System Verification and Validation Plan for PD Controller

Naveen Ganesh Muralidharan

December 14, 2020

1 Revision History

Date	Version	Notes						
28-Oct-20 1 14-Dec-20 1	1.0 2.0	The first draft of the VnV plan This versions contains the following						
		changes,						
		• Changed scope to PD controller.						
		• Incorporated Dr.Smith's and reviewers' feedback.						
		• Added more test cases.						

Contents

1	Rev	vision History	j									
2	Syn	nbols, Abbreviations, and Acronyms	iv									
3	General Information											
	3.1	Summary	1									
	3.2	Objectives	1									
	3.3	Relevant Documentation	1									
4	Pla	n	2									
	4.1	Verification and Validation Team	2									
	4.2	SRS Verification Plan	2									
	4.3	Design Verification Plan	2									
	4.4	Implementation Verification Plan	2									
	4.5	Automated Testing and Verification Tools	3									
	4.6	Software Validation Plan	3									
5	Sys	tem Test Description	3									
	5.1	Tests for Functional Requirements	3									
		5.1.1 Input-Output tests	3									
	5.2	Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements	6									
		5.2.1 Portability Test	6									
		5.2.2 Maintainability tests	6									
		5.2.3 Security Tests	8									
		5.2.4 Verifiability test	Ć									
	5.3	Traceability Between Test Cases and Requirements	11									
6	Uni	it Test Description	12									
	6.1	-	12									
	6.2	Tests for Functional Requirements	12									
		6.2.1 Calculations.py	12									
	6.3	Traceability Between Test Cases and Modules										
${f L}$	\mathbf{ist}	of Tables										
	1	Verification and Validation Team	2									

2	TC-PD-1 - Input constraints tests	4
3	TC-PD-2 - Output values	5
4	Requirements vs Test Cases Trace Matrix	1
5	Requirements vs Modules Trace Matrix	3

2 Symbols, Abbreviations, and Acronyms

symbol	description
API	Application Program Interface
DCCC	Data and Control Coupling
N	No
PDF	Portable Document Format
PEP8	Python Enhancement Proposal 8
stdin	Standard input stream
stderr	Standard error stream
stdout	Standard output stream
Τ	Test
VnV	Verification and Validation
Y	Yes

All the units, abbreviations, and symbols recorded in the Software Requirement Specification [8] apply to this document as well.

This document encompasses the Verification and Validation (VnV) plan for the PD Controller software.

Section 3 of this document sets the context for the VnV plan. Section 4 provides a high-level overview of the VnV plan. Sections 5 and 6 contain the systems and unit test cases respectively.

3 General Information

3.1 Summary

The software under test is the simulation of a PD control loop. The functions of the PD control loop are,

- Calculating the Error Signal. Error Signal is the difference between the User Input (Set-Point) and the output of the Power Plant (Process-Variable).
- Computing the output of the PD Controller.
- Computing the response of the Power-Plant.

3.2 Objectives

The objectives of the Verification and Validation procedures are to,

- Establish confidence in software correctness.
- Ensuring that the software meets the expected quality standards.

3.3 Relevant Documentation

The requirements for the PD Controller software are captured in the Software Requirements Specification [8]

The software design information is captured in the Module Guide [5] and Module Interface Specification [6] documents respectively.

Team Member	Role
Naveen Ganesh Muralidharan	Author
Dr. Spencer Smith	Course Instructor and Domain Expert
Ting-Yu Wu	Domain Expert
Siddharth (Sid) Shinde	Secondary reviewer - SRS
Gabriela Sánchez Díaz	Secondary reviewer - VnV Plan

Table 1: Verification and Validation Team

4 Plan

4.1 Verification and Validation Team

The members of the VnV team for this project are listed in Table-1.

4.2 SRS Verification Plan

The SRS will be independently peer-reviewed by members of the VnV team, specifically, Dr. Spencer Smith, Ting-Yu Wu, and Siddharth (Sid) Shinde.

Any issues identified during the review are tracked and verified in Github [4].

4.3 Design Verification Plan

The software for this project is auto-generated by Drasil Software [1]. Therefore manual verification of the design is not required.

4.4 Implementation Verification Plan

The implemented software is tested as follows,

- Automated systems testing, where the corresponding test cases are listed in section 5.
- Automated unit testing, where the corresponding test cases are listed in section 6.
- Statement coverage check.

• Static code analysis.

4.5 Automated Testing and Verification Tools

The tools utilized for verification are listed below,

- Systems Testing Pytest [2] will be used for automated systems testing. Since this is a black-box test, Pytest will be used at the stdin, stdout, and stderr levels.
- Unit Testing Pytest [2] will be used for automated unit testing. Since this is a white-box test, Pytest will be used at the API level.
- Statement coverage PyTest-Cov [10]. PyTest-Cov is used along with Pytest to obtain the statement coverage.
- Memory leaks Valgrind [11] will be used for memory leak analyses.
- Linting Flake8 [9]. Linting tool that checks for the coding style against the PEP8 standard.

4.6 Software Validation Plan

There are no plans for the Validation of the PD Controller software.

5 System Test Description

5.1 Tests for Functional Requirements

This section contains the systems test cases for the functional requirements in the SRS [8]. The test cases are organized into two categories, the input-output tests and simulation parameters test.

5.1.1 Input-Output tests

This section verifies section 4.2.6, and the functional requirements of section 5.1 in the SRS [8]. Various inputs are provided to the Software Under Test, and the output is verified.

	Input						Output		
ID	$r_{ m t}$	$K_{\rm p}$	$K_{ m d}$	$t_{ m step}$	$t_{ m sim}$	$y_{ m t}$	Error Message		
TC-PD-1-1	1	20	1	0.01	10	0.9524 N/A			
TC-PD-1-2	-0.0001	20	1	0.01	10	N/A	InputError		
TC-PD-1-3	1	-0.0001	1	0.01	10	N/A	InputError		
TC-PD-1-4	1	20	-0.0001	0.01	10	N/A	InputError		
TC-PD-1-5	1	20	1	0.0009	10	N/A	InputError		
TC-PD-1-6	1	20	1	10	10	N/A	InputError		
TC-PD-1-7	1	20	1	0.01	0.9999	N/A	InputError		
TC-PD-1-8	1	20	1	0.01	60.0001	N/A	InputError		

Table 2: TC-PD-1 - Input constraints tests

Input Constraints test

- TC-PD-1
 - Control: AutomaticInitial State: None
 - Input: Set the inputs to the values specified in the 'Input' columns in Table-2.
 - Output: Verify that the outputs of the software match the values specified in the 'Output' columns of Table-2 within the allowable margin of error.
 - Requirement ID(s): FR: Input-Values, FR: Verify-Input-Values,
 FR: Calculate-Values, FR: Output-Values.
 - Test Case Derivation: This test case is to test the behaviour of the system when the system is supplied with inputs that are outside the physical constraints, as specified in Table-4 in the SRS [8]. In the test cases TC-PD-1-2 to TC-PD-1-7, the system should produce an InputError, as the values supplied are beyond the physical constraints of the input signals.

The output, y_t refers to the last value of the output list.

			Output			
ID	$r_{ m t}$	$K_{\rm p}$	$K_{\rm d}$	$t_{ m step}$	$t_{\rm sim}$	$y_{ m t}$
TC-PD-2-1	20	10	1	0.01	10	18.18
TC-PD-2-2	20	5	1	0.01	10	16.67
TC-PD-2-3	20	10	15	0.01	10	18.19
TC-PD-2-4	20	10	1	0.01	5	18.17

Table 3: TC-PD-2 - Output values

The output specified in TC-PD-1-1 has been independently verified using a Simulink model ([3], [7]). A relative error of 5% is applied to accommodate rounding off errors, and floating-point representation errors between the two software.

- How the test will be performed: The test will be automated with Pytest as mentioned in section 4.5.

Output test

- TC-PD-2
 - Control: Automatic
 - Initial State: None
 - Input: Set the inputs to the values specified in the 'Input' columns in Table-3.
 - Output: Verify that the outputs of the software match the value specified in the 'Output' column of Table-3 within the allowable margin of error.
 - Requirement ID(s): FR: Input-Values, FR: Verify-Input-Values,
 FR: Calculate-Values, FR: Output-Values.
 - Test Case Derivation: This test case is to prove that each input to the software uniquely affects the output of the software.

 The output, y_t refers to the last value of the output list.

The outputs have been independently verified using a Simulink model ([3], [7]). A relative error of 5% is applied to accommodate rounding off errors, and floating-point representation errors between the two software.

 How the test will be performed: The test will be automated with Pytest as mentioned in section 4.5.

...

5.2 Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements

This section contains the test cases for the non-functional requirements (section 5.2) of the SRS [8].

5.2.1 Portability Test

- TC-PD-3
 - **Type:** Semi-Automated, Dynamic.
 - Initial State: None
 - Requirement ID(s): NFR: Portable
 - Input/Condition: Execute TC-PD-1 and TC-PD-2 in each of the following operating systems,
 - 1. Windows 10.
 - 2. Bodhi Linux 5.1.0.
 - Output/Result: Verify that on each of the operating system, the test cases TC-PD-1 and TC-PD-2 passes.
 - How the test will be performed: On each of the Operating systems, execute the functional test suite using Pytest [2].

5.2.2 Maintainability tests

Modularity Test

• TC-PD-4

- **Type:** Manual, Inspection.

- Initial State: None

- Requirement ID(s): NFR: Maintainable

- Input/Condition: N/A

- Output/Result: Verify that the source code is modular.

 How the test will be performed: Review the source code files. Ensure that each functionality of the software is handled in a separate module.

Linting

- TC-PD-5
 - Type: Static, Automated.
 - Initial State: None
 - Requirement ID(s): NFR: Maintainable
 - Input/Condition: The source code files.
 - Output/Result: Verify that the source code does not contain any PEP-8 violations.
 - How the test will be performed: This test will be automated using the Flake8 [9] tool.

Documented

- TC-PD-6
 - **Type:** Inspection
 - Initial State: None
 - Requirement ID(s): NFR: Maintainable
 - Input/Condition: The PDF API reference generated with Doxygen.
 - Output/Result: Verify that all the classes, methods and modules in the source code are documented in the API reference.

- How the test will be performed:

- 1. Run 'make doc' to generate the Doxygen Latex files.
- 2. Navigate to the Latex directory and run 'make' to generate the Doxygen PDF file.
- 3. Inspect the PDF file, ensure all the functions in the source code are adequately documented.

5.2.3 Security Tests

Memory leak check

- TC-PD-7
 - **Type:** Automated, Dynamic.
 - Initial State: None
 - Requirement ID(s): NFR: Secure
 - Input/Condition: Execute the test case of TC-PD-1-1.
 - Output/Result: Verify that the test case TC-PD-1-1 passes and there are no memory leaks identified in the report generated by Valgrind.
 - How the test will be performed: Valgrind [11] is used to fork the python script. After the execution, a report is generated by Valgrind.

Divide by-zero check

- TC-PD-8
 - **Type:** Static, Inspection.
 - Initial State: None
 - Requirement ID(s): NFR: Secure
 - Input/Condition: Source code of the PD controller.
 - Output/Result: For every division in the source code, verify that the denominator is tested for a non-zero value.

 How the test will be performed: Manual inspection of the source code.

Negative square root check

- TC-PD-9
 - Type: Static analysis and Inspection
 - Initial State: None
 - Requirement ID(s): NFR: Secure
 - Input/Condition: Source code of the PD controller.
 - Output/Result: For every square root function in the source code, verify that the operands are tested for negative values before the function call.
 - How the test will be performed: Manual inspection of the source code.

5.2.4 Verifiability test

- TC-PD-10
 - Type: Inspection
 - Initial State: None
 - Requirement ID(s): NFR: Verifiable
 - Input/Condition: VnV report and the SRS of the PD Controller.
 - Output/Result: Verify that for each requirement in the SRS, there exists at least one test case in the VnV report.
 - How the test will be performed: Manual inspection of the documents.

Statement Coverage Test

- TC-PD-11
 - **Type:** Dynamic, Automated.
 - Initial State: None
 - Requirement ID(s): NFR: Verifiable
 - Input/Condition: Execute test cases TC-PD-1 and TC-PD-2.
 - Output/Result: Verify that the statement coverage is 100%.
 - How the test will be performed: This test will be executed with Pytest [2] and the Pytest-Cov [10]. The tests should be executed with the '-cov' command-line option.

Data Coupling and Control Coupling (DCCC) Analysis The following tests the Data and Control Coupling between the modules.

Data Coupling

- TC-PD-12
 - **Type:** Manual, Inspection.
 - Initial State: None
 - Requirement ID(s): NFR: Verifiable
 - Input/Condition: Execute TC-PD-1.
 - Output/Result: Analyze the Data Coupling is achieved between the modules.
 - How the test will be performed: TC-PD-1 is executed with Pytest. The generated log file (log.txt) is examined for Data coupling.

	Input-Values	Verify-Input-Values	Calculate-Values	Output-Values	Portable	Secure	Maintainable	Verifiable
TC-PD-1	X	X	X	X				
TC-PD-2	X	X	X	X			1	
TC-PD-3			1		X		1	
TC-PD-4							X	
TC-PD-5							X	
TC-PD-6							X	
TC-PD-7						X		
TC-PD-8						X		
TC-PD-9						X		
TC-PD-10								X
TC-PD-11								X
TC-PD-12								X
TC-PD-13							1	X

Table 4: Requirements vs Test Cases Trace Matrix

Control Coupling

• TC-PD-13

- **Type:** Manual, Inspection.

- Initial State: None

Requirement ID(s): NFR: VerifiableInput/Condition: Execute TC-PD-1.

- Output/Result: Analyze the Couple Coupling is achieved between the modules.

 How the test will be performed: TC-PD-1 is executed with Pytest. The generated log file (log.txt) is examined for Coupling coupling.

5.3 Traceability Between Test Cases and Requirements

Table-4 contains the mapping of requirements to test cases.

6 Unit Test Description

The source code for the PD Controller has been auto-generated by the Drasil software ([1]). The generated software contains the following modules,

- Calculations.py Provides functions for calculating the outputs.
- Constants.py Provides the structure for holding constant values.
- Control.py Controls the flow of the program.
- InputParameters.py Provides the function for reading inputs and the function for checking the physical constraints on the input.
- OutputFormat.py Provides the function for writing outputs.

Automated unit-testing will be performed on select modules.

6.1 Unit Testing Scope

The following areas were tested as part of the Systems testing,

- Software correctness and physical constraints were tested in test cases TC-PD-1 and TC-PD-2.
- Statement coverage of 100% was tested in test cases TC-PD-11.
- Coupling was tested in TC-PD-12 and TC-PD-13.

Therefore the only additional testing that is necessary for the analysis of the Step Time (t_{step}) , and Simulation Time (t_{sim}) . For this, the Calculations.py module will be unit tested.

6.2 Tests for Functional Requirements

6.2.1 Calculations.py

This section tests for the Step Time (t_{step}) through automated unit testing.

• TC-PD-11

	Input-Values	Verify-Input-Values	Calculate-Values	Output-Values	Portable	Secure	Maintainable	Verifiable
Calculations.py			X					

Table 5: Requirements vs Modules Trace Matrix

- Type: Automated, Black Box.
- Initial State: None
- Requirement ID(s): FR: Calculate-Values
- Input/Condition: Set the input as follows,
 - $* r_{\rm t} = 1$
 - $* K_{\rm p} = 10$
 - $* K_{\rm d} = 1$
 - $* t_{\text{step}} = 0.01$
 - $* t_{sim} = 1$
- Output/Result: Verify that the length of the list y_t is 101.
- Test Case Derivation: The ODE is integrated every t_{step} seconds until t_{sim} seconds. Therefore the no of samples will be $((t_{\text{sim}}/t_{\text{step}})+1)$, where 1 is for the initial value.
- How the test will be performed: This test will be executed with Pytest [2].

6.3 Traceability Between Test Cases and Modules

Table-5 contains the mapping of requirements to modules.

References

- [1] J. Carette and S. Smith. Drasil, 2020. URL https://jacquescarette.github.io/Drasil/.
- [2] H. Krekel. Pytest, 2004. URL https://docs.pytest.org/en/stable/.
- [3] Mathworks. Simulink, version 10.2, r2020b, 2020. URL https://www.mathworks.com/products/simulink.html.

- [4] Microsoft. Github, 2020. URL https://github.com/muralidn/CAS741-Fall20.
- [5] N. G. Muralidharan. Module guide for pid controller. https://github.com/muralidn/CAS741-Fall20/blob/master/docs/Design/MG/MG.pdf, 2020.
- [6] N. G. Muralidharan. Module interface specification for pid controller. https://github.com/muralidn/CAS741-Fall20/blob/master/docs/Design/MIS/MIS.pdf, 2020.
- [7] N. G. Muralidharan. PD_Simulation.slx, version 10.2, r2020b, 2020. URL https://github.com/muralidn/CAS741-Fall20/blob/master/test/data/pseudo-oracle/PD_Simulation.slx.
- [8] N. G. Muralidharan. System requirements specification for pd controller. https://github.com/muralidn/CAS741-Fall20/blob/ master/docs/SRS/SRS.pdf, 2020.
- [9] I. C. Tarek Ziadé. Flake8. URL https://gitlab.com/pycqa/flake8/.
- [10] P.-C. team. Pytest-cov. URL https://github.com/pytest-dev/pytest-cov.
- [11] V. D. Team. Valgrind. URL www.valgrind.org.