



Term: Spring 2019 Enrollment: 55

Eligible to Respond: 55 Response Count: 21 Response Rate: 38.18% Class ID: ITSS3311.002.195
Title: Introduction to Programming

School: Naveen Jindal School of Management

**Instructor:** Murat Tunc

Course	Experience	for itss33	11.002.	19s - Int	rodu	ction	to P	rogra	ammi	ing		
Evaluation Scale is 5 Level Likert Item	SD I	D N	A	SA	%/#	SD	D	N	А	SA	тот	Summary Statistics
The course objectives were clearly defined.				$M = 4.6$ $\mu = 4.6$ $\sigma = 0.5$	%	-	5%	-	19%	76%	100%	M 4.84 μ 4.67
	0% 5	% 0%	19%	76%	#	-	1	-	4	16	21	σ 0.73 N 21
The course was well organized.			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	$M = 4$ . $\mu = 4$ .	%	-	-	-	19%	81%	100%	M 4.88 μ 4.81
	0% 0	% 0%	19%	σ = 0. 81%	#	-	-	-	4	17	21	σ 0.40 N 21
Overall, the course was excellent.				M = 4.7!	%	-	-	10%	24%	67%	100%	M <b>4.75</b> μ 4.57
	0% 0	% 10%	24%	$\sigma = 0.68$	#	-	-	2	5	14	21	σ 0.68 N 21
	Inst	ructor Mu	rat Tunc	(itss33	11.00	2.19	s)					
Evaluation Scale is 5 Level Likert Item	SD I	O N	А	SA	%/#	SD	D	N	A	SA	тот	Summary Statistics
The instructor was well prepared in the subject area.				$M = 4$ $\mu = 4$ $\sigma = 0$	%	-	-	-	14%	86%	100%	M <b>4.92</b> μ 4.86
	0% 0	% 0%	14%	86%	#	-	-	-	3	18	21	σ 0.36 N 21
The instructor communicated information effectively.				$M = 4.63$ $\mu = 4.43$	%	5%	-	-	38%	57%	100%	M <b>4.63</b> μ 4.43
	5% 0	% 0%	38%	σ = 0.93 57%	#	1	-	-	8	12	21	σ 0.93 N 21
The instructor seemed genuinely interested in teaching.				$M = 4.5$ $\mu = 4.5$	%	-	-	-	24%	76%	100%	M <b>4.84</b> μ 4.76
	0% 0	% 0%	24%	$\sigma = 0.2$	#	-	-	-	5	16	21	σ 0.44 N 21
The instructor provided timely feedback.				$M = 4$ . $\mu = 4$ .	%	-	-	-	19%	81%	100%	M 4.88 μ 4.81
	0% 0	% 0%	19%	σ = 0. 81%	#	-	-	-	4	17	21	σ 0.40 N 21
The instructor was accessible outside of class.				M = 4.: μ = 4.ε	%	-	5%	-	19%	76%	100%	M 4.84 μ 4.67
	0% 5	% 0%	19%	$\vec{\sigma} = 0.5$	#	-	1	-	4	16	21	σ 0.73 N 21

UT Dallas Course Evaluation Page 1 of 4

The instructor evaluated students fairly.		$M = 4$ $\mu = 4$ $\sigma = 0$	%	-	-	-	10%	90%	100%	Μ μ	<b>4.94</b> 4.90
	0% 0% 0% 10% 90	0%	#	-	-	-	2	18	20	σ N	0.31
Overall, this instructor was excellent.		$M = 4$ $\mu = 4$ $\sigma = 0$	%	-	-	-	14%	86%	100%	Μ μ	<b>4.92</b> 4.86
	0% 0% 0% 14% 80	6%	#	-	-	-	3	18	21	σ N	0.36
Student Experience for itss3311.002.19s - Introduction to Programming											

Student Experience for itss3311.002.19s - Introduction to Programming														
Evaluation Scale is 5 Level Likert Item	SD	D	N	A	SA	%/#	SD	D	N	Α	SA	тот		ummary itatistics
I was free to ask questions and express my opinions and ideas.				 	$M = 4$ $\mu = 4$ $\sigma = 0$	%	-	-	-	14%	86%	100%	Μ μ	<b>4.92</b> 4.86
	0%	0%	0%	_14%	86%	#	-	-	-	3	18	21	σ N	0.36 21
My performance was evaluated fairly.					$M = 4$ $\mu = 4$ $\sigma = 0$	%	-	5%	-	10%	86%	100%	Μ μ	<b>4.92</b> 4.76
	0%	5%	0%	_10%_	86%	#	-	1	-	2	18	21	σ N	0.70
I discussed ideas from this course with others outside the classroom.					$M = 4.8$ $\mu = 4.5$ $\sigma = 0.7$	%	-	-	14%	14%	71%	100%	Μ μ	<b>4.80</b> 4.57
	0%	0%	14%	_14%	71%	#	-	-	3	3	15	21	σ N	0.75 21
This course has been (or will be) of value to me.					$M = 4$ $\mu = 4$ $\sigma = 0$	%	-	5%	-	10%	86%	100%	Μ μ	<b>4.92</b> 4.76
	0%	5%	0%	10%	86%	#	-	1	-	2	18	21	σ N	0.70 21
This course inspired me to learn more.					$M = 4.7!$ $\mu = 4.52$ $\sigma = 0.75$	%	-	-	14%	19%	67%	100%	Μ μ	<b>4.75</b> 4.52
	0% 0% 14% -19	19%		#	_	-	3	4	14	21	σ	0.75		
	070    070		1970		0770								N	21

## Comments - Access to comments is restricted. You have permission to view comments

What aspects of this course should remain the same?

- 1. I love the organization of the course so it should remain the same. The number of projects, exams, and quizzes didn't make it seem too overwhelming which was great!
- 2. Content was straight-forward, and concepts could be easily learned out of class as well since there are many guides on introduction to java/programming.
- 3. Asking the students where their level of programming is.
- 4. Instructor.
- 5. Amazing class. I love the projects and in class exercises. Great professor.
- 6. Assignments and workload were manageable and not overwhelming. The breaks in the middle of lecture were very beneficial.
- 7. This course was taught perfectly, the professor wanted each student to do well and was very active in helping students be successful.
- 8. Amazing professor, great class, he did his best to ensure everyone was successful.
- 9. Basic intro to JAVA course taught me the basics of the language in a calm and controlled way. Professor was a great guy and made a genuine effort to see all his students succeed. He was always open for questions and was nothing but helpful.

UT Dallas Course Evaluation Page 2 of 4

- 10. The aspects of this course that should remain the same are the way lectures are taught. The lecture slides are really effective and teach the material well. Also, the quizzes and test reviews help you learn the material well.
- 11. The professor should stay the same. I truly enjoyed interacting and learning the material from this professor. Very knowledgeable and understanding. He was always available to answer questions outside of class as well.
- 12. Surprisingly, the class was very easy to follow despite learning a brand new subject. Awesome professor. He outlined everything and made sure all students were following along and took everything step by step.
- 13. The professor
- 14. The lecture notes are okay
- 15. Way of teaching

## What aspects of this course need improvement?

- 1. Though Professor Tunc did get feedback after the midterm exams and followed through the suggestions, I would say to have students do the practice quizzes and practice exams at home and then it can be reviewed during class time on review day.
- 2. There should be more grades on the hands-on exercises than multiple-choice exams.
- 3. N/a
- 4. None
- 5. All powerpoint lectures were difficult to maintain focus on. The breaks helped but I also think there could be more exercises done as a class or altogether and then on our own that would've been helpful. More practice problems in the format of the tests and quizzes would've also been helpful.
- 6. Teach the material in sections. Not a beginner friendly class.
- 7. The test questions were 90 percent tracing code, which I feel is really unnecessary. The test questions were extremely unrealistic and tested patience more than actual knowledge in the language.
- 8. The aspects of this course that need improvement are nothing. This course is great :)
- 9. Nothing comes to mind. This was a pleasant experience.
- 10. So far, everything is good so long as you put in effort to study
- 11. More practice

## Additional comments:

- 1. The professor made sure that he was able to help everyone understand the topics clearly. He definitely did a great job in teaching. He made sure that he was meeting student expectations. I would definitely take him again if I were given the chance.
- 2. N/a, thanks professor
- 3. This class is perfect.
- 4. All is good to me.

## Class Grade Distribution (ITSS3311.002.19S)

S3311.002.19S

	-	•	+	#	Grade Distribution for ITS
A	8	14	18	40	72.7
В	0	4	8	12	21.82%
C	0	1	1	2	3.64%
D	1	0	0	1	1.82%
F	-	0	-	0	0%
W*	-	0	-	0	0%
		Lette	Grade C	oun <b>5</b> 5	25% 50% 75%

GPA 3.65

DF<sup>6</sup> 1.82%

WDF 1.82%

UT Dallas Course Evaluation Page 3 of 4

100%

Report URL: go.utdallas.edu/eval/itss3311.002.19s Report PDF: go.utdallas.edu/eval/itss3311.002.19s/pdf Enrollment: **55** Cache: **2021-09-03 07:53:57** 

Contact the Provost's Technology Group to verify authenticity: <a href="mailto:ptg@utdallas.edu">ptg@utdallas.edu</a>



Page 4 of 4 **UT Dallas Course Evaluation**