



Term: Fall 2019 Enrollment: 57

Eligible to Respond: 57 **Response Count:** 17

Response Rate: 29.82%

Class ID: <u>ITSS3311.004.19F</u> **Title:** Introduction to Programming

School: Naveen Jindal School of Management

Instructor: Murat Tunc

Course	Experie	nce for	itss33	11.004.	19f - Int	rodu	ction	to P	rogra	ammi	ng		
Evaluation Scale is 5 Level Likert Item	SD	D	N	A	SA	%/#	SD	D	N	A	SA	тот	Summary Statistics
The course objectives were clearly defined.					$M = 4.$ $\mu = 4.$ $\sigma = 0.$	%	-	-	-	24%	76%	100%	M 4.85 μ 4.76
	0%	0%	0%	24%	76%	#	-	-	-	4	13	17	σ 0.44 N 17
The course was well organized.					$M = 4.73$ $\mu = 4.47$ $\sigma = 1.01$	%	6%	-	-	29%	65%	100%	M 4.73 μ 4.47
	6%	0%	0%	29%	65%	#	1	-	-	5	11	17	σ 1.01 N 17
Overall, the course was excellent.					$M = 4.7$ $\mu = 4.5$ $\sigma = 1.0$	%	6%	-	-	24%	71%	100%	M 4.79 μ 4.53
	6%	0%	0%	24%	71%	#	1	-	-	4	12	17	σ 1.01 N 17
		nstruc	tor Mui	rat Tunc	(itss33	11.00	04.19	f)					
Evaluation Scale is 5 Level Likert Item	SD	D	N	А	SA	%/#	SD	D	N	A	SA	тот	Summary Statistics
The instructor was well prepared in the subject area.					$M = 4$ $p = 4.$ $\sigma = 0.$	%	-	-	6%	12%	82%	100%	M 4.89 μ 4.76
	0%	0%	6%	12%	82%	#	-	-	1	2	14	17	σ 0.56 N 17
The instructor communicated information effectively.					$M = 4.65$ $\mu = 4.41$ $\sigma = 1.00$	%	6%	-	-	35%	59%	100%	M 4.65 μ 4.41
	6%	0%	0%	35%	59%	#	1	-	-	6	10	17	σ 1.00 N 17
The instructor seemed genuinely interested in teaching.					$M = 4.7$ $\mu = 4.5$ $\sigma = 0.8$	%	-	6%	-	24%	71%	100%	M 4.79 μ 4.59
	0%	6%	0%	24%	71%	#	-	1	-	4	12	17	σ 0.80 N 17
The instructor provided timely feedback.	;;				$M = 4$ $\mu = 4$	%	-	-	-	18%	82%	100%	M 4.89 μ 4.82
	0%	0%	0%	18%	$\ddot{\sigma} = 0.$	#	-	-	-	3	14	17	σ 0.39 N 17
The instructor was accessible outside of class.					M = 4	%	-	-	12%	6%	82%	100%	M 4.89 μ 4.71
	0%	0%	12%	6%	$ \frac{\mu = 4}{\sigma = 0} $ 82%	#	-	-	2	1	14	17	σ 0.69 N 17

Page 1 of 3 **UT Dallas Course Evaluation**

0% 0% 0% 18% 82% # 3 14 17	The instructor evaluated students	M = 4	%	_	_	_	18%	82%	100%	М	4.89
Overall, this instructor was excellent. 0% 0% 0% 18% 82% # 3 14 17 N 17 $\frac{M=4.}{9}$ $\%$ 6% 18% 76% 100% $\frac{M}{4.85}$ $\frac{4.59}{9}$ $\frac{4.59}{9}$	fairly.	$p = 4$. $\sigma = 0$.	/0	-		-	10%	0270	100%	μ	4.82
Overall, this instructor was excellent.		00/ 00/ 100/ 000/	#				2	1.4	17	σ	0.39
excellent. 18 76 100 4.59		0% 0% 18% 82%	#	_			3	14	17	N	17
excellent. 18 76 100 4.59	Overall, this instructor was									М	4.85
6% 0% 0% # 1 3 13 17				6%	-	-	18%	76%	100%		
6% 0% 18% 76% # 1 3 13 17		$\mu = q_{i,i}$ $\sigma = 1.0$								μ	
6% 0% 18% 76% # 1 3 13 17 N 17			ш.	# 1	-	-	3	13	17	σ	1.00
		6% 0% 0% 18% 76%	#						17	N	17

Student	Experie	ence for	r itss33	11.004.	19f - In	trodu	ction	ı to F	rogr	amm	ing			
Evaluation Scale is 5 Level Likert Item	SD	D	N	A	SA	%/#	SD	D	N	A	SA	тот		ummary itatistics
I was free to ask questions and					M = 4	%	_		12%	6%	82%	100%	М	4.89
express my opinions and ideas.					$\begin{array}{c} \mu=4,\\ \sigma=0. \end{array}$,,,			1270	0,0	02 /0	100%	μ	4.71
	0%	0%	12%	6%	82%	#	_	_	2	1	14	17	σ	0.69
	. 070 :		1270	070	0270								N	17
My performance was evaluated					M = 4	0/				100/	82%	1000/	М	4.89
fairly.				$\mu = 4$. $\sigma = 0$.	- %	_	-	-	18%	82%	100%	μ	4.82	
	0%	0%	0%	18%	82%	#	_	_	_	3	14	17	σ	0.39
	0 /0	0 /0	0 /0	10 /0	02 /0	"						1,	N	17
I discussed ideas from this course					M = 4.7	0/	60/	60/		100/	710/	1000/	М	4.79
with others outside the classroom.				$\mu = 4.4$ $\sigma = 1.1$	% 6%	6%	6%	-	18%	71%	100%	μ	4.41	
	<i>C</i> 0/	6%	0%	18%	71%	#	1	1	_	3	12	17	σ	1.18
	6% 6%	0 70		18%	/ 1 /0	<i>π</i>				3	12	1/	N	17
This course has been (or will be) of					M = 4	0/	GO.			60/	000/	1000/	М	4.93
value to me.		:	$\mu = 4$ $\sigma = 0$	% 6	6%	-	-	6%	88%	100%	μ	4.71		
	G0/	00/	00/	COV		#	1	_		1	15	17	σ	0.99
	6%	0%	0%	6%	88%	#	1			1	13	17	N	17
This course inspired me to learn					M = 4.								М	4.85
more.		$\mu = 4.5$ $\sigma = 1.0$	%	6%	-	6%	12%	76%	100%	μ	4.53			
		001	201	100/			1		1	_	12	17	σ	1.07
	6%	0%	6%	12%	76%	#	1	-	1	2	13	17	N	17

Comments - Access to comments is restricted. You have permission to view comments

What aspects of this course should remain the same?

- 1. The difficulty of the quizzes is nice, and the spread out of the 3 projects is nice.
- 2. Project is great
- 3. Fantastic Instructor, the videos for the lectures are helpful!
- 4. Number of assignments and how the subject of the assignment was taught
- 5. The structure of PowerPoint presentations, with periodic projects, quizzes, and exams covering the material.
- 6. Nothing to say.
- 7. The grading criteria should remain the same.
- 8. liked the course doen very well

What aspects of this course need improvement?

- Some improvements needs to be more practice questions. Also, some real world programming lessons would be nice instead of generic projects that probably will not have any use in the real world.
- 2. More practice on coding
- 3. Fantastic Instructor


UT Dallas Course Evaluation Page 2 of 3

- 4. assignment guidelines need to be clearer.
- 5. The class was adequate, with no notable shortcomings.
- 6. Add some weekly online homework like multiple choice question.
- 7. The amount of information being presented versus the amount of time. 3 hours and learning 3 days worth of lecture is difficult to retain in one sitting with few break times.
- 8. no change
- 9. The midterm and finals could be a bit more easier.

Additional comments:

- 1. The fact that the programming software was free and that I was able to program freely was nice.
- 2. Fantastic Instructor
- 3. Great professor
- 4. The course was perfectly reasonable and helped in my review of the basics of Java programming.
- 5. Nothing to say
- 6. Thanks for being a great professor! Course was well structured and defined. Many opportunities were given to succeed and you were willing to answer all questions. You're an awesome professor, wish you the best in your career!
- 7. Professor was understanding! Did his very best for his students to succeed and listened to our concerns about the course.
- 8. its really well done

Class Grade Distribution (ITSS3311.004.19F)



GPA 3.53

DF⁶ 1.79% WDF⁶ 3.51%

Report URL: go.utdallas.edu/eval/itss3311.004.19f Report PDF: go.utdallas.edu/eval/itss3311.004.19f/pdf

Enrollment: **57** Cache: **2021-09-03 07:53:29** Contact the Provost's Technology Group to verify authenticity: ptg@utdallas.edu

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT DALLAS
Provost's Technology Group

UT Dallas Course Evaluation Page 3 of 3