= hu [$nf_0 + \frac{n^2}{2}\Delta f_0 + \frac{1}{2!}(\frac{n^3}{3} - \frac{n^2}{2})\Delta f_0 + \frac{1}{3!}(\frac{n^4}{4} - n + n^2)\Delta f_0$ $+\frac{1}{4!}\left(\frac{n^{5}}{5} - \frac{3n^{4}}{2} + \frac{11n^{3}}{3} - 3n^{2}\right)\Delta_{6}^{4} + \frac{1}{5!}\left(\frac{n^{6}}{6}\right)$ $-\frac{2n^5}{1} + \frac{35n^4}{4} - \frac{50n^3}{3} + 12n^2 A_6^5 + \dots$ By aubstituting n=1, 2, 3, ... aeveral quadrature formulae can be obtained. (ii) Tragezoidal Rule (If particular case for n=1) exill be from zo to zoth and there are only two functional values to and more and in the interval than the first.

The first of the land of the land difference higher Thus, taking n=1 in 3 and neglecting of higher order than 1, we have $I = \int f(x) dx = \int f(x) dx$ = to (fo + u 1 fo) du

= h[fou + Afouz] = h[fo + 1/2 Afo] $= k \left[f_0 + \frac{1}{2} (f_1 - f_0) \right] = k \left[\frac{f_0}{2} + \frac{f_1}{2} \right]$ = 1/2 h [fo + fi] This result can be extended by taking intervals each of length to such that $I = \int f(x) dx$ = \int \f(\fix) d\f \tau \f(\fix) d\f \f(\fi = \frac{1}{2}h[f_0 + f_1] + \frac{1}{2}h[f_1 + f_2] + \frac{1}{2}h[f_2 + f_3] + \cdots + \frac{1}{2}[f_{m-1} + f_m] $= \frac{1}{2} \left[f_0 + 2 f_1 + 2 f_2 + 2 f_3 + \frac{1}{2} f_3 \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left[f_{n-1} + f_n \right]$ $= h \left[\frac{1}{2} (f_0 + f_n) + (f_1 + f_2 + f_3 + \frac{1}{2} f_n) + f_n \right]$ $= h \left[m + M \right], \quad f_n = f_n = f_n$ where $m = \frac{1}{2}(f_0 + f_1)$, $M = (f_1 + f_2 + f_3 + \dots + f_{m-1})$. Ex. 1. Was trapegoidal rule, to evaluate the definite integral

(7) f(x) dx, given that 7: 2.105 2.808 3.614 4.604 5.857 7.4519.40

Sol: Here the table inj: 2.105 2.808 3.614 4.604 5.857 7.451 9.467, n = number of equippaced intervals = 6.
By trapsgoidal rule, are have $I = \begin{cases} f(x) dx = \frac{1}{2} h \left[(j_0 + j_0) + 2(j_1 + j_2 + j_3 + j_4 + j_5) \right] \end{cases}$ $I = \frac{1}{2} \left[(2.705 + 9.467) + 2(2.808 + 3.614 + 4.604 + 5.857 + 7.451 \right]$ = \frac{1}{2} \left[11.572 + 48.668 \right] $\int_{0}^{4} \int_{0}^{4} f(x) dx = 30.12$ Attence the area bounded by the came is 30.12 pg and Ex. 2. Use toggsoidal rule to evaluate the area to 7.52 from the following table to evaluate the area x: 7.47 | 7.48 | 7.50 | 7.51 | 7.52 | 501. Psy trajegoidal rule

Sol. Psy trajegoidal rule

0.01 [(1.93+2.06)+2(1.95+1.98+2.01+2.03)]

1.009965 $\int f(x) dx = \frac{0.01}{2} \left[(1.93 + 2.06) + 2(1.95 + 1.98 + 2.01 + 2.03) \right]$ 47 $= 0.005 \left[3.99 + 15.94 \right] = 0.09965$

Ex.3 Evaluate the integral & 1 dx using traggoidal rule for (2) three points, (ii) five points. Also, calculate the exact value and comment on your answer SolAllere $I = \int_{-1}^{2} \frac{1}{x^{2}} dx$, $f(x) = \frac{1}{x^{2}}$, $h = \frac{b-a}{n}$, where a = 1, b = 2. (i) For three points: n=2: h=2-r=0.5By trapezoidal rule, we have $\int_{1}^{2} f(x) dx$ $= \int \frac{1}{x^2} dx = \frac{1}{2} h \left[(f_0 + f_2) + 2f_1 \right] = \frac{0.5}{2} \left[(1+0.25) + 2(0.44) \right]$ (ii) For five points:

N=4 : h=2-1-0.25

1:25:4:0.25

1:45:0.33 0:25 So, by trapsocidal rule,

{ f(x) dx = { \frac{1}{x^2} dx = \frac{1}{2}h[(f_0 + f_4) + 2(f_1 + f_2 + f_3)}

= = = [(1+0.25) + 2(0.64+6.44+0.33)] (324) = 0.5088 Exact value: $I = \int_{x^{2}}^{1} dx = -\frac{1}{x} \Big|_{x^{2}}^{2} = 1 - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} = 0.50$ Pay decreasing the step-aige, the error intervals, are can get more accurate values. (iii) Simpson's Brd - Rule (A particular case for n= 2) In this case interval of integration is values, Jo, J1, J2, in the interval and there consequently there can be no differences than 2.

Now taking n=2 in Q, neglecting all the differences.

mutiple of 2) - internals each of transfer by their in food of their in the stand of the stand of their in the stand of their in the stand of their internal = 1 h [f, + 4f, + f], due to this 2, = h[2f, +2(f-f) +1 (f-ef; +f)] = h [fu + w2 df + 1/43 - 42/12]2 = h[2f, + 2 sf, + 2 (8 - 2) st. f.] this rule is called Simpsons free rule = h[2f, + 2 df, + 1 dz] = A[1/3 f, + 4 f, + 1/3 f] = 14 [f, + f, + f]

Examples:

Ex.1. Apply Simpson's 1/3 rd rule to find the approximate value of I from I taking 4-intervals. taking 4- intervals.

Sol: We have $I = \int \frac{1}{1+z^2} dz = \tan^{-1} z \int_0^1$ $=\frac{\pi}{4}-0=\frac{\pi}{4}$

cie., $I = T_4 \Rightarrow T = 4I$

Now we find the approximate value of I wring Simpson's 13 rd. Rule.

Here a=0, b=1 and n=4

 $\Rightarrow h = \frac{b-a}{n} = \frac{1-0}{4}$ $50, \text{ the table in } = \frac{1}{4} = 0.25.$

 $f = \frac{1}{1 + 2^{2}} = f(x)$: 1 0.25 0.50 0.75 0.9412 0.8000 0.6400 1.0 0.50

Now by Simpson $\frac{1}{3}$ - rule, we have $\int f(x) dx = \int \frac{1}{1+x^2} dx = \frac{1}{3}h \left[f_0 + f_4 + \frac{4}{1+f_3} + 2f_2 \right]$

Using the values, I = 1 (0.25) [1+0.5+4(0.9412+0.6401)+2(0.80)]

Hence, the approximate value of the engineer by $\pi = 4I = 4(0.7854) = 3.1416.$

Ex. 2. Evaluate the integral of the triding equal parts. 2 Sol: Affere f(x) = 1 $h = \frac{b-a}{n} = \frac{10^{1+x}}{8}, \quad a=2, \quad b=10, \quad n=8, \quad a=1$.: The table is

x: 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

f(x): 0.333 0.25 0.20 0.1667 0.1429 0.1250 0.1111 0.10 0.0909

: Psy Simpson's 1/3 rd. rule,

\[\left[\frac{d\pi}{1+\pi} = \frac{1}{3}h\left[\frac{f_0 + f_0 + 4(f_1 + f_3 + f_5 + f_7) + 2(f_2 + f_6)}{2} \right] \]

Using the values, we have

 $\int \frac{dx}{1+x} = \frac{1}{3} \ln \left[0.333 + 0.0909 + 2.5668 + 0.908 \right]$ = 1.2996

Ex. 3 Apply Simpson's 3-rule to evaluate Sit de correct to 3 of taking h=0.25. Sol: Othere $f(x) = \frac{1}{1+x}$, h = 0.25. So, the table t_0 , x : 0 0.25 0.50 0.75 2.0 f(x) : 1 0.8 0.667 0.571 0.5

is By Simpson's \frac{1}{3}-rule, $I = \int \frac{1}{1+x} dx = \frac{1}{3} h \left[f_0 + f_4 + 4 \left(f_1 + f_3 \right) + 2 f_2 \right]$

Using the values, $I = \frac{1}{3}(0.25)[1+0.5+4(0.8+0.571)+2(0.667)]$ = $\frac{0.25}{3}[1.5+5.484+1.334]$

Ex. 4. Evaluate the integral \\

(i) Trapezoidal rule, (ii) Simpson's 1 rule for n=4.

Obtain the true solution and justify which method is superior. \$01: (i) Trapezoidal rule: $f(x) = \frac{1}{1+x^2}$, a = 0, b = 1, n = 4.

_ >c: [(1 0.20	0.500		
F(x)=4: 1				1
	0.94	10.8	0.00	7

:. By trajegoidal rule, $I = \int \frac{dx}{1+x^2} = \frac{1}{2}h \left[f_6 + f_4 + 2(f_1 + f_2 + f_3) \right]$ $= \frac{1}{2}(0.25) \left[1 + 0.5 + 2(0.94 + 0.8 + 0.64) \right]$ = 0.7825

(ii) Simpson's $\frac{1}{3}$ -rule: We have already ealculated

it in Ex.1. as $\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{1+x^{2}} dx = 0.7854$

Moso, true colution is 51

That ification: From above, we conclude to closer to the conclude t

Justification: From above, we conclude that Simpson's by Trajegoidal rule. Thus, Simpson's falle or better than that obtained trajegoidal rule.

Ex. 5 Evaluate the integral of dx waing shere the values of y are given in the following table.

7 = f(x): 0 18.75 75.0 7.5 10.0

14 J= 3x², compare your answer with the exact value and comment on the superiority of the method applied.

Sol: (i) Trajezoidal rule: $I = \int f dx = \frac{1}{2}h \left[f_0 + 2(f_1 + f_2 + f_3) + f_4 \right]$ Using the values, we have $I = \int 3x^2 dx = \frac{1}{2}(2.5)[0 + 2(18.75 + 75.0 + 168.75) + 300]$ = 1.25 [300 + 2(262.5) = 1.25 [300 + 525] = 1031.25 (ii) Simpson's rule: $I = \int_{0}^{\infty} f(x) dx = \frac{1}{3} h \left[f_{0} + 4 \left(f_{1} + f_{3} \right) + 2 f_{2} + f_{4} \right]$ Using the values, we get $I = \int 3x^2 dx = \frac{1}{3} (2.5) [0 + 4(18.75 + 168.75) + 2(75.0) + 300]$ = 2.5 [750 + 150 + 300] = 1000(iii) Exact Value: $I = \int f(x) dx = \int_{0}^{10} 3x^{2} dx = x^{3} \Big|_{0}^{10} = 1000$

Comments: Singson's five points rule gives the value which coincides with the exact value. Clearly, Singson's method is better than Trajegoidal rule.

Ex. 6. 41 nocket is launched from the ground and its acceleration during the first 80 records is given in the following table $f(t) = d \left(\frac{4 \cos^2 n \cos^2 s}{\sin m/\cos^2 s} \right) : 31.0 \quad 31.63 \quad 33.44 \quad 35.47 \quad 37.75 \quad 60.33 \quad 69.50.67$ Use Simpson's rule to calculate the velocity. Sol: Affere I = \int f(t) dt = \frac{1}{3}h[\overline{f_5} + \overline{f_5} + \overline{f_5} + \overline{f_5} \\
\frac{1}{3}h[\overline{f_5} + \overline{f_5} + \overline{f_5} + \overline{f_5} + \overline{f_5} \\
\frac{1}{3}h[\overline{f_5} + \overline{f_5} + \overline{f_5} + \overline{f_5} + \overline{f_5} \\
\frac{1}{3}h[\overline{f_5} + \overline{f_5} + \overline{f_5} + \overline{f_5} + \overline{f_5} + \overline{f_5} \\
\frac{1}{3}h[\overline{f_5} + \overline{f_5} + \overline{f_5} + \overline{f_5} + \overline{f_5} + \overline{f_5} + \overline{f_5} \\
\frac{1}{3}h[\overline{f_5} + \overline{f_5} +2(f2+f4+f6)) = 1 (10)[30+50.67+4(31.63+35.47+40.33 + 46.69) = 10[80.67 + 4(154.12) + 2(114.48)] = 10 [80.67 + 616.48 + 228.96] = 3087 (Mprox.) Hence the velocity of the rocket, v(4) ~ 3087 m/ acc.

Simpson's 3 th - Rule (Particular case for n=3) In this case, interval of integration is from to to 20 + 3h; i.e., there are four functional values wi values, vis., jo, ji, je, ji in the interval and consequently there ean be no difference higher than 3. Now taking n=3 in the general result (3),

neglecting all the differences - higher than 3, we get $\int f(x) dx = h \int [f_0 + u \Delta f_0 + \frac{u(u-1)}{2!} \Delta f_0 + \frac{u(u-1)(u-2)}{3!} \Delta f_0 \int du$ $= h \left[uf_{0} + \frac{u^{2}}{2} \Delta f_{0} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{u^{3}}{3} - \frac{u^{2}}{2} \right) \Delta f_{0} + \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{u^{4}}{4} - \frac{3u^{3}}{3} + \frac{2u^{2}}{2} \right) \Delta f_{0} \right]^{3}$ = h[3f. + \frac{9}{2} Af. + \frac{1}{2} (9-\frac{9}{2}) Af. + \frac{1}{6} (\frac{81}{4} - 27 + 9) A^3 f.] = h [3f, + 9(f,-f,) + 9(f,-2f, +f,) + 93(f,-3f,+3f,-f,)] = h[3fo + 9fo + 9fo + 3fo] = 3 h [fo + 3(f₁ + f₂) + f₃] = 3h [fo + 3(fi+fo)+fo]+3h[fo+fo+fo]+ (fo)+fo]+ ... fo[fo-2+fo-1)+fo].

= 3 h [fo + fn + 3 (fi + f2 + f4 + f5 + 11) + 2 (f3 + f6 + f9 + 11)] Examples: Ex. 1. Compute \$ 7.5

\[\alpha: \quad \text{ Takerey in given in the table below } \quad \frac{7}{5.0} \quad \frac{7}{5.0} \quad \frac{7}{5.5} \quad \frac{7}{5.0} \quad \frac{7}{5.5} \quad \frac{7}{5.0} \quad \frac{7}{5.5} \quad \frac{7}{5.0} \quad \frac{7}{5.5} \quad \frac{7}{5.0} \quad \quad \frac{7}{5.0} \quad \qquad \quad \ waing (i) Simpson's 3th rule, (ii) Trapezoidal rule. If j=3x2, comment on the Reperiority of the method. Sol: Affere a=0, b=7.5, m=3, ∞ . h = b-a = 7.5-0 = 3, ∞ . (¿) Simpson's 3 th- rule. We have \[\frac{7}{9} \dx = \frac{3}{8} \hat{1} \left(\frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{3} + 3 \left(\frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right]
\]
\[\frac{1}{8} \hat{1} \left(\frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{3} + 3 \left(\frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right]
\] = 3 (2.5)[0+168.75+3(18.75+75)] = 0.9375[168.75 + 281.25] = 0.9375 (450) = 421.875 (ii) Trajegoidal rule: We have $\int y dx = \frac{1}{2} h \left[f_0 + f_3 + 2 (f_1 + f_2) \right]$

(334) = 1/2(2.5) [0+168.75 +2(18.75 +75)] = 2.5 [168.75 + 187.5] = 1.25 [356.25] = 445.3125 (iii) Exact value: $I = \begin{cases} 7.5 \\ y dx = \begin{cases} 3x^2 dx - x^3 \end{cases}^{7.5}$ = (7.5) = 421.875Comments; After we notice that the value of the integral obtained from Simpson's 3th male coincides 257th the exact value So, Singson's 3th rule in tar before

Singson's 3th rule in tar before

3 on the basis of our Observation, Simpson's 3 on the basis of our Tragegoidal rule & the rule is regeries than using (i) Trajegoidal rule, (ii) Simpson's 3-12 rule. Sol: Here $a = \frac{\pi}{8}$, $k = \frac{\pi}{8}$, $n = \frac{3}{8}$ $n = \frac{3\pi}{8}$ $n = \frac{3\pi}{8}$ $n = \frac{3\pi}{8}$ $n = \frac{\pi}{8}$

(i) Trapezoidal rule: $\begin{cases}
y dx = \frac{1}{2} k \left[f_0 + f_3 + 2(f_1 + f_2) \right] \\
= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{8} \right) \left[0.382 + 1.0 + 2(0.707 + 0.924) \right]
\end{cases}$ = 7 [1.382 + 3.262] = 0.9118 (ii) Simpson's 3th - rile: $\int_{\sqrt{8}}^{\sqrt{2}} y dx = \frac{3}{8} h \left[f_0 + f_3 + 3 \left(f_1 + f_2 \right) \right]$ $= \frac{3}{8} \left(\sqrt{8} \right) \left[0.382 + 1.0 + 3 \left(0.707 + 0.924 \right) \right]$ = 37 [1.382 + 4.893] = 0.9241

Ex. 3 Evaluate \(\frac{1}{\pi^2} \, \text{using (e) tygoidal vale,} \) (ii) Simpson's rule? Compare your results with the exact value and compute the error incurred in these methods. [Ans: (1) 0.1667; 0.000 (ii)01652; 0.0015]

Ex. 4 Apply Simpson's 3th rule to evaluate the following: (i) $\int_{0}^{1/2} e^{i2\pi i \pi} dx$, (ii) $\int_{0}^{1/2} \frac{1}{1+x^2} dx$. Sol: (i) Allere a=0, 6=7/2, n=3, : h= 1(6-a) = 3(72-0) = 76 So, the table of values co, .

y: 1 1.6487 2.3774 2.7/83. By Simpson's 3/8th-rule, we have $\int e^{\sin x} dx = \frac{3}{8} h \left[f_0 + f_3 + \frac{3}{3} \left(f_1 + f_2 \right) \right]$ = 3 (76)[1+2.7183+3(1.6487+2.3774)] $= \frac{\pi}{16} \left[3.7183 + (4.026) \right] = 1 - \frac{5206}{3.1016}$ (ii) Here 1=0 b=6 and let n=6: h=1/b-n/= ==1.

2: 0 1 2 3 4 5 6

5: 1 0.5 0.2 0.10 0.588 0.0385 0.0270 $= \frac{3}{8} (2) [1 + 0.0270 + 3(0.5 + 0.2 + 0.0588 + 0.0885 + 2(0.1)]$ $= \frac{3}{8} [1 + 0.0270 + 2.3919 + 0.2]$

Boole's Rule: Particular case ofor n:4 formula: S f(x) dx = 2 h [7fo + 32fg + 12fg + 32fg + 7fq] Extension: (multiple of 4) S f(x) dx = 2 h [7(fo+fm) + 14(f4+fo+11+fm-)+32(f4+f3+11+fm-) +12(f₂ + f₆ f ··· + f_{n-2})] Weddle's Rule: (Particular case for n=6) $\int_{0}^{\infty} f(x) dx = \frac{3}{10} h \left[f_{0} + 5 f_{1} + f_{2} + 6 f_{3} + f_{4} + 5 f_{5} + f_{6} \right]$ Extension: (multiple of 6) $\int f(x) dx = \frac{3}{10} h \left[\left(f_0 + f_2 + f_4 + f_8 + \dots + f_n \right) + 2 \left(f_6 + f_{12} + \dots + f_{n-6} \right) \right]$ +5 (f, + fs+f, + 1, + fn-s + fn-1) +6(f3+f9+f5+ ···+ fn-3)].

Ex.1. Calculate the integral of the using (i) Simpson's 3-rule, (ii) Boolers rule, and calculate its exact value. Compare your answer and discuss
the superiority of the mother. [Ams: (i) 0.7854 (ii) 0.78554; exact value 0.785398; Simporting Ex.2. Evaluate Sinx dr by waing (i) Simpson's rule, (ii) Boolers rule of [Ams: (i) 1.0000].

Ex. 3. Evaluate

(i) Simpson's rule for 2 1+ x1 dx by using

(i) Simpson's rule for 2 1+ x1 dx by using

D. 0008. [Ams: (i) 0.02832, (ii) 0.02831]. Ex 4. Using (i) Trapezoidal Rule; (ii) Weddle's rule,

2: 1.8 1.8 f(x) dx arken Weddle's rule,

7: 6.050 7.389 7.025 11.23 13.464 16.445 20.086 Calculate the exact value of the integral 16.45 20.086 compare the results with the exact integral if the integral if the integral if the which method > Trapezordal rule 14.0828.

Here Weddle's method > Trapezordal rule 14.036,

Ex. 5. Consider the function given by the table x: 0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6
y: 0 0.0998 0.1981 0.2955 0.3894 0.4794 0.5646. Compute of f(x) dx by using (i) Simpson's futrule, (ii) Trapezoidal rule, (iii) Simpson's 3th-rule, (iv) Weddle's rule. [Ans: (i) 0.17461, (ii) 0.17445, (iii) 0.1746, (iv) 0.17463]

Ex. 6. Evaluate

auclable formula 0.5

[Ans: (i) Simpson's 1.1 [Ans: (1) Simpson's fird rule gives 0.08483]. Ex. 7. The prime number theorem whates there the number of primes ein the interval arx < le co Aproximately $\int \frac{1}{a} dx$. If a = 100, b = 200, using Simpson's 3 donate for n = 4, calculate the above integral [tms: 20.066; exact value = 21.] [Ans: 20.066; exact value = 21.] $E \times 8$. Evaluate $\int_{0.7}^{0.7} \frac{x^4 e^x}{(e^x - 1)^2} dx \text{ if using Trapsgoidal rule}$ [Ans: 0-1122]