

Clientelism and Structured Voting: Empirical Evidence from Turkey

Codebook-v1.0

Murat Abus

Texas A&M University

mabus@tamu.edu

1. Introduction

This codebook describes the replication data for “Clientelism and Structured Voting: Empirical Evidence”. The dataset is provided in comma-delimited, Stata, and R versions and titled “clientelism_dataset1.0”. Dataset combines data from multiple sources, see references below for original data sources.

Citation:

Should you utilize the dataset, please be sure to cite my publication of record:

Abus, Murat, Clientelism and Voting Behavior: Empirical Evidence from Turkey (June 16, 2022). Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=4137781>.

2. Dataset

Variable	Description / Coding
ID	Unique identifier for the respondent
Wave	Wave of Konda Barometer
province	Province where the survey was undertaken (1=Adana, 6=Ankara, 7=Antalya, 10=Balıkesir, 16=Bursa, 20=Denizli, 21=Diyarbakır, 22=Edirne, 23=Elazığ, 24=Erzincan, 25=Erzurum, 26=Eskişehir, 27=Gaziantep, 28=Giresun, 31=Hatay, 33= Mersin, 34=İstanbul, 35=İzmir, 38=Kayseri, 41=Kocaeli, 42=Konya, 43=Kütahya, 44=Malatya, 47=Mardin, 55=Samsun, 58=Sivas, 59=Tekirdağ, 60=Tokat, 61=Trabzon,

	63=Şanlıurfa, 64=Uşak, 65=Van
region	Region where the survey was undertaken (1=Istanbul, 2=Western Marmara, 3=Aegean, 4=Eastern Marmara, 5=Western Anatolia, 6=Mediterranean, 7=Central Anatolia, 8=Western Black Sea, 9=Eastern Black Sea, 10=Northeastern Anatolia, 11=Middle Eastern Anatolia, 12=Southeastern Anatolia)
resarea	Place where the respondent lives (0=rural, 1=urban, 2=metropolitan)
resarearural	Place where the respondent lives dummy variable (1=rural, 0=others)
gender	Gender dummy variable (1=female, 0=male)
age	Age of the respondent
agegroup	Age of the respondent, grouped (1=18-28, 2=29-43, 3=44+ years)
educstat	Educational attainment of the respondent (1=illiterate, 2=literate without degree, 3=primary school graduate, 4=high school graduate, 5=university graduate, 6=post-graduate/doctorate)
educstatgroup	Educational attainment of the respondent, grouped (1=below high school, 2=high school, 3=university and above)
hhsiz	Household population where the respondent lives (1=1 person, 2=2 people, 3=3 people, 4=4 people, 5=5 people, 6=6 people, 7=7 people, 8=8 people, 9=9 people, 10=more than 10 people)
hhsizgroup	Household population where the respondent live, grouped (1=1-2 people, 2=3-5 people, 3=6-8 people, 4=more than 9 people)
lifestyle	Reported lifestyle of the respondent (1=modern, 2=traditional conservative, 3=religious conservative)
voteintparty	Vote intention of the respondent in case of election today (1=AKP, 2=CHP, 3=MHP, 4=BDP, 5=other parties, 6=undecided, 7=non-voter)
voteintakp	Vote intention of the respondent dummy variable (1=AKP, 0=others)
turnout	Voter turnout of the respondent dummy variable (1=voter, 0=non-voter)
vote2015	Voting behavior of the respondent in the 2015 elections (1=AKP, 2=CHP, 3=MHP, 4=BDP, 5=other parties, 6=independent, 7=too young to vote, 8=did not vote, 9=voted blank(protest))
vote2015akp	Voting behavior of the respondent in the 2015 elections dummy variable (1=voted for AKP, 0=others)
turnout2015	Voter turnout of the respondent in the 2015 elections dummy variable (1=voted, 0=did not vote)
workstat	Employment status of the respondent (1=civil servant, 2=white collar (private sector), 3=blue collar (private sector), 4=small retailer, 5=industrialist/businessman, 6=self-employed, 7=farmer, stock breeder, 8=works (other), 9=retired, 10=housewife, 11=unemployed, seeking job, 12=disabled)
unemployed	Unemployment dummy variable (1=unemployed, 0=other)
selfprosper	Respondent's self-placement on prosperity scale (1=poor, 2=lower middle, 3=upper middle, 4=rich)
socialsupport	Whether respondent reports receiving <i>any</i> kind of social support (1=yes, 0=no)

supchildeduc	Whether respondent reports receiving child support, education support (1=yes, 0=no)
suphealth	Whether respondent reports receiving conditional healthcare support (1=yes, 0=no)
suppreg	Whether respondent reports receiving pregnancy support (1=yes, 0=no)
supsenior	Whether respondent reports receiving senior salary (1=yes, 0=no)
supwidow	Whether respondent reports receiving widow salary (1=yes, 0=no)
supdisable	Whether respondent reports receiving disability salary (1=yes, 0=no)
supdinkind	Whether respondent reports receiving support in kind – heating material, food etc. (1=yes, 0=no)
supother	Whether respondent reports receiving other kinds of support (1=yes, 0=no)
supreceive	Summary support dummy variable (1=receiving, 0=not receiving)
akpchange	What does the respondent think will happen to the social supports after AKP? (1=increase, 2=no change, 3=decrease)
persfinance	Respondent's current family finances based on last month (retrospective egotropic evaluation) (1=has to borrow, 2=barely making ends meet, 3=making ends meet, 4=saving money)
crisis	Respondent's economic crisis expectation short-term (next 12 months, prospective sociotropic evaluation) (0=yes, 1=no)
hardship	Respondent's personal hardship expectation short-term (next 12 months, prospective egotropic evaluation) (0=yes, 1=no)
retrospers	"My life conditions have improved in the past 5 years." (1=completely agree, 2=agree, 3=neutral, 4=disagree, 5=completely disagree)
retrossocio	"General life conditions in Turkey have improved in the past 5 years." (1=completely agree, 2=agree, 3=neutral, 4=disagree, 5=completely disagree)
prosperers	"My life conditions will be better in the next 5 years." (1=completely agree, 2=agree, 3=neutral, 4=disagree, 5=completely disagree)
prossocio	"General life conditions in Turkey will be better in the next five years." (1=completely agree, 2=agree, 3=neutral, 4=disagree, 5=completely disagree)
headcover	Head covering status of the respondent (1=no head 7cover, 2=head scarf, 3=hijab, 4=chador, purdah, 5=bachelor man)
ethnicity	Ethnicity of the respondent (1=Turkish, 2=Kurdish, 3=Zaza, 4=Arab, 5=other)
ethnicdummy	Ethnicity of the respondent dummy variable (1=Kurdish, 0=others)
religiosity	Reported religiosity of the respondent (1=non-believer, 2=believer, 3=religious, 4=pious)
hhincome	Monthly household income of the respondent
loghhincome	Natural logarithm of the monthly household income of the respondent
hhincgroup	Monthly household income, grouped (1=less than 700TL, 2=701-1,200TL, 3=1,201-2,000TL, 4=2,001-3,000TL, 5=3,001-5,000TL, 6=Higher than 5,001TL)

incomebrac	Income bracket of the respondent (1=lower class, 2=lower middle, 3=new middle, 4=upper class)
tvnews	Source of news on TV (0=independent media, 1=government-affiliated media)
ginip	Province level income inequality index (personal income) (2016)
sedip	Province level socio-economic development index (2011), rescaled to 0-10
ginir	Regional level income inequality index (personal income) (2016)
sedir	Regional level socio-economic development index (2011), rescaled to 0-10
residgroup	Respondent's residence type (objective), grouped (1= <i>gecekondu</i> / unplastered apartment, 2=independent, traditional house, 3=apartment, 4=gated community, 5=ultra-luxurious building, villa)
prospect	Index of respondent's prospective evaluation (0=negative, 1=positive, 2=very positive)
gdpcap17	GDP per capita, USD (2017) (province level)
lngdpcap17	Natural logarithm of GDP per capita, USD (2017)
mosques	Number of mosques in province
lnmosques	Natural logarithm of number of mosques in province
mosqdens	Mosques per 1,000 people (province)
metro	Metropolitan municipality created in 2012 (0=no, 1=yes)
minimumwage	Minimum wage 2016 (0=earning higher than minimum wage in 2016, 1=earning up to minimum wage in 2016)
minimumwage2	Minimum wage 2017 (0=earning higher than minimum wage in 2017, 1=earning up to minimum wage in 2017)

3. References

KONDA Research and Consultancy (2016). *June'16 Barometer: Indebtedness, Insecurity, and Economic Worries*. <http://www.konda.com.tr>.

KONDA Research and Consultancy (2017). *March'17 Barometer: Economic Classes and Class Perceptions*. <http://www.konda.com.tr>.

Türkiye Cumhuriyeti Kalkınma Bakanlığı (Republic of Turkey Ministry of Development) (2013). *İllerin ve Bölgelerin Sosyo-Ekonomik Gelişmişlik Sıralaması Araştırması 2011 (Socio-Economic Development Index of Provinces and Regions in Turkey 2011)*. Ankara, Turkey.

Türkiye İstatistik Kurumu (Turkish Statistical Institute). <http://biruni.tuik.gov.tr>.

Türkiye Cumhuriyeti Diyanet İşleri Başkanlığı (Republic of Turkey Directorate of Religious Affairs). <https://camiler.diyaret.gov.tr>