Peer Grading Rubric and Feedback Form

Please evaluate the project of your peer by following the rubric given below.

	Level of Mastery		
Criteria	Poor	Fair	Good
Javadoc	No Javadoc can be found for any of the methods.	Javadoc can be found for some of the methods.	Javadoc can be found for all of the methods.
Javadoc - method description	No Javadoc can be found for any of the methods.	The Javadoc describes the methods in brief.	The Javadoc describes the methods in detail.
Javadoc - parameters and return values	No Javadoc can be found for any of the methods.	There is little or no description on the parameters or return values.	There are full descriptions for all of the parameters and return values.
Coding Style - Variable Names	Meaningless variable names are used for representing data in most of the methods.	Meaningful variable names are used for representing data in some of the methods.	Meaningful variable names are used for representing data in all of the methods.
Coding Style - Indention	The level of indentation is inappropriate for most of the methods.	The level of indentation is appropriate for some of the methods.	The level of indentation is appropriate for all of the methods.
Readability	There is little or no inline comment for the major sections of the methods.	There are brief inline comments for the major sections in some of the methods.	There are detailed inline comments for the major sections in all of the methods.
Clarity	The code is difficult to understand for most of the methods.	The code is easy to understand for some of the methods.	The code is easy to understand for all of the methods.
Correctness	By inspection, it is unlikely that the method updateGoalPositions() would fulfill the task requirements.	By inspection, it is likely that the method updateGoalPositions() would fulfill the task requirements.	By inspection, it is most likely that the method updateGoalPositions() would fulfill the task requirements.