



**ACADGILD**

## SESSION 9: Statistical Inference

---

### Assignment 2

Submitted by: Munmun Ghosal

Login Id: munmun55@gmail.com

(M):+91-8007178659

Table of Contents

1. Problem Statement ..... 3

2. Solution ..... 3

## 1. Problem Statement

- a. Calculate the p-value for the test in Problem no 2.
- b. How do you test the proportions and compare against hypothetical props?  
Test hypothesis: proportion of automatic cars is 40%

## 2. Solution

### a. Calculate the p-value for the test in Problem no 2.

The R-script for the given problem is as follows:

```
library(readr)
library(psych)
mtcars <- read_csv("E:/munmun_acadgild/acadgild data analytics/supporting
files/mtcars.csv")
View(mtcars)
mtcars
str(mtcars)
describe(mtcars$am)
table(mtcars$am)
```

# Calculate the P Value for the test in Problem 2.

```
t.test(mtcars$am,mu=10,conf.level = 0.95)
t.test(mpg~am,data = mtcars)
```

# OR

```
phat <- 13/(13 + 19)
(phat - 0.4)/sqrt(0.4 * 0.6/(13 + 19))
```

```
prop.test(13, 13 + 19, p = 0.4, alternative = "less",
          conf.level = 0.95, correct = FALSE)
```

The output of the R-Script (from Console window) is given as follows:

```
> library(readr)
> library(psych)
> mtcars <- read_csv("E:/munmun_acadgild/acadgild data analytics/supporting
files/mtcars.csv")
Parsed with column specification:
cols(
  model = col_character(),
  mpg = col_double(),
```

```

cyl = col_double(),
disp = col_double(),
hp = col_double(),
drat = col_double(),
wt = col_double(),
qsec = col_double(),
vs = col_double(),
am = col_double(),
gear = col_double(),
carb = col_double()
)
> view(mtcars)

```

Untitled1* x mtcars x													
Filter													
	model	mpg	cyl	disp	hp	drat	wt	qsec	vs	am	gear	carb	
1	Mazda RX4	21.0	6	160.0	110	3.90	2.620	16.46	0	1	4	4	
2	Mazda RX4 Wag	21.0	6	160.0	110	3.90	2.875	17.02	0	1	4	4	
3	Datsun 710	22.8	4	108.0	93	3.85	2.320	18.61	1	1	4	1	
4	Hornet 4 Drive	21.4	6	258.0	110	3.08	3.215	19.44	1	0	3	1	
5	Hornet Sportabout	18.7	8	360.0	175	3.15	3.440	17.02	0	0	3	2	
6	Valiant	18.1	6	225.0	105	2.76	3.460	20.22	1	0	3	1	
7	Duster 360	14.3	8	360.0	245	3.21	3.570	15.84	0	0	3	4	
8	Merc 240D	24.4	4	146.7	62	3.69	3.190	20.00	1	0	4	2	
9	Merc 230	22.8	4	140.8	95	3.92	3.150	22.90	1	0	4	2	
10	Merc 280	19.2	6	167.6	123	3.92	3.440	18.30	1	0	4	4	
11	Merc 280C	17.8	6	167.6	123	3.92	3.440	18.90	1	0	4	4	
12	Merc 450SE	16.4	8	275.8	180	3.07	4.070	17.40	0	0	3	3	
13	Merc 450SL	17.3	8	275.8	180	3.07	3.730	17.60	0	0	3	3	
14	Merc 450SLC	15.2	8	275.8	180	3.07	3.780	18.00	0	0	3	3	
15	Cadillac Fleetwood	10.4	8	472.0	205	2.93	5.250	17.98	0	0	3	4	
16	Lincoln Continental	10.4	8	460.0	215	3.00	5.424	17.82	0	0	3	4	
17	Chrysler Imperial	14.7	8	440.0	230	3.23	5.345	17.42	0	0	3	4	
18	Fiat 128	32.4	4	78.7	66	4.08	2.200	19.47	1	1	4	1	
19	Honda Civic	30.4	4	75.7	52	4.93	1.615	18.52	1	1	4	2	
20	Toyota Corolla	33.9	4	71.1	65	4.22	1.835	19.90	1	1	4	1	
21	Toyota Corona	21.5	4	120.1	97	3.70	2.465	20.01	1	0	3	1	
22	Dodge Challenger	15.5	8	318.0	150	2.76	3.520	16.87	0	0	3	2	
23	AMC Javelin	15.2	8	304.0	150	2.76	3.435	17.30	0	0	3	2	

Showing 1 to 23 of 32 entries

```

> mtcars
# A tibble: 32 x 12
  model      mpg  cyl  disp    hp  drat    wt  qsec    vs  am
  <chr>      <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>
1 Mazda RX4      21     6  160    110   3.9   2.62  16.5     0   1
4             4
2 Mazda RX4 Wag  21     6  160    110   3.9   2.88  17.0     0   1
4             4
3 Datsun 710    22.8    4  108     93   3.85   2.32  18.6     1   1
4             1
4 Hornet 4 Drive 21.4    6  258    110   3.08   3.22  19.4     1   0
3             1

```

```

5 Hornet Sportabout 18.7      8 360    175 3.15 3.44 17.0      0      0
3      2
6 Valiant           18.1      6 225    105 2.76 3.46 20.2      1      0
3      1
7 Duster 360       14.3      8 360    245 3.21 3.57 15.8      0      0
3      4
8 Merc 240D        24.4      4 147.    62 3.69 3.19 20        1      0
4      2
9 Merc 230         22.8      4 141.    95 3.92 3.15 22.9      1      0
4      2
10 Merc 280        19.2      6 168.   123 3.92 3.44 18.3      1      0
4      4
# ... with 22 more rows

```

```
> str(mtcars)
```

```
Classes 'spec_tbl_df', 'tbl_df', 'tbl' and 'data.frame':    32 obs. of  12
variables:
```

```
$ model: chr  "Mazda RX4" "Mazda RX4 Wag" "Datsun 710" "Hornet 4 Drive" ...
```

```
$ mpg : num  21 21 22.8 21.4 18.7 18.1 14.3 24.4 22.8 19.2 ...
```

```
$ cyl : num  6 6 4 6 8 6 8 4 4 6 ...
```

```
$ disp : num  160 160 108 258 360 ...
```

```
$ hp : num  110 110 93 110 175 105 245 62 95 123 ...
```

```
$ drat : num  3.9 3.9 3.85 3.08 3.15 2.76 3.21 3.69 3.92 3.92 ...
```

```
$ wt : num  2.62 2.88 2.32 3.21 3.44 ...
```

```
$ qsec : num  16.5 17 18.6 19.4 17 ...
```

```
$ vs : num  0 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 1 1 ...
```

```
$ am : num  1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ...
```

```
$ gear : num  4 4 4 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 ...
```

```
$ carb : num  4 4 1 1 2 1 4 2 2 4 ...
```

```
- attr(*, "spec")=
```

```
.. cols(
```

```
..   model = col_character(),
```

```
..   mpg = col_double(),
```

```
..   cyl = col_double(),
```

```
..   disp = col_double(),
```

```
..   hp = col_double(),
```

```
..   drat = col_double(),
```

```
..   wt = col_double(),
```

```
..   qsec = col_double(),
```

```
..   vs = col_double(),
```

```
..   am = col_double(),
```

```
..   gear = col_double(),
```

```
..   carb = col_double()
```

```
.. )
```

```
> #summary(mtcars$am)
```

```
> describe(mtcars$am)
```

```
vars n mean sd median trimmed mad min max range skew kurtosis se
x1 1 32 0.41 0.5 0 0.38 0 0 1 1 0.36 -1.92 0.09
```

```
> table(mtcars$am)
```

```
0 1
```

```
19 13
```

```
> t.test(mtcars$am,mu=10,conf.level = 0.95)
```

One Sample t-test

data: mtcars\$am

```
t = -108.76, df = 31, p-value < 2.2e-16
alternative hypothesis: true mean is not equal to 10
95 percent confidence interval:
 0.2263446 0.5861554
sample estimates:
mean of x
 0.40625
```

```
> t.test(mpg~am,data = mtcars)
```

```
Welch Two Sample t-test
```

```
data: mpg by am
t = -3.7671, df = 18.332, p-value = 0.001374
alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
 -11.280194 -3.209684
sample estimates:
mean in group 0 mean in group 1
 17.14737      24.39231
```

```
>
> # OR
>
> phat <- 13/(13 + 19)
> (phat - 0.4)/sqrt(0.4 * 0.6/(13 + 19))
[1] 0.07216878
>
>
> prop.test(13, 13 + 19, p = 0.4, alternative = "less",
+          conf.level = 0.95, correct = FALSE)
```

```
1-sample proportions test without continuity correction
```

```
data: 13 out of 13 + 19, null probability 0.4
X-squared = 0.0052083, df = 1, p-value = 0.5288
alternative hypothesis: true p is less than 0.4
95 percent confidence interval:
 0.0000000 0.5508812
sample estimates:
 p
0.40625
```

## b. How do you test the proportions and compare against hypothetical props?

Test hypothesis: proportion of automatic cars is 40%

The R-script for the given problem is as follows:

```
prop.test(13, 32, p = 0.4, alternative = "less",
          conf.level = 0.95, correct = FALSE)
```

#OR

```
prop.test(table(mtcars$am)[2],nrow(mtcars),p=0.4,alternative = "less",conf.level = 0.95,correct=FALSE)
```

**The output of the R-Script (from Console window) is given as follows:**

```
> prop.test(13, 32, p = 0.4, alternative = "less",  
+          conf.level = 0.95, correct = FALSE)
```

1-sample proportions test without continuity correction

```
data: 13 out of 32, null probability 0.4  
X-squared = 0.0052083, df = 1, p-value = 0.5288  
alternative hypothesis: true p is less than 0.4  
95 percent confidence interval:  
 0.0000000 0.5508812  
sample estimates:  
      p  
0.40625
```

```
> #OR
```

```
>
```

```
> prop.test(table(mtcars$am)[2],nrow(mtcars),p=0.4,alternative =  
"less",conf.level = 0.95,correct=FALSE)
```

1-sample proportions test without continuity correction

```
data: table(mtcars$am)[2] out of nrow(mtcars), null probability 0.4  
X-squared = 0.0052083, df = 1, p-value = 0.5288  
alternative hypothesis: true p is less than 0.4  
95 percent confidence interval:  
 0.0000000 0.5508812  
sample estimates:  
      p  
0.40625
```

### **Conclusion/Interpretation:**

Test Hypothesis: proportion of automatic cars is 40%.

At confidence level of 0.95, since p- value is greater than alpha, we fail to reject the null hypothesis